Integrating the Actin and Vimentin Cytoskeletons: Adhesion-dependent Formation of Fimbrin–Vimentin Complexes in Macrophages
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Abstract. Cells adhere to the substratum through specialized structures that are linked to the actin cytoskeleton. Recent studies report that adhesion also involves the intermediate filament (IF) and microtubule cytoskeletons, although their mechanisms of interaction are unknown. Here we report evidence for a novel adhesion-dependent interaction between components of the actin and IF cytoskeletons. In biochemical fractionation experiments, fimbrin and vimentin coprecipitate from detergent extracts of macrophages using vimentin- or fimbrin-specific antisera. Fluorescence microscopy confirms the biochemical association. Both proteins colocalized to podosomes in the earliest stages of cell adhesion and spreading. The complex is also found in filopodia and retraction fibers. After detergent extraction, fimbrin and vimentin staining of podosomes, filopodia, and retraction fibers are lost, confirming that the complex is localized to these structures. A 1:4 stoichiometry of fimbrin binding to vimentin and a low percentage (1%) of the extracted vimentin suggest that fimbrin interacts with a vimentin subunit. A fimbrin-binding site was identified in the NH₂-terminal domain of vimentin and the vimentin binding site at residues 143–188 in the CH1 domain of fimbrin. Based on these observations, we propose that a fimbrin–vimentin complex may be involved in directing the assembly of the vimentin cytoskeleton at cell adhesion sites.
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The intricate structure of the microfilament (MF), microtubule (MT), and intermediate filament (IF) cytoskeletons is organized by an assortment of accessory proteins that stabilize or cross-link filaments or attach filaments to the membranes of organelles and the cell surface (Schliwa, 1986; Bershadsky and Vasiliev, 1988). In most cases, we know much about the function of the binding proteins within one cytoskeletal system; for example, the role of actin cross-linking proteins in bundles and networks of actin filaments (Matsudaira, 1991, 1994). In contrast, relatively little is known whether or how the coassembly and coorganization of cytoskeletal systems are integrated and coordinated. The very earliest work used MT and IF depolymerizing drugs to demonstrate that the organization of the two cytoskeletal systems are interdependent; the disassembly of one disrupts the pattern of the other (Goldman and Kipnis, 1972; Croop and Holtzer, 1975; Blase and Chacko, 1976; Wang and Choppin, 1981). More recent studies have identified several proteins including the IF-associated protein, plectin, that could serve as physical cross-links between the different cytoskeletal systems (Svitkina et al., 1996). In addition to biochemical demonstration of binding, electron micrographs of cultured fibroblast cells show plectin molecules bridging MTs and vimentin filaments. However, the story is likely to be more complicated because plectin is also implicated in interactions with the actin cytoskeleton based on the presence of an actin-binding domain (ABD) in the protein sequence (Wiche et al., 1991, 1993; Liu et al., 1996). Thus, it is possible that cytoskeletal-binding proteins may interact with several cytoskeletal systems. An emerging model is that a superfamily of actin-binding proteins containing a calponin homology (CH) domain may play an important integrative function in controlling the organization of the major cytoskeletal systems in the cell. In most cases, this CH domain is duplicated to form the classic ABD of fimbrin, α-actinin, spectrin, filamin, dystrophin, other actin cross-linking proteins, and the IF-binding protein, plectin (Dubreuil, 1991; Hartwig and
Kwiatkowski, 1991; Otto, 1994). In contrast, a single CH domain is found at the NH₂ terminus of small G-protein regulatory molecules, vav and IQ GAP (Castreana and Saraste, 1995; B rill et al., 1996). The list of functions attributed to these proteins implicate the CH domain in cross-linking MFs into bundles and networks and tethering IF and signaling proteins to the actin cytoskeleton (Castreana and Saraste, 1995). Thus, CH domain proteins may directly control the structural organization of the cytoskeleton through one or more signaling pathways.

The experiments reported in this paper describe a new type of interaction between a subunit of the vimentin IF and an actin cross-linking protein, fimbrin. Fimbrin is a 68-kD actin cross-linking protein associated with actin bundles (Matsudaira and Burgess, 1979; Bretscher and Weber, 1980) in microvilli on the cell surface and in membrane ruffles, microspikes, and cell adhesion sites at the cell–matrix interface (Bretscher and Weber, 1980; M archisio et al., 1987; Matsudaira, 1994). Conserved in sequence from yeast to humans, fimbrin consists of an NH₂-terminal 12-kD calcium-binding domain followed by a pair of 27-kD actin-binding domains (A B D 1 and A B D 2) (Matsudaira, 1991). Based on sequence and structure from X-ray crystallography, the ABDs consist of a pair of CH domains (de Arruda et al., 1990; Goldsmith et al., 1997). In mammals, three fimbrin isoforms are expressed in a tissue-specific pattern. The intestine-specific isoform, I-fimbrin, is localized to the brush border of intestinal and kidney epithelia (Lin et al., 1993; Chafel et al., 1995). The adhesion site localization of fimbrin is curious because it does not label the actin bundle of the stress fiber but instead the site where the bundle is associated with cell–matrix adhesion proteins. This localization of the cell suggests a different function for the protein, possibly as a component of a cell adhesion complex involved in establishing cell polarity during embryogenesis. A important clue to the mechanism on how fimbrin is targeted to these proteins implicates the CH domain in cross-linking MFs into bundles and networks and tethering IF and signaling proteins to the actin cytoskeleton (Castreana and Saraste, 1995).

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents**

Unless stated otherwise, cell culture media and supplements were obtained from GIBCO BRL and reagents were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co.

**Recombinant Proteins**

A recombinant vimentin was expressed and purified by published protocols (Chou et al., 1997). Mutagenesis was carried out on cloned human vimen-
tin in the pTZ18U vector (Muta-Gene Phagemid in Vi tro M utagenesis kit; Bio-Rad Laboratories). The 102C vimentin clone was generated by the deletion of the sequence encoding the NH₂ terminus of vimentin and by the introduction of a new initiation ATG codon immediately preceding the codon for asparagine-102. For the N140 vimentin clone, a stop codon was introduced after the codon for isoleucine-410. Both constructs were subcloned into the PET-7 vector for expression in E. coli.

For fimbrin expression and purification, BL21 (DE3) cells were transformed with the cells encoding the NH₂ terminus of vimentin and were incubated into 2 liters of 2×YT media and shaken for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm for 30 min) and suspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM PM SF, and 25% sucrose, and frozen. The cell suspension was thawed, lysed by sonication, and centrifuged at 18,000 rpm for 30 min. The supernatant was dialyzed into 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PM SF, 1 mM sodium azide and loaded onto a DEAE-Sepharose fast flow column (Pharmacia Biotech) preequilibrated with the same buffer. A filter washing to remove unbound material, the column was eluted with a linear gradient of NaCl (0–300 mM). Peak fractions were pooled, concentrated, and loaded onto a G-4 Sepharose column (Pharmacia Bio- tech) that was equilibrated in 10 mM Pipes, pH 7.0, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PM SF, and 0.1 mM sodium azide. The protein was again eluted with a linear salt gradient (0–300 mM NaCl), pooled, and loaded onto a Sephacyrl 5200 HR gel filtration column (Pharmacia Bio- tech). The purified protein was concentrated on a microconcentrator (30-kD cut-off).

Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusion constructs were generated by PCR cloning of human L-fimbrin. CD NA regions corresponding to L-fimbrin protein sequences 97-248, 243-385, 97-188, 143-188, and 119-160 were amplified using synthetic oligonucleotide primers (Life Technologies, Inc.). A nucleotide tail coding for an in-frame BamHI restriction site was engineered into each 5′ PCR oligo; a nucleotide tail coding for an EcoRI restriction site and a downstream amber stop codon (TAG) was engineered into each 3′ PCR oligo. PCR-amplified DNA was subcloned, via the engineered BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites, into a GST-vector, pGEX-4T2 (Pharmacia Biotech), directly downstream of the GST transcription region. GST-fimbrin fusion proteins were produced by inducing log phase DH5α bacteria containing the GST construct with iso- propyl-β-thiogalactopyranoside for 1.5 h, purifying the recombinant protein with glutathione agarose beads, and concentrating the eluate with a centrifrap/centrin concentrator (A micon).

**Cell Culture**

The cells used in these experiments were mouse macrophage cell lines P388D1 (ATCC TIB-63) and IC-21 (ATCC TIB-186). The cell lines were cultured in 85% RPMI 1640 medium, 15% FBS, and antibiotics (50 IU penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin). Cell culture and maintenance techniques were performed as described by American Type Culture Collection.

**Cell Extraction and Immunoprecipitation**

P388D1 cells were grown to confluence (adherent cells), washed once with PBS, scraped off the plate in PBS, and pelleted by centrifugation. P388D1 cells were also grown in suspension (nonadherent cells), washed twice in PBS, and pelleted by centrifugation. The cells were lysed on ice in 10 mM Pipes buffer, pH 6.8, containing 0.5% Triton X-100, 300 mM sucrose, 100 μM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM PM SF, and 50 μM sodium vandate (Messier et al., 1993). After 3 min, the cells were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. A aliquots of the supernatant representing the Tri-ton-extractable fraction were precleared with protein A conjugated to agarose beads. For immunoprecipitation of fimbrin, the precleared supernatants were incubated with different anti-fimbrin sera (736, 738, 739, 739.5, and 163.3) or their respective prebleeds for 1 h. For immunoprecipitation of vimentin, the precleared supernatants were incubated with a combination of anti-vimentin sera (Chenom International, Inc.; Sigma Chemical Co.). Protein A agarose was added and the extracts incubated for 1 h. The beads were washed four times with buffer A (0.1% Triton-X 100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and directly solubilized in the appropriate buffer for one- or two-dimensional analysis.

**Two-dimensional Gel Electrophoresis**

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis was carried out as described by O’Farrell et al. (1977). Isoelectric focusing was carried out in the first di-
mension by using ampholytes pH 3-10 (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and setting a pH gradient from 4-8. Proteins were resolved in the second dimension on a 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. The antimembrane complex immobilized on protein A agarose beads was solubilized in SDS–IEF buffer (0.5% SDS, 0.9 M urea, 2% ampholytes pH 3-10, and 5% 2-mercaptoethanol). A 10 min, an equal aliquot of G arrel's buffer (4% NP-40, 0.9 M urea, 2% ampholytes pH 3-10, and 5% 2-mercaptoethanol) was added and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. Samples were loaded at the basic end, and low voltage electrophoresis for 200 V. A 4 h isoelectric focusing was complete, the IEF gels were equilibrated in SDS sample buffer, and then electrophoresed in the second dimension. The gels were finally silver stained (Shevchenko et al., 1996).

**Protein Identification**

The silver-stained spots were excised from the gel, washed, and processed as described by Shevchenko et al. (1996). The protein was reduced with 10 mM DTT and alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide. The gel piece was finally dried in a Speed-Vac and rehydrated at 4°C in digestion buffer (50 mM NH₄HCO₃ containing trypsin (Boehringer Mannheim). Excess trypsin was removed and the gel incubated overnight at 37°C. Digested peptides were extracted with 5% formic acid and 50% acetonitrile. The pooled extracts were dried, dissolved in 5 μl of 5% formic acid and 50% acetonitrile, mixed in a 1:1 ratio with either α-cyano-4-hydroxy-trans-cinnamic acid or 3,5 dimethoxy-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (Aldrich Chemical Co.), and then spotted onto the target plate. All mass spectra were obtained on the Voyager Elite mass spectrometer (DE-STR; PerSeptive Biosystems) operated in the reflector mode. A list of monoisotopic peptide masses (±100 and ±300 Da) was imported into PeptFrag and M S Fit that searched the Swiss-Prot or GenPept databases. The following parameters were used in searches: cyanides were modified by aminocarboxymethyla
tion, proteolytic digestion with trypsin (3–4 in-completes), mass tolerance of 1 Da, and molecular weight range of 10 kDa.

In other experiments, proteolytic fragments of fimbrin and vimentin were identified by microsequencing of electrophoblated fragments following previously published protocols (Matsudaira, 1987). Peptides were se-
quenced using a microsequencer (model 477A; Applied Biosystems).

**Overlay Binding Assays**

The fimbrin and vimentin concentrations were obtained by measuring ab-
sorbance at 280 nm and by SDS-PAGE. Protein was mixed with Suffer-
NHS-Biotin (Pierce Chemical Co.) at a molar ratio of 1:20 and coupled
mat the binding from the total binding. Scatchard analysis was carried out to esti-
mate the K s of binding. Plot shown gives on the vertical axis bound/free
fimbrin and on the horizontal axis bound fimbrin (pmol). The amount of fimbrin that bound in pmol was obtained from a standard curve of known amounts of biotinylated fimbrin directly spotted onto nitrocellulose.

**Fimbrin Proteolysis**

Small amounts of Lys-C (0.25 μg) were incubated with purified fimbrin (50 μg) under nondenaturing conditions in 50 μl of 25 mM Tris, pH 7.7, and 1 mM EDTA. A aliquots were removed at 1, 10, 30, and 10 min, quenched by the addition of PMSF, and then boiled in SDS–sample buffer. The digested products were resolved on SDS–PAGE gels that were either silver stained or electrophoblated onto PVDF.

**Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

For immunofluorescence studies, cells were allowed to attach onto glass coverslips (VWR Scientific) for 1–4 h, and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 min. A fter three washes with PBS, the cells were permeabilized by incubating with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 2 min before immunostaining. To prepare detergent-extracted cells, adherent cells were first incubated with ice-cold 10 mM Pipes buffer, pH 6.8, containing 0.5% Triton X-100, 300 mM sucrose, 100 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM PMSF, and 50 μM sodium vanadate for 3 min, washed with the same buffer, and then fixed with 4% PFA. Am
top-specific protein absorption was inhibited by incubating the cells for 1 h in PBS containing 3% BSA, 0.2% Tween 20, and 0.2% fish gelatin. Coverslips were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with affinity-purified rabbit–fimbrin antibody (737.4a) diluted 1:100 and goat–vimentin antibody (Sigma Chemical Co.; Chemicon International, Inc.) diluted 1:50. The coverslips were washed with PBS and the cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with secondary antibodies, FITC-Conjugated donkey anti-rabbit and Texas red-conjugated donkey anti-goat (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories). A fter three washes with PBS, the coverslips were directly mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs, Inc.) and examined. Cells examined for actin distribution were stained with rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes Inc.).

Cells were imaged by epifluorescence microscopy using a Nikon TE300 microscope with 60× oil immersion lenses. The images were recorded with a Hamamatsu Orca CCD camera and analyzed with the Open Lab software program (Improvision Inc.). For deconvolution microscopy, the cells were viewed on a Nikon Eclipse 800 fluorescence microscope with a 100× oil immersion lens. Image stacks were recorded at 134-nm intervals (z series) with a Hamamatsu Orca CCD camera and analyzed with three times with buffer A and directly solubilized in SDS-sample buffer. The samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE, electrophoblated onto a PVDF membrane, and probed with an antivimentin HRP-linked antibody (A. F

**Polymerization of Vimentin and Binding Assay with Fimbrin**

Vimentin was diluted to a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml in a total volume of 100 μl using assembly buffer (6 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 3 mM KCl, 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.2% PMSF). Polymerization was achieved by adding 3 μl of 5 M NaCl and incubating at 37°C for 1 h. For cosedimentation assays, preformed vimentin IFs were incubated for an additional hour with fimbrin maintained at concentrations from 0.25 to 1 mg/ml. In other experiments, vimentin and fimbrin were mixed together and IF polymerization, then initiated in the assembly buffer. The proteins were collected by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 g for 30 min and analyzed by SDS–PAGE.
the CellScan software (Scanalytics) or Metamorph imaging system (Universal Imaging Corp.) using the exhaustive photon reassignment algorithm. The images were reconstructed in three dimension using either the acquisition or Imaris software (BitPlane). Colocalized proteins were identified by using the colocalization algorithm in Imaris, where voxels containing the two different signals were extracted. The images were finally processed with Adobe Photoshop.

Results

A Fimbrin–Vimentin Complex in Adherent Macrophages

In this paper, we investigated the mechanism that targeted fimbrin to podosomes and filopodia at the cell–substratum interface of adherent macrophage cells. Because cytoskeleton–matrix interactions could involve different sets of proteins than in the cortical cytoskeleton, our initial experiments examined whether fimbrin is in a precipitable complex with other proteins in adherent macrophage cells. In Triton-soluble extracts of adherent P388D1 cells, we found four polypeptides of molecular weights, 45, 55, 68, and 75 kD that coprecipitated in immune complexes with four different fimbrin-specific antisera (Fig. 1 A). This interaction with fimbrin is specific, because exogenously added fimbrin inhibited the immunoprecipitation of the 45, 55, and 75 kD (not shown). Other polypeptides seen on the gel were also present in immunoprecipitates of the preimmune sera and, therefore, were not characterized.

Having detected several potential fimbrin-binding proteins, we proceeded to identify them as actin (45 kD), vimentin (55 kD), and the heat shock protein, Hsp70 (75 kD). Identification was based on a search of sequence databases (PepFrag and MS-FIT) using the tryptic mass fingerprint of each polypeptide and confirmed by immunoblots using commercially available antisera that are specific for each protein (not shown). Finally, to confirm that fimbrin bound the proteins directly we also probed electroblots of two-dimensional gels with biotinylated fimbrin. On the blots, fimbrin bound actin, vimentin, and Hsp70 (Fig. 1 B). Binding to these proteins was inhibited by an excess of unlabeled fimbrin (not shown). Although Hsp70 appeared to bind fimbrin with a higher affinity, we studied the fimbrin–vimentin interaction because of its potential role in modulating the assembly and structure of the actin and IF cytoskeletons.

Characterization of Fimbrin–Vimentin Binding

Because the fimbrin–vimentin complex is found in a Triton X-100 extract of adherent cells, we also investigated whether the complex was present in nonadherent cells. Although the total amount of vimentin in adherent and nonadherent cells is identical, we could immunoprecipitate a detergent soluble pool of vimentin only from adherent cells using vimentin-specific antibodies (Fig. 2). Furthermore, a fimbrin-specific antibody detected fimbrin only in vimentin immunoprecipitates from adherent cells. Reciprocal immunoprecipitations with fimbrin-specific antibodies confirmed the presence of an extractable complex in adherent cells but not in nonadherent cells (not shown). This extractable fraction of vimentin in adherent cells was estimated to be ~1% of the total protein.

To understand the nature of the fimbrin–vimentin interaction, we first tested whether fimbrin bound preassembled vimentin filaments using a standard high speed pelleting assay. However, this assay was unable to detect fimbrin in pellets of vimentin filaments (not shown). Next,
we tested the binding of fimbrin to unpolymerized vimentin subunits using an immunoprecipitation assay. From a purified mixture of fimbrin and vimentin, vimentin is detected in immunoprecipitates using a fimbrin antibody and not in the preimmune serum (Fig. 3 A). To characterize this interaction more carefully, we measured the binding of soluble fimbrin to vimentin that was immobilized on nitrocellulose membranes. On a Scatchard plot (Fig. 3 B), we estimated the $K_d$ of fimbrin binding to vimentin to be 0.25 $\mu$M. From the intercept at the x-axis, fimbrin binds 3.8 molecules of vimentin. A simple interpretation of the data is that fimbrin binds a tetramer of vimentin.

### Mapping the Fimbrin- and Vimentin-binding Regions

Because the binding of fimbrin to unpolymerized vimentin is a novel finding, we proceeded to investigate whether the interaction can be localized to discrete domains in both proteins using proteolytic fragments as well as different deletion constructs. In an overlay assay (Fig. 4 A), biotin-
Fimbrin

Table I. Summary of Vimentin Binding to Fimbrin Polypeptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Region of fimbrin</th>
<th>Binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fimbrin</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97–248</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97–188</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97–142</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119–160</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143–188</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243–385</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ylated fimbrin is shown to bind to full-length unpolymerized vimentin (WT) and vimentin deleted of its COOH terminus (N410), but does not bind to vimentin deleted of its NH₂ terminus (102C). Similar results were obtained with an overlay assay of the NH₂- and COOH-terminal fragments of vimentin that were generated by 2-nitro-5-thiocyanobenzoate cleavage at the single cysteine residue present in the molecule (not shown). Binding between the two proteins was not affected by the ionic strength of the assay and comparable levels of binding were detected in either low (5 mM sodium phosphate) or high (150 mM NaCl) ionic strength solutions. These results suggest that fimbrin binds specifically to the NH₂-terminal region of vimentin.

To map the vimentin-binding region on fimbrin, we conducted overlay assays on fimbrin proteolyzed with lys-C (Fig. 4 B). In these experiments, a low ionic strength buffer was used to keep vimentin from polymerizing. Biotinylated vimentin is seen to specifically bind to a 26-kD domain of fimbrin. The 26-kD fragment was sequenced and shown to be part of ABD1. To narrow the vimentin binding site on actin binding domain 1, we tested binding of biotinylated vimentin to various truncations of the ABD1 domain (Fig. 4 C). Using the overlay assay, we found that vimentin binds any part of the CH1 domain that contained residues 143–188 (Table I).

Immunolocalization of Fimbrin and Vimentin in IC-21 and P388D1 Cells

Because the biochemical experiments strongly suggested that fimbrin is in a complex with vimentin subunits, we carried out a series of immunocytological studies to identify how the proteins were distributed and to determine where this complex is localized. If fimbrin and vimentin are in a complex, they should colocalize to the same structures and compartments in the cell. Using fluorescence microscopy, we first examined the distribution of filamentous actin (F-actin) as well as fimbrin and vimentin in two macrophage cell lines; highly differentiated, motile IC-21 cells and moderately differentiated, nonmotile P388D1 cells. In nonmotile P388D1 cells, phalloidin staining was localized in filopodia and cell surface microvilli and the cell body (Fig. 5 A). However, P388D1 cells do not organize the actin cytoskeleton into podosomes, and the dotted pattern characteristic of podosomes was absent in these cells. On plated IC-21 cells (Fig. 5 B), F-actin is detected in clusters of small dots, podosomes, or rosette adhesions at the leading edge of the cell, in the cell body, and in retraction fibers at the trailing end of the cell. This staining pattern is similar to that observed when primary mouse macrophages are stained for actin (Messier et al., 1993). Thus, the actin cytoskeleton is organized differently in the two cell lines.

We examined the distribution of fimbrin and vimentin in the two macrophage cell lines by fluorescence deconvolution microscopy. Double staining of P388D1 cells revealed fimbrin in filopodia and in the perinuclear region of the cell (Fig. 6 A), whereas vimentin localized to filopodia and the cell body (Fig. 6 B). To obtain colocalized signals we imported image stacks for each fluorescent pattern into an image processing software, Imaris, and voxels (134 nm) that contained the two signals were extracted and displayed in yellow. Fimbrin and vimentin are seen to colocalize in the filopodia (Fig. 6 C). In IC-21 cells, fimbrin is found in podosomes, retraction fibers, and in the perinuclear region of the cell (Fig. 6 D), whereas vimentin stained podosomes, retraction fibers, and the cell body (Fig. 6 E). The two image stacks were also processed in Imaris, and voxels containing the two fluorescent signals (displayed in yellow) show that the proteins colocalized in podosomes (arrow), retraction fibers, and in the perinuclear region (Fig. 6 F). The colocalization of both proteins on the basal surface was confirmed from side views of a three-dimensional reconstruction of the cell (not shown). These results show that fimbrin and vimentin colocalize to actin-rich structures, filopodia, podosomes, and retraction fibers in two different macrophage cells.
Our biochemical studies detected fimbrin and vimentin in the Triton X-100 extract of IC-21 and P388D1 cells. To locate those structures that contain this extractable complex, we also examined the distribution of fimbrin and vimentin after Triton X-100 treatment. Before extraction, the complex was examined on freshly plated cells. Macrophages that had just attached and were beginning to spread show vimentin and fimbrin staining primarily in the perinuclear region (not shown). Half an hour after plating, the two proteins were found in numerous common foci in regions that were actively spreading (Fig. 7, A–E). However, the majority of the vimentin network is in the perinuclear region. 3 h after plating, the cells were well polarized and the complex was now restricted to foci in that region of the cell that was actively expanding and to retraction fibers at the trailing end of the cell (Fig. 8, A–G). After Triton X-100 extraction, IC-21 cells show complete loss of staining of fimbrin and vimentin from both retraction fibers and podosomes (Fig. 9, A–D). Similarly, in P388D1 cells, both proteins were less abundant or undetected in filopodia but were present in the cell body (not shown).

**Discussion**

Our studies describe an adhesion-dependent relationship between the actin and intermediate filament cytoskeletons. We present four independent observations that document a specific interaction between an actin cross-linking protein, fimbrin, and an IF protein, vimentin. First, the two proteins coprecipitate from Triton-X 100–extracted cells with either fimbrin-or vimentin-specific antibodies. Second, binding assays with recombinant proteins restrict the binding region between residues 143-188 of fimbrin and the head domain of vimentin. Third, the two proteins...
colocalize in podosomes, filopodia, and retraction fibers. Finally, both proteins are extracted from these structures by the same detergent treatment. These biochemical and morphological observations are strong evidence of a fimbrin-vimentin complex that is found in ventral structures where a macrophage adheres to the substratum.

The association between fimbrin and vimentin, reported in this study, is a new finding. Based on previous studies, fimbrin was shown to bind and cross-link actin filaments. This function was consistent with its localization in actin bundles that support cell surface microvilli (Bretscher and Weber, 1980; Matsudaira, 1991). However, early studies also detected fimbrin at the cell-matrix interface (Bretscher and Weber, 1980; Marchisio et al., 1987), which suggested that fimbrin had a function associated with cell adhesion. This suggestion was reinforced by our finding that during embryogenesis L-fimbrin is transiently expressed and localized to the basal surface of embryonic enterocytes, whereas T- and I-fimbrin and the majority of F-actin are in the developing brush border at the apical surface of the same cells (Chafel et al., 1995). Because IFs are now recognized components of focal adhesions (Green et al., 1986; Bershadsky et al., 1987; Geiger et al., 1987; Seifert et al., 1992), interactions with fimbrin could explain how vimentin is differentially targeted to cell adhesion structures where it can assemble into IFs. In addition, associa-
tion with vimentin that may be transported to adhesion sites in a microtubule-dependent mechanism (Prahлад et al., 1998; Yoon et al., 1998) can explain how fimbrin is targeted to adhesion sites where it can cross-link actin filaments into a bundle.

The fimbrin–vimentin interaction is unusual because fimbrin binds a vimentin subunit and not a filament. Typically, cytoskeletal networks are cross-linked by proteins that bind polymerized filaments (Svitkina et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1996). For example, elegant immuno EM studies show plectin cross-bridges between assembled IFs and MTs (Svitkina et al., 1996). Plectin is also implicated in binding to actin filaments through an actin-binding domain located at the NH₂ terminus, whereas the IF-binding domain is found at the COOH terminus (Wiche et al., 1993; McLeean et al., 1996). However, this is not the case for fimbrin. Its interaction with a subunit of vimentin is suggested by the following: the 1:4 stoichiometry of binding (Fig. 3 B), the absence of substantial fimbrin colocalization with vimentin filaments in the cell body, and the inability of fimbrin to bind polymerized vimentin in pelleting assays (Correia, I., and P. Matsudaira, unpublished data). The detergent-extracted fraction most likely includes the pool of unassembled vimentin subunits in the cell (Soellner et al., 1985) that are believed to be tetramers of vimentin. The identity of the vimentin subunit as a binding partner of fimbrin is also consistent with the low percentage (1%) of this species in the supernatant (Fig. 2). Thus, the interaction with a vimentin subunit and not a filament suggests that the complex has a function other than to cross-link the actin and IF cytoskeletons.

Binding to vimentin subunits places fimbrin in a unique position to influence the assembly and organization of...
both the actin and IF cytoskeletons. We speculate that the fimbrin–vimentin complex plays an early role in the assembly of the actin and vimentin cytoskeleton in filopodia and podosomes. This speculation is supported by our biochemical observation that the fimbrin–vimentin interaction in P388D1 cells is adhesion-dependent. The time course of the localization of fimbrin and vimentin in these structures, obtained by epifluorescence microscopy, also suggests that the complex is a transient structure that is involved early in cell adhesion. During the early stages of attachment of motile IC-21 cells, we detected co-staining of fimbrin and vimentin in podosomes of expanding lamella. After 3 h, fimbrin does not become associated with the vimentin network of filaments (Figs. 7 and 8). Because fimbrin does not bind assembled vimentin filaments in vitro other proteins may assist in anchoring the vimentin network at mature adhesion sites. These observations are relevant as studies obtained from time-lapse and interference reflection microscopy indicate a preformed complex of cytoskeletal proteins that nucleate the recruitment of adhesive molecules before anchoring the lamellipodia and filopodia to the substratum (De Pasquale and Izzard, 1987; Izzard, 1988). Although the compositions of the nucleating proteins were not described, the fimbrin–vimentin complex is in the right place and at the right time to play this role.

This model of fimbrin–vimentin function is compatible with earlier reports from Tao and colleagues (Mabuchi et al., 1997), who described a similar interaction between desmin tetramers and calponin in smooth muscle cells. They proposed the idea that an IF subunit and an actin-binding protein may be involved in the assembly of IFs at dense bodies. We agree with their interpretation. In fact, it is alluring to consider that the interactions involve cell adhesions. As discussed in the following section, an interaction between an IF protein, desmin, or vimentin, and a protein with a CH domain, calponin, or fimbrin may represent a highly conserved function that may be related to the establishment of cell adhesion structures.

The fimbrin–vimentin complex is biochemically distinguished from the rest of the actin and IF cytoskeletons by its extraction properties. The critical observation is that fimbrin and vimentin are extracted from a subset of structures (podosomes, filopodia, and retraction fibers) by detergent. Biochemical quantitation shows the detergent-extracted population represents ~1% of the total vimentin in the cell. Most vimentin is in a detergent-insoluble fraction, and fluorescence microscopy localizes the insoluble vimentin to filaments in the cell body and around the nucleus. Thus, the vimentin–fimbrin complex found in early cell adhesion structures is biochemically and structurally distinct from the vimentin network in the cell body. This
The biochemical and structural properties of the fimbrin–vimentin complex may be explained by the location of fimbrin and vimentin binding sites on the proteins. Our overlay binding assays now tentatively map the region of fimbrin–vimentin interaction to residues 143–188 of the NH\textsubscript{2}-terminal domain of fimbrin and the head domain of vimentin. These sites lie within interesting regions of both proteins. Fimbrin binds a pair of actin filaments using actin-binding domains that are located in the NH\textsubscript{2}- and the COOH-terminal regions of the molecule (Hanein et al., 1997). Quantitative modeling of a fimbrin x-ray structure to an EM reconstruction of fimbrin bound to actin filaments predicts that actin binds fimbrin through interactions at the two CH domains in the NH\textsubscript{2}-terminal actin-binding domain, ABD1 (Hanein et al., 1998). Vimentin also binds fimbrin on ABD1, opposite to the actin-binding site, but on the same side where the regulatory calcium-binding domain (residues 1-100) and the COOH-terminal actin-binding domain (ABD2) are located (Fig. 10). This location poses interesting implications for actin bundling. Although we have not yet studied the ability of the fimbrin–vimentin complex to bind and cross-link actin filaments, we suspect that vimentin binding to fimbrin may interfere with the ability of fimbrin to cross-link actin.

Vimentin head domain and tail domains (head and tail domains) is a well established means for the disassembly of the IF network (Inagaki et al., 1996). Interestingly, preliminary studies carried out in vivo indicate that the fimbrin–vimentin complex is phosphorylated on vimentin and not on fimbrin (not shown). Although we have not localized the sites of phosphorylation, fimbrin bound to the NH\textsubscript{2}-terminal domain of vimentin may play a role in regulating vimentin assembly by either directly preventing incorporation of its subunits into filaments, or indirectly, by modulating the addition or removal of phosphate groups.
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