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Abstract. Lysosomes labeled by uptake of extracellular horseradish peroxidase display remarkable changes in shape and cellular distribution when cytoplasmic pH is experimentally altered. Normally, lysosomes in macrophages and fibroblasts cluster around the cell center. However, when the cytoplasmic pH is lowered to ~pH 6.5 by applying acetate or by various other means, lysosomes promptly move outward and accumulate in tight clusters at the very edge of the cell, particularly in regions that are actively ruffling before acidification but become quiescent. This movement follows the distribution of microtubules in these cells, and does not occur if microtubules are depolymerized with nocodazole before acidification. Subsequent removal of acetate or the other stimuli to acidification results in prompt resumption of ruffling activity and return of lysosomes into a tight cluster at the cell center. This is correlated with a rebound alkalinization of the cytoplasm. Correspondingly, direct application of weak bases also causes hyperruffling and unusually complete withdrawal of lysosomes to the cell center. Thus, lysosomes appear to be acted upon by microtubule-based motors of both the anterograde (kinesin) type as well as the retrograde (dynein) type, or else they possess bidirectional motors that are reversed by changes in cytoplasmic pH. During the outward movements induced by acidification, lysosomes also appear to be smaller and more predominantly vesicular than normal, while during inward movements they appear to be more confluent and elongated than normal, often becoming even more tubular than in phorbol-treated macrophages (Phaire-Washington, L., S. C. Silverstein, and E. Wang. 1980. J. Cell Biol. 86:641-655). These size and shape changes suggest that cytoplasmic pH also affects the fusion/fission properties of lysosomes. Combined with pH effects on their movement, the net result during recovery from acidification is a stretching of lysosomes into tubular forms along microtubules.

Recent demonstrations of extended tubular lysosomes in macrophages and other cells (2, 16, 49, 56, 67, 72) has raised interesting questions about the mechanisms that control the shape and distribution of these intracellular organelles. Lysosomes have generally been viewed as discrete vesicular structures that tend to concentrate in and around the cell center (11, 12, 33, 68-71). For them to become tubules that radiate out from the cell center, they presumably have to fuse with each other and move outward by an active process. Experimental disruption of microtubules eliminates their outward radiation (72), suggesting that the active process may be a microtubule-based motor of the kinesin type, like the one that transports membrane organelles in an “anterograde” direction in neurons (7, 29, 36, 66, 78, 79). Lysosomes have long been known to accumulate centrally (4, 12, 13, 24, 25, 47), suggesting they have “retrograde” motors; however outward movements have only recently been detected (15, 23, 24, 41). The ultimate distribution and form of these pleomorphic organelles may thus boil down to a balance between membrane fusion and fission and between the activities of anterograde and retrograde motors.

Here, we describe several experimental protocols that appear to tip this balance toward lysosomal fission and outward movement. The protocols all produce a significant cytoplasmic acidification, to the range of ~pH 6.5. We also demonstrate that a converse alkalinization of the cytoplasm to the range of pH ~7.8 appears to “reset” the balance in the opposite direction, toward lysosome fusion into tubes and inward movement of the tubes. Although these experimental pH changes probably bypass or short-circuit normal cellular controls, we discuss how they may reflect and help to illuminate the normal mechanisms by which cells control their endosome and lysosome distribution.

Materials and Methods

Cell Cultures

Chick fibroblasts, mouse macrophages, and J774 cells were chosen for these experiments. The chick cells were second-generation primary cells, obtained by trypsin/EDTA resuspension of 1-wk-old cultures of homogenized chicken embryo pectoral muscle. The mouse macrophages were also second-generation primary cells, obtained by resuspension of 1-wk-old cultures of primary bone marrow cells grown in L-cell conditioned medium (e.g., medium containing CSF-1 to induce cell division and differentiation [10, 50]). The J774 cells are a permanent line of macrophage-like cells derived...
originally from a mouse tumor (52). All were plated onto 22-mm glass coverslips at 2.5 x 10^5 cells/ml in ~MEM containing 20% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and the usual antibiotics.

Histochemical Treatments

For horseradish peroxidase (HRP) histochemistry, coverslips with cells fixed as above were incubated 15 min in 0.5 mg/ml dianaminoazobenzidine in fix buffer, then 30 min in the same dianaminoazobenzidine solution plus 0.01% H_2O_2 to initiate the histochemical reaction (25). For acid phosphatase histochemistry, cells were fixed in 1.5% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde rather than glutaraldehyde and reacted with one of the three mixtures indicated in Table 1, according to published techniques (3, 45, 46).

Light Microscopy

After histochemistry, coverslips were given a final brief postfixation for 1 min in 0.2% OsO_4 in fix buffer, then dehydrated rapidly via two rinses in each of 70 and 100% ethanol and embedded in English Araldite epoxy resin by standard EM techniques (25). The Araldite served as a mounting medium to attach the coverslips to a glass slide, and yielded better cellular morphology and greater permanence than the usual light microscopic mounting media. After polymerization of the Araldite at 80°C for 24 h, coverslips were viewed with a 100x phase-contrast objective and photographed on Kodak T-Max ASA 100 black and white 35-mm film.

Results

Lyosomal Movements Provoked by Cytoplasmic Acidification and Alkalinization

Endosomes and lysosomes were visualized by internalization of several different extracellular tracers (Table I), all applied in sufficiently high concentration to insure that most uptake would occur via bulk internalization rather than via receptor-mediated membrane binding. The duration of uptake (30 min, including subsequent washes) was sufficient to deliver these tracers to "late" endosomes or secondary lysosomes, i.e., to endosomes that presumably had time to acidify and acquire lysosomal enzymes (21, 44, 64, 71, 81). After tracer uptake, several different protocols of cytoplasmic acidification or alkalinization were applied (Table I). All generally provoked the same patterns of lysosome redistribution (Table II). Moreover, many additional cell types were studied, and in spite of major differences in the amount of tracer they internalized, all showed the same redistributions of lysosomes upon changing cytoplasmic pH. In no case did these movements require external calcium: they occurred promptly and completely in calcium-free Ringer's and they were not provoked by direct application of 5 μM of the Ca++-ionophores A23187 or ionomycin in the presence of 2 mM external Ca++. (not shown).

The phase-contrast light micrographs in Figs. 1 and 2 typify the changes in shape and distribution of HRP-loaded organelles observed. Figs. 1 a and 2 a illustrate the normal condition of these organelles in primary and cultured macrophages after 20 min of loading and 10 min of washing away excess HRP. In such "control" cells, most lysosomes are concentrated near the cell center (Table II), and those in the periphery appear vesicular. Figs. 1 b and 2 b illustrate the effects of replacing 70 mM of the NaCl in the Ringer's with 70 mM of sodium acetate (pH 6.9): most of the HRP-loaded lysosomes move to the very edge of the cell. Simultaneously, the cell flattens out and loses most of its peripheral ruffles (compare arrows in Fig. 1 a and 1 b). (By time-lapse video-enhanced light microscopy, the remaining rim of ectoplasmin acidified cells is observed to be completely static.) Figs. 1 c and 2 c illustrate that a 15-min exposure to normal Ringer's after 15 min of such acetate treatment results in a return of HRP-loaded organelles to the cell center, as well as a rounding up of the cell and reappearance of unusually broad ruffles (compare arrows in Fig. 2, b and c). (Time-lapse microscopy at this point illustrates that such ruffles are...

Table I. Experimental Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution Description</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ringer's solution</td>
<td>155 mM NaCl; 5 mM KCl; 2 mM CaCl_2; 1 mM MgCl_2; 2 mM NaH_2PO_4; 10 mM Hepes buffer pH 7.2; 10 mM glucose; 0.5 mg/ml BSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation used in this paper: HRP, horseradish peroxidase.
Table II. Distribution of HRP-loaded Lysosomes in Mouse Bone Marrow Macrophages after 15-min Exposure to Various Acidification or Alkalization Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Proportion of cells showing various distributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clustered around cell center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clustered centrally with radiating tubules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dispersed throughout cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dispersed with peripheral vesicle clusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate Ringer's pH 7.4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate Ringer's pH 6.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺-free media</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiloride (1 mM)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigericin in isotonic K⁺ pH 6.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotonic K⁺ alone pH 7.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl (20 mM)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monensin (10 μg/ml)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine (20 μM)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases, monolayer cultures were exposed to HRP for 30 min and then soaked an additional 15 min in one or another of the above media. Thereafter, 100 cells from two separate experiments were categorized single blindedly and the resulting proportions were rounded off to the nearest 0.05.

unusually active.) Fig. 3 illustrates comparable changes in chick fibroblasts. These are less dramatic because fibroblasts take up ~10-fold less HRP than do macrophages (68, 69, 71); nevertheless, their HRP-loaded organelles are normally clustered centrally after the 30 min loading period (Fig. 3 a), move outward in response to 70 mM acetate (Fig. 3 b), and return to the cell center upon washout of acetate (Fig. 3 c). Again, time-lapse microscopy illustrates a cessation of fibroblast ruffling during acidification and hyperactivity during recovery.)

Measurements of intracellular pH made on parallel monolayer cultures loaded with the pH-sensitive fluorophore 2',7'-bis(carboxyethyl)-5,6-carboxyfluorescein (55) and analyzed by UV spectrofluorimetry, to be described in detail elsewhere (Schlesinger, P. H., and J. E. Heuser, manuscript in preparation), showed that immediately upon application of 70 mM acetate, cytoplasmic pH in mouse macrophages and chick fibroblasts falls to ~6.5 and remains there for the duration of the exposure. Upon washout of acetate, cytoplasmic pH immediately rebounds to ~0.5 pH units above normal (~pH 7.8) and then slowly falls back to normal over the next 10–15 min. The hyperactivity and unusually tight central clustering of HRP organelles seen during acetate washout (Figs. 1 c and 2 c) thus appear to correlate temporally with a period of rebound alkalinization. Correspondingly, direct alkalinization of macrophages by the addition of 10–20 mM NH₄Cl to their Ringer’s raises their cytoplasmic pH by ~0.5 units and leads to the same structural changes in HRP-loaded organelles and ruffles as seen during acetate washout (Tables II and III). Experiments using nigericin “clamping” of cytoplasmic pH over a wide range (Fig. 4) indicate that there is not a pH threshold for this lysosome redistribution, but it oc-

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
Figure 2. Rearrangements of HRP-labeled lysosomes in broadly spread J774 macrophages. (a) Control distribution after 30 min of HRP uptake; (b) peripheral distribution of lysosomal vesicles after exposure to 70 mM acetate for 15 min. (c) Central clustering of lysosomes with radiating tubules, 15 min after washout of acetate. Arrows illustrate associated increase in membrane ruffles during recovery, as discussed in the text. Bar, 20 µm.

curs progressively over the range of pH 6.6–7.3, with elimination of central clusters preceding establishment of peripheral clusters.

Identical organelle redistributions and shape-changes are observed in cells assayed for acid phosphatase rather than loaded with HRP (Fig. 5). Initially, acid phosphatase-stained organelles are clustered around the cell center (Fig. 5 a); after 15 min in 70 mM acetate, they are redirected to the distal margins of the cell (Fig. 5 b); and after 10 min of acetate washout they are back in the cell center (Fig. 5 c). Such acid phosphatase-positive organelles are presumably identical to the HRP-loaded organelles observed in Figs. 1–3 above, all qualifying for the name “secondary lysosomes.”

Changes in Lysosomal Shape Correlated with Cytoplasmic pH

Table II documents that during acidification lysosomes also appear to be more vesicular than normal, while during rebound alkalinization (or during direct alkalinization with NH₄Cl; cf. Table III, line 6) they appear to be more tubular than normal. This is visible by comparing Fig. 2, a and c or Fig. 3, a and c, for example. The formation of tubules is particularly dramatic during the early phases of recovery, when tight central clusters of lysosomes have not yet fully reformed but are in the process of doing so. Fig. 6 a illustrates that long HRP-loaded tubules, extending all the way from the cell periphery to the cell center, can be found at this time. In some cases the tubules appear instead as closely aligned chains of vesicles (Fig. 6 b), an effect known to be produced by glutaraldehyde fixation (56, 72).

Role of Microtubules in Endosome Movements

Neither the abundance nor the distribution of microtubules in macrophages (Fig. 7) is altered by changes in cytoplasmic pH, nor by HRP uptake (not shown). To assess the role of microtubules in lysosomal redistribution patterns, HRP-loaded cells were treated with 2 µM nocodazole (5 min at 4°C, followed by 15 min at 37°C). Lysosomes appeared randomly distributed in such cells, and tubular forms were absent. Moreover, when such cells were acidified in the presence of nocodazole, lysosomes clumped locally but did not

Figure 3. Centrifugal and centripetal movements of HRP-labeled lysosomes in cultured chick fibroblasts. (a) Lysosomes are clustered around the cell center in control cells after 30 min of labeling; (b) lysosomes have moved out of the cell center, occasionally in linear arrays, in cells exposed to 70 mM acetate for 15 min after HRP loading; (c) lysosomes have returned to the cell center, often in linear arrays, in acetate-treated cells that recovered in normal Ringer’s for 10 min before fixation and HRP histochemistry. Bar, 20 µm.
Table III. Timecourse of Changes in Distribution of HRP-loaded Lysosomes in Mouse Bone Marrow Macrophages During Changes in Cytoplasmic pH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (and resultant cytoplasmic pH)</th>
<th>Proportion of cells showing various distributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clustered around cell center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) control (7.2-7.4)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 5' acetate (6.4-6.6)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 15' acetate (6.6-6.8)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) 5' recover (7.8-8.0)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) 15' recover (7.5-7.8)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) 5' ammonia (7.8-8.0)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) 15' ammonia (7.6-7.9)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases, monolayer cultures were exposed to HRP for 30 min and then soaked an additional 15 min in normal Ringer's (line 1) or in Ringer's containing 70 mM Na acetate (lines 2 & 3). Lines 4 & 5 refer to cells that were loaded with HRP for 30 min and acidified with 70 mM Na acetate for 15 min before being returned to normal Ringer's for recovery periods of 5 or 15 min. Lines 6 & 7 refer to cells soaked in Ringer's containing 20 mM NH4Cl after the usual 30 min of HRP exposure in normal Ringer's. For each treatment, 100 cells from 3 independent experiments were categorized single-blindedly and the resulting proportions were rounded off to the nearest 0.05.

display any net outward movement. Likewise, if cells were first acidified and then treated with nocodazole, the peripheral clusters of lysosomes dispersed. Subsequently, lysosomes failed to move back into the cell center when normal cytoplasmic pH was restored.

**Organelles That Do Not Redistribute with Lysosomes During pH Changes**

As noted above, acidified cells become flat and lose their ruffles, while recovering cells become unusually round and hyperactive. These effects may be related to changes in cytoplasmic viscosity: for example, phase-dense granules near the cell center display active Brownian movements in acidified cells but not in normal cells, as if released from passive constraints. Concomitant with these shape changes, organelles such as endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria (detected by DiOC6 staining; references 73, 74), phase-dense granules (presumably lipid droplets and residual bodies; references 11, 12), and Golgi elements (detected by anticlathrin monoclonal antibodies kindly provided by F. Brodsky, University of California, San Francisco) all appear to disperse somewhat during acidification (cell flattening) and gather together again during recovery (cell rounding). However, none of these organelles show the extreme changes in localization displayed by the lysosome system (Figs. 1–3). There is no indication that they undergo the same active movements.

**Indications That “Early” Endosomes and Phagosomes Also Do Not Move**

Certain types of endocytic organelles also do not undergo the same microtubule-directed movements as lysosomes. The first are “early phagosomes,” marked by rhodamine-tagged 0.2-μm latex beads. In one experiment, macrophages were exposed to Lucifer Yellow (1 mg/ml) for 30 min to preload the same compartments that were normally loaded with HRP, and were then exposed briefly to an acidifying medium that contained the rhodamine-tagged beads. After 5 min in this medium the cells were formaldehyde fixed and examined by fluorescence microscopy. This displayed the usual displacement of yellow lysosomes to the cell periphery (Fig. 8 a), but the recently formed red-bead phagosomes remained randomly distributed (Fig. 8 b).

A second experiment was then devised to determine whether this result was due simply to different rates of movement of large vs. small compartments (the red phagosomes being somewhat larger than the yellow lysosomes). Macrophages of fibroblasts were labeled with HRP for the usual 30 min but in the continuous presence of 70 mM acetate, which inhibits HRP uptake and leads to the appearance of relatively small HRP-containing inclusions (Fig. 9). Current thinking is that these are “early endosomes” that accumulate because cytoplasmic acidification prevents their maturation into later endosomes and lysosomes (14, 62, 81). Of interest here is the fact that these compartments remain randomly distributed in the cell, in spite of their relatively small size and the prolonged exposure to acidifying conditions. Acid phosphatase staining of parallel cells confirmed that lysosomes redistributed to the cell periphery as usual. If the HRP-containing organelles in this experiment are in fact early endosomes, their lack of response to pH changes may suggest that the ability to move may be a correlate of (if not a prerequisite for) endosome maturation.

**Discussion**

The microscopic observations presented here indicate that cytoplasmic acidification alters the normal distribution of secondary lysosomes around the cell center, apparently by provoking their outward movement along microtubules. This
suggests that lysosomes possess microtubule-based motors that can be influenced by ambient pH. Since lysosomes are normally found around the cell center, and can be seen to move toward the cell center by video microscopy (15, 41), it is likely that they possess retrograde motors of the sort that are generally turning out to be cytoplasmic dyneins (78, 80). On the other hand, since lysosomes also display bidirectional movements in video microscopy (15, 41), and now have been found to move away from the cell center in response to cytoplasmic acidification, they may also possess anterograde motors like kinesin (see reference 78 for an excellent review of these motors). Why lysosomes would have anterograde motors is not established. Perhaps they are needed to move lysosomes to the cell periphery and fuse with incoming endosomes, or perhaps they permit recycling components (e.g., receptors) to move out and reenter the plasma membrane (65). In any case, the net effect of cytoplasmic acidification may be to turn off a retrograde motor associated with lysosomes and/or turn on an associated anterograde motor. Alternatively, acidification could reverse a single bidirectional motor (17). Clearly it will be interesting to learn, in in vitro assays, whether acidity inhibits cytoplasmic dynein relative to kinesin and whether alkalinity does the opposite.

**Analogous Organelle Movements in Other Cell Types**

The lysosome movements seen here are strikingly similar to the granule movements observed in melanophores and other pigmented cells (9, 34, 35). In the latter, the mechanisms of pigment–granule movement are becoming clarified by the use of detergent-permeabilized cells (37, 42, 61). Such “models” continue to move granules in an ATP-dependent manner and to display bulk redistributions of the granules in response to various experimental manipulations. For example, in melanophore models, pigment dispersal is activated by exogenous cyclic AMP (38, 60), raising the possibility that changes in cyclic AMP are correlated with the pH changes provoked in the present study. In preliminary experiments we have found that applying membrane-permeant dibutyryl-cyclic AMP (1 mM) or forskolin (50 μM) to macrophages

![Figure 4. pH titration of lysosome distribution in nigericin-treated macrophages. Circles with dots in the center represent the proportion of cells with predominantly centralized lysosomes, while open circles represent the proportion of cells that displayed displacement of lysosomes into at least two distinct peripheral clusters. Monolayer cultures were first exposed to HRP in normal Ringer's for 20 min at 37°C and then incubated for 15 min in 1 μg/ml nigericin in isotonic K+ media (Table I) buffered to the various values on the abscissa (using 2-[N-morpholino]ethane sulfonic acid [MES] buffer for pH 6.2–6.8, Hepes buffer for 7.0–7.6, and EPPS buffer for 7.8–8.2). After fixation and HRP histochemistry, 100 cells from two separate experiments were categorized single blindedly and the resulting proportions were rounded off to the nearest 0.05. (Not shown is the proportion of cells with neither peripheral nor central clusters, which brought the totals at each pH to 1.00. These were clearly the majority at pH 7.0–7.2). The lysosome pattern seen after 15 min in 70 mM acetate or 20 mM NH₄Cl (cf. Table II) would correlate best with the relative cell distributions shown at the arrows. Identical nigericin buffers were used to calibrate 2',7'-bis(carboxyethyl)-5,6-carboxyfluorescein fluorescence measurements of cytoplasmic pH, according to established techniques (55, 75), which further confirmed that 70 mM acetate lowers cytoplasmic pH to ~6.4–6.6 while 20 mM NH₄Cl raises it to ~pH 7.8–8.0 (26).

![Figure 5. Redistribution of acid phosphate-stained lysosomes in mouse bone marrow macrophages. Here the substrate for acid phosphatase histochemistry was β-glycerophosphate; the substrates cytidine 5' monophosphate and thiamine pyrophosphate gave identical results. a illustrates the predominantly central distribution of lysosomes in an untreated cell. b illustrates lysosome breakup and outward movement in a cell treated with 70 mM acetate for 15 min. c illustrates return of lysosomes to the cell center and recovery of their tubular forms in a cell permitted to recover for 10 min from previous acetate treatment. Bar, 20 μm.](image-url)
also promotes outward movements of lysosomes. However, since the effects of these reagents on cytoplasmic pH have not yet been assessed, it is not clear whether cyclic AMP is promoting a pH drop (30, 54) or vice versa. In any case, it is attractive to speculate that in both pigment cells and cultured cells, cyclic AMP triggers the phosphorylation of protein(s) that inhibit cytoplasmic dynein and/or stimulate kinesin activity.

In a similar vein, images strikingly analogous to the ones shown here were recently published in a study of microtubule-directed outward movement of secretory granules in cultured rat atrial myocytes (28). These movements were induced by treatment with monensin, a Na:H ionophore that is generally thought to provoke cytoplasmic alkalization (51, 53); however, since monensin also raises intracellular sodium in these cells (27, 28) it may instead acidify them by shutting down their Na:H antiporter (32, 39, 43). In either case, the observed redistribution of secretory granules presumably represents an enhancement of their normal ability to move anterogradely along microtubules (40, 77). It will be interesting to determine whether changes in cytoplasmic pH accompany such movements, in these and other secretory cells.

Possible Relation of Organelle Redistributions to Membrane Ruffling Activity

The acid-induced redistribution of lysosomes seen here reflects the overall polarity of the cell, in that they accumulate predominantly in regions that were originally ruffling. This is most apparent in J774 macrophages, which tend to ruffle at discrete poles and have microtubules running in bundles from the centrosphere region into these poles (Fig. 2; see also Fig. 7). This polarized microtubule distribution is thought to play a role in targeting the delivery of new membrane to growth areas (8, 31) and in permitting these cells to direct secretion into specific areas where phagocytosis occurs. Thus there may be a link between the peripheral accumulation of organelles and the arrest of membrane ruffling seen here. Possibly, some of the accumulated organelles were destined to discharge in order to support the ruffling, but were prevented from fusing with the plasma membrane by cytoplasmic acidification. This possibility could be evaluated by looking for an enhancement of membrane delivery to the cell surface during acetate washout or during cytoplasmic acidification, since both prompt the resumption of unusually active ruffling.

The observed correlation between stimulation of outward organelle movement and inhibition of cell motility is strikingly similar to the behavior of certain neuronal growth cones in culture. Forscher et al. (18) have shown that pharmacological elevation of cyclic AMP in cultured Aplysia neurons causes rapid distal migration of organelles into growth cones, again with a concomitant retraction of their ruffles. This yields images of growth cones (Fig. 5 b in reference 18) that appear almost identical to the distal processes of acidified macrophages (especially Fig. 2 b). Similar movements
of organelles into growth cones apparently occur spontaneously during the "consolidation" phase of normal neurite growth (1). Such changes in growth cones develop with the same rapid time course as seen during acidification of macrophages and fibroblasts, and are also reversible. Again, it may turn out that cyclic AMP acidifies growth cones by shutting down their Na:H antiporters (22, 30, 54). In this case, the mechanisms that coordinately alter organelle movement and cell ruffling could turn out to be much the same in all motile cells.

**Relating Lysosome Movements to Earlier Observations on Tubular Lysosomes**

The astral arrays of HRP-loaded tubular lysosomes seen here during recovery from acidification (Fig. 5), and also seen occasionally in untreated cells (Table II), are very reminiscent of those described earlier in phorbol-treated peritoneal macrophages (49, 72). The latter cells were found to develop radiating arrays of tubular lysosomes as they spread out on the culture dish in response to phorbols (48, 49). The macrophages used here are already as spread out as the phorbol-stimulated ones of these earlier studies, probably due to their long cultivation in CSF-I-containing media (10, 50), and they often display tubular lysosomes at the outset (Tables II and III). The outward movements induced by acidification thus start from this point and proceed to even further lysosome displacement. The deployment of lysosomal tubules has recently been witnessed directly by video microscopy (15) and has been found to be strictly dependent on the presence of microtubules (72), suggesting that it involves an anterograde motor. Since cytoplasmic acidification causes these tubules as well as the more central elements of the lysosomal system to move all the way to the cell periphery, it could be interpreted as excessive stimulation of this same mechanism. Whether this excess also causes the observed breakdown of lysosomal tubules into vesicles remains to be seen. Here, we have not distinguished between the effects of changing cytoplasmic pH and the effects of changing the luminal pH of the lysosomes themselves. Both may have changed in parallel, so the relevant factor controlling lysosome fusion and fission remains unclear.

We could find only two indications in the earlier literature of lysosomal breakup and displacement as extreme as described here. One was Fig. 1 in reference 20, where macrophages were loaded with a relatively high concentration of chlorite-oxidized potato amylose coupled to fluorescein. The other was Fig. 2 c in reference 24, where ovarian granulosa cells were exposed to acridine orange to label their lysosomes. Both studies claimed that the peripherally displaced lysosomes moved back to the cell center upon exposure to natural ligands (the ligands were yeast cells in the case of the macrophages and serum plus LDL in the case of granulosa cells). This led the observers to suggest that ligand uptake...
somehow induced inward lysosomal movements (20, 24). Based on the present observations, it seems equally likely that either or both methods of labeling lysosomes may have inadvertently acidified the cells in question, thereby inducing lysosome dispersion, and that subsequent exposure to natural ligands simply provided sufficient time for recovery of normal cell pH and normal lysosome centralization. Therefore, in future studies of lysosome shape and distribution, it will clearly be important to determine whether changes in cytoplasmic pH and lumenal pH accompany other experimental manipulations.
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