GKAP, a Novel Synaptic Protein That Interacts with the Guanylate Kinase-like Domain of the PSD-95/SAP90 Family of Channel Clustering Molecules
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Abstract. The molecular mechanisms underlying the organization of ion channels and signaling molecules at the synaptic junction are largely unknown. Recently, members of the PSD-95/SAP90 family of synaptic MAGUK (membrane-associated guanylate kinase) proteins have been shown to interact, via their NH$_2$-terminal PDZ domains, with certain ion channels (NMDA receptors and K$_V$ channels), thereby promoting the clustering of these proteins. Although the function of the NH$_2$-terminal PDZ domains is relatively well characterized, the function of the Src homology 3 (SH3) domain and the guanylate kinase-like (GK) domain in the COOH-terminal half of PSD-95 has remained obscure. We now report the isolation of a novel synaptic protein, termed GKAP for guanylate kinase-associated protein, that binds directly to the GK domain of the four known members of the mammalian PSD-95 family. GKAP shows a unique domain structure and appears to be a major constituent of the postsynaptic density. GKAP colocalizes and communoprecipitates with PSD-95 in vivo, and coclusters with PSD-95 and K$_V$ channels/ NMDA receptors in heterologous cells. Given their apparent lack of guanylate kinase enzymatic activity, the fact that the GK domain can act as a site for protein–protein interaction has implications for the function of diverse GK-containing proteins (such as p55, ZO-1, and LIN-2/CASK).

In neurons, the proper subcellular distribution of ion channels and receptors is important for electrical signaling. Ion channels are highly concentrated in neuronal membrane specializations such as synaptic junctions and nodes of Ranvier. The high local concentration of ion channels and receptors is thought to be achieved by the interaction of these membrane proteins with anchoring and clustering proteins at the target site (reviewed in Frohner, 1993; Hall and Sanes, 1993).

A family of synaptic ion channel clustering proteins (of which postsynaptic density-95 [PSD-95]/synapse-associated protein 90 [SAP90] is the prototypic member) has recently emerged. With four mammalian members so far identified, this family consists of: PSD-95/SAP90 (Cho et al., 1992; Kistner et al., 1993), SAP97/hdlg (Lue et al., 1994; Müller et al., 1995), chapsyn-110/PSD-93 (Brenman et al., 1996; Kim et al., 1996), and SAP102 (Müller et al., 1996). Since there is no collective name for these proteins, we will for convenience refer to them as either the “PSD-95 family” or as “chapsyns” (for channel associated proteins of synapses).

In vitro and in heterologous cells, the PSD-95 family binds directly to, and can mediate the clustering of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and Shaker-type K$_V$ channels (Kim et al., 1995; Kornau et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1996; Müller et al., 1996; Niethammer et al., 1996; reviewed in Sheng, 1996; Sheng and Kim, 1996). This clustering is dependent on a direct interaction between the COOH-terminal -E-T/S-X-V sequence motif of the ion channel subunits and specific PDZ domains in the NH$_2$-terminal region of the chapsyns (Kim et al., 1995; Doyle et al., 1996; Kim et al., 1996; Kim and Sheng, 1996). Discs large (DLG), the Drosophila homologue of the mammalian PSD-95 family (Woods and Bryant, 1991), also binds to Shaker K$_V$ channels (Tejedor et al., 1997). Significantly, in Drosophila dlg mutants, synaptic morphology is poorly developed and the normal synaptic clustering of Shaker protein is disrupted (Lahey et al., 1994; Tejedor et al., 1997). Thus, there is...
compelling in vitro and in vivo support for the notion that PSD-95 family proteins function as clustering molecules involved in the molecular organization of synaptic junctions.

Integral membrane proteins are not the only proteins that interact with chapsyns. PSD-95 and chapsyn-110/PSD-93 also interact with the intracellular enzyme, neuronal nitric oxide synthase, apparently via a homotypic PDZ-PDZ domain interaction (Brenman et al., 1996). Direct binding between hdlg/SAP97 and the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) tumor suppressor protein has also been reported (Matsumine et al., 1996). Thus, PSD-95 family proteins may function as molecular scaffolds for the clustering of receptors/ ion channels and their associated downstream signaling molecules.

The identified protein–protein interactions so far all involve the modular PDZ domains found in the NH2-terminal region of the PSD-95 family members. PSD-95 and related, however, also contain an SH3 domain and GK domain in their COOH-terminal half, in common with the MAGUK superfamily that includes many members such as the erythrocyte membrane protein p55, and the tight junction protein zona occludens-1 (ZO-1) (reviewed in Anderson, 1996). In contrast to their PDZ domains, the function of the SH3 domain and the GK domain of chapsyns and other MAGUKs is unknown. The GK domain was named because it shares 37% amino acid sequence identity to yeast guanylate kinase, an enzyme that converts GMP to GDP via hydrolysis of ATP. However, the ATP-binding site is not conserved in the GK domain of the PSD-95 family, and these GK domains exhibit no enzymatic activity, though they do bind GMP in a relatively specific manner (Kistner et al., 1995).

In the absence of enzymatic activity, we hypothesized that the GK domain of chapsyns had evolved into a protein binding site. To identify potential proteins that interact with the GK domain, we have performed a yeast two-hybrid screen using the GK domain of PSD-95 as bait, and have cloned a novel synaptic protein, GKAP. We now report the primary structure of GKAP and present multiple lines of evidence for its interaction with the GK domain of PSD-95, both in vitro and in vivo. Since the GK domain is characteristic of all MAGUKs, our findings have immediate implications for the functions of the GK domains in other MAGUK proteins.

Materials and Methods

Yeast Two-hybrid Screen and Analysis of GK–GKAP Interaction

Two-hybrid screening was performed using the L40 yeast strain harboring HIS3 and β-gal as reporter genes, as described previously (Bartel et al., 1993; Kim et al., 1995; Niethammer et al., 1996). The GK domain of PSD-95 (aa 524-724) or the GKAP clone 2.18 was subcloned into pBHA (lexA fusion vector) and used to screen rat and human brain cDNA libraries constructed in pGAD10 (GAL4 activation domain vector, Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). ~0.5 × 106 clones of each library were screened with each bait. Deletion variants of the PSD-95 GK domain were created by PCR using specific primers and subcloned in frame into pBHA to generate lexA fusions. GKAP NH2-terminal deletion variants were created by PCR using specific primers and subcloned into pGAD10 to generate GAL4 activation domain fusions. Deletion constructs were tested for interaction in the yeast two-hybrid assay by using HIS3 and β-gal as reporter genes. All DNA constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. 5′ RACE was performed by using the Marathon-Ready rat brain cDNAAs (Clontech).

Overlay Filter Binding Assay

Overlay binding assay was performed as described (Li et al., 1992). GST fusion constructs containing the GK domains of PSD-95 (aa 524-724), SAP97 (aa 524-724), and the SH3 domain of PSD-95 (aa 431-500), were amplified by PCR and subcloned in frame into pGEX4T-1 (GST fusion vector, Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, Piscataway, NJ). GKAP clone 2.1 was subcloned in frame into either pET32a (thioredoxin fusion vector, Novagen, Madison, WI) or pPRSETB (hexahistidine (His) fusion vector, Invitrogen, San Diego, CA). The COOH-terminal region of GKAP clone 2.18 (aa 446-666) was amplified by PCR and subcloned in frame into pPRSETB. Fusion proteins were purified by using Glutathione Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia) for GST fusions, or Ni-NTA resin (Invitrogen) for His or thioredoxin fusions. In the overlay assays, 200 ng of purified target proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and incubated with the probing proteins at a concentration of 1 μg/ml. Fusion proteins were visualized by anti-GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-T7.Tag (Novagen) or anti-thioredoxin (Invitrogen) primary antibodies, HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL).

Antibodies

For GKAP antibodies, His fusion proteins of the entire GKAP clone 2.1 or the COOH-terminal region of GKAP (aa 446-666 of clone 2.18) were purified and used for immunization of rabbits. GKAP-specific antibodies were purified using an affinity column (Sulfolink, Pierce, Rockford, IL) coupled with Trx fusion of the same proteins, clone 2.1 or clone 2.18 (aa 446-666). PSD-95 antibody used in immunoprecipitation and immunohistochemistry was generated in guinea pig (Kim et al., 1995), and PSD-95 antibody for immunoblotting was generated in rabbit (Kim et al., 1996). Kv1.4 antibody (Sheng et al., 1992) has been described. Anti-myc (9E10) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used at 1 μg/ml.

Expression Constructs

A GKAP expression construct was made by subcloning the GKAP clone 2.18 into the EcoRI site of GW1 mammalian expression vector (British Biotechnology, Oxford, UK). Expression construct of PSD-95 lacking the GK domain (PSD95GK, deletion of aa 531-711) was made by inverse PCR using GW1-PSD-95 as template with primers flanking the GK domain (oligo 1, CGGGGTACCTTCCATCTGGGTCACCGTC; oligo 2, GGGGTAACCTGACCCCATCATCTGCGG). For myc-tagging, an AseI restriction site was introduced at the COOH-terminal end of GKAP in GW1 by inverse PCR, and a cassette encoding the myc epitope (EQK-LISEEDL) was inserted into the AseI site. GW1 expression constructs of Kv1.4 and PSD-95 have been described (Kim et al., 1995).

COS Cell Transfection and Coclustering Assay

COS-7 cells were transfected using the lipofectamine method (GIBCO-BRL). For coclustering, COS cells on poly-lysine coated coverslips at 40–60% confluency were incubated in 0.6 ml of OPTI-MEM (GIBCO-BRL) containing 0.6 μg of DNA and 7.6 μl of lipofectamine for 3 h followed by incubation in DMEM medium (GIBCO-BRL). 48 h later, cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and stained with primary antibodies at 1 μg/ml concentration followed by Cy3- or FITC-conjugated secondary antibody incubation (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA) at dilutions of 1:1,000 or 1:200, respectively. Immunofluorescence was viewed with a Zeiss Axioskop microscope. For immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation, COS cells on 100-mm tissue culture dishes at 50–70% confluency were incubated in OPTI-MEM containing 4 μg of DNA and 24 μl of lipofectamine. For double or triple transfections, total amount of DNA was kept constant mixing equal amounts of each DNA.

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting

Immunoprecipitations on COS cell lysates and immunoblotting were performed as described (Kim et al., 1996). Immunoprecipitation of PSD-95 and GKAP in rat brain was performed on SDS-extracted membrane proteins as described (Müller et al., 1996). Briefly, 250 μg of rat brain...
membrane proteins were extracted in 60 μl of buffer A (50 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM of KCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, and protease inhibitors) containing 2% SDS at 4°C for 1 h. After centrifugation at 16,000 g for 30 min, the supernatant was diluted with 5X vol of buffer A containing 2% Triton X-100, and used for immunoprecipitation in which PSD-95 antibodies were used at 10 μg/ml final concentration. Immunoblots were incubated with primary antibodies at 1 μg/ml concentration and visualized by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1,000 dilution) and chemiluminescent reaction (ECL, Amersham).

Immunohistochemistry on Cultured Hippocampal Neurons

Hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from 18-d embryonic rats and maintained in serum-free medium above a glial monolayer as described (Banker and Cowan, 1977). Neurons were fixed and permeabilized 18-35 d after plating, either with cold methanol, or with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.25% Triton X-100. Cells were visualized by double immunostaining using GKAP2.1 antibody (1 μg/ml) followed by fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibody (2.5 μg/ml), or PSD-95 antibody (1 μg/ml) followed by biotin-conjugated secondary antibody and Texas red-conjugated streptavidin (500 ng/ml; Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA). Fluorescent images of cells were captured on a Photometrics cooled CCD camera mounted on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope.

Results

Primary Structure of GKAP

A yeast two-hybrid screen of a rat brain cDNA library using PSD-95 GK domain as bait yielded five independent overlapping cDNA clones of the same gene, which we termed GKAP (Fig. 1A). A BLAST search of the GenBank database did not reveal homology to any known polypeptides, except for a human EST (expressed sequence tag) clone. The EST clone (accession number Z45015) was obtained, fully sequenced, and identified as a

Figure 1. Primary structure of GKAP. (A) Rat brain cDNA clones isolated from the yeast two-hybrid screen using PSD-95 GK domain as bait are shown (black lines) aligned below a schematic of the domain organization of GKAP protein (drawn to scale). Numbers in parentheses refer to the number of times each clone was isolated from the yeast two-hybrid screen. White boxes indicate the presence of alternative inserts at three sites in GKAP, presumably due to alternative splicing (A, B, C, and D; I, 2, X; Y; indicate different sequences found at these sites). Five 14 aa repeats (black) and the GKAP homology domain 1 (GH1, gray) are represented by boxes. The hGKAP cDNA clone contains a full-length human GKAP coding region and was obtained as an EST (accession No. Z45015, IMAGE consortium). GKAP cDNA clones 2.18, 2.6 and 2.2 contain different lengths of 3' untranslated region (not shown), but share a common protein coding sequence. (B) Amino acid sequence alignment of rat and human GKAP (Genbank accession numbers U67987 and U67988, respectively). The rat sequence shown is that of GKAP clone 2.18. R1-R5: 14 aa repeats; GH1: GKAP homology domain 1; ALT, alternative sequence variations or insertions due to presumed alternative splicing. Identical amino acid residues between rat and human sequences are shown in black boxes. Proline-rich motifs that are possible binding sequence for the SH3 domain are underlined.
were noted in the NH$_2$-terminal end, presumably due to differential splicing (Fig. 1 A). An in-frame upstream stop codon was present in the 5' end (type D) of the hGKAP EST clone, and so a putative translation initiation site was assigned to the next methionine, which was in a good Kozak consensus. By 5'-RACE, we were able to isolate the same type D 5' end with an in-frame upstream stop codon from rat brain cDNA. None of the other 5' variants of rat GKAP clones (type A, B, and C) isolated by the yeast two-hybrid screen had in-frame upstream stop codons. This is expected from the nature of yeast two-hybrid screening since positive clones are expressed as COOH-terminal fusion proteins. However, expression of the GKAP clone 2.18 (containing the type A insertion) in heterologous cells produced GKAP protein with a size identical to GKAP proteins found in rat brain (see below), allowing us to assign a putative ATG codon in the ‘A’ insertion (Fig. 1 B).

In addition to probable splice variation at the NH$_2$-terminus, insertions of a different sequence were found in the middle and at the COOH terminus of GKAP, presumably also due to alternative splicing (Fig. 1 A). Both kinds of middle insertion (1 and 2) and COOH-terminal variants (X and Y) were detected by PCR in both human and rat cDNA libraries. 3' termination codons were present in both X and Y COOH-terminal variants of GKAP clones, allowing us to designate the COOH terminus of these proteins. The sequence of some of these insertions are of interest. For instance, the type '2' middle and the type 'X' COOH-terminal insertions of the protein (Fig. 1 B) contain proline-rich potential SH3 domain-binding motifs.

All the cDNAs isolated by the two-hybrid screen overlapped in the NH$_2$-terminal half of GKAP, suggesting that this region mediates the binding to the GK domain of PSD-95 (Fig. 1 A). Five 14-amino acid (14 aa) repeats were noted in the NH$_2$-terminal region. A stretch of ~100 amino acids near the COOH terminus of GKAP (termed the GH1 domain) showed significant sequence similarity (33–35% identity) at the amino acid level to a region of a C. elegans and a human open reading frame of unknown function (GenBank accession # U00058 and D13633, respectively).

**GKAP Interacts Specifically with Members of the PSD-95 Family**

In addition to PSD-95, the GK domain is present in SAP97, chapsyn-110/PSD-93, and SAP102 as well as in more distantly related MAGUK proteins (ZO-1, ZO-2, p55, CASK,dlg2, etc.). The binding specificity of GKAP for various different GK domains was tested by yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig. 2 A). GKAP interacts strongly with the GK domains of PSD-95, SAP97, and chapsyn-110, but not with that from the tight junction protein, ZO-1 (Willott et al., 1993), indicating that GKAP binding may be specific for members of the PSD-95 subfamily of MAGUKs. Indeed, the amino acid sequence identity between the GK domains of chapsyns is 70–75%, whereas PSD-95 and ZO-1 GK domains share only ~30% identity.

To further test the specificity of the GK-GKAP interaction, we performed a reverse yeast two-hybrid screening using the entire GKAP clone 2.18 as bait. The screen yielded a total of ten independent GKAP-interacting clones, nine of which were cDNA fragments containing GK domains of one of the four known chapsyns: PSD-95, SAP97, chapsyn-110, and SAP102 (Fig. 2 B).

**Domains Mediating Interaction between the GK and GKAP**

Minimal sequence requirements for GK-GKAP binding were determined using deletions of the GK domain of PSD-95 (Fig. 3 A), and of the NH$_2$-terminal region of GKAP (Fig. 3 B). Small deletions into either the NH$_2$- or...
ever, a 1,000-fold excess of a peptide (SPKPSPKVAARR-
contribute to the overall binding affinity for GK. How-
idea that the 14 aa repeats of GKAP may independently
quite dissimilar, the above results are consistent with the
Since the intervening sequences between the repeats are
able to interact strongly with the PSD-95 GK domain.

Two or more of the 14 aa repeats showed much stronger
interaction with the GK domain. Constructs that included
GKAP incorporating the first repeat (aa 28-58) or the sec-
repeats is dependent on surrounding sequences that were
missing in the synthetic peptide. Thirdly, cooperative
binding of the 5 repeats of GKAP may result in such a
strong avidity that competition with a single 14 aa repeat is
inequate, even at high relative concentrations. Finally,
although the 5 repeats are similar, they are not identical in
sequence, so their binding specificities may be slightly dif-
ferent; in this case, a single peptide may not be able to
compete the overall GKAP binding efficiently. These rea-
sons are not mutually exclusive.

**Direct Interaction between the GK Domain and GKAP**

To show direct biochemical association between the GK
domain and GKAP, overlay filter binding assays were
performed. GKAP fusion protein bound specifically to the
GK domains of PSD-95, SAP97, and chapsyn-110 (Fig. 4 A),
but not to negative controls including GST alone and the
PDZ1-2 and SH3 domains of PSD-95.

The filter binding assay was also performed in a reverse
orientation as further confirmation of the interaction. The
GK domains from PSD-95, SAP97, and chapsyn-110 spe-
cifically bound to the NH2-terminal half of GKAP (conta-
in the 14 aa repeats) but not to the COOH-terminal
region of GKAP (containing the GH1 domain) (Fig. 4 B).
Thus the NH2-terminal region of GKAP binds directly to
the GK domains of PSD-95 family proteins, in agreement
with two-hybrid analysis.

COOH-terminal side of the GK domain resulted in the
loss of interaction (Fig. 3 A), suggesting that the entire
GK domain is required for binding to GKAP. The last 13
amino acids of PSD-95 COOH-terminal to the GK domain
were not required for GKAP binding.

On the GKAP side, we tested whether the 14 aa repeats
found near the NH2-terminus are involved in GK binding
(Fig. 3 B). In the yeast two-hybrid assay, fragments of
GKAP incorporating the first repeat (aa 28-58) or the sec-
second repeat (aa 64-102) showed a weak but significant
interaction with the GK domain. Constructs that included
two or more of the 14 aa repeats showed much stronger
binding. Moreover, essentially non-overlapping constructs
containing the first and second repeats (aa 46-102) or con-
taining the third and fourth repeats (aa 96-104), were each
able to interact strongly with the PSD-95 GK domain.
Since the intervening sequences between the repeats are
quite dissimilar, the above results are consistent with the
idea that the 14 aa repeats of GKAP may independently
contribute to the overall binding affinity for GK. How-
ever, a 1,000-fold excess of a peptide (SPKPSPKVAARR-
ESYLKA) corresponding to the second 14 aa repeat
(underlined) was unable to inhibit GK-GKAP binding in
solution binding or in filter binding assays (data not
shown). This negative result could mean that these 14 aa
repeats are not directly important in GK-GKAP interac-
tion. Alternatively, the structural context of the 14 aa re-
peats is critical, i.e., the correct “folding” of the 14 aa re-
peats is dependent on surrounding sequences that were
missing in the synthetic peptide. Thirdly, cooperative
binding of the 5 repeats of GKAP may result in such a
strong avidity that competition with a single 14 aa repeat is
inadequate, even at high relative concentrations. Finally,
Figure 5. Expression pattern of GKAP protein in rat brain. (A) Specificity of GKAP antibodies and differential regional expression of GKAP in rat brain. Whole cell extracts of untransfected COS-7 cells (Untrans.), or of COS cells transfected with GKAP cDNA, were analyzed by immunoblotting with GKAP2.1 antibodies, along with membrane fractions (10 μg protein) from different regions of brain or liver, as indicated. Ctx (cortex), Hpc (hippocampus), Cbl (cerebellum), Subcx (subcortical regions). Positions of molecular size markers are shown in kD. (B) Immunoblot analysis of subcellular fractionation of GKAP. Lanes were loaded with rat brain fractions, as follows: Whole br (total brain homogenate, 20 μg protein). Soluble (S100 supernatant fraction of brain homogenate, 30 μg). Memb (crude synaptosomal membrane fraction, 10 μg or 2 μg, as indicated); PSDI, PSDII, and PSDIII (purified PSD fractions after extraction with Triton X-100 once [I], twice [II], or with Triton X-100 followed by sarkosyl [III]). Filters were probed with GKAP and PSD-95 antibodies, as indicated. (Equal percentages [rather than equal mass] of membrane and soluble fractions were loaded—the soluble fraction contained three times higher concentration of total protein than the membrane fraction. To show relative purification in the PSD fractions, only 2 μg of PSDI, PSDII, and 1 μg of PSDIII were immunoblotted and compared with 2 μg of synaptosomal membrane fraction.)

**GKAP Is Enriched in the Postsynaptic Density**

GKAP antibodies (termed GKAP2.1) were raised against the NH₂-terminal two-thirds of GKAP, using as immunogen a H₂ fusion protein of GKAP clone 2.1. Affinity-purified GKAP2.1 antibodies specifically recognized two prominent bands of ~95 kD and ~130 kD on immunoblots of rat brain membranes (Fig. 5A). The 95-kD brain band comigrated exactly with GKAP expressed in COS-7 cells transfected with presumptive full-length GKAP cDNA (clone 2.18; Fig. 5A). The nature of the ~130-kD band is less clear, but both the 95-kD and the 130-kD bands almost certainly represent GKAP proteins because the identical bands were also recognized by an independent antibody raised against a non-overlapping COOH-terminal part of GKAP (aa 446-666 of clone 2.18) (data not shown). The 130-kD immunoreactive polypeptide in rat brain may be the result of GKAP variants that have a longer NH₂-terminal extension than represented in our cDNA clones. As noted above, some of the GKAP cDNAs do not have upstream stop codons, so they may be incomplete open reading frames. Alternatively, the 130-kD band might reflect posttranslational modifications of GKAP that do not occur in COS-7 cells. Whatever the case, the 95-kD and 130-kD bands codistribute and cofractionate very similarly (Fig. 5), and coimmunoprecipitate with PSD-95 in rat brain (see below), providing further evidence that they both represent GKAP proteins.

In the rat brain, GKAP is widely distributed in membrane preparations from different regions of the brain but shows no detectable expression in liver (Fig. 5A). GKAP proteins are predominantly associated with the membrane rather than the soluble fractions of rat brain (Fig. 5B). And like PSD-95, an abundant postsynaptic density (PSD) protein, GKAP is highly enriched in PSD fractions, where it is resistant to Triton and sarkosyl detergent extraction (Fig. 5B). The biochemical cofractionation of PSD-95 and GKAP is consistent with these proteins being associated at postsynaptic sites in rat brain.

**In Vivo Association between PSD-95 and GKAP**

Colocalization of GKAP and PSD-95 in neurons is a prerequisite for association in vivo. In double immunofluorescence studies, we found GKAP immunoreactivity to colocalize strikingly with PSD-95 in discrete puncta along the dendrites of cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 6). The punctate localizations of PSD-95 and GKAP are at presumptive synaptic sites, since they are apposed to presynaptic markers such as SV2 and synaptophysin (data not shown). The colocalization of PSD-95 and GKAP indicates they are both synaptic proteins but does not prove that they interact directly in vivo.

To demonstrate biochemical association of PSD-95 and GKAP in a cellular context, we have performed coimmunoprecipitation of PSD-95 and GKAP from transfected heterologous cells as well as from rat brain. Since PSD-95 can also interact with Shaker-type K⁺ channels via its PDZ domains (Kim et al., 1995), we tried coimmunoprecipitation of PSD-95, GKAP, and Shaker-type subunit Kv1.4 from COS cells triply transfected with all three genes (Fig. 7A, left). Antibodies specific for each of the three proteins were able to immuno precipitate the other two proteins in addition to their cognate antigen, while none of these proteins were immunoprecipitated by control NR2B antibodies. The finding that anti-Kv1.4 antibodies can precipitate GKAP, and that GKAP antibodies can bring down Kv1.4, is of special significance, since it implies the formation of a ternary complex containing the K⁺ channel and GKAP linked together by PSD-95. In confirmation of this, when the wild-type PSD-95 was replaced by a mutant lacking only the GK domain (PSD-95ΔGK) in the triple transfection, PSD-95 could no longer be coimmunoprecipitated by Kv1.4 or PSD-95 antibodies, while the interaction between PSD-95ΔGK and Kv1.4 was maintained (Fig. 7A, right). Thus, there is no direct association of Kv1.4 and GKAP. These results are consistent with the formation of a ternary complex in which PSD-95 binds to the K⁺ channel via its PDZ domains and to GKAP via its GK domain.

To demonstrate existence of a protein complex containing PSD-95 and GKAP in the rat brain, coimmunoprecipitation was performed from solubilized brain membranes. As noted above (Fig. 5), neither PSD-95 nor GKAP are extracted by mild detergents. Nevertheless, following a
SDS/Triton extraction protocol recently developed by Huganir and colleagues (Müller et al., 1996) for coimmunoprecipitation of postsynaptic density proteins, GKAP was efficiently solubilized and could be coimmunoprecipitated with PSD-95 by PSD-95 antibodies (Fig. 7B).

**GKAP Is Recruited into Ion Channel/PSD-95 Clusters**

We have previously shown that PSD-95 and its relatives have the remarkable property of clustering Shaker K⁺ channels and NMDA receptors in heterologous cells. To test whether GKAP is recruited into ion channel/PSD-95 clusters, we coexpressed GKAP with Kv1.4 and PSD-95 in COS-7 cells (Fig. 8). When Kv1.4 and GKAP are cotransfected in the absence of PSD-95, both Kv1.4 and GKAP proteins are diffusely distributed in a reticular pattern in the cell (Fig. 8, a and b). In triply (Kv1.4 + PSD-95 + GKAP) transfected cells, plaque-like clusters of Kv1.4 are formed, and GKAP immunoreactivity colocalized exactly with Kv1.4 in these clusters (Fig. 8, c and d). In separate experiments, PSD-95 also coclusters with Kv1.4 in these triply transfected cells (data not shown) in agreement with earlier findings (Kim et al., 1995). Thus, GKAP recruitment to Kv1.4/PSD-95 coclusters presumably reflects binding of GKAP to PSD-95. In support of this conclusion, when PSD-95 is replaced with mutant PSD-95ΔGK in the same triple transfection, the colocalization of GKAP in Kv1.4 clusters is lost. GKAP is now diffusely distributed in the cell, while the clustering of KV1.4 is maintained (Fig. 8, e and f). These results indicate that GKAP recruitment to Kv1.4/PSD-95 clusters depends on the GK domain of PSD-95. Interestingly, clustering of Kv1.4 by PSD-95 does not require the GK domain (Fig. 8 e). Similarly, GKAP was also recruited to clusters formed by PSD-95 and NMDA receptor subunit NR2B suggesting that PSD-95 can bring GKAP in close proximity to NMDA receptor channels (data not shown). Taken together with the coimmunoprecipitation data (Fig. 7A), these results indicate that by virtue of its multimodular protein binding domains, PSD-95 can nucleate a macroscopic protein cluster containing both ion channels and GKAP.

**Discussion**

**Functions of the GK Domain**

In this paper, we have presented several lines of evidence...
that GKAP is a synaptic protein in rat brain and that it associates directly with PSD-95 and with its close relatives by binding to their COOH-terminal GK domains. These findings imply that the GK domain of PSD-95 and other MAGUKs may have a novel function as a site for protein–protein interaction. This implication is especially interesting given that the GK domain of the PSD-95 family are predicted to be inactive as guanylate kinase enzymes.

What is currently known about the functions of the GK domain? There is a Drosophila dlg mutant allele (dlgV59) in which most of the GK domain is deleted (Woods and Bryant, 1991), and this mutant displays two facets of the dlg null phenotype. First, the mutation results in neoplastic overgrowth of epithelial cells of imaginal discs (Woods and Bryant, 1991). Second, the morphology of the postsynaptic specialization of the larval neuromuscular junction is poorly developed (Lahey et al., 1994; Guan et al., 1996). Notably, however, the GK-specific mutation dlgV59 does not affect Shaker channel clustering at the NMJ, which is disrupted indlg null mutants (Tejedor et al., 1997). Although there have been speculations on the possible signaling functions of the GK domain based on its resemblance to guanylate kinases, the molecular basis for these dlg mutant phenotypes is unknown. The interaction of GKAP with the GK domain provides the first indication that the GK domain (presumably originating from a metabolic guanylate kinase), may have evolved a new function for protein–protein interaction. This raises the possibility that the requirement for the GK domain in tumor suppression and in postsynaptic organization by dlg reflects GK domain interactions with downstream GKAP or GKAP-like proteins.

The specific interaction of GKAP with GK domains of the PSD-95 family, but not with ZO-1, raises an interesting question: Do the GK domains of more distantly related MAGUKs specifically interact with their own GKAP-like proteins? The GK domains of the PSD-95 family share 70–75% amino acid sequence identity among themselves and with Drosophila DLG, but they share only 30% identity with ZO-1 or ZO-2's GK domain (Willott et al., 1993; Jesaitis and Goodenough, 1994). This could account for the differential specificity of GKAP binding shown by these two groups of MAGUKs. There are also other GK-containing proteins such as LIN-2/CASK (Hata et al., 1996; Hoskins et al., 1996), p55 (Ruff et al., 1991), and dlg2 (Mazoyer et al., 1995). The GK domains of this group of MAGUKs are 45–60% identical to each other, but share only ~30% sequence identity with either the PSD-95 family or with ZO-1. We suggest the possibility that different subgroups of the MAGUK superfamily interact with distinct GKAP-like proteins via their divergent GK domains.

**Functional Significance of GKAP Interaction**

PSD-95 can mediate the coimmunoprecipitation of GKAP with Shaker K+ channels (Fig. 7A) and recruits GKAP into ion channel/PSD-95 coclusters (Fig. 8). Since PSD-95 binds to the ion channel subunits via its NH2-terminal...
PDZ domains, and to GKAP via its COOH-terminal GK domain, PSD-95 can in essence function as a bridging molecule between ion channel proteins and GKAP. Given that PSD-95 also binds to neuronal nitric oxide synthase via its PDZ2 domain (Brenman et al., 1996), the GK-GKAP interaction further emphasizes the importance of PSD-95 as a multi-modular scaffold that links ion channels to several different intracellular proteins. In this context, it is interesting that although the GK domain is required for formation of the triple complex of Kv1.4/PSD-95/GKAP, it is not needed for binding and clustering of the K⁺ channel by PSD-95 in heterologous cells. In neurons, however, a reasonable speculation is that GKAP-GK binding is involved in the anchoring of channel/PSD-95 clusters to the postsynaptic density. Consistent with a structural role is the relative abundance of GKAP, which we estimate to be ~0.01–0.1% by mass of total brain membrane protein, and ~1% of postsynaptic density fractions.

By interacting with other proteins, GKAP may function as an adapter protein linking ion channel/PSD-95 clusters to the subsynaptic cytoskeleton or to downstream signaling molecules. One potential protein binding site is offered by the COOH-terminal GH1 domain of GKAP, which is highly conserved in two other proteins of unknown function. In addition, GKAP incorporates alternatively spliced insertions at three different points of the gene. Some of these insertions contain proline-rich motifs, (PXXPXR/K) that may be recognized by SH3 domains of other proteins (Cohen et al., 1995).

Although the GK domain is not required for channel clustering by PSD-95 in heterologous cells, it is conceivable that GKAP may cross-link PSD-95 molecules in vivo by binding to their GK domains, thereby strengthening the cluster scaffold. The NH₂-terminal region of GKAP contains five 14 aa repeats, each of which seems capable of binding to GK domains. Certainly, a segment of this region containing the first two 14 aa repeats binds to PSD-95’s GK domain as strongly as an independent segment containing the third and fourth repeats. This finding suggests that the region containing the 14 aa repeats is at least divalent with respect to binding GK, and raises the possibility that GKAP can bridge between different molecules of PSD-95. Since GKAP can bind to all members of the PSD-95 family, such cross-linking could contribute to heteromultimerization of different chapsyns and the assembly of heterogeneously clusters (Kim et al., 1996). It is also noteworthy that three out of the five 14 aa repeats contain at their NH₂-terminal ends a consensus protein kinase C phosphorylation site (S/TXK/R) raising the possibility that GDAP phosphorylation of GKAP (e and f). Kv1.4 is still found in clusters (c) but GKAP no longer colocalizes with Kv1.4 and is instead diffusely distributed in the cell (f). The Kv1.4 clusters shown here are identical in nature to those formed with coexpression of Kv1.4 + PSD-95 in the absence of GKAP. Kv1.4 was visualized by rabbit anti-Kv1.4 antibodies and Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies. A myc-epitope tagged GKAP construct was used in these experiments to allow visualization of GKAP using mouse anti-myc monoclonal antibodies (9E10) and FITC-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies. Bar, 5 μm.
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