Large-Scale Co-aggregation of Fluorescent Lipid Probes with Cell Surface Proteins
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Abstract. Large scale aggregation of fluorescein-labeled immunoglobulin E (IgE) receptor complexes on the surface of RBL cells results in the co-aggregation of a large fraction of the lipophilic fluorescent probe 3,3'-dihexadecylindocarbocyanine (diI) that labels the plasma membranes much more uniformly in the absence of receptor aggregation. Most of the diI molecules that are localized in patches of aggregated receptors have lost their lateral mobility as determined by fluorescence photobleaching recovery. The diI outside of patches is mobile, and its mobility is similar to that in control cells without receptor aggregates. It is unlikely that the co-aggregation of diI with IgE receptors is due to specific interactions between these components, as two other lipophilic probes of different structures are also observed to redistribute with aggregated IgE receptors, and aggregation of two other cell surface antigens also results in the coredistribution of diI at the RBL cell surface. Quantitative analysis of CCD images of labeled cells reveals some differences in the spatial distributions of co-aggregated diI and IgE receptors. The results indicate that cross-linking of specific cell surface antigens causes a substantial change in the organization of the plasma membrane by redistributing pre-existing membrane domains or causing their formation.

Since the “fluid mosaic” model of cell membranes was presented by Singer and Nicholson in 1972 (27), much experimental evidence has accumulated to support the notion that the membrane is a fluid; i.e., that many molecules in the membrane are free to undergo lateral diffusion. Nonetheless, in many instances modifications to this model are necessary. For example, many cell types exhibit polarity, with different regions of the plasma membrane specialized for different functions. Epithelial cells (17), neuroblastoma cells (16), hepatocytes (18), osteoblasts (33), sperm (5), and egg (6) all show regional variations in membrane composition. In epithelia, these variations are thought to be maintained by tight junctions with adjacent cells in the confluent monolayer. In the other cell types, regional variation is maintained without tight junctions, through mechanisms that are not yet understood.

Just as some cells maintain large, functionally distinct regions of plasma membrane, they may also maintain smaller, perhaps submicroscopic domains with distinct physical and chemical properties. The concept of lipid microdomains was discussed as early as 1977, in the review article by Jain and White (14). They pointed out that evidence for microdomains of lipid was then available from freeze-fracture electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, reflectivity measurements, and x-ray diffraction measurements. Scher and Bloch (26) have recently described the enhanced labeling of acetylcholine receptor-enriched regions of myotube plasma membranes with diI. Their results suggest that these receptors are located in specialized membrane domains that are controlled by other cellular proteins.

Other evidence for plasma membrane heterogeneity has been obtained from fluorescence photobleaching measurements of lipophilic probes. Wolf et al. have observed that only 36–77% of diI is free to diffuse laterally when incorporated into sea urchin egg plasma membrane (36), and only 65–82% is free to diffuse when incorporated into mouse egg plasma membrane (35). Dictus et al. (6) found that only 50% of the lipophilic probes 5-(N-hexadecanoyl)-aminofluorescein and 5-(N-tetradecanoyl)-aminofluorescein is free to diffuse laterally in Xenopus eggs. In neuronal membranes, Treistman et al. (32) found that the probes 1-acyl-2-[6-[[7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl]] aminoheptanoyl] phosphatidylcholine (NBD-C6-PC) and rhodamine-phosphatidylethanolamine had diffusing fractions of roughly 60%, which decreased to 30% as the temperature was lowered from 25 to 4°C. Yechiel et al. (38) found that a headgroup-labeled NBD-phosphatidylethanolamine had a diffusing fraction less

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: BSS, buffered salt solution; diI, 3,3'-dihexadecylindocarbocyanine; FPR, fluorescence photobleaching recovery; NBD-C6-PC, nitrobenzoxadiazole phosphatidylcholine; ORB, octadecyl rhodamine B; IgE, immunoglobulin E.
Materials and Methods

Labeling of Cells

RBL cells (subtype 2H3) were grown in stationary culture, and in some experiments they were centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 rpm in a Beckman "IS centrifuge, and then resuspended in a buffered salt solution: 135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 50 mM glucose, pH 7.4 (BSS) with 0.5 mg/ml BSA. The cells were typically divided into 0.5-1.0 ml aliquots of 3-5 x 106 cells per ml. The lipid probes diI or octadecyl rhodamine B (ORB) (both from Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR) were dissolved in methanol and added to cells at room temperature; the cells were mixed immediately and placed on ice for 10 min to allow incorporation of the probes into the plasma membrane. The standard concentrations of probes and solvents are as follows: for diI, either 10 μl of 0.2 mg/ml or 2 μl of 1.0 mg/ml of diI was added to 500 μl of cells; for ORB, 5 μl of 0.2 mg/ml of ORB was added to 500 μl of cells. The labeled cells at 4°C were then diluted 5-10-fold in cold BSS, centrifuged and washed once in this buffer, and then resuspended at 3-5 x 106 cells/ml.

To cross-link IgE receptors, affinity purified polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse IgE (19) was added to the cells to a final concentration of 10 μg/ml. Cells were kept at 4°C for at least two hours to permit the formation of sub-micrometer-sized cell surface aggregates. To form larger aggregates of the IgE-receptor complex, cells were then warmed at 37°C for 5-10 min, then cooled on ice. Control cells without anti-IgE were treated identically. For labeling of other surface antigens, either purified monoclonal antibody 3D12 (a gift of Dr. Harry Metzger) or A44 (2) (10) (a gift of Dr. Reuben Suranganian) were incubated with diI-labeled cells at 10 μg/ml for 1 h at 4°C, and then the cells were washed once with cold BSS before incubation with 10 μg/ml of FITC-rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Cappel Research Products, Durham, NC) and treated as described for samples containing anti-IgE.

The amount of diI associated with the cells was estimated in two ways. First, the absorbance of diI at 562 nm in the supernatants after centrifugation of labeled cells (Aabsorb) was measured and compared to that of diI added to buffer in the absence of cells (Atotal). The maximum amount of cell-associated diI is then

\[
\text{[diI]cell} = \frac{A_{\text{total}} - A_{\text{absorb}}}{A_{\text{total}}} \times [\text{diI}]_{\text{total}}
\]

For diI labeling at concentrations ranging from 0.2 μg/ml to 4 μg/ml, ~30% (0.03-0.7 nanomoles) of the diI added became associated with the cells as assessed by this method. With a cell surface area of ~1,000 μm² (21), and 20 Å²/mer per alkyl chain in diI, the area fraction of the dye is roughly 0.4-8%. This represents an upper limit on the amount of diI incorporated into the plasma membrane, since some dye may be present as aggregates and a small amount may stain internal organelles. A direct estimate of the amount of dye incorporated into the plasma membrane has been made as follows: (a) the efficiency of the microscope was measured by using a condenser illumination of known intensity (13 mW) and wavelength (530 nm), and noting the resultant CCD signal level (46 U). (b) The signal level from the cells (ca. 300 U in patch regions for the 4 μg/ml diI staining concentration) was compared to this signal from a known source. A correction for the optical acceptance solid angle was applied to the cell signal: for N.A. 1.25, 22% of the emitted fluorescent photons are captured by the microscope. The result is the total power radiated by the dye, ~19 mW. (c) The Hg lamp illumination intensity was measured as 12 mW. From the known absorption coefficient (133,000 L/mol-cm) and approximate quantum efficiency (0.5) of diI, the approximate number of fluors was found to be ~2 x 10⁴ per μm², or an area fraction of ~1%, for cells stained with 4 μg/ml diI. This represents a lower limit on the amount of dye incorporated under these conditions, since some self quenching may occur.

In several experiments, the lipid probe was added to the cells after the formation of aggregates. Cells were warmed briefly (10 min) in a 37°C water bath, and then diI in methanol was added as above and the cells were placed on ice for 10 min, followed by centrifugation and resuspension at 4°C.

Microscopy

Cells in suspension were plated on coverslips for 10 min at 37°C, which permits cell adhesion and immobilization without significant changes in the spherical suspension morphology. The cells were then examined with a Zeiss universal microscope equipped for epi-fluorescence. An HBO 100W mercury arc lamp was used. Excitation filters were 488 nm narrow pass (10 nm) for FITC and 546 nm narrow pass (10 nm) for diI and ORB (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT); emission filters were 520 nm bandpass (20 nm) for FITC (Omega Optical) and 535/50 (long pass) for diI and ORB (Schott Glass). The contribution of the fluorescein-labeled IgE to the diI signal was evaluated with cells labeled with only FITC-IgE. These cells had a fluorescence intensity of less than 1% of diI-labeled cells, when examined in diI optics. Similarly, cells labeled with only diI produced no significant fluorescence when illuminated with fluorescein optics. The positions of images of a graticule were noted with each emission filter set and used to correct for a slight lateral shift.

Images were taken with a Photometrics (Phoenix, AZ) CC200 CCD camera. Exposure times for images of diI were 0.2 s; for ORB, 0.8 s; and for FITC-IgE, 2.0 s. Cells were at room temperature (25°C) for all images. Images of lipid analog and IgE-receptor distributions were taken within 30 s of each other. There is no perceptible movement of patches over the course of at least several minutes at room temperature. Images were processed with a Recognition Concepts (Incline Village, NV) Trappix 55256 image processor accompanied by a Micro VAX II (Digital Equipment Corp.) computer.

FPR Measurements

Spot photobleaching measurements were made on cells at room temperature (25°C), as described elsewhere (4) (31). Photon counting and data acquisition were performed with a Stanford Research SR400 preamplifier and SR440 discriminator/photomultiplier counter, interfaced to the Micro VAX via GPIB (National Instruments). The fluorescence recovery curves were fit by non-linear least squares to

\[
F(t) = F(0) + \frac{F(\infty) - F(0)}{1 + (t/\tau)}
\]

where F(t) is the fluorescence at time t after bleaching, F(0) is the intensity immediately after bleaching, F(∞) is the asymptotic fluorescence level following recovery after photobleaching, and τ is the recovery half-time. The diffusion coefficient, D, is determined from τ and the spot size, with a correction for bleach depth as described in reference (39). The 1/2 intensity spot diameter of the Gaussian beam was determined to be 2.0 ± 0.1 μm by measuring the size of a spot bleached in a thin formvar/diI film. Mobile fractions are defined by

\[
F_m = \frac{F(\infty) - F(0)}{F(0)}
\]
where $F_{pB}$ is the fluorescence intensity before bleaching. The position of best focus for photobleaching experiments was determined by first focusing visually on the cell surface not attached to the glass coverslip, and then maximizing the photocounts under monitor laser beam illumination. This procedure was necessary, since changing filters (to acquire both FITC and diI images) on the Zeiss Universal microscope always produced some small vibration and defocusing.

Results

Colocalization of diI and Patched FITC-IgE

In the absence of cross-linking ligands, both IgE-receptor complexes and the lipid probe diI appear to be unaggregated on the cell surface. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of uncross-linked FITC-IgE at the coverslip plane (A) and at the cell equator (C). Also shown are the distributions of diI at the coverslip plane (B) and at the cell equator (D) of the same RBL cell. Under these conditions, both FITC-IgE and diI show relatively uniform ring staining at the cell equator (Fig. 1, C and D), similar to results obtained previously for fluorescently labeled IgE-receptor complexes (19). For both diI and FITC-IgE, relatively small variations in fluorescence are seen at the coverslip plane (Fig. 1, A and B). These variations are likely to be due primarily to morphological irregularities, particularly inhomogeneous distributions of unresolved microvilli at the cell surface. Electron microscopy has indicated that these receptors are abundant on microvilli before cross-linking (13) (29). (It is possible that some diI is preferentially associated with IgE receptors before their aggregation, but this issue cannot be resolved by fluorescence microscopic analysis.)

At temperatures above 15°C, aggregation of IgE receptors by cross-linking with anti-IgE leads to internalization with half-times that range from >30 min at 25°C (24) to ~10 min at 37°C (19). However, if the IgE receptors are cross-linked at 4°C, internalization is prevented, and small patches of IgE-receptor complexes become clearly visible by fluorescence microscopy over the course of several hours. Once formed, these patches do not readily internalize (as judged by microscopy and steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy [20]) even when the temperature is raised to 37°C. Rather, when the temperature is raised the small patches coalesce to form a large aggregated, open network at the cell surface (Fig. 2, A and C). When cells have been labeled with the lipophilic probe diI before cross-linking, the probe clearly colocalizes to the IgE-receptor patches on virtually every cell. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for a single cell, where photo A is the distribution of FITC-IgE with the microscope focused at the coverslip plane where the cell is flattened. Photo B is the distribution of diI at the same focal plane: the pattern of fluorescence coincides with the patched IgE receptor. Photo C is the same cell focused at the equator of the cell, showing the FITC-IgE fluorescence in discrete patches on the cell surface, seen at the circumference. (The hazy fluorescence "inside" the cell actually arises from the out-of-focus top and bottom surfaces.) Photo D shows the diI fluorescence at the same equatorial focus. Again, there is correspondence between the diI fluorescence distribution and the patches of IgE receptor. Typically, about half of the plasma membrane diI is aggregated into the patches (determined by integrating diI fluorescence intensity over areas on and off patches).

The coincidence of diI fluorescence with FITC-IgE receptor aggregates is seen over at least a tenfold range of diI membrane concentrations (<0.8 to 8 area %), indicating that phase separation of the diI is not likely to play a role in the co-aggregation process. Furthermore, when diI is added after patches of IgE receptor are formed as described in Materials and Methods, coincidence of the diI fluorescence with the IgE receptor aggregates is also seen. When labeled with 4 μg/ml diI, the molar ratio of cell-associated diI to IgE receptors is estimated to be at least 50:1, determined either from the diI fluorescence intensity or from the amount of dye that spins down with the cells. Even at this membrane concentration, diI has no significant effect on the ability of the
cells to mobilize Ca²⁺ in response to IgE receptor aggregation (Holowka, D., unpublished results).

Quantitative analysis of the substrate-focused images 2A and 2B is presented in Fig. 3 A, which shows a scatterplot of the dil intensity vs the FITC-IgE intensity. Each point on the graph represents one pixel on the cell; the value of that pixel in the FITC-IgE image (Fig. 2 A) is the x-coordinate of the point, and the value of that pixel in the dil image (Fig. 2 B) is the y-coordinate. If the dil intensity were strictly proportional to the FITC-IgE intensity, the points on this plot would fall on a straight line. This is clearly not the case.

For the uncross-linked control cell of Fig. 1, A and B, the same scatterplot analysis is shown in Fig. 3 B. In this case, the intensities are confined to a narrow range of values, both for FITC-IgE and for dil. In contrast, Fig. 3 A shows that many pixels had higher intensities for both labels in the cross-linked cell image and a much wider distribution of observed intensities.

The formation of the large patches in Fig. 2 required warming the cells to 37°C for 10 min after they had been cross-linked for several hours at 4°C. In the absence of a warm-up period, numerous dispersed small patches of IgE are found over the cell surface. These small patches also show co-aggregation of dil (data not shown), but they are more difficult to analyze.

**Dil in Patches Is Largely Immobilized**

To investigate the lateral mobility of the co-aggregated lipophilic probes, we carried out FPR experiments on patched and unpatched cells. A small spot (2.0 µm diam) was bleached in the dil fluorescence, and the recovery of fluorescence was monitored as described in Materials and Methods. On the cross-linked, patched cells, measurements of dil diffusion were made both on and off patches, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The top photograph in Fig. 4 shows an image of a patched cell in fluorescein optics. The center left photograph shows the same cell in dil optics, and the dotted circle on the patch near the center of the cell indicates where FPR was carried out. The fluorescence record is shown to the right; the entire time record is 50 s. During this time, very little recovery of the bleached fluorescence occurs, indicating that dye surrounding the bleached hole is unable to exchange freely with the bleached dye. The bottom left photograph of Fig. 4 shows the same cell, repositioned to bleach a non-patched region of the cell, following the FPR measure-
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Figure 5. Summary of FPR results. Diffusion coefficients are shown at left, and mobile fractions at right. At the top, control uncross-linked cells, which have otherwise been treated in the same fashion as the patched cells. (Center) diI diffusion off of patches is similar to that from control cells. (Bottom) On patches, a smaller fraction of the diI is mobile (~35% compared with ~75% for top and center). The diI that is mobile in the patches has the same average diffusion coefficient as the diI outside of patches (~4 × 10⁻⁹ cm²/s).

Figure 6. ORB colocalizes with IgE receptor patches on the surface of RBL cells. The left image (A) is the distribution of FITC-IgE, and the right image (B) is the distribution of ORB on the same cell. Bar, 10 μm.
leds to co-aggregation of diI (data not shown). Neither of these surface antigens appears to be tightly associated with the IgE receptor, since immunoprecipitation of these solubilized antigens with their respective antibodies fails to bring down $^{125}I$-IgE receptor complexes. Furthermore, cross-linking and internalization of the AA4 antibody bound to its antigen on the RBL cells does not cause aggregation and internalization of the IgE-receptor complexes (8).

**Discussion**

Our observations on the coredistribution of diI and other lipid probes with aggregated IgE receptors and other cell surface antigens provide new information on the structural organization of the plasma membrane of mammalian cells. Previous lateral diffusion studies using fluorescent lipid probes such as diI or NBD-C$_6$-PC, which label the plasma membrane and are slow to equilibrate with intracellular membranes, have generally indicated that these probes are not entirely free to diffuse as they would in homogeneous fluid phase liposomes. Both mobile fractions and diffusion coefficients are often observed to be somewhat smaller than expected for lateral diffusion in a simple fluid bilayer, but the structural basis for these observations is not well understood (15) (34). Our results indicate that the aggregation of a minor component of the plasma membrane, such as the IgE receptor, which makes up only about 1–2% of the cell surface proteins (25), causes the large-scale co-aggregation of a lipid analog which is present at a much higher density on the cell surface and which may reflect the coredistribution of endogenous lipid components as well. These results cannot be explained by observed morphological features of the plasma membrane, such as microvilli or ruffles in the region of receptor aggregates, since those features could not account for the loss of diI lateral mobility observed within the patches of receptor aggregates (34). Furthermore, Stump et al. (29) have shown that the regions of the cell surface containing large aggregates of IgE receptors are smooth and exclude the large ruffles which often surround them in activated RBL cells.

**Co-aggregation Reflects a Change in Membrane Structure**

Co-aggregation of lipid probes that accompanies the aggregation of membrane proteins must reflect a change in plasma membrane structure, and not simply an association of particular lipid analogs with the individual proteins being cross-linked. Several observations support this assertion:

(a) Co-aggregation of several different lipid analogs occurs with several different types of cross-linked membrane proteins. Both positively charged (diI and ORB) and negatively charged (5-(N-hexadecanoyl) aminoeosin) lipid analogs co-aggregated with the cross-linked IgE receptor. Most importantly, since each of three cell surface antigens separately caused co-aggregation of about half of the plasma membrane diI, much of the aggregated diI must have been either unassociated or reversibly associated with the antigens before cross-linking.

(b) The extent of co-aggregation does not appear to depend on the total amount of lipid probe that is associated with the plasma membrane, as qualitatively similar co-patching results are obtained over a wide range of diI concentrations in the membrane and in the labeling mixture (0.4–20 μM). Furthermore, even within a single field of cells, there is often a substantial cell to cell range of diI labeling intensities, yet all of the cells exhibit copatching of diI with FITC-IgE in which the fraction of diI co-aggregated is similar. For the standard labeling conditions, we estimate that there are between ~10$^4$ and 10$^5$ IgE receptors per RBL cell, this suggests a molar ratio of diI to IgE receptors in the patches of 50–500. This range is greater than the expected value of ~12 phospholipids that could reasonably pack as nearest neighbors around a protein containing seven transmembrane-spanning segments, such as the IgE receptor (3). (This estimate for boundary lipid assumes a cylindrical protein with an intramembranous circumference that is similar to that for the 7-helix protein bacteriorhodopsin (~11 nm [11]) and the diameter of a phospholipid of ~0.9 nm.) In this regard, it is interesting to note that the average density of IgE receptors in these patches is only about 1 per 350 nm$^2$, which is about five times more dense than unclustered receptors (Ryan, T. A., A. K. Menon, D. Hollowka, B. Bard, and W. W. Webb. 1986. Inter-receptor spacing in IgE receptor aggregates on the surface of RBL cells. *Biophys. J.* 49:360a). Since each receptor probably has a cross-sectional area of <50 nm$^2$ in the membrane (as described above), the low receptor density in aggregates implies that other proteins and/or lipids must occupy most of the area within a patch of cross-linked receptors. Recent studies have indicated that most of the cellular proteins that are phosphorylated on tyrosine residues as the result of cross-linking receptors such as surface immunoglobulin on B cells are colocalized to patches of these receptors (30).

(c) Quantitative analysis of the CCD images of co-aggregated diI and FITC-IgE receptors indicates that there

---

**Figure 7.** Dil colocalizes with a patched ganglioside at the cell surface. (Left) (A) Ganglioside patches formed with AA4 monoclonal anti-ganglioside antibody and an FITC-labeled polyclonal anti-IgG second antibody. (Right) (B) Dil distribution in the same cell. Bar, 10 μm.
is an approximate proportionality between the FITC intensity and the dil intensity as revealed by the scatterplots (Fig. 3). Fig. 3 A indicates that pixels with the highest intensities of FITC fluorescence tend to have a high intensity of dil fluorescence, but the dependence is quite variable, with a spread approaching a factor of two or three. The typical size of the coredistributed dil patches is somewhat greater than that of the FITC-IgE receptor patches, as is evident in Fig. 2, implying that the boundaries of the patches of dil do not exactly correspond to the boundaries of the patches of FITC-IgE receptors.

Possible Molecular Models for Coredistribution

In one model that is consistent with our observations, lipid probes that coredistribute with aggregated antigens are pre-enriched in optically undetectable fluid membrane domains which are "dragged along" into large patches by aggregation of the cell surface antigens. To account for the reduction of mobile fraction of dil that is observed in these aggregated domains, it is only required that these larger domains concentrate diffusional obstacles, or bind dil, to slow its escape from the patches. With the escape time $\tau_o \sim r_p^{-3}$, the increased patch radius $r_p$ and/or decrease of $D$ leads to slowed escape which is interpreted as increased immobile fraction in an FPR experiment. Increased binding energy would increase the dwell time of dil in the patches. The results of Edidin and Stroynowski (7) also indicate that dil in non-cross-linked cells is not confined to closed domains.

It is possible that the dil in the coredistributed patches becomes associated with some very abundant membrane protein or proteins that are themselves dragged into large patches by the cross-linking of less abundant cell surface proteins such as the IgE receptor. Preliminary results indicate that concanavalin A binding proteins do not coredistribute into patches of IgE receptors (Thomas, J., unpublished results), but it is possible that some other type of abundant protein, such as the aigorins described by Apgar and Mescher (1) could be involved. It is possible that such interactions might be the consequence of changes in the membrane structure due to the activation of signal transduction processes (12). In this regard, it is notable that the conditions under which coredistribution of dil occurs also result in the loss of IgE receptor lateral mobility (20) and the loss of receptor solubilization by mild detergents (24). The detergent-insoluble, aggregated receptors appear to be stably associated with the cortical cytoskeleton (24). In the presence of mild detergents, coredistributed dil does not remain associated with the cytoskeleton-attached receptors, indicating that this association depends on the integrity of the membrane (Holowka, D., unpublished results). Preferential redistribution of certain membrane lipids due to this process could lead to the formation of gel-like membrane domains which are known to bind dil preferentially compared to more fluid membranes (36) (28). Alternatively, the formation of boundaries between fluid domains that do not allow the free exchange of dil molecules could also cause the observed loss of lateral mobility of coredistributed dil. The molecular nature of these hypothesized boundaries in this case is unknown, but recent results indicate that such boundaries can be created with certain cholesterol-binding agents such as filipin (9). Future experiments will distinguish between these different models.

In summary, the coaggregation of lipid analogs with cross-linked cell surface antigens demonstrates that cross-linking and patch formation do cause macroscopic (>1 μm) changes in the plasma membrane structure of RBL cells. The concentration of specific membrane proteins into patches alters the proximal plasma membrane in a fundamental way, trapping some lipid analogs in immobilized aggregates. These membrane changes might normally occur as a precursor to internalization, or as a facilitator of signal transduction or desensitization. At physiological temperatures, rapid internalization of small receptor aggregates normally prevents the observation of these unusual membrane domains. We have successfully used an extended incubation at 4°C to permit the formation of large protein aggregates, and we have observed significant concomitant changes in the plasma membrane organization.
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