Inhibition of Desmin Expression Blocks Myoblast Fusion and Interferes with the Myogenic Regulators MyoD and Myogenin
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Abstract. The muscle-specific intermediate filament protein, desmin, is one of the earliest myogenic markers whose functional role during myogenic commitment and differentiation is unknown. Sequence comparison of the presently isolated and fully characterized mouse desmin cDNA clones revealed a single domain of polypeptide similarity between desmin and the basic and helix-loop-helix region of members of the myoD family myogenic regulators. This further substantiated the need to search for the function of desmin. Constructs designed to express anti-sense desmin RNA were used to obtain stably transfected C2C12 myoblast cell lines. Several lines were obtained where expression of the anti-sense desmin RNA inhibited the expression of desmin RNA and protein down to basal levels. As a consequence, the differentiation of these myoblasts was blocked; complete inhibition of myoblast fusion and myotube formation was observed. Rescue of the normal phenotype was achieved either by spontaneous revertants, or by overexpression of the desmin sense RNA in the defective cell lines. In several of the cell lines obtained, inhibition of desmin expression was followed by differential inhibition of the myogenic regulators myoD and/or myogenin, depending on the stage and extent of desmin inhibition in these cells. These data suggested that myogenesis is modulated by at least more than one pathway and desmin, which so far was believed to be merely an architectural protein, seems to play a key role in this process.

Desmin is the muscle-specific member of the intermediate filament (IF) protein multigene family (Lazarides and Hubbard, 1976). It is encoded by a single gene (Capetanaki et al., 1984a; Quax et al., 1985; Herrman et al., 1989; Li et al., 1989; Li and Capetanaki, 1993) which is expressed in all muscle types (for review see Lazarides, 1980; Holtzer et al., 1982; Lazarides et al., 1982; Osborn et al., 1982; Lazarides and Capetanaki, 1986; Franke, 1987; Steinert and Roop, 1988). During early development desmin seems to be one of the earliest myogenic markers (Bennet et al., 1979; Gard and Lazarides, 1980; Kaufman and Foster, 1988; Herrman et al., 1989; Schaar et al., 1989; Choi et al., 1990). It first appears at 8.25 d postcoitus (dpc) in the neuroectoderm where it is transiently expressed together with vimentin and keratin. Then it appears in the heart rudiment at 8.5 dpc where no other muscle-specific gene product has yet been found and at 9.0 dpc it appears in the myotome (Fürst et al., 1989; Schaar et al., 1989). Desmin seems to be expressed at low levels in satellite cells (Allen et al., 1991) and replicating myoblasts (Dlugosz et al., 1984; Hill et al., 1986; Kaufman and Foster, 1988) which express high levels of the growth-regulated IF protein vimentin. Myogenesis in vitro is accompanied by down-regulation of vimentin and accumulation of desmin (Bennet et al., 1979; Gard and Lazarides, 1980; Holtzer et al., 1982; Capetanaki et al., 1984a,b; Fischman and Danto, 1985; Olson and Capetanaki, 1989). We have previously shown that C2 myoblasts transfected with oncogenic ras genes express higher vimentin levels and fail to down-regulate vimentin and up-regulate desmin mRNAs (Olson and Capetanaki, 1989). Although these cells do not differentiate, they do express low levels of desmin suggesting that the regulation of the initial expression of desmin is independent of terminal differentiation.

Because of the lack of any functional studies, we do not know the role of desmin in myoblasts, early in development or in terminally differentiated muscle. The biological function of IF in general remains elusive (for review see Traub, 1985; Klymkowsky et al., 1989). It was initially suggested that in terminally differentiated myotubes, a potential role for desmin is to form a transcytoplasmic integrating matrix, linking individual myofibers laterally at their Z-disks and to the sarcolemma or longitudinally connecting successive Z-bands in elongating myofibrils (Granger and Lazarides, 1989).
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All the above observations justified the need to search for
the function of desmin during the initial and terminal stages of
myogenic differentiation. We have isolated mouse desmin
cDNAs and determined their complete primary amino acid
structure. Computer sequence homology search has revealed
significant homology between desmin and the basic and
helix-loophelix (HLH) region of members of the MyoD
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myogenic regulators can convert fibroblasts to myoblasts
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Materials and Methods
Isolation of Mouse Desmin cDNA

The mouse desmin cDNAs were isolated from a mouse myogenic BC3H-1
lambda-gt10 cDNA library (LaPolla et al., 1984), provided by Dr. N.
Davidson (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA), by screening
with a chicken desmin cDNA probe (Capetanaki et al., 1984a) using con-
ventional methods as previously described (Capetanaki et al., 1983).

Nucleotide Sequencing and Analysis of Protein Structure

Restriction fragments of the mouse desmin cDNA MD10; were subcloned
in M13 and part of the nucleotide sequencing was performed by the dideoxy
method (Sanger et al., 1980). In addition, the MD10 insert was subcloned
in blue script and deletion clones were similarly sequenced. Gaps were se-
quenced using synthetic desmin oligonucleotides as primers. The amino
acid sequence was deduced from the nucleotide sequence by computer using
the Eugene program. Sequence comparisons were performed using the
Lawrence program (Lawrence and Goldman, 1988).

RNA Isolation and Analysis

C2C12 myoblasts were maintained and differentiated as previously de-
scribed (Olson and Capetanaki, 1989). RNAs from different stages during
myogenesis were isolated by a single step method using acid guanidin-
thiocyanate-phenol chloroform extraction (Chomczynski and Sacchi,
1987). RNA blot analysis was performed as described previously (Cape-
tanaki et al., 1983) and the quantitative levels were determined by den-
sitometry and normalized for the loading differences, probe length, and
specific activity.

Cultures and Transfections

The mouse skeletal muscle cell line C2C12 (Blaub et al., 1983) was grown
in DME containing 20% FCS as previously described (Olson et al., 1986;
Olson and Capetanaki, 1989). To initiate differentiation, cultures at 80% con-
fluence were transferred to DME containing 10% HS (or 2% FCS). Cultures
of C2C12 cells in 100 mM culture dishes containing ~0.5 × 106
cells were transfected by calcium phosphate precipitation (Graham and Van
der Ed, 1973) with the pMAMneo + desmin constructs bearing the desmin
cDNA in anti-sense and sense orientation, as shown in Fig. 4. The desmin
in this construct was under transcriptional control of the mouse mammary
tumor virus long terminal repeat (MMTV-LTR) aimed to confer glucocorti-
roid inducibility to the cDNA. All vectors in this construct are introduced
in the SalI side of the polylinker of pMAMneo vector obtained from Clon-
tech (Palo Alto, CA), which also carries the neo gene for G418 selection.
At 32 to 40 h after transfection, cells were transferred to three 100-mm
dishes, and 60 h after transfection, 400 µg/ml G418 was added to the cul-
tures of growth medium. Individual resistant colonies were isolated 14 d
later and passaged into stable cell lines. Clonal cell lines were exposed to
500 µM dexamethasone and were tested further. Rescue of the defective
phenotype was achieved by the use of constructs expressing high levels of
sense desmin from the very strong cytomegalovirus (CMV) enhancer/pro-
moter. In this case the desmin cDNA was inserted into the pRc/CMV vec-
tor, a modification of pCMV5 (McGregor and Caskey, 1989), obtained
from Invitrogen (San Diego, CA) by directional cloning into the Hind
III/XbaI site of the polylinker. 20 µg of this vector were transfected into the
fusion-suppressed antisense cell lines by calcium phosphate precipita-
tion, followed after 15 h by glycerol shock, and the next day cells were
switched to differentiation promoting media and analyzed after 4 d.
RNA PCR Analysis

cDNA synthesis of the desmin anti-sense RNA was performed with 10 μg total RNA using oligo(dT) as a primer. Part of the 3' half of the cDNA was amplified by PCR using Tag DNA polymerase as previously described (Saki et al., 1985) to detect the expression levels of the anti-sense desmin RNA. Denaturation, annealing, and extension were performed for 1 min at 90°C, 2 min at 60°C, and 3 min at 72°C, respectively, in 40 cycles. PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Immunofluorescence and Western Blot Analysis

Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed using standard techniques. In the case of staining for desmin (D antibody [Danto and Fishman, 1984] or D-8281 antibody; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and myosin (MF20 antibody) (Bader et al., 1982), cells were fixed in ethanol at −20°C for 10 min and the antibody incubations were performed at 37°C for 30 min. For detection of MyoD and myogenin, the antibodies used were obtained from Dr. Weintraub's (T Apost, 1988) and Dr. Wright's laboratories (Wright et al., 1991), respectively, and were incubated at room temperature for 1 h with cells fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.15% Triton X-100 for 10 min. Western blot analysis was performed with the Sigma desmin antibodies as previously described (Capetanaki et al., 1990).

Results

Isolation and Characterization of Mouse Desmin cDNAs

To isolate the mouse desmin cDNA, we screened a lambda-gt10 cDNA library from the mouse myogenic cell line BC3H-1, using the available chicken desmin-specific probe (Capetanaki et al., 1984a). Several clones were obtained. The longest one, pMD10-2, 1,497 bp, was subcloned into M13 and bluescript vectors and further characterized. Genomic DNA blot analysis performed using pMD10, insert suggested that the desmin gene occurs once in the haploid mouse genome (data not shown).

The nucleotide sequence of the entire insert from the isolated desmin cDNA clone, pMD10, was established by sequence analysis of both strands. The obtained sequence (Fig. 1) comprises 1,497 bp which includes 83 bp of 5' untranslated region, 1,407 bp of coding sequence and only 7 bp of 3' untranslated region. The 1,407-bp coding region of this isolated region, 1,407 bp of coding sequence and only 7 bp of 3' untranslated region. The 1,407-bp coding region of this

Figure 1. Nucleotide sequence and the predicted complete amino acid sequence of mouse desmin from BC3H-1 cells. Initiation and termination codons are underlined. Mouse vimentin (Capetanaki et al., 1990) is included for comparison. The non-α-helical head (NH2-terminus) and tail (COOH-terminus) domains and the α-helical rod middle domain are indicated. Hydrophobic residues in positions a and d of the heptads are indicated by dots below vimentin sequence. This sequence data is available from EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ under accession number L22550.

Adjacent Regions of Desmin Show Similarity to the Basic and HLH Region of Members of the MyoD Family

We have recently shown that the growth-regulated IF protein vimentin shares domains of similarity with the DNA-binding/leucine zipper motif of the growth regulators fos, jun, and CREB (Capetanaki et al., 1990). We wanted to determine if a similar relationship exists between the muscle-specific IF protein desmin and the known myogenic regulatory proteins. A computer sequence search revealed a single domain of homology (Fig. 2). Interestingly, this domain is
posed (Murre et al., 1989) for all the above HLH proteins myoD family, necessary for obligatory heterodimer formation with the ubiquitous HLH proteins El2 and E47 (Murre et al., 1989), and overlaps the basic region responsible for DNA binding (Davis et al., 1990). The similarity to desmin amino acids is indicated by a vertical line above the corresponding amino acids. Stars (*) show conserved amino acids.

Figure 2. Similarity between desmin and myogenic members of the HLH motif containing family. Sequences were aligned to maximize similarity of desmin (DESM) to the shown consensus 1 sequence for the HLH region of all known members adapted from Murre et al., 1989. Consensus 2 is deduced by comparison of desmin, myoD (Davis et al., 1990), myogenin (myoG) (Edmondson and Olson, 1989), and El2 (Murre et al., 1989). The ψ symbol indicates hydrophobic residues. The capitals in consensus 2 indicate identity to consensus 1. Dots represent gaps in sequence introduced to allow the alignment. Dots above the vimentin sequence indicate the hydrophobic residues in positions a and d of the heptads of desmin and vimentin. Dashed lines above desmin show the amino acids of vimentin (VIM) identical to desmin. The end of helix Ia and beginning of helix Ib of vimentin and desmin are indicated by arrows above the sequences. The basic domain and the helix I and helix II of the HLH family are underlined below the sequences. Identity to desmin amino acids is indicated by a vertical line above the corresponding amino acids. Stars (*) show conserved amino acids.

The initially reported myc-like DNA-binding domain of myoD found to be sufficient for activation of the muscle differentiation program (Tapscott et al., 1988). It includes the proposed HLH motif present in all known members of the myoD family, necessary for obligatory heterodimer formation with the ubiquitous HLH proteins El2 and E47 (Murre et al., 1989), and overlaps the basic region responsible for DNA binding (Davis et al., 1990). The similarity to desmin covers the end of desmin helix Ia, the linker, and the beginning of helix Ib. Among all the known members of the HLH family, myoD, myogenin, and El2 show the highest similarity to desmin. Desmin closely follows the consensus proposed (Murre et al., 1989) for all the above HLH proteins (Fig. 2, consensus 1). When the group is restricted to desmin, myoD, myogenin, and El2, a more extensive consensus is revealed (Fig. 2, consensus 2). The observed similarities apply to other members of the IF family including vimentin which shows highest similarity at the basic and helix I region and the least in helix II. Lamin C, however, follows the consensus mainly in helix II and not in the other two domains (Murre et al., 1989). Further comparisons of mouse desmin also revealed domains of similarity with the transcription factors, fos, jun, and CREB, as predicted due to its high homology with vimentin (Capetanaki et al., 1990). An interesting aspect of all the above similarities is that they all are localized at the same overlapping or adjacent regions of desmin, that is the end of helix Ia and the beginning of the helix Ib (data not shown).

Expression of Desmin in Comparison to MyoD and Myogenin During Myogenesis In Vitro

To investigate the expression of desmin in relation to the transcription regulators, myoD, and myogenin, we examined the accumulation of their mRNA at very early stages and throughout myogenesis of C2C12 cells (Fig. 3). Analysis of myoblasts growing in high serum concentrations any time after plating exhibit easily detectable levels of desmin mRNA, significantly lower levels of myoD mRNA (about 20% of that of desmin), and no detectable myogenin mRNA. There is no significant increase of desmin and myoD RNA by 48 h after plating. By 6 h (D0 in Fig. 3), however, an increase of around fivefold is observed in the abundance of desmin mRNA and threefold of myoD mRNA. It is not until 8 h after serum is withdrawn that myogenin mRNA is first detected, and then exhibits a fourfold induction by 18 h and a 24-fold increase by 24 h after switching to differentiation media. This data is consistent with previously reported observations (Edmondson and Olson, 1989; Wright et al., 1989). Interestingly, a 36–38% decrease in the abundance of myogenin mRNA is observed by day 4, followed by an increase which, by day 10, rises 23-fold above the basal level. After withdrawal of the high serum from the media, desmin mRNA shows an 18% increase in 4 h, while myoD mRNA does not seem to change before 12 h. By 24 h, however, myoD mRNA reaches its maximum level (about eight times the basal value), then drops to three times the basal level by the third
day and then in 3 d, increases again sixfold above the basal level and then in 3 d, increases again sixfold above the basal level. Notably, an abrupt decrease by day 2 of both myoD and myogenin is observed when the accumulation of these RNAs is quantitated densitometrically and normalized by the 28S ribosomal signal (data not shown). The pattern of vimentin mRNA expression during C2C12 cell differentiation is also included in Fig. 3. It has already been shown that, contrary to desmin, the abundance of vimentin mRNA decreases during myogenensis (Capetanaki et al., 1983, 1984a,b; Olson and Capetanaki, 1989). By 68 h after plating, vimentin mRNA abundance decreases by 66%. 12 h after serum withdrawal from the media, vimentin mRNA decreases to 24% the starting level and interestingly, after six hours, shows a sharp increase (25% of basal level) and then decreases again. By day 10, vimentin mRNA decreases to only 9% of the basal level.

Inhibition of Desmin Expression by Anti-sense RNA

In order to inhibit the expression of desmin during myogenensis in vitro, the constructs shown in Fig. 4 were prepared. The entire mouse desmin cDNA (102) or a truncated form of it (122) missing the 1/3 3' end of the molecule were inserted in both sense and anti-sense orientation in the pMEM neo vector where the strong Rous sarcoma virus-LTR (RSV-LTR) enhancer is linked to the dexamethasone inducible MMTV-LTR promoter for control of high level expression. In the present experiments, however, this promoter was leaking significantly so that constitutive expression was inevitable (see below). C2C12 cells were transfected with the above constructs and colonies resistant to G418 were isolated and screened by Southern blot analysis. One to five copies of the desmin constructs were incorporated in the stably transfected cell lines obtained (data not shown).

Several of these colonies were grown for 24 or 48 h at subconfluent densities in growth medium (DME with 20% FCS) in the presence of 0.5 μM dexamethasone. As mentioned above, under these conditions, C2 myoblasts proliferate and express low levels of desmin and myoD (Fig. 3) but no myogenin or other myogenic regulatory proteins. When these cell lines reached 80% confluency, they were transferred to differentiation-promoting medium (DME with 2% FCS or 10% HS, also containing 0.5 μM dexamethasone). Normal C2 myoblasts under such conditions start fusing to multinucleate myotubes and the onset of differentiation is marked by induction of myogenin mRNA and increased accumulation of desmin mRNA and the rest of the muscle-specific gene products.

To determine the effect of the anti-sense RNA on the level of desmin expression in the transfected cell lines, we examined both RNA and protein. Total RNA isolated from cultures grown for 48 h in growth medium or switched and maintained for 48 h in differentiation-promoting medium was analyzed by Northern blots using the mouse desmin cDNA insert MD10. Fig. 5A shows examples out of several anti-sense cell lines studied where a dramatic or moderate suppression of desmin mRNA accumulation had occurred. Densitometry of the obtained biots and normalization for RNA content against 28S ribosomal RNA (not shown) allowed approximate quantitation of the observed inhibition. In cell line 102.1.05 considerable desmin mRNA inhibition was displayed both in cultures maintained 48 h in growth (>99%) and differentiation (~85%)-promoting medium. On the other hand, clone 102.1.06 exhibited maximum desmin mRNA inhibition in cultures maintained for 48 h in differentiation-promoting medium (~93%), whereas during growth no detectable inhibition was observed. Clone 123.1.04 produced an inhibition pattern similar to that of 102.1.05 but to a lesser extent; under growth and differentiation conditions inhibition was ~22 and ~62%, respectively. No change was observed in those cell lines transfected with constructs of desmin in sense orientation (103.7.14).

When the desmin anti-sense RNA effect was examined at the protein level by Western blot analysis (Fig. 5B), in most cell lines desmin protein was suppressed proportionally to the suppression of desmin mRNA. Clone 102.1.05 showed a 60% inhibition of desmin in growth and 85% inhibition under differentiation-promoting conditions. Similarly, in clone 123.1.04 there was a 27 and 70% inhibition of desmin under growth and differentiation conditions, respectively. There were cases however, like clone 102.1.02 where protein inhibition under growth conditions for instance, reached up to 50% of the normal level while no RNA inhibition was observed. Different mechanisms of anti-sense action could explain such observations (see Discussion). Under differentiation conditions the same clone demonstrated desmin inhibition at both protein (70%) and RNA level (93%). Similar results were obtained regardless of the presence or absence of dexamethasone, which initially suggested constitutive expression...
of the anti-sense RNA from the constructs used and that is indeed documented in Fig. 6, lane 2.

Initial attempts to detect the desmin anti-sense RNA transcribed from the transfected constructs using RNA blot analysis were not successful. Given the fact that sense-antisense RNA duplex formation and rapid degradation is one of the accepted mechanisms of anti-sense action, the expected anti-sense RNA surviving this process could be of low abundance. To detect low levels of desmin anti-sense RNA from different cell lines, total RNA from cultures maintained in growth or differentiation-promoting medium in the presence or absence of dexamethasone was analyzed by reverse transcription-PCR using oligo (dT) and the primer shown in Fig. 4. Several controls are included: RNA from normal C2C12 cells (lane J) cultured for 48 h in GM and from cell line 102.7.14 bearing the desmin construct in sense orientation and cultured for 48 h in GM (lane 9) or 48 h after switching to DM (lane 10). In lane 2, cells were cultured in the absence of dexamethasone in contrast to all the other cases. Lane 11 contains buffer only and lane 12 contains control plasmid. The expected 835-bp long PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

**Figure 6. Detection of desmin anti-sense RNA in cells with inhibited desmin expression.** Total RNA isolated from cell line 123.1.04 (described in Figs. 5 and 6) after 24 h (lanes 2 and 3) and 48 h (lane 4) in growth medium (GM) or 12 h (lane 5), 24 h (lane 6), 36 h (lane 7), and 48 h (lane 8) after switching to differentiation-promoting medium (DM) was analyzed by reverse transcription-PCR using oligo (dT) and the primer shown in Fig. 4. Several controls are included: RNA from normal C2C12 cells (lane J) cultured for 48 h in GM and from cell line 102.7.14 bearing the desmin construct in sense orientation and cultured for 48 h in GM (lane 9) or 48 h after switching to DM (lane 10). In lane 2, cells were cultured in the absence of dexamethasone in contrast to all the other cases. Lane 11 contains buffer only and lane 12 contains control plasmid. The expected 835-bp long PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

**Inhibition of Desmin Expression Blocks Myoblast Fusion and Myotube Formation**

Morphological analysis of the desmin-defective cell lines demonstrated the failure of these myoblasts to fuse and form multinucleated myotubes. Fig. 7, bottom, shows the morphology of one of the analyzed cell lines, 10.1.02, displaying inhibited desmin expression (Fig. 5), after 4 d in differentiation-promoting medium. In growth medium, these cells were initially morphologically indistinguishable from the nontransfected normal C2C12 myoblasts, or transfectant 10.7.14 with the desmin construct in sense orientation. When the cultures were transferred to differentiation-promoting medium, inhibition of fusion was observed. Even 4 d after the switch to this medium, no particular change in the behavior of these inhibited myoblasts was observed (Fig. 7, bottom). 70% of the 31 G418 resistant anti-sense cell lines analyzed demonstrated similar inhibition in myoblast fusion. In contrast, more than 90% of the 12 cell lines bearing desmin constructs in the sense orientation (Fig. 7, middle) fused and differentiated as well as normal C2C12 cultures (Fig. 7, top).

**Rescue of the Normal Myogenic Phenotype**

To demonstrate that the inhibition of the myogenic differentiation observed above is indeed due to desmin inhibition and not a random defect of the isolated cell lines, rescue of the normal differentiation was achieved by two different ways. The first one was obtained due to spontaneous revertants when cells were cultured and passaged in the absence of G418 selection (Fig. 8). Up to about 5% of the cells seemed to fuse again and the majority of them formed single myotubes of relatively short length (Fig. 8 b). This does not happen when cells are cultured in the presence of G418 (Fig. 8 a). A very low percentage of these revertants form long myotubes, as shown in Fig. 8 b, and very rarely branched ones. 10.1.05 was the cell line with the highest percent of revertants. The second way the normal phenotype was rescued was when the desmin-defected cell lines were transiently transfected with the pRc/CMVdes construct which allows expression of high levels of desmin sense RNA from the strong CMV promoter described in methods (Figs. 8 c and 9). Transient transfections of the transfected cell lines with this vector could rescue the myogenic phenotype, at least in 20% of the cell population, in 4 d (Figs. 8 c, and 9, D and E). Myoblast fusion and myotube formation looked very similar to that of normal C2C12 cells. In addition to the higher number of fusing cells in this case (Fig. 8 c), another prominent difference with the spontaneous revertants (Fig. 8 b) was the higher frequency of long and branched myotubes formed. These differences obviously must be due to the high number of cells expressing adequate levels of desmin from the transfected pRc/CMVdes vector. Indeed, immunofluorescence microscopy revealed that in contrast to the untransfected 10.1.05 cells (Fig. 9 B), those transfected with pRc/CMVdes vector showed high levels of desmin expression (Figs. 9, C and D). It is of interest to note that when cells with high levels of desmin expression, such as the one shown in C of Fig. 9, were surrounded by desmin negative cells, they often showed long extensions but did not fuse with neighboring cells, suggesting that fusion might take place only between desmin positive cells. Staining of the transfected cells with myosin heavy chain (MHC) antibodies demonstrated that in these desmin revertants the normal myogenic phenotype is accompanied by normal endogenous MHC expression (Fig.
Figure 7. Inhibition of desmin blocks fusion and myotube formation of the C_{2}C_{12} myogenic cells. Normal C_{2}C_{12} cells (top) and cell lines bearing the desmin construct in sense orientation (middle) are compared with one of the anti-sense cell line (102.1.02) with inhibited desmin expression (bottom) due to anti-sense RNA. Cells were cultured as described in Fig. 5 in growth medium and after achieving 80% confluency, cultures were transferred to differentiation promoting medium for 4 d. Bars: (Growth) 20 μm; (Differentiation) 10 μm.
Figure 8. Rescue of the normal myogenic phenotype. Phase micrographs of the fusion suppressed anti-sense cell line 102.1.05, grown with (a) or without (b) G418 selection or transfected with the pRc/CMVdes construct overexpressing sense desmin (c). (a) Cells were cultured in the presence of G418 for 48 h in GM, and then switched to DM for 4 d. (b) Cells were grown and passaged for several days in the absence of G418 before the actual experiment. After reaching 80% confluency, they were switched as above to DM for 4 d. (c) 102.1.05 cells were grown in GM for 24 h before transfection with 20 μg pRc/CMVdes vector DNA. Cells were switched the next day to DM and maintained for 4 more days. Bar, 5 μm.
Figure 9. Immunofluorescence microscopy of desmin in normal C2C12 (A) cells and the fusion-suppressed 10,105 anti-sense cell line before (B) and after (C and D) reversion (Rev) to the normal phenotype by pRc/CMVdes transfection and culture as described in Fig. 8. C shows an example of areas in the same culture dish as D with isolated cells expressing high desmin levels but unable to fuse with neighboring desmin negative cells. E shows MHC staining of cells from the same culture as D. Bar, 10 μm.
Inhibition of Desmin Interferes with the Expression of Myogenic Regulators and Other Muscle-specific Genes

The data had demonstrated that suppression by anti-sense RNA of desmin, a protein with some similarity to the myogenic regulators myoD and myogenin at the basic and HLH region caused inhibition of myoblast fusion and myotube formation. If desmin participates in the modulation of myogenesis, how does this correlate with the above two observations? To determine whether the inhibition or reduction of desmin has influenced the expression of other muscle-specific genes, RNA blots from one of the cell lines shown in Fig. 6, namely 10.1.02, were probed for myoD, myogenin, muscle creatine kinase (MCK), and actin. Interestingly, significant inhibition in the expression of both myoD and myogenin mRNA was evident in cultures maintained 48 h in differentiation medium as compared to normal C2C12 cells (Fig. 10 A). The extent of myoD and myogenin inhibition correlated, in most cases (70% of cell lines analyzed), directly to the extent of desmin inhibition. In this particular case it is clearly shown that when desmin is inhibited in cultures maintained up to 48 h in differentiation medium (Fig. 10 A, lane 4) both myoD and myogenin are inhibited. Earlier, however, when desmin has not yet been detectably decreased, no obvious change in myoD has occurred (Fig. 10 A, lane 3). Patterns of inhibition similar to those of myogenin mRNA were observed only for muscle-specific genes such as α-sarcomeric actin and MCK mRNA, but not for others like β, γ-actins, and vimentin (Fig. 10 A). This suggests that indeed, the effect is specific to the myogenic processes and not a random effect of the anti-sense RNA. Though the above described effect of desmin inhibition on the pattern of muscle gene expression is the most representative (70% of cases examined), there were cell lines like 10.1.05 where though the extent of myoD decrease directly reflected the level of desmin inhibition, myogenin did not (Fig. 10 B, lane 4). In this cell line, where the inhibition of desmin mRNA was dramatic, very strong inhibition of myoD mRNA was observed in both growth (94%) and differentiation (85%) conditions. Myogenin was not significantly inhibited, however (~13%) (Fig. 10 B, lane 4). In these cases α-sarcomeric actin and MCK expression looked very close to normal (data not shown). Fusion, however, was completely inhibited. On the other hand, there were cases, such as clone 12.1.04, where myoD mRNA was mainly inhibited during growth (81%) (Fig. 10 B, lane 5), with little inhibition under differentiation conditions (22%) (Fig. 10 B, lane 6), when myogenin displayed significant inhibition (90%) (Fig. 10 B, lane 6). In such cell lines, extensive inhibition of both MCK (67%) and α-actin (73%) mRNA was observed (data not shown). It should be noted here that the observed inhibition of myoD or myogenin cannot be due to the desmin anti-sense RNA since the similarity between desmin and these proteins shown in Fig. 2, is restricted only to the protein level. In addition, the construct 12.1.04 (nt 650–1,490) which shows similar results to construct 10.2 (Fig. 4) does not include this region (nt 348–498) which shows the protein similarity between desmin and these proteins.

Finally, we examined the pattern of myoD and myogenin protein expression in these cell lines by immunofluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 11 the nuclear staining patterns obtained are in direct agreement with the MyoD and myogenin RNA data shown in Fig. 10. For instance, in cultures maintained under differentiation condition, 10.1.02 cell line shows no nuclear staining for either myoD or myogenin, while 10.1.05 and 12.1.04 show staining only for one of these factors, myogenin or myoD, respectively. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that the degree of inhibition observed at the RNA level of these factors reflects mainly the number of cells expressing rather than levels of expression in single cells.

Discussion

Is Desmin Necessary for Myogenesis to Take Place and What Could Be Its Role during This Process?

Desmin is expressed both early and late in the myogenic program. It is one of the earliest myogenic markers both in vivo (Schaart et al., 1989) and in tissue culture, being expressed in replicating presumptive myoblasts (Dlugosz et al., 1984; Hill et al., 1986; Kaufman and Foster, 1988). That the appearance of desmin marks a very early event in myogenesis has been further supported by the fact that several nonmuscle cells, in the process of conversion into the myogenic lineage due to expression of exogenous myoD, start synthesizing desmin before the synthesis of any myofibrillar protein and before fusion (Choi et al., 1990). Similarly, during early development in mice, desmin has been shown to be one of the...
earliest expressed muscle-specific genes. The protein is initially detected in the neuroectoderm at 8.25 dpc, transiently expressed with vimentin and keratin while no other muscle-specific protein has yet been identified. It then appears in the heart rudiment at 8.5 dpc and in myotome at 9.0 dpc, well before myoD and as soon as the MRF4 mRNA is detected (Sassoon et al., 1989). Correlations with the appearance of Myf5 (Braun et al., 1990) and myogenin cannot be directly made since the time these proteins appear is unknown; their RNA is detected in the somites on 8.0 and 9.0 dpc, respectively. It would be interesting to determine what the role of desmin might be during these early events of myogenic determination and differentiation. Is desmin necessary for myogenesis to take place or for the maintenance of the myogenic phenotype?

Our present data have suggested that desmin might indeed be necessary for normal myogenesis to take place. Inhibition of desmin expression interfered with the normal induction of muscle-specific gene products in C2C12 cells and blocked myoblast fusion and myotube formation. These results suggested that the muscle-specific IF protein desmin, so far considered merely a component of the supporting cell architecture, might be a central molecule regulating myogenesis through direct or indirect modulation of myogenic regulators and myoblast fusion. In addition to vimentin (Capetanaki et al., 1989) and desmin (this article), another intermediate filament protein, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) has been linked to a differentiation-associated function (Weinstein et al., 1991). It is intriguing that all of these proteins are type III IF, showing the highest similarity to each other in comparison to the rest of the members of the IF superfamily. The present data cannot address possible direct involvement of desmin in myoblast fusion. This possibility, however, could be favored by the observation that ectopic expression of desmin in the lenses of transgenic mice causes, among other abnormalities, partial plasma membrane fusion (Krimpenfort et al., 1988; Dunia et al., 1990). On the other hand, from the present rescue studies it could be suggested that possibly only desmin positive myoblasts can fuse (Fig. 9 C). However, this is not proven from the present data, although it is consistent with recent observations on primary chick myoblasts (Lin et al., 1994) as well as in myoD-converted normal cells (Choi et al., 1990) which do not fuse before becoming desmin positive.

In the present study the different cell lines obtained showed differential inhibition of myoD and myogenin in response to the inhibition of desmin. The extent and stage of myoD inhibition directly correlated with the extent of desmin inhibition and the stage of the C2C12 cells. However this was not the case for myogenin. Only 60% of these lines showed substantial inhibition of myogenin following desmin inhibition. It is not yet clear how this differential effect takes place. It could be explained, however, by the already suggested multiple pathway process for myogenic differentiation in vivo (Sassoon et al., 1989; Cusella-De-Angelis et al., 1992). How the absence or decrease of desmin could influence the accumulation of these myogenic factors is difficult to predict. At the present time we do not really know if this effect is the first consequence of desmin inhibition or if it follows after myogenesis has been inhibited by an independent mechanism. Furthermore, we do not know if the observed mRNA decrease takes place at the transcriptional or posttranscriptional level. Sequence comparison revealed (Fig. 2) that the region of desmin (116–207) which covers part of helix Ia, the linker, and part of helix Ib has significant sequence similarity to members of the HLH family, particularly the myogenic regulator myoD, myogenin, and the KE2 (immunoglobulin enhancer)-binding protein El2 (Murre et
myoD, myogenin and the other muscle-specific mRNAs out in transport of myoD and myogenin into the nucleus or of Alternatively, the desmin filaments could just facilitate the motion across the cell surface and through the cytoskeleton, including the IF system (Wang et al., 1993; for review see Klymkowsky et al., 1989) their lack of structural roles of at least keratins and neurofilaments in the organization and integrity of cells and tissues have been indirectly suggested from work in transgenic mice and genetic diseases (Coulombe et al., 1991; Vassar et al., 1991; Cheng et al., 1992; Chipev et al., 1992; Fuchs et al., 1992; Rothnagel et al., 1992; Xu et al., 1993; for reviews see also Liem, 1993; Coulombe, 1993).

Mechanism of the Inhibition of Desmin by Anti-sense RNA

The mechanism of the presently reported inhibition of desmin by the anti-sense RNA is not completely clear. A major portion of the inhibition was obviously due to a decrease in
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the steady state of the endogenous RNA level. The process by which this decrease is effected can only be speculated based upon previous observations (Kim and Wold, 1985; Stout and Casky, 1990). The anti-sense RNA might interfere with RNA processing by hybridizing with the sense desmin RNA in the nucleus. The unprocessed or the double stranded RNA would then be poorly or not transported to the cytoplasm and would be quickly degraded. This mechanism explains the presently obtained, intriguing mutual decrease or disappearance of both sense and anti-sense desmin RNA in those cases where the highest endogenous desmin RNA inhibition was observed. This is consistent with previously reported data in other systems including the plant hormone ethylene (Hamilton et al., 1990), calcone synthase (Van-Beveren et al., 1983), and polygalacturonase (Sheehy et al., 1988; Smith et al., 1988). In such cases it can be argued that the possibility of indirect nonspecific contribution of the anti-sense RNA on the observed phenotype is considerably decreased since this RNA is quickly degraded and never reaches the cytoplasm. Finally, an additional inhibition mechanism could directly involve inhibition of desmin translation by the anti-sense RNA that reaches the cytoplasm. The extent to which the latter mechanism may be contributing to the observed decrease of desmin in the obtained cell lines is unknown. In the case of the 10t,102 cell line under growth conditions the desmin mRNA seems normal but the protein is reduced ~50%. Obviously, just inhibition of translation seems to be the predominant mechanism of the antisense action here.

Similar anti-sense RNA approaches have been used to show that inhibition of another IF protein, GFAP, blocked the formation of stable astrocytic processes (Weinstein et al., 1991), suggesting that active involvement in the regulation of cell differentiation may be a function of all tissue-specific IF proteins.
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