Investigation of the Glyoxysome–Peroxisome Transition in Germinating Cucumber Cotyledons Using Double-label Immunoelectron Microscopy
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ABSTRACT Microbodies in the cotyledons of cucumber seedlings perform two successive metabolic functions during early postgerminative development. During the first 4 or 5 d, glyoxylate cycle enzymes accumulate in microbodies called glyoxysomes. Beginning at about day 3, light-induced activities of enzymes involved in photorespiratory glycolate metabolism accumulate rapidly in microbodies. As the cotyledonary microbodies undergo a functional transition from glyoxysomal to peroxisomal metabolism, both sets of enzymes are present at the same time, either within two distinct populations of microbodies with different functions or within a single population of microbodies with a dual function.

We have used protein A-gold immunoelectron microscopy to detect two glyoxylate cycle enzymes, isocitrate lyase (ICL) and malate synthase, and two glycolate pathway enzymes, serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase (SGAT) and hydroxypyruvate reductase, in microbodies of transition-stage (day 4) cotyledons. Double-label immunoelectron microscopy was used to demonstrate directly the co-existence of ICL and SGAT within individual microbodies, thereby discrediting the two-population hypothesis. Quantitation of protein A-gold labeling density confirmed that labeling was specific for microbodies. Quantitation of immunolabeling for ICL or SGAT in microbodies adjacent to lipid bodies, to chloroplasts, or to both organelles revealed very similar labeling densities in these three categories, suggesting that concentrations of glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes in transition-stage microbodies probably cannot be predicted based on the apparent associations of microbodies with other organelles.

1 Abbreviations used in this paper: GO, glycolate oxidase; HPR, hydroxypyruvate reductase; ICL, isocitrate lyase; MS, malate synthase; SGAT, serine:glyoxylate aminotransferase; TBST buffer, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 500 mM NaCl, 0.3% (vol/vol) Tween-20; TBST+BSA buffer, TBST buffer containing 1% (wt/vol) BSA; TKME buffer, 150 mM Tricine, pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA.
microbodies are often found appressed to chloroplasts (26). These spatial associations have been considered indicative of the functions performed by microbodies and therefore diagnostic of the enzyme complement of specific microbodies (i.e., a microbody adjacent to a lipid body would be presumed nontic of the enzyme complement of specific microbodies but are otherwise indistinguishable. Two alternative models propose that the enzymes catalyzing these functions co-exist in a single population of microbodies. The first of these latter two models postulates that the changeover in microbody enzyme composition is accomplished by the gradual replacement of glyoxysomal enzymes by peroxisomal enzymes in a continually intact organelle (26). The third model suggests that microbodies are continually degraded and reresynthesized, and that the enzymic contents of microbodies reflect the changing pattern of enzyme synthesis in the cell as development progresses (21).

Various biochemical and ultrastructural approaches have been applied to this controversy but have not succeeded in distinguishing between these three models for the glyoxysome-peroxisome transition. An attractive experimental strategy is to determine if glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes can be found within the same microbody. Burke and Trelease (6) used enzyme cytochemistry at the electron microscope level to demonstrate that MS and GO are each present in >90% of microbody profiles at the time of transition from glyoxysomal to peroxisomal function in cucumber cotyledons. Although their results strongly suggested that these glyoxysomal and peroxisomal marker enzymes co-exist in the same microbodies, the cytochemical technique they used did not allow a direct, definitive demonstration of co-existence (2).

We have used immunocytochemistry to localize glyoxysomal and peroxisomal marker enzymes (ICL or MS, and SGAT or HPR, respectively) in transition-stage (day 4) cucumber cotyledons. The co-existence of ICL and SGAT in individual microbodies was directly demonstrated by double-label immunocytochemistry using two sizes of protein A-gold (10, 20).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sources:** Protein A was obtained from Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ. Lowicryl K4M resin was purchased from Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA. Miracloth was obtained from Calbiochem-Behring Corp., La Jolla, CA. Bovine serum albumin (BSA), polyethylene glycol, and H2O2 were products of Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO. Ogallaldehyde and 4% (wt/vol) freshly prepared formaldehyde. Slices were then vacuum infiltrated, fixed for 2 h at 4°C in 1 ml of fixative solution, quickly rinsed twice with 2-ml aliquots of phosphate buffer, and dehydrated in an acetone series as follows: 10 min in 30% (vol/vol) acetone at 0°C followed by 30 min each in 50, 70, and 95% (vol/vol) acetone at 16°C. Tissue slices were infiltrated with successive 12.5% (vol/vol) mixtures of Lowicryl K4M resin and 95% acetone. Dehydration and infiltration steps were performed on a Sorvall MT-2 microtome and picked up on carbon- and Formvar-coated nickel grids.

**Double-label immunocytochemistry was performed using a modification of the technique of Geuze et al. (10). Grids were floated for 10 min on 30-μl drops of TBST buffer containing 1% (wt/vol) TSA (TBST + BSA buffer), transferred into 30-μl drops of the first antiserum for 1 h, and then washed. Washing consisted of a 10-min incubation in TBST + BSA buffer (following antiserum steps) or TBST buffer (after protein A and protein A-gold steps) followed by a 40-s immersion in 20 ml of a stirred TBST buffer solution. Grids were then placed in drops of 10 μl protein A-gold for 30 min, transferred into a solution of protein A (0.2 mg/ml in TBST buffer) for 10 min, washed in TBST buffer, and then incubated in drops of the second antiserum for 1 h. Grids were washed in TBST + BSA buffer followed by TBST buffer, incubated in 20-μl protein A-gold for 30 min, washed in TBST buffer, and finally washed in triple-distilled H2O before drying. For single-labeling experiments, the initial incubation in TBST + BSA buffer was followed directly by the steps used for the second antiserum (above). Poststaining was accomplished with 5 min in saturated aqueous uranyl acetate and 1–3 min in Reynolds' lead citrate.

Control experiments included substitution of preimmune sera and immunostaining of tissue known to lack the specific antigens.

**Quantitation of Immunocytochemical Labeling:** Thin sections from tissue blocks containing spongy mesophyll cells were picked up on 200 square mesh grids and immunolabeled with either anti-ICL or anti-SGAT serum followed by 20 nm protein A-gold. For each grid, one mesh entirely covered by a section was selected at random and photographed as a series of 12 micrographs at a magnification of 3,000. The organellar specificity of gold labeling was estimated by counting gold particles at the first two micrographs of each series and assigning each gold particle to the appropriate underlying cell structure. Intercellular spaces were disregarded since gold particles were rarely if ever found in these areas. The areas of cell structures were determined with a digitizing tablet linked to an Apple computer, and densities of gold deposition were expressed as particles/μm².

To determine if the density of ICL or SGAT labeling over individual microbodies correlated with their proximity to other specific organelles, microbody profiles were scored for number of gold particles, cross-sectional area, and the presence or absence of adjacent lipid bodies, chloroplasts, or mitochondria. Two organelles were defined as being adjacent if they were separated by no more than 20 nm (the size of the gold particles used in these experiments). For these determinations of organelle proximity, 12 micrographs (one grid mesh...
square) of tissue labeled with anti-SGAT and 24 micrographs (two grid mesh squares) of tissue labeled with anti-ICL were examined. A nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test (23) was used to test for significant differences in labeling density between microbodies adjacent to other organelles.

RESULTS

Definition of the Transition Stage of Microbody Development

To study microbodies undergoing the transition from glyoxysomal to peroxisomal function, we first selected a stage of development characterized by high levels of both types of activities. The changes in activities of four microbody enzymes during the first 8 d of postgerminative development are shown in Fig. 1. The glyoxysomal marker enzymes ICL and MS rose from low levels of activity at day 1 to peak activities at day 3 or 4. Thereafter, both enzymes dropped in activity to low levels by day 8. This drop in activity occurred considerably faster in light-grown seedlings. The peroxisomal enzymes HPR and SGAT were detectable only at very low levels in dry seeds through day 2. Beginning at about day 3, these enzymes rose rapidly in the light to high levels by day 8. We have used quantitative immunossays for two of these enzymes, ICL and HPR, to show that changes in enzyme activity during this period are very accurate indicators of the changes in immunodetectable ICL and HPR protein (Wadle, D. M., and D. E. Titus, unpublished results).

These changes in enzyme activity were accompanied by specific ultrastructural changes in the cotyledonary spongy mesophyll and palisade cells. At day 2, lipid bodies and protein bodies occupied most of the cell volume, whereas proplastids and promitochondria were rare and indistinct (26). Microbodies were recognizable by their granular appearance (11). By day 8, the cell was vacuolate and the cytoplasm contained numerous chloroplasts and virtually no lipid bodies or protein bodies (26). The cells of day 4 cotyledonary tissue contained both lipid bodies and chloroplasts as well as high levels of glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes. This tissue was therefore chosen as a suitable stage at which to study the transition in microbody function.

Antisera to ICL, MS, SGAT, and HPR Specifically Labeled Microbodies in Day 4 Tissue

The localization of ICL, MS, SGAT, and HPR in day 4, Lowicryl K4M-embedded cotyledonary tissue was performed by immunolabeling of thin sections. Sections were reacted with each specific antiserum (or the corresponding preimmune serum) followed by 20 nm protein A-gold. Fig. 2 illustrates that antisera to the glyoxysomal enzymes ICL (Fig. 2a) and MS (Fig. 2c) specifically labeled microbodies. Only low levels of gold particles were deposited over other cellular structures. Fig. 3 shows that the peroxisomal enzymes SGAT (Fig. 3a) and HPR (Fig. 3c) were similarly localized to microbodies in this tissue. The preimmune controls for all four antisera (Fig. 2, b and d and 3, b and d) exhibited no specific gold labeling.

A quantitative confirmation of the labeling specificity obtained with anti-ICL and anti-SGAT sera is provided in Table I. With our labeling conditions, microbodies contained a
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**Figure 1** Activities of glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes in cotyledons of light- and dark-grown seedlings. Activities of the glyoxysomal enzymes ICL (a, circles) and MS (b, circles) peak 3 to 4 d after germination and decline more rapidly in light-grown cotyledons (white circles) than in dark-grown cotyledons (black circles). Activities of the peroxisomal enzymes SGAT (a, triangles) and HPR (b, triangles) increase rapidly after day 2 in light-grown cotyledons (white triangles) but remain at low levels in dark-grown cotyledons (black triangles). DS, dry seeds.
Localization of glyoxysomal enzymes in 4-d light-grown cotyledons. Sections of Lowicryl-embedded tissue slices were reacted with rabbit antiserum followed by 20 nm protein A-gold. (a) Labeling with anti-ICL serum; (b) labeling with the corresponding preimmune serum; (c) labeling with anti-MS serum; (d) labeling with the corresponding preimmune serum. CW, cell wall; LB, lipid body; M, mitochondrion; Mb, microbody; N, nucleus; P, chloroplast; V, vacuole. Bar, 1 μm. (a-c) × 16,000. (d) × 18,800.
FIGURE 3 Localization of peroxisomal enzymes in 4-d light-grown cotyledons. Thin sections were reacted with rabbit antiserum followed by 20 nm protein A-gold. (a) Labeling with anti-SGAT serum; (b) labeling with the corresponding preimmune serum; (c) labeling with anti-HPR serum; (d) labeling with the corresponding preimmune serum. CW, cell wall; LB, lipid body; M, mitochondrion; Mb, microbody; P, chloroplast; V, vacuole. Bar, 1 μm. × 16,000.
Quantitation of Protein A-Gold Deposition in Microbodies Adjacent to Lipid Bodies, Chloroplasts, and Mitochondria

Labeling with both sizes of gold was observed in virtually all microbodies examined in day 4 tissue. No significant differences in labeling intensity were apparent in microbodies adjacent to lipid bodies as compared to chloroplasts. The latter point was not quantitated in the double-labeled tissue because the high magnification needed to see the smaller size gold particles necessarily restricted the number of microbodies that could be examined. Instead, quantitation of gold labeling was performed at lower magnification on sections that had been labeled with either anti-ICL or anti-SGAT serum followed by 20 nm protein A-gold. The density of gold particles was measured over microbodies that were adjacent to lipid bodies, chloroplasts, mitochondria, or combinations of these organelles, as well as over microbodies adjacent to none of these organelles (Table II).

Microbodies that were labeled with anti-ICL antisera and that were adjacent to lipid bodies, to chloroplasts, or to both organelles exhibited gold particle densities of 65.9 ± 27.3, 54.6 ± 16.9, and 79.0 ± 22.9, respectively. Gold particle densities over microbodies labeled with anti-SGAT antisera were 62.0 ± 29.1, 52.1 ± 31.7, and 55.3 ± 22.7 in these respective categories. All pairwise comparisons within each group of three categories were made. Five out of the six comparisons indicated no significant difference in labeling density. The difference in labeling density between anti-ICL-labeled microbodies adjacent to chloroplasts and those adjacent to both lipid bodies and chloroplasts was significant at the 0.05 level.

Discussion

Three models have been proposed to explain the transition from glyoxysomal to peroxisomal function in microbodies of developing cotyledons of cucumbers and other oilseeds. Bevers (2) and Kagawa and Bevers (14) have postulated that this transition is accomplished by the destruction of glyoxysomes and simultaneous synthesis of microbodies containing peroxisomal activities. This model, known as the two-population (or replacement) model, predicts that glyoxysomal and peroxisomal activities coexist during the transition.
oxisomal marker enzymes will be found in separate organelle populations during the transition period and that glyoxysomal lipids and proteins will undergo substantial turnover. Such turnover should include catalase and other enzymes common to glyoxysomes and peroxisomes.

Burke and Trelease (6) and Trelease et al. (26) have suggested that this transition in microbody function occurs by the gradual replacement of glyoxysomal enzymes with peroxisomal enzymes in a continually intact microbody membrane. This model, termed the one-population (or repackaging) model, predicts that glyoxysomal and peroxisomal marker enzymes will co-exist within individual microbodies during the transition period and that a substantial turnover of microbody membranes and of proteins such as catalase need not occur. More recently, Schopfer et al. (21) have proposed an alternative which is called the glyoxyperoxisome (or enzyme synthesis changeover) model (22). This model suggests that glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes co-exist in microbodies during the transition stage but differs from the one-population model in that the change in enzyme composition would be accomplished by a continual turnover of microbodies, with the enzyme content of each microbody determined by the specific complement of microbody proteins being synthesized when that microbody is formed.

The three models have provided a useful framework for examining the transition in microbody function. The one-population model could be disproven by the clear demonstration of turnover of entire glyoxysomes. Kagawa et al. (15, 16) have provided evidence for turnover of microbody membrane lipids at the transition stage, but Trelease et al. (26) could find...
FIGURE 5 Immunocytochemical detection of peroxisomal enzymes, but not glyoxysomal enzymes, in 8-d light-grown cotyledons. Labeling was performed with antisera directed against the glyoxysomal enzymes ICL (a) and MS (b), or the peroxisomal enzymes SGAT (c) and HPR (d). Control labelings with preimmune sera (not shown) yielded only low, nonspecific deposition of gold particles. CW, cell wall; M, mitochondrion; Mb, microbody; P, chloroplast. Bar, 1 μm. × 25,000.

no ultrastructural evidence for microbody turnover. Neither finding constitutes definitive evidence for a given model. Betsche and Gerhardt (3) have shown that the rate of catalase synthesis at the transition stage is too low to account for a complete turnover of glyoxysomal catalase, supporting the notion that glyoxysomes are repackaged with peroxisomal enzymes and that some of the glyoxysomal catalase is reused in this process.

The two-population model depends on the assertion that glyoxysomal and peroxisomal enzymes reside in separate populations. Attempts to resolve such populations by subcellular fractionation have not yielded definitive results (3, 9).
FIGURE 6  Double-label immunocytochemical detection of ICL and SGAT in 4-d light-grown cotyledons. (a) Labeling with anti-ICL serum and 10 nm protein A-gold (small arrowheads) followed by anti-SGAT serum and 20 nm protein A-gold (large arrowheads); (b) substitution of preimmune serum for anti-SGAT; (c) substitution of preimmune serum for anti-ICL. CW, cell wall; LB, lipid body; M, mitochondrion; Mb, microbody; P, chloroplast. Bar, 0.5 μm. × 40,000.
Figure 7  Double-label immunocytochemical detection of SGAT and ICL in 4-d light-grown cotyledons. (a) Labeling with anti-SGAT serum and 10 nm protein A-gold (small arrowheads) followed by anti-ICL serum and 20 nm protein A-gold (large arrowheads); (b) substitution of preimmune serum for anti-ICL; (c) substitution of preimmune serum for anti-SGAT. CW, cell wall; LB, lipid body; M, mitochondrion; Mb, microbody; P, chloroplast. Bar, 0.5 μm. × 40,000.
In contrast, Burke and Trelease (6) provided positive evidence for a single population by using cytochemical staining for MS and GO. In microbodies isolated from transition-stage cotyledonary tissue, 94 and 97% of microbodies were stained for MS and GO, respectively, suggesting that these enzymes co-exist in individual microbodies and that the two-population model is therefore invalid.

Although these cytochemical results seem straightforward, several weaknesses have been pointed out, and the findings have not been regarded as conclusive. A definitive demonstration of MS and GO in the same microbody was not possible because the two cytochemical staining reactions were necessarily performed in different tissue blocks. Bevers (2) noted that significant background deposition of reaction product was evident on nonmicrobody membrane vesicles as well as in control stainings. This makes the identification of positively stained microbodies in the impure microbody fraction difficult and casts some doubt on the accuracy of the resultant quantitation. Schopfer et al. (21) and Schopfer and Apel (22) have claimed that GO is a poor choice for a peroxisomal marker enzyme because it is also present at low levels in chloroplasts, and both enzymes would be expected to be present at comparatively low levels of GO staining would need to be demonstrated in microbodies at day 1 or 2 when GO activity is presumably confined to glyoxysomes. Such quantitation of staining intensity over individual microbodies is probably not possible with enzyme cytochemistry, but can be performed experimentally the assumption of Schopfer et al. (21, 22) that a microbody can be identified as a glyoxysome or a peroxisome solely on the basis of its association with a lipid body or a chloroplast, respectively. According to these criteria, the proportion of microbodies in contact with both a lipid body and a chloroplast can be used to predict the proportion of microbodies which contain both glyoxysomal and peroxisomal activities and are undergoing the functional transition. Our evidence fails to confirm this assumption, but cannot rule out such a possibility.

Microbodies adjacent to both a lipid body and a chloroplast do indeed contain both ICL and SGAT, but the same is true of microbodies adjacent only to a lipid body, a chloroplast, a mitochondrion, or none of these organelles. If Schopfer's assumption (21) were true, ICL might be expected to be concentrated in microbodies adjacent to lipid bodies, SGAT might be concentrated in microbodies adjacent to chloroplasts, and both enzymes would be expected to be present at relatively high levels in microbodies adjacent to both lipid bodies and chloroplasts. However, with one exception, quantitation of immunocytochemical labeling for ICL or SGAT in day 4 tissue revealed no significant differences in protein A-gold density over microbodies in these categories. One interpretation of this homogeneity of labeling density might be that every microbody in a day 4 cotyledon is adjacent to both a lipid body and a chloroplast at some point in the cell. While this interpretation is consistent with Schopfer's proposal, we feel that the possible functional significance of

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbodies adjacent to</th>
<th>Anti-ICL</th>
<th>Anti-SGAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average particles per μm²</td>
<td>Number of organelles examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid bodies only</td>
<td>65.9 ± 27.3*</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroplasts only</td>
<td>54.6 ± 16.9*</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondria only</td>
<td>62.6 ± 44.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid bodies + chloroplasts</td>
<td>79.0 ± 22.9*</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid bodies + mitochondria</td>
<td>76.4 ± 15.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroplasts + mitochondria</td>
<td>90.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of these</td>
<td>62.4 ± 25.8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Particle densities are expressed as mean ± SD. Pairs of density values with the same superscript were subjected to a nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. P values corresponding to each comparison were as follows: *, 0.27; **, 0.16; †, 0.045; ‡, 0.40; ‡‡, 0.86; ††, 0.65.
microbody associations with other organelles is still an open question since the correlation of microbody enzyme activities and organelle associations has been described only at a populational level and not for individual microbodies.
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Note Added in Proof: Since submission of this manuscript, we have become aware of a report by C. Sautter (J. Ultrastruct. Res., 1984, 89:187–197) in which the protein A-gold technique was used for the immunocytochemical localization of ICL and HPR to microbodies in watermelon cotyledons, but without addressing the question of the glyoxysome-peroxisome transition.
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