Access of Proteinase K to Partially Translocated Nascent Polypeptides in Intact and Detergent-solubilized Membranes
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Abstract. We have used proteinase K as a probe to detect cytoplasmically and lumenally exposed segments of nascent polypeptides undergoing transport across mammalian microsomal membranes. A series of translocation intermediates consisting of discrete-sized nascent chains was prepared by including microsomal membranes in cell-free translations of mRNAs lacking termination codons. The truncated mRNAs were derived from preprolactin and the G protein of vesicular stomatitis virus and encoded nascent chains ranging between 64 and 200 amino acid residues long. Partially translocated nascent chains of 100 amino acid residues or less were insensitive to protease digestion from the external surface of the membrane while longer nascent chains were susceptible to digestion by externally added protease. We conclude that the increased protease sensitivity of larger nascent chains is due to the exposure of a segment of the nascent polypeptide on the cytoplasmic face of the membrane. In contrast, low molecular weight nascent chains were remarkably resistant to protease digestion even after detergent solubilization of the membrane. The protease resistant behavior of detergent solubilized nascent chains could be abolished by release of the polypeptide from the ribosome or by the addition of protein denaturants. We propose that the protease resistance of partially translocated nascent chains can be ascribed to components of the translocation apparatus that remain bound to the nascent chain after detergent solubilization of the membrane.

Transport of nascent secretory proteins across the RER is mediated by multiple proteinaceous components which function in a defined sequence during each translocation event. In higher eukaryotes, the 54-kD subunit of the signal recognition particle (SRP) binds to the amino-terminal signal sequence of the polypeptide upon emergence of the nascent chain from the large ribosomal subunit (17, 18, 37). In so doing, ribosomes synthesizing proteins destined for transport across the ER are selected for recognition by the SRP receptor (12) (or docking protein [20]). Displacement of SRP from the ribosome occurs upon interaction of the SRP-ribosome complex with the SRP receptor (11) in a reaction that is tightly coupled to the GTP-dependent insertion of the signal sequence into the membrane (6). Events which mediate the subsequent transport of the polypeptide across the membrane are less well defined. Partially translocated nascent secretory polypeptides cannot be extracted from the microsomal membrane by high salt solutions or EDTA, but are extracted by protein denaturants (11), suggesting that both nascent chain attachment to the membrane and transport of the polypeptide across the bilayer may be mediated by integral membrane proteins. Integral membrane proteins of 35 (38) and 42 kD (26) have been detected by photoaffinity labeling with nascent secretory chains and synthetic signal sequences respectively. These polypeptides are proposed to function as signal sequence receptors during transport of the nascent chain across the microsomal membrane (26, 38).

Several experimental approaches demonstrated that the mammalian translocation apparatus can accommodate a wider variety of translocation substrates and function under less restrictive conditions than had been initially believed. Both the amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains flanking an internal signal sequence in an in vitro-constructed fusion protein can be translocated across microsomal membranes in vitro (23). Transport of nascent polypeptides can be initiated late during synthesis in a cotranslational assay (2, 32) or after synthesis in a posttranslational assay provided that the nascent chain remains bound to the ribosome via tRNA (22, 24). Although posttranslational membrane insertion of nascent secretory or membrane proteins can proceed by a guanine ribonucleotide-dependent reaction when the length of the nascent chain is relatively short (6, 15, 39), integration or translocation of larger nascent polypeptides requires ribonucleotide hydrolysis (21, 24). These observations have raised crucial questions concerning the mechanism of nascent chain transport across the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. Are nascent polypeptides transported in a linear conformation when microsomal membranes are present during translation of the polypeptide, or are partially folded protein domains cotranslationally transported in a discontinuous
or segmental manner (33)? Is there direct contact between the ribosome and the membrane surface, or is the ribosome merely tethered to the membrane by the nascent polypeptide?

In an effort to address some of these questions, we have taken advantage of the fact that nascent polypeptides encoded by mRNAs that lack termination codons remain associated with the ribosome via peptidyl-tRNA (6, 21, 24). By translating a series of truncated mRNAs derived from a single protein in the presence of SRP and microsomal membranes, our laboratory has generated partially translocated nascent chains that correspond to sequential intermediates in the transport of the protein. Proteinase K was used as a probe to detect cytoplastically and lumenally exposed segments of nascent polypeptides undergoing transport across microsomal membranes. We show here that intermediates in protein transport can be detected and characterized on the basis of the protease sensitivity of the nascent polypeptide.

Materials and Methods

Cell-free Transcription and Translation

The plasmid pDM9G containing a cDNA insert for the G protein of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) was constructed by insertion of an Eco RI fragment from the plasmid pSVGL (27) into the Eco RI site of pSP65. The plasmid pDM9G was linearized within the protein coding region before SP6 RNA polymerase transcription with Hinf I, Ava II, or Mbo II to obtain mRNA transcripts that encode 64, 90, and 200 residues, respectively, of the VSV G protein. Restriction endonucleases were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA) and SP6 RNA polymerase was from Promega Biotech (Madison, WI). The plasmid pGMEMBPI (6) containing a cDNA insert for bovine preprolactin downstream from the T7 RNA polymerase promoter was linearized within the protein coding region with Pvu II, Ava II, or Mbo II to obtain mRNA transcripts that encode 64, 90, and 131 residues, respectively, of preprolactin. T7 RNA polymerase was purified from the bacterial strain BL21/pAR1219. The purification protocol and BL21/pAR1219 were generously provided by Dr. William Studier (Brookhaven National Laboratories, Upton, NY). The linearized DNA templates were transcribed at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml in 40 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 12.5 mM NaCl, 6 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM spermidine, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM each of ATP, GTP, CTP, and UTP, 0.5 U/μl of placental RNase inhibitor (RNasin; Promega Biotech), and either 15 μg/ml of T7 RNA polymerase or 400 U/ml of SP6 RNA polymerase (16). The mRNA transcripts were purified after transcription as described (6).

A 100 μl cell-free translation system contained 30 μl of staphylococcal nuclease digested wheat germ S23 (9), 100 μCi of [35S]methionine, and 1 U/μl of human placental RNase inhibitor. Cell-free translations were adjusted to 100 μM KOAc, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, and supplemented with 0.002% Nikkol (octaethyleneglycol-mono-N-dodecyl ether; Nikko Chemical Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) to stabilize SRP activity (35). The cell-free translation products of the truncated mRNAs are referred to with a nomenclature where pPL-86 and pG-90 correspond to the amino terminal 86 residues and 90 residues of the VSV G protein, respectively.

Protease Digestion of Translation Products

Cell-free translation products (12.5–25 μl) were chilled on ice and adjusted to a total volume of 50 μl by dilution with protease digestion buffer (50 mM triethanolamine [TEA], 150 mM KOAc, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂). Where noted, the protease digestions were supplemented with Triton X-100 by the addition of a concentrated detergent stock solution. A freshly prepared solution of proteinase K (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) in protease digestion buffer was added to the samples to obtain final protease concentrations ranging between 0.02 and 1.0 mg/ml. Protease digestions were allowed to proceed for 1 h on ice before adjustment of the sample to 2 mM PMSF to inactivate the proteinase K.

General Methods

Translation products derived from VSV G protein were concentrated by precipitation with an equal volume of 20% trichloroacetic acid. Translation products derived from preprolactin were collected after immunoprecipitation with antisera to bovine prolactin (U. S. Biochemical Corp., Cleveland, OH) using protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ). Trichloroacetic acid precipitates and immunoprecipitates were incubated at 50°C for 30 min with 10 μl of 0.5 M Tris base, 6.25% SDS before electrophoresis. The alkaline pH of the buffer hydrolyzes tRNA from the nascent polypeptide. Cell-free translation products were resolved on 12–20% gradient polyacrylamide gels in SDS and visualized by fluorography of the diphenyloxazole impregnated gel. The radioactivity in individual gel slices was determined by excision of gel slices and subsequent scintillation counting (39).

Results

Access of Proteinase K to Nascent Polypeptides in Intact and Permeabilized Vesicles

Proteinase K was selected as a probe for the detection of complexes between protein translocation components and nascent chains for several reasons. Proteases are sterically excluded from the exit site of the large ribosomal subunit as shown by the resistance of a portion of the nascent chain (roughly 40 amino acid residues) to proteolytic digestion (4, 5, 19). Proteinase K hydrolyzes proteins at aromatic and hydrophobic aliphatic amino acid residues (7). Due to the relatively frequent and uniform distribution of these residues in both preprolactin (31) and VSV G protein (28), the nascent chains should be susceptible to digestion unless access of the protease to the polypeptide is hindered. Proteases have been used extensively as membrane-impermeable reagents to determine whether a polypeptide substrate resides within the lumen of microsomal membrane vesicles. Translocated secretory polypeptides such as prolactin are resistant to protease digestion while the nontranslocated precursor is protease sensitive (Fig. 1, lanes a and b). The microsomal membrane remains impermeable to the protease when the concentration of Triton X-100 is below the critical micelle concentration (Fig. 1, lanes c and d), while higher concentrations of detergent render prolactin susceptible to protease digestion by dis-
Protease sensitivity of partially translocated nascent chains. Truncated mRNAs encoding pG-64 (a–c), pG-90 (d–f), pG-200 (g–i), pPL-86 (j–l), pPL-100 (m–o), and pPL-131 (p–r) were translated for 20 min in a wheat germ translation system supplemented with SRP (16 nM) and K-RM (1 eq/25 µl). Each translation was split into three equal aliquots for a 1-h incubation on ice with proteinase K (lanes b, c, e, f, h, i, k, l, n, o, q, and r) at a concentration of 100 µg/ml either in the absence (lanes b, e, h, k, n, and q) or presence (lanes c, f, i, l, o, and r) of 0.025% Triton X-100. The polypeptides were resolved by PAGE in SDS using a 12–20% polyacrylamide gradient gel.

Access of proteinase K to the partially translocated polypeptide was determined by subjecting equal-sized aliquots of the translation reaction to protease digestion either in the absence or presence of 0.025% Triton X-100 (Fig. 2). The quantity of the translocation product recovered after protease digestion can then be compared directly to that present in the undigested sample. All six nascent polypeptides were sensitive to digestion by proteinase K after synthesis in the absence of microsomal membranes (not shown in Fig. 2, but see Figs. 3–5). Low molecular weight nascent chains derived from both VSV G protein (pG-64 and pG-90) and preprolactin (pPL-86) were relatively insensitive to proteinase K digestion in the absence of detergent after synthesis in the presence of K-RM and SRP (Fig. 2; compare lanes a and b, d and e, j and k). The percentage of pPL-100 that was protected from protease digestion in this particular experiment (Fig. 2, lanes n and o) was somewhat lower than we typically observed and can be ascribed to inefficient targeting of the protein to the membrane (data not shown). In contrast, we typically observed digestion of ~50% of the nascent pPL-131 chains (Fig. 2, lanes p and q), while the majority of the pG-200 nascent chains were degraded by externally added protease (Fig. 2, lanes g and h). Clearly, the protease sensitivity of translocation intermediates increased substantially once the nascent chain is >100 amino acid residues long.

Intriguing results were obtained when protease digestions were conducted in the presence of sufficient detergent to per-
residues from the mature protein in addition to the 16-residue signal sequence. Between 20 and 30 residues of the polypeptide including the signal sequence should be exposed on the surface of the ribosome for interaction with the translocation apparatus. After synthesis in the absence of SRP and K-RM, pG-64 was readily digested upon incubation with a low concentration (20 μg/ml) of proteinase K (Fig. 3a; for quantitation see Fig. 3d). The protease digestion product visible in Fig. 3, lanes b–e corresponds to a fragment of the nascent chain which resists further protease digestion due to its location within a protease inaccessible space in the large ribosomal subunit (4, 5, 19). The majority of pG-64 was insensitive to digestion by low concentrations of protease when SRP was present during translation (Fig. 3b). The most reasonable explanation for this observation is that proteinase K is denied access to the signal sequence of pG-64 by SRP. Complete digestion of the SRP bound form of pG-64 required a significantly higher concentration of proteinase K (Fig. 3b). A biphasic protease sensitivity curve was obtained after quantitation of the data in Fig. 3b. Approximately 25% of the nascent chains were extremely sensitive to protease digestion and presumably correspond to a subpopulation of pG-64 chains not bound by SRP.

The protease insensitivity of pG-64 observed in Fig. 2 cannot be explained by protection of the signal sequence by SRP alone. Although high concentrations of externally added protease (1 mg/ml) can completely digest the SRP bound form of the polypeptide (Fig. 3b), only 50% of the pG-64 that was synthesized in the presence of both K-RM and SRP was digested under these conditions (Fig. 3c). A biphasic protease sensitivity curve was obtained after protease digestion product visible in Fig. 3b. Approximately 25% of the nascent chains were extremely sensitive to protease digestion and presumably correspond to a subpopulation of pG-64 chains not bound by SRP.

Cytoplasmic Exposure of Larger Nascent Polypeptides

We conducted the following experiment to determine whether the protease sensitivity of pG-200 observed in Fig. 2 may have been due to inefficient targeting of the protein to the membrane. The truncated mRNA encoding pG-200 was translated in the presence of SRP and K-RM to prepare a translocation intermediate. Aliquots of the translation reaction were then incubated with puromycin at 25°C to induce termination of the polypeptide and allow subsequent transport of the vesicle. Translocation of g-G-200 can be detected by the addition of high-mannose oligosaccharide to asparagine-162 of the mature G protein to produce the glycosylated form of the polypeptide (g-G-200). Because asparagine 162 is located only 12 residues from the last amino acid encoded by the truncated mRNA, glycosylation of the protein cannot occur while the polypeptide remains bound to the ribosome as a peptide-ltRNA. Due to the luminal location of the oligosaccharyl transferase (34), glycosylation of g-G-200 is indicative of transport of the entire polypeptide into the lumen. The apparent molecular weight of pG-200 is not altered by synthesis in the presence of K-RM and SRP (Fig. 4a, lanes a and c). Puromycin termination of pG-200 was accompanied by conversion of 73% of the precursor into the glycosylated and translocated g-G-200 (Fig. 4a, compare lanes a and c). As expected >95% of the translocated g-G-200 was protected from protease digestion by the membrane.

**Figure 3.** Protection of pG-64 by SRP and microsomal membranes. The truncated mRNA encoding pG-64 was translated in a wheat germ system in the absence of SRP and K-RM (a), with 16 nM SRP (b) or with 16 nM SRP and K-RM (c). After 20 min of synthesis, the three translations were subaliquotted for a 1-h digestion on ice with the following concentrations of proteinase K in a total volume of 50 μl: (lane a) 0.0 mg/ml, (lane b) 0.02 mg/ml, (lane c) 0.1 mg/ml, (lane d) 0.2 mg/ml, (lane e) 0.5 mg/ml, and (lane f) 1.0 mg/ml. The radioactive polypeptide corresponding to pG-64 was resolved by PAGE in SDS. The quantity of [35S]methionine-labeled pG-64 was determined as described in Materials and Methods and plotted in panel d as percent of pG-64 digested in the presence of proteinase K alone (•), translations containing SRP alone (●), and translations containing SRP and K-RM (▲).
Figure 4. Protease sensitivity of partially translocated nascent chains in intact vesicles. (a) The truncated mRNA encoding pG-200 was translated in a wheat germ system either in the absence (lanes a and b) or presence (lanes c-f) of SRP (16 nM) and K-RM (2 eq/25 µL). After 30 min of synthesis, aliquots of the translation containing SRP and K-RM were incubated for 15 min after adjustment to either 500 µM cycloheximide (lanes c and d) or 100 µM puromycin (lanes e and f). Individual samples were either prepared directly for PAGE in SDS (lanes a, c, and e) or incubated for 1 h on ice with proteinase K (100 µg/ml in a volume of 50 µL) (lanes b, d, and f). (b) The truncated mRNA encoding pPL-131 was translated in the presence of K-RM (2 eq/25 µL) and SRP (16 nM). After 30 min, aliquots of the translation were adjusted to either 250 µM cycloheximide (lanes a-c) or 100 µM puromycin (lanes d-f) and incubated for 10 min at 25°C. Several samples were subjected to digestion with proteinase K (100 µg/ml in a volume of 50 µL) either in the absence (lanes b and d) or presence of 1% Triton X-100 (lanes c and f). pPL-131 and PI 101 were recovered by immunoprecipitation with antibody to prolactin and resolved by PAGE in SDS.

Short Nascent Chains Remain Protease Resistant after Membrane Solubilization

Low molecular weight nascent chains derived from preprolactin and VSV G protein were resistant to protease digestion after permeabilization of the membrane with the nonionic detergent. We selected pPL-86 as an example of a nascent chain in this size class for further examination. The signal sequence of preprolactin is substantially longer than that of the VSV G protein, and in contrast to pG-64, nascent preprolactin chains are not shielded from protease digestion by SRP. Therefore, any protease resistance displayed by the partially translocated nascent chain must have an alternate explanation. Preliminary experiments revealed no substantial increase in the protease sensitivity of partially translocated pPL-86 when the concentration of Triton X-100 was increased to 1%. Prolactin nascent chains are not inherently resistant to proteinase K as shown by the complete digestion of pPL-86 after synthesis in the absence of SRP and K-RM (Fig. 5 a, lanes a-f, for quantitation see Fig. 5 c). pPL-86 remains protease sensitive when microsomal membranes and nonionic detergent were added simultaneously (Fig. 5 b, lanes a-f). Clearly, a mixed micelle composed of Triton X-100 and microsomal membrane lipids cannot shield the nascent chain from digestion. The majority (68%) of pPL-86 remained intact after digestion with 1 mg/ml of proteinase K in the absence of nonionic detergent (Fig. 5 a, lanes g-l). The remaining 32% of the pPL-86 nascent chains were as sensitive to digestion as nascent chains synthesized in the absence of membranes and presumably correspond to the nonmembrane-bound precursor (see Fig. 5 c for quantitation). Partially translocated nascent chains were susceptible to digestion in the presence of 1% Triton X-100 when high concentrations of proteinase K were used (Fig. 5 b, lanes g-l). Nonetheless, a significant proportion (~30%) of the partially translocated nascent chains (68%, as noted above) remained intact after incubation with 1 mg/ml of proteinase K in the presence of nonionic detergent (Fig. 5 c). Additional protease digestions were conducted at 25°C in the presence of 1% Triton X-100. The pPL-86 translocation intermediate was markedly more...
resistant to protease digestion at 25°C in the presence of nonionic detergent than the ribosome bound form of pPL-86 (data not shown). The other low molecular weight nascent chains (pPL-100, pG-64, and pG-91) all showed marked resistance to proteinase K digestion after solubilization of the microsomal membrane with 1% Triton X-100 (data not shown). Whenever a component of the translocation apparatus was deleted (SRP) or inactivated (SRP receptor), the nascent chains were not insensitive to protease digestion in the presence of nonionic detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane d), but susceptible to digestion by low concentrations of protease in the presence of detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane e). An alternative method for dissociating peptidyl-tRNA from the ribosome is incubation in the presence of 25 mM EDTA (30). Incubation of partially translocated nascent chains with EDTA at 25°C was accompanied by the translocation and processing of pPL-86 (Fig. 6 a, compare lanes f and h). The translocated PL-56 was protease resistant in the absence of added detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane i) and protease sensitive after addition of nonionic detergent (data not shown). When EDTA and proteinase K were added simultaneously on ice, pPL-86 was digested from the external surface of the membrane in the absence of detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane g). Therefore, the protease resistance of pPL-86 cannot be merely ascribed to adaption of a protease resistant conformation upon exposure of the polypeptide to the membrane environment, because the translocated PL-56 does not display abnormal protease resistance.

A particularly useful property of proteinase K is the retention of proteolytic activity in the presence of ionic detergents and protein denaturants. We decided to take advantage of this stability and examine the sensitivity of pPL-86 nascent chains under more severe digestion conditions. Membrane-bound polysomes can be isolated from cell homogenates by solubilization of the microsomal membrane fraction with a mixture of Triton X-100 and sodium deoxycholate (25). The quantity of pPL-86 that remained intact after protease digestion in the presence of 1% Triton X-100 and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate was comparable to that which resisted protease digestion in the presence of Triton X-100 alone (Fig. 6 b, lanes c and d). In contrast, nascent pPL-86 was sensitive to protease digestion in the presence of 0.5% sodium deoxycholate (Fig. 6 b, lane e). While both Triton X-100 and sodium deoxycholate are effective detergents for the solubilization of integral membrane proteins without loss of activity, a perturbation of protein-protein interaction may occur in the presence of the ionic detergent. For example, the spike glycoproteins of Semliki Forest virus dissociate into the monomeric E1, E2, and E3 polypeptides in sodium deoxycholate solution, yet remain as a heterotrimer in Triton X-100 solution (14). The enhanced protease sensitivity observed here may be due to the ability of the ionic detergent, unlike Triton X-100, to disrupt the transient association between pPL-86 and additional membrane proteins involved in nascent chain transport. Increased digestion of pPL-86 was observed in

**Protein Denaturants Enhance Protease Access to Partially Translocated Nascent Chains**

Based upon the above observations, we postulated that a persistent contact between protein components of the translocation apparatus and the nascent chain is responsible for the protease resistance of the detergent solubilized pPL-86. If this hypothesis is correct, then release of the nascent chain from the ribosome should abolish the protease insensitivity of the nascent polypeptide. Approximately 50% of the pPL-86 precursor was translocated across the microsomal membrane and converted to PL-56 by cleavage of the 30-residue signal sequence after incubation with puromycin at 25°C (Fig. 6 a, lane c). The translocated PL-56 was protease resistant in the absence of the nonionic detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane d), but susceptible to digestion by low concentrations of protease in the presence of detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane e). An alternative method for dissociating peptidyl-tRNA from the ribosome is incubation in the presence of 25 mM EDTA (30). Incubation of partially translocated nascent chains with EDTA at 25°C was accompanied by the translocation and processing of pPL-86 (Fig. 6 a, compare lanes f and h). The translocated PL-56 was protease resistant in the absence of added detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane i) and protease sensitive after addition of nonionic detergent (data not shown). When EDTA and proteinase K were added simultaneously on ice, pPL-86 was digested from the external surface of the membrane in the absence of detergent (Fig. 6 a, lane g). Therefore, the protease resistance of pPL-86 cannot be merely ascribed to adaption of a protease resistant conformation upon exposure of the polypeptide to the membrane environment, because the translocated PL-56 does not display abnormal protease resistance.

A particularly useful property of proteinase K is the retention of proteolytic activity in the presence of ionic detergents and protein denaturants. We decided to take advantage of this stability and examine the sensitivity of pPL-86 nascent chains under more severe digestion conditions. Membrane-bound polysomes can be isolated from cell homogenates by solubilization of the microsomal membrane fraction with a mixture of Triton X-100 and sodium deoxycholate (25). The quantity of pPL-86 that remained intact after protease digestion in the presence of 1% Triton X-100 and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate was comparable to that which resisted protease digestion in the presence of Triton X-100 alone (Fig. 6 b, lanes c and d). In contrast, nascent pPL-86 was sensitive to protease digestion in the presence of 0.5% sodium deoxycholate (Fig. 6 b, lane e). While both Triton X-100 and sodium deoxycholate are effective detergents for the solubilization of integral membrane proteins without loss of activity, a perturbation of protein-protein interaction may occur in the presence of the ionic detergent. For example, the spike glycoproteins of Semliki Forest virus dissociate into the monomeric E1, E2, and E3 polypeptides in sodium deoxycholate solution, yet remain as a heterotrimer in Triton X-100 solution (14). The enhanced protease sensitivity observed here may be due to the ability of the ionic detergent, unlike Triton X-100, to disrupt the transient association between pPL-86 and additional membrane proteins involved in nascent chain transport. Increased digestion of pPL-86 was observed in

![Figure 5. Protease resistance of partially translocated nascent chains in 1% Triton X-100. The truncated mRNA encoding pPL-86 was translated either in the presence (a and b, lanes a-f) or absence (a and b, lanes g-l) of SRP (16 nM) and K-RM (2 eq/25 µl). After 20 min of translation, cycloheximide (250 µM) was added and the two translations were subaliquotted for digestion with proteinase K either in the presence (a) or absence (b) of 1% TX-100. K-RM were added to the translation conducted in the absence of K-RM and SRP immediately before the protease digestion. The concentration of proteinase K in individual 50-µl assays was 0.0 mg/ml (lanes a and g); 0.02 mg/ml (lanes b and h), 0.1 mg/ml (lanes c and i), 0.25 mg/ml (lanes d and j), 0.5 mg/ml (lanes e and k), and 1 mg/ml (lanes f and l). After immunoprecipitation with antibody to prolactin, the pPL-86 was resolved by PAGE in SDS and visualized by fluorography. The percent of pPL-86 digested was determined by scintillation counting of excised gel slices and is plotted in c where: (○) = SRP, (□) = SRP, -K-RM, −Triton X-100; (■) = SRP, -K-RM, +Triton X-100; (•) = +SRP, +K-RM, −Triton X-100; (■) = +SRP, +K-RM, +Triton X-100).](image)
mixed detergent micelles containing Triton X-100 in combination with SDS when the Triton X-100:SDS ratio decreased (Fig. 6 b, lanes f-i). The protease digestion product marked by an asterisk in Fig. 6 b corresponds to the ribosome-protected fragment of the pPL-86 nascent chain. Clearly, protection of the nascent chain was abolished by the increased SDS content of the micelles under conditions that did not disrupt the ribosome. The ribosome-protected fragment of the nascent chain was digested when higher concentrations of SDS were present (data not shown). Adjustment of the Triton X-100 extracted pPL-86 nascent chains to a final urea concentration of 2 M also rendered the nascent chain susceptible to proteinase K digestion (data not shown).

Discussion

The translation of a series of truncated mRNAs in the presence of SRP plus microsomal membranes has enabled us to capture a series of nascent chains undergoing transport across the ER. The presence of SRP during translation of the mRNAs insured that the various translocation intermediates characterized here represent different final stages in a single cotranslational transport pathway. Partially translocated nascent chains derived from both preprolactin and VSV G protein were substantially more resistant to proteolytic digestion than nascent chains synthesized in the absence of SRP and microsomal membranes. Protection of the translocation intermediates was most apparent when the nascent chain did not exceed 100 amino acid residues in length. The most reasonable explanation for the insensitivity of short nascent chains to protease digestion is that close contact between the ribosome and the membrane surface limits access of the protease to the nascent polypeptide during early stages of transport. Larger translocation intermediates derived from both preprolactin and VSV G protein were more sensitive to digestion, suggesting that a portion of the nascent chain was now exposed upon the cytoplasmic side of the membrane at later times during transport. Apparently, nascent chain transport is not directly coupled to elongation of the polypeptide, even when translocation is conducted in a cotranslational model. Several possible explanations for this observation should be considered. If the rate of nascent chain
transport across the membrane bilayer is slower than the protein synthesis elongation rate, then a loop of nontranslocated polypeptide could accumulate upon the cytoplasmic side of the membrane. We can neglect this explanation since the protease sensitivity of the larger nascent chains was not altered by an extended incubation of the translation at 25°C in the presence of a protein synthesis elongation inhibitor. Discontinuous or segmental transport of the nascent chain across the membrane would also lead to the intermittent accumulation of cytoplasmically exposed peptide segments or protein domains (33). An alteration in contact between the membrane surface and the ribosome need not accompany either the kinetic accumulation of nontranslocated polypeptide or the segmental transport of the nascent chain. Alternatively, contact between the ribosome and the membrane surface may diminish after the initial transit of a portion of the nascent chain into the lumen of the ER. Several previous observations are consistent with two modes of contact between the ribosome and the membrane surface. Digestion of rat liver microsomal membranes with trypsin released approximately half of the in vivo-bound ribosomes from the membrane, presumably by cleaving the nascent chain between the large ribosomal subunit and the membrane surface (29). Two distinct populations of membrane-bound ribosomes were detected by puromycin treatment of isolated microsomal membranes under low and high ionic strength conditions (1). One population of ribosomes was released from the membrane by puromycin treatment alone, while a second population could only be removed by incubation with puromycin under high ionic strength conditions (1). Based upon the presence of distinct protease sensitive and insensitive populations of partially translocated pPL-131, we suggest that ribosome detachment from the membrane surface initiates when ~100 residues of the mature polypeptide have been synthesized.

Additional information concerning the conformation of partially translocated nascent chains was provided by protease digestions conducted in the presence of low concentrations of nonionic detergent. Nascent chains of 100 amino acids or less did not display a detergent-enhanced sensitivity to protease digestion, while larger nascent chains were readily digested after permeabilization of the membrane. Based upon the results obtained here with two different polypeptides, we conclude that the membrane-bound components of the translocation apparatus in combination with the ribosome can shield roughly 100 amino acid residues of a nascent chain. Protection of a polypeptide segment of this size is not unreasonable if transport is initiated by the insertion of a hairpin loop of polypeptide into the membrane (8). Lumenally exposed domains of translocation components (e.g., signal peptidase) may also shield portions of the nascent chain from protease digestion.

Previous estimates of the distance, in terms of amino acid residues, between the peptidyl-transferase site on the ribosome and the sites of oligosaccharide addition and disulfide bond formation within the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum have suggested that proteins are transported across the membrane in an extended conformation. High mannose oligosaccharide was shown to be present on asparagine residues located within 90 residues of the carboxyl end of peptidyl-tRNA molecules (13). Newly formed disulfide bonds can involve cysteine residues located within 60 residues of the peptidyl transferase site (3). Here we have shown that the signal sequence of preprolactin is not removed from nascent polypeptides containing 131 amino acid residues. The relatively late cleavage of the signal sequence may indicate a continued association between the signal sequence and the membrane during the subsequent transport of the carboxy-terminal portions of the protein into the ER lumen. Tethering of the amino terminus of the nascent chain to the membrane may retard folding of the protein and allow efficient cotranslational addition of oligosaccharide.

Binding of SRP to the VSV G protein sequence protected pG-64 from protease digestion by limiting access of the protease to the nascent chain. Upon insertion into the membrane, low molecular weight nascent chains derived from both preprolactin and VSV G protein were remarkably resistant to protease digestion even after detergent solubilization of the membrane. We believe that the protease insensitivity of these nascent chains reflects the assembly of a specific intermediate in the protein translocation process. Because SRP is not bound to the nascent polypeptide after insertion of the signal sequence into the membrane (11, 38), protection of the detergent-solubilized nascent chains must be mediated by components of the translocation apparatus that are in contact with the nascent chain during transport across the bilayer. The interaction of nascent chains with the membrane-bound signal sequence receptors (26, 38) may account both for the initial close juxtaposition of the ribosome with the membrane surface and the observed protection of the nascent chain from protease digestion after detergent solubilization of the membrane. The ability of protein denaturants to abrogate the protease-resistant behavior of the nascent chain correlated well with the previously described extraction of partially translocated polypeptides from the membrane by urea or alkali (10). Future research will be directed toward identifying proteins that are in direct contact with the nascent chain upon insertion of the signal sequence into the endoplasmic reticulum membrane.
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