Localization and Specificity of the Phospholipid and Actin Binding Sites on the Tail of *Acanthamoeba* Myosin IC
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**Abstract.** We used bacterially expressed β-galactosidase fusion proteins to localize the phospholipid binding domain of *Acanthamoeba* myosin IC to the region between amino acids 701 and 888 in the NH2-terminal half of the tail. Using a novel immobilized ligand lipid binding assay, we determined that myosin I can bind to several different acidic phospholipids, and that binding requires a minimum of 5 mol% acidic phospholipid in a neutral lipid background. The presence of di- and triglycerides and sterols in the lipid bilayer do not contribute to the affinity of myosin I for membranes. We confirm that the ATP-insensitive actin binding site is contained in the COOH-terminal 30 kD of the tail as previously shown for *Acanthamoeba* myosin IA. We conclude that the association of the myosin IC tail with acidic phospholipid head groups supplies much of the energy for binding myosin I to biological membranes, but probably not specificity for targeting myosin I isoforms to different cellular locations.

The myosin I class of single-headed molecular motors is thought to be involved in translocation of phospholipid membranes relative to actin filaments, but the mechanism of the association of myosin I with membranes is only partially characterized. Among the specific functions proposed for myosin I are extension of pseudopodia, movement of intracellular vesicles, projection of neuronal growth cones, and expulsion of contractile vacuole contents in protozoans (for reviews see Korn and Hammer, 1990; Kiehart, 1990; Pollard et al., 1991). Many organisms express myosin I, including multiple isoforms in protozoans (Pollard and Korn, 1973; Maruta et al., 1979; Coté et al., 1985; Titus et al., 1989), yeast (Goodson, H. V., personal communication), chicken (Collins and Borysenko, 1984), cow (Hoshimaru and Nakanishi, 1987), and *Drosophila* (Montell and Rubin, 1988). Microscopic localization of myosin I from many organisms suggests association with microvilli (Matsumaira and Burgess, 1979; Porter et al., 1992) and other membranes (Gadasi and Korn, 1979; Hagen et al., 1986; Drenckhahn and Dermietzel, 1988; Fukui et al., 1989; Baines and Korn, 1990; Yonemura and Pollard, 1992).

Most myosin I's consist of two major functional domains, an NH2-terminal region similar to the subfragment 1 of myosin that contains the actin-activated ATPase activity and can support motility in vitro assays, and a nonpolymerizing COOH-terminal extension strikingly different from the tail of myosin II. Indirect evidence implicates this tail region in the association of myosin I with phospholipid membranes (Adams and Pollard, 1989; Hayden et al., 1990). In some myosin I's, the tail is involved in ATP-insensitive actin filament binding as well (Lynch et al., 1986). Some have speculated that the tail also binds to membrane receptors (for review see Pollard et al., 1991), but this has not been demonstrated directly.

*Acanthamoeba* myosin I binds membranes stripped of peripheral proteins with NaOH (Adams and Pollard, 1989) or KI (Miyata et al., 1989), and both *Acanthamoeba* myosin I (Adams and Pollard, 1989) and the avian brush border myosin I (Hayden et al., 1990) bind purified acidic phospholipids. Indirect evidence suggests that phospholipid binding is mediated by the NH2-terminal region of the tail (Adams and Pollard, 1989; Miyata et al., 1989). Myosin I's studied to date show no affinity for neutral phospholipids, and binding to acidic phospholipids is eliminated by high salt.

In this study we used bacterially expressed recombinant proteins to identify the membrane binding region of *Acanthamoeba* myosin I in the NH2-terminal region of the tail. We also used a novel immobilized ligand binding assay to characterize the phospholipid specificity of the interaction of myosin I with membranes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Construction of Plasmids and Cloning of Myosin IC**

We used the recombinant DNA methods of Sambrook et al. (1989) unless otherwise noted. We isolated a nearly full-length cDNA comprising bases 252–3504 of *Acanthamoeba* myosin IC with 182 bases of 3' untranslated sequence from a λgt10 library (Rimm et al., 1989) by screening for hybridization to a radiolabeled restriction fragment derived from the cloned myosin IC cDNA isolated by Jung et al. (1987). This cDNA was originally identified as myosin IB, but was later determined to encode myosin IC (Jung et al., 1989b). The 2,980-bp EcoRI fragment was subcloned into Bluescript KS+ (Stratagene Inc., La Jolla, CA). This plasmid is called p4.5L.
Expression Plasmids

**pT627-888.** We digested p4.5L DNA with HpalI and isolated the 786-bp fragment corresponding to bases 2016-2600, and subcloned this into BglII and isolated BlueScript II K+-. This plasmid is called pT627-888. We then digested pT627-888 with HincII, isolated the 520-bp fragment, and recloset this. This plasmid, which contains bases 2101-2663 in BlueScript II K+, is designated pT627-888. We digested pT627-888 with BamHI and HindIII and subcloned this fragment into BamHI-HindIII-digested pTRB-1.

**pT385-676.** We introduced two new PstI sites at bases 1976 and 2086 by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis of p4.5L using the method of Koren and Wright (1973). The mutated plasmid is designated p4.5LPstAB. After digestion of this plasmid with PstI, we isolated the 837-bp fragment, subcloned this into PstI-digested pTRB-1, and sequenced for orientation of the insert.

**pT900-1168.** Digestion of p4.5LPstAB with PstI and HindIII yields a 1026-bp fragment which was subcloned into PstI-HindIII cut pTRB-1.

**p178-1035.** We incubated two oligonucleotides, CCCAGGAGCCGC- CGTCGC and CGCAAGTCTGCOCGGGATGACG, with p4.5L DNA in a polymerase chain reaction. This reaction yields a fragment consisting of bases 2932-3106 of myosin IC with an additional BamHI site on the 5' end and HindIII site on the 3' end. We digested this fragment with BamHI and HindIII and subcloned it into BamHI-HindIII-digested pTRB-1.

Protein Purification

Chimeric β-galactosidase/myosin IC fusion proteins were purified by a modification of the procedure of Koenen et al. (1985). We transformed *Escherichia coli* K-12 71-18 (Δlac-pro, F lacF2ΔM15) with the expression plasmids described above, inoculated 50-ml cultures of L broth containing 100 μg/ml of either ampicillin or carbenicillin, and incubated with shaking at 37°C overnight. The 50-ml culture was then used to inoculate a 1-liter culture, which was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. We added isopropyl-thio-galactoside to 50 μM, and incubated at 25°C for an additional 4 h. The bacteria were harvested by centrifugation for 20 min at 7000 g, 4°C. We resuspended the cell pellet in 2 vol of STET buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 8% sucrose, 50 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100) supplemented with protease inhibitors (1 mM PMSF, 1 μg/ml each chymostatin, leupeptin, aprotinin, and pepstatin), added lysozyme to 0.2 mg/ml, and incubated on ice for 30 min. The cell suspension was then frozen at −70°C for 1-18 h and thawed in ice water. We lysed the cells by sonication in the thawed suspension three times with a microtip sonicator (Sonifier 450; Branson Ultrasonics Corp., Danbury, CT) at power level 4 for 15 s. The suspension was stored on ice for 2 min between each sonication. We centrifuged the lysed cell pellets for 90 min at 100,000 g, 4°C, and recovered the supernatant. We dialyzed the supernatant 1:1 with A buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 250 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF) and applied this to a 10-ml column of p-aminophanylthiogalactoside-agarose (Steers et al., 1971; Ullmann, 1984) (Sigma Chem. Co., St. Louis, MO) at 4°C. The column was washed with 100 mM NaOH by the method of Zot et al. (1992) and stored at −70°C until use. The fusion protein preparations were centrifuged at 100,000 g, 4°C, for 30 min before use. We diluted the membranes in ME buffer supplemented with 100 μg/ml BSA, added fusion protein, and incubated for 30 min on ice. The mixtures were pelleted in a TL-100 rotor (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA) at 100,000 g, 4°C, and the supernatant for β-galactosidase activity and normalized this activity to that of control samples containing no membranes. Since membranes interfered with the assay, we could not directly measure the concentration of β-galactosidase in the pellet. We fit the data to a bimolecular reaction mechanism using a nonlinear regression analysis program (Regression; Blackwell Scientific Software, Oxford) to determine the equilibrium constant. Since the nature of the binding site on the membrane is not known, the concentration of binding sites was determined from the binding isotherms. The phosphate content of the membrane preparations was determined by ashing in MgNO3 followed by inorganic phosphate analysis with malachite green (Stull and Buss, 1977). We calculated the phospholipid content of the membrane preparations by adjusting the phosphate concentration for the presence of lipophosphoglycan and other phosphate-containing species as reported by Korn and Wright (1973).

Membrane Pelleting Assay

Actin filaments and fusion protein were incubated in 50 mM KCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 6.4, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM ATP on ice for 30 min. We centrifuged the samples at 100,000 g, 4°C, for 1 h, collected the supernatant and pellet, and assayed both for β-galactosidase activity. Both measurements were normalized to controls containing no actin, and the concentration bound was calculated for both. We report the average of the supernatant and pellet calculations, which did not differ by more than 7% in all experiments.
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Microscopy

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: APTG, p-aminophenyl-thiogalactoside; LUVETS, large unilamellar vesicles produced by the extrusion technique; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PS, phosphatidylserine.
directly to a glass microscope slide, and a coverslip was mounted over the sample. We obtained phase and confocal fluorescence images using an MRC 600 confocal imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA) on an Optiphoto microscope (Nikon Inc. Instrument Div., Garden City, NY). Images were printed with a Mitsubishi CP210U color video copy processor or photographed from a high resolution monitor.

Results

Expression and Purification of β-Galactosidase/Myosin I Fusion Proteins in E. coli

The myosin IC molecule can be divided into four regions based on primary structure (Fig. 1). The myosin head is similar to the subfragment 1 of myosin II. The next 200 amino acids have a high net positive charge and are referred to here as the basic domain. At the COOH terminus, two regions with net positive charge that are rich in glycine, proline, and alanine are separated by 50 amino acids called the SH-3 domain, which has sequence homology to a noncatalytic region of the c-src protooncogene (Jung et al., 1989b; Stahl et al., 1988).

We constructed plasmid vectors to express in E. coli five Acanthamoeba myosin IC/β-galactosidase fusion proteins with various parts of myosin I fused to the COOH terminus of E. coli β-galactosidase (Fig. 1). The fusion proteins are named by the prefix "βg" denoting the bacterial sequence, followed by numbers denoting the amino acid residues from myosin IC.

We purified the recombinant proteins by affinity chromatography. All of the fusion proteins were soluble upon lysis after growth at 25°C, indicating that negligible amounts of the recombinant proteins were aggregated or incorporated into bacterial inclusion bodies. When the bacteria were incubated at 37°C rather than 25°C, 10–50% of some fusion proteins pelleted in a 100,000-g centrifugation. The use of slow growth conditions to reduce or eliminate inclusion body formation is well established (Schein and Noteborn, 1988; Chalmers et al., 1990). The five fusion proteins were expressed at varying levels, constituting 5–25% of soluble bacterial protein as determined by the method of Bradford (1976). After induction, all lysates showed a major band at the appropriate molecular weight; however, some preparations also had one to three bands with mobilities between the fusion protein and native β-galactosidase (Fig. 2). These presumed breakdown products constituted up to 25% of the total eluate from the APTG–agarose column, but the presence of visible breakdown products had no effect on fusion...
protein behavior in pelleting assays. β-Galactosidase is active as a tetramer, and we suspect that heterotetramer products formed between full-length and degraded proteins, resulting in at least one intact binding site per β-galactosidase fusion protein. SDS-PAGE of supernatants and pellets from pelleting assays of preparations containing breakdown products show equivalent amounts of full-length and smaller products (data not shown).

**Binding of Fusion Proteins to Stripped Membranes**

Recombinant molecules containing residues 701–888 (βg677–888 and βg701–888) pellet with membranes (Fig. 3), and proteins lacking this region do not. The fusion proteins containing the COOH-terminal 30 kD of the myosin IC tail (βg900–1168) and the SH-3 region alone (βg978–1035) show no affinity for stripped membranes in these experiments (Fig. 3).

The amount of βg627–888 and βg701–888 binding to stripped membranes depends on the concentrations of fusion protein (Fig. 3) and of stripped membranes (Fig. 4) and all of the data is consistent with a dissociation equilibrium constant of 200 nM. This value is similar to those previously reported for intact myosin I binding to these membrane preparations (Adams and Pollard, 1989). The number of binding sites determined by nonlinear regression analysis varied <10% in all membrane preparations used, and the saturation capacity of the membranes was ~1 μg fusion protein per μg membrane protein, or one fusion protein binding site per 400 phospholipid molecules. The fusion proteins do not bind to stripped membranes in 500 mM NaCl (data not shown).

βg627–888 competes with *Acanthamoeba* myosin IB for stripped membrane binding sites (Fig. 5). The amount of bound fusion protein in the presence of varying concentrations of myosin IB is consistent with the Kd for βg627–888, the concentration of binding sites determined by cosedimentation in the absence of myosin IB, and the Kd for myosin I reported by Adams and Pollard (1989). Neither βg388–676 nor βg900–1168 competed with myosin IB for binding to stripped membranes (data not shown).

**Binding of Unilamellar Vesicles to Immobilized βg701–888**

Fluorescent LUVETS containing 30% PS bind to βg701–888 immobilized on APTG-agarose beads, but not to immobi-
lized β-galactosidase (Fig. 6). Fluorescent LUVETS were excluded from the interior of the agarose beads, as expected from the pore size of Sepharose 4B and the diameter of the LUVETS.

PS/PC LUVETS containing 5–30% PS bind to βg701–888 immobilized on APTG–agarose beads (Figs. 7 and 8). We determined the bound and free LUVET concentrations by radioactive labeling of the vesicles with 14C-PC and after correction for background binding calculated the apparent $K_d$ by nonlinear regression analysis as described above. A minimum concentration of 5 mol% PS is required for vesicle binding to immobilized fusion protein. The apparent $K_d$ values for PS/PC LUVETS binding to βg701–888/APTG–agarose in this assay are much less than 10 nM for 10 mol% to 30 mol% PS, while LUVETS containing 2.5 mol% PS have an apparent $K_d$ greater than 200 nM, which is beyond the sensitivity of this assay. LUVETS with 5–7.5 mol% PS have intermediate affinities.

LUVETS containing 20 mol% of either of two acidic phospholipids, phosphatidylinositol or phosphatidic acid, bind to βg701–888/APTG–agarose with apparent $K_d$ values of 5.0 and 6.8 nM, respectively (Table I). These results compare quite closely with the apparent $K_d$ of 50 nM for binding of 20 mol% PS. Neutral phospholipids bind weakly, if at all, with apparent $K_d$ values of 140–150 nM.

LUVETS with the same phospholipid composition as Acanthamoeba plasma membranes (47.2% phosphatidylethanolamine, 26.9% PS, 18.6% PC, 5.0% phosphatidic acid, 2.6% cardiolipin, and 0.2% PI; Ulsamer et al., 1971) bind βg701–888/APTG–agarose with an apparent $K_d$ of 5.2 nM (Table I). Within the sensitivity of the assay, addition of diglycerides and triglycerides in the molar ratios determined by Ulsamer et al. (1971) had no effect on the apparent $K_d$, nor did addition of up to 30 mol% cholesterol or 10 mol% ergosterol, the maximum that could be incorporated into the LUVETS.

**Binding of Fusion Proteins to Actin Filaments**

βg900–1168, which includes the COOH-terminal 30 kD of the myosin IC tail, binds to actin filaments with an uncorrected $K_d$ of 560 nM (Fig. 9). The $K_d$ was determined by nonlinear least squares analysis of the binding data. This assay is complicated by relatively high background binding due to β-galactosidase–actin interactions. When corrected for actin binding to β-galactosidase alone, the dissociation constant is 100 nM. This is similar to the $K_d$ of <500 nM reported by Lynch et al. (1986) for binding of a COOH-terminal 30-kD proteolytic fragment of Acanthamoeba myosin IA to actin filaments.

βg978–1035, which includes the SH-3 domain but not the surrounding sequences, shows no binding above background.
Table I. Apparent Dissociation Constants for Binding of LUVETS to Bg701–888/APTG–Agarose: Dependence on the LUVET Composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LUVET Composition</th>
<th>Apparent $K_d$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acidic Phospholipids</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% PS</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% PA</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% PI</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral Phospholipids</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% PC</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44% PE</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma Membrane Compositions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM/Glycerides</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM/Glycerides/30% Cholesterol</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM/Glycerides/10% Ergosterol</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20%PS, 20 mol% PS/80 mol% PC; 20%PA, 20 mol% PA/80 mol% PC; 20%PI, 20 mol% PI/80 mol% PC; 44%PE, 44 mol% PE/56 mol% PC; PM, LUVETS composed of phospholipids in the molar ratios determined for Acanthamoeba plasma membranes (47.2% PE, 26.9% PS, 18.6% PC, 5.0% PA, 2.6% cardiolipin, 0.2% PI; Ulsamer et al., 1971); PM/Glycerides, PM LUVETS with di- and triglycerides added in the molar ratios determined by Ulsamer et al. (1971); PM/Glycerides/30% Cholesterol, PM/Glycerides LUVETS with 30 mol% cholesterol added; PM/Glycerides/10% Ergosterol, PM/Glycerides LUVETS with 10 mol% ergosterol added.

in this assay (Fig. 9). Elimination of the SH-3 domain from βg900–1168 reduces actin binding to background levels (data not shown); however, this may be due to improper folding of the surrounding sequences rather than direct interaction between the SH-3 sequence and actin filaments. Alternatively, the surrounding glycine-, proline-, and alanine-rich domains may be necessary for proper folding of the SH-3 polypeptide. βg627–888 and βg388–676 also show no affinity above background for actin filaments in this assay.

Discussion

We used recombinant fusion proteins as both free and immobilized ligands to map functional domains and to study lipid specificity in the binding of myosin IC to anionic phospholipids. This system has the advantages of versatility in protein construction, ease of protein purification, and experimental accessibility with both stripped natural membranes and synthetic phospholipid vesicles.

In addition to traditional pelleting assays with isolated membranes, we used a new assay for association of phospholipid head groups with peripheral membrane proteins. This method has three advantages over a conventional pelleting assay with soluble proteins and non-LUVET lipid vesicles. First, LUVETS are much more homogeneous than most other phospholipid preparations. The narrow size distribution and absence of multilamellar vesicles makes quantitation of binding results considerably more accurate. Second, immobilization of the ligand on agarose beads facilitates rapid, clean separation of the bound and free lipid by a simple centrifugation step. This is particularly important with LUVETS that have approximately the same density as the buffer and hence cannot be pelleted away from the free pro-
tein. Third, we purified and immobilized the fusion protein in the same step by binding it to beads coupled to APTG. The coupling method described here using the nonhydrolyzable substrate analogue APTG to bind β-galactosidase is by no means the only useful method. We expect that nonsubstrate affinity resins such as antibody-coupled agarose or calmodulin–agarose would be equally convenient. Covalent binding of proteins to agarose beads using CNBr-activated agarose might also be productive, although less convenient because of the need to purify the protein before coupling.

In spite of many advantages, both of the assays used in this study have some limitations. The analysis of the stripped membrane pelleting assay is complicated by the tetrameric nature of β-galactosidase, which prohibits an exact measurement of the dissociation constant. The immobilized ligand assay also yields apparent rather than actual values for the dissociation constants, since the exact nature of the fusion protein binding site on the vesicles (and therefore the number of binding sites per vesicle) is unknown. Further, the relative size of the LUVETS and agarose beads make it likely that one binding event will sterically hinder other fusion protein molecules on the beads from interacting with other LUVETS. Nevertheless, the values determined in both assays are useful as a relative measure of binding affinity, if not an exact determination of the protein/lipid binding site dissociation constant. Because of these limitations, the dissociation constants determined from these two assays are not directly comparable.

The results described here localize the phospholipid binding site of Acanthamoeba myosin IC to the region between amino acids 701 and 888, in the NH₂-terminal half of the tail. This is reasonable based on previous indirect evidence showing that neither the head domain of skeletal muscle myosin nor the COOH-terminal 30-kD fragment of the myosin IA tail bind lipids (Adams and Pollard, 1989). This region may not be the minimum essential phospholipid binding site, but it certainly contains this site within its boundaries.

The major distinguishing characteristic of this region is the high concentration of the basic amino acids lysine, arginine, and histidine. There is some sequence similarity between the myosin IC membrane binding domain and the other protozoan isoforms (Hammer, 1991), and, although this sequence is not well conserved among myosin Is in higher eukaryotes, the net positive charge in the NH₂-terminal region of the tail is a common feature of many myosin Is. The net charge in the 200 amino acids after the head domain range from +22 for Acanthamoeba myosin IB to +40 for the avian brush border myosin I (Fig. 10). We suggest that the basic domains of these molecules are indeed homologous, i.e., evolutionarily related, despite the low level of sequence similarity. Several gene duplication events could have given rise to the family of myosin I genes. If there is no selection for exact sequence in the basic domain, but rather a general selection for positively charged amino acids on the tail surface, we might expect to see charge conservation without sequence similarity in this region, even as the head and remainder of the tail domains remain relatively well conserved.

Our experiments with stripped Acanthamoeba membranes and β-galactosidase fusion proteins yield an apparent dissociation constant of 200 nM. This measurement is in good agreement with previous observations. Adams and Pollard (1989) reported a $K_d$ of 139 nM in binding experiments with intact Acanthamoeba myosin IA and IB and membrane preparations identical to those used in this study. In experiments using highly purified plasma membranes stripped of peripheral membrane proteins by KI, Miyata et al. (1989) reported a lower $K_d$ of 40 nM. The higher molar fraction of acidic phospholipids in plasma membranes compared with the unaffiliated membrane preparations used here may contribute to the difference in affinity. The plasma membrane phospholipids of Acanthamoeba are 34.7% acidic phospholipids (Ulsamer et al., 1971), while total Acanthamoeba phospholipids are only 21.8% acidic (Ulsamer et al., 1969). Our lipid preparations are undoubtedly closer to the "total" composition, while the membranes prepared by Miyata et al. (1989) should have a higher acidic phospholipid content and therefore a higher affinity for myosin I.

The ratio of phospholipid molecules to myosin I binding sites on the stripped membranes is ~400:1. The actual number of acidic phospholipid head groups per binding site is certainly much lower than 400, since the membranes used in this assay are multilamellar and only ~25% of the phospholipids are acidic.

The interaction between positively charged amino acids on the myosin I tail and negatively charged phospholipids in the membrane appears to be primarily electrostatic. There is no evidence for a transmembrane domain in myosin I, and no suggestion of any acyl modifications. Binding of the myosin I C-terminal fusion proteins to lipid bilayers requires ~5% acidic phospholipids and is inhibited by high salt. All negatively charged phospholipids tested support binding. The conservation of net positive charge in the NH₂-terminal region of the tails of many myosin Is suggests that the affinity for acidic phospholipids may be mediated by a common mechanism in these molecules. The binding appears to be relatively forgiving of variations in lipid head structure and protein primary sequence.

Association of the myosin I tail with phospholipids may be the primary interaction that concentrates myosin I at the membrane. This conclusion is supported by the ability of pure lipid bilayers to support myosin I–based motility of actin filaments (Zot et al., 1992).

Nevertheless, the presence of many isoforms of myosin I from different genes in both Acanthamoeba (Jung et al., 1987,1989) and Dictyostelium (Jung et al., 1989a; Titus et al., 1989) and the strikingly different localization of the two ninaC isoforms in the Drosophila photoreceptor cell (Porter et al., 1992) suggest that membranes may also have protein receptors that lend some specificity to the interaction of these isoforms with various membranes. The hypothetical interactions of myosin I with protein receptors might be relatively weak since phospholipid binding may concentrate myosin I at the membrane. Local differences in phospholipid composition may contribute to the differential localization of myosin I isoforms, but the relative promiscuity of myosin I for all acidic phospholipids makes this less likely.

Pelleting experiments prove the presence of an ATP-insensitive actin binding site in the COOH-terminal 30 kD of myosin IC, similar to that found directly in myosin IA (Lynch et al., 1986) and indirectly in myosin IB (Brzeska et al., 1988). This site, between residue 900 and the COOH terminus at residue 1168, binds actin with a submicromolar $K_d$. When corrected for actin–β-galactosidase interactions,
the dissociation constant for the myosin IC fusion proteins is $\sim 100$ nM, similar to the value of 120-340 nM for the COOH-terminal 30-kD proteolytic fragment of myosin IA (Lynch et al., 1986). The multivalent fusion proteins may cross-link actin filaments in this assay, but we could not detect this by falling viscometry (data not shown). Protease protection assays provided evidence for the localization of the ATP-sensitive actin binding site of Acanthamoeba myosin IA in the NH$_2$-terminal 62 kD of the molecule (Brzeska et al., 1988). Since $\beta$g388-676 (which includes the region corresponding to the myosin IA ATP-sensitive actin binding site) does not bind to actin filaments, sequences in the NH$_2$-terminal 40 kD of myosin IC may be necessary for the folding and/or activity of this site. The NH$_2$-terminal 40-kD region includes the polyphosphate binding site and the phosphorylation site necessary for activation of the ATPase activity.

The SH-3 domain of myosin IC lies within the region reported here as the actin binding region. This sequence motif has been speculated to be either a membrane binding site (Rodaway et al., 1989) or an actin binding site (Drubin et al., 1990). Our results can neither support nor explicitly disprove these hypotheses. The lack of affinity for actin by fusion proteins containing only SH-3 sequences suggests that this sequence either may not interact directly with actin or may require the surrounding glycine-, proline-, and alanine-rich domains to fold correctly. It is also possible that the SH-3 domain is shielded from the actin by some portion of the $\beta$-galactosidase molecule. We suspect that the SH-3 domain may be involved in myosin I-receptor interactions, since many SH-3 proteins are transiently associated with membranes in vivo (Clark et al., 1990; Hamaguchi and Hanafusa, 1987; Todderud et al., 1990) and do not appear to have appreciable affinity for actin or phospholipids alone.

We thank David Rimm and Sutherland Maciver for isolation of the myosin IC cDNA; Pam Maupin and Michael Delanoy for help with microscopy; Laura Macheky for advice on lipid techniques; and Rob Jensen for his gift of the pTRB vectors. We also thank Simon Atkinson and Kathleen Ryan for critical reading of the manuscript, and Henry Zot for helpful discussions.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant GM26132.

Received for publication 10 December 1991 and in revised form 24 March 1992.

References
Montell, C., and G. M. Rubin. 1988. The Drosophila ninaC locus encodes two...
photoreceptor cell specific proteins with domains homologous to protein kinases and the myosin heavy chain head. Cell. 52:757-772.