The Bud4 Protein of Yeast, Required for Axial Budding, Is Localized to the Mother/Bud Neck in a Cell Cycle–dependent Manner
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Abstract. \(a\) and \(\alpha\) cells of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae exhibit an axial budding pattern, whereas \(a/\alpha\) diploid cells exhibit a bipolar pattern. Mutations in \(BUD3\), \(BUD4\), and \(AXL1\) cause \(a\) and \(\alpha\) cells to exhibit the bipolar pattern, indicating that these genes are necessary to specify the axial budding pattern (Chant, J., and I. Herskowitz. 1991. Cell. 65:1203–1212; Fujita, A., C. Oka, Y. Arikawa, T. Katagi, A. Tonouchi, S. Kuhara, and Y. Misumi. 1994. Nature (Lond.). 372:567–570). We cloned and sequenced \(BUD4\), which codes for a large, novel protein (Bud4p) with a potential GTP-binding motif. Bud4p is expressed and localized to the mother/bud neck in all cell types. Most mitotic cells contain two apparent rings of Bud4p immunoreactive staining, as observed for Bud3p (Chant, J., M. Mischke, E. Mitchell, I. Herskowitz, and J. R. Pringle. 1995. J. Cell Biol. 129: 767–778). Early G1 cells contain a single ring of Bud4p immunoreactive staining, whereas cells at START and in S phase lack these rings. The level of Bud4p is also regulated in a cell cycle–dependent manner. Bud4p is inefficiently localized in \(bud3\) mutants and after a temperature shift of a temperature-sensitive mutant, \(cdc12\), defective in the neck filaments. These observations suggest that Bud4p and Bud3p cooperate to recognize a spatial landmark (the neck filaments) during mitosis and support the hypothesis that they subsequently become a landmark for establishing the axial budding pattern in G1.

A variety of cellular structures including cleavage furrows and septa need to be assembled at particular subcellular locations. Correct positioning of the division plane ensures that daughter cells inherit the appropriate complement of cellular components. Asymmetric placement of cleavage furrows and septa leads to asymmetric cell division, which can affect cell fate (Rhyu and Knoblich, 1995). The process of budding, a specialized form of cell division exhibited by the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, requires restricted localization of molecules at the presumptive bud site. Both the cell division plane and the axis for cell polarity are determined by the choice of the site for budding. Analysis of bud-site selection provides an opportunity to study at a molecular level how a structure is assembled at a particular cellular location.

Initiation of bud growth involves several distinct molecular events. First, the appropriate site for budding must be recognized. Second, assembly of components required for bud formation occurs at that site. Third, the actin cytoskeleton and the secretory apparatus are oriented toward site so that selective bud growth can occur (Drubin, 1991). The position of a new bud is dependent upon cell type (Hicks et al., 1977) and external factors, in particular, nutrient supply (Chant and Pringle, 1995; Thompson and Wheals, 1980; Gimeno et al., 1992; Madden and Snyder, 1992; Roberts and Fink, 1994). \(a\) or \(\alpha\) cells grown in rich medium bud in an axial pattern, choosing new bud sites adjacent to the previous site of bud emergence (Chant and Pringle, 1995). In contrast, \(a\) or \(\alpha\) cells at the bottom of a colony, presumably nutrient deprived, exhibit nonaxial budding (Roberts and Fink, 1994). \(a/\alpha\) diploid cells grown in rich medium bud in a bipolar pattern, choosing new bud sites at either end of the cell (Chant and Pringle, 1995). When grown in nitrogen-poor solid medium, however, \(a/\alpha\) diploids exhibit unipolar rather than bipolar budding (Gimeno et al., 1992; Kron et al., 1994).

Because cells displaying the axial pattern bud adjacent to the previous bud site (Chant and Pringle, 1995), an intracellular landmark that resides near the site of the previous cell division has been postulated to provide the cell with spatial memory (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Snyder et al., 1991). This landmark would be absent or nonfunctional in \(a/\alpha\) diploid cells exhibiting the bipolar budding pattern. A candidate for this landmark is a cytoskeletal structure termed the 10-nm neck filaments, which assembles at the presumptive bud site about the time of bud emergence and persists until about the time of cytokinesis (Byers and Goetsch, 1976). Mutants defective in the \(CDC3\), \(CDC10\), \(CDC11\), or \(CDC12\) genes lack these filaments and fail to undergo cytokinesis at restrictive temperatures (Hartwell, 1971; Longtine et al., 1996). \(CDC3\), \(CDC10\), \(CDC11\), and \(CDC12\) encode a family of related proteins termed septins that contain a nucleotide-binding motif including a P loop and that are likely to be structural...
components of the neck filaments (Ford and Pringle, 1991; Haarer and Pringle, 1987; Kim et al., 1991; Neufeld and Rubin, 1994; Flescher et al., 1993; Longtime et al., 1996). Genetic evidence supports the view that these filaments play a role in axial budding: mutations in any of these genes can affect the axial budding pattern (Chant et al., 1995; Flescher et al., 1993).

At least six genes (BUD1/RSR1, BUD2, BUD3, BUD4, BUD5, and AXLI) are required for a and α cells to exhibit an axial budding pattern (Bender and Pringle, 1989; Chant et al., 1991; Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Fujita et al., 1994). Because mutations in BUD3, BUD4, and AXLI have a phenotype only in a and α cells, they are candidates for serving as or recognizing the axial landmark. The AXLI gene is expressed only in a and α cells and appears to be partially responsible for the difference in budding pattern exhibited by a and α vs a/α cells (Fujita et al., 1994). AXLI encodes a protein with similarity to insulin-degrading enzymes (Fujita et al., 1994), though its proteolytic activity seems not to be required for axial budding (Adames et al., 1995). Localization of Axlip has not been reported. The Bud3 protein, whose sequence is novel, is present in all cell types and is localized in rings at the mother/bud neck for about half of the cell cycle (Chant et al., 1995). Localization of Bud3p to the mother/bud neck is dependent upon the integrity of the 10-nm neck filaments, suggesting that Bud3p recognizes the neck filaments as a morphogenetic landmark. After the neck filaments disappear at cytokinesis, Bud3p is positioned such that it could determine the location of the neck filaments in the next cell cycle (Chant et al., 1995).

To understand further the molecular basis of bud-site selection, we have characterized the BUD4 gene and protein. We show here that BUD4 encodes a large protein (Bud4p) with a potential GTP-binding motif at its carboxy terminus, whose levels are regulated in a cell cycle–dependent manner.

Immunofluorescence analyses revealed that Bud4p forms a ringlike structure that is localized to the mother/bud neck and that is present transiently after cytokinesis. Our data suggest that Bud3p and Bud4p cooperate to facilitate axial budding and support the hypothesis (Chant et al., 1995) that they participate in a cycle of protein–protein interactions to mark the bud site.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

α-Factor, nocodazole, hydroxyurea, calcofluor, and polylysine were from Sigma Chem. Co. (St. Louis, MO). Fluorescent secondary antibodies were from Cappel (Malvern, PA). Horseradish peroxidase-coupled antibodies and Affi-Gel were from Bio-Rad Labs (Hercules, CA). Cyanogen bromide–activated Sepharose was from Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology (Piscataway, NJ). Cib2 antibodies were the kind gift of D. Kellogg, University of California (Santa Cruz, CA).

**Microbiological and Molecular Biological Methods**

Strains are described in Table I. Plasmids are described in Table II. DNA manipulations are described in Sambrook et al. (1989) and Ausubel et al. (1987) and yeast genetic methods in Rose et al. (1990). Synthetic low ammonium histidine dextrose (SLAHD) solid medium for pseudohyphal growth was prepared as described by Gimeno et al. (1992).

**Budding Pattern Assays.** Microcolony assays are described in Chant and Herskowitz (1991). Microcolonies of four cells were scored as axial when both mother and daughter cells budded proximally. Microcolonies of four cells were scored as bipolar when the daughter cell budded distally and the mother cell budded either proximally or distally. All other four-cell microcolonies were scored as random. Calcofluor staining is described in Pringle (1991). Cells with three or more adjacent bud scars were scored as axial. Cells with three or more bud scars clustered at the two cell poles were scored as bipolar. Cells with other staining patterns were scored as random.

α-Factor Arrest and Release. Synchronization with α-factor was essentially as described in Wittenberg et al. (1990). Cells were grown to early log phase (ODmax ~ 0.3) in YEPD. α-Factor was added to 10 μg/ml and cells were incubated at 30°C for ~90 min. Cell cycle arrest was monitored by examining sonicated cells using Nomarski optics. After ~90% of the cells had accumulated without buds, samples were taken for analysis of GI-arrested cultures. The remaining cells were washed twice with 30°C YEPD and returned to growth. Samples taken every 10–15 min were fixed for immunofluorescence and budding index determination or lysed for immunoblot analysis.

Nocodazole and Hydroxyurea Treatment. Cells were grown to early log phase in YEPD and treated with 10 μg/ml nocodazole dissolved in DMSO, DMSO alone, 0.2 M hydroxyurea dissolved in water, or water alone (Jacobs et al., 1988; Slater, 1973). Cells were incubated for ~90 min at 30°C. Cell cycle arrest was assessed by examining sonicated cells using Nomarski optics. When at least 75% of the nocodazole- or hydroxyurea-treated cells had attained a large budded-cell morphology, samples were fixed for immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblot analysis.

GI Cyclin Shut-off. Cells of strain ASY80 (Acln1 Acln2 Acln3 pGAL::CLN2) or wild-type cells in the same background (JO369) were grown to early log phase in YEP-glucose medium. An aliquot of each strain was shifted into YEP-glucose medium for 3.5 h to shut off the GAL promoter and to deplete ASY80 of Gin2p. Samples were then prepared for immunoblot analysis.

Nutrient Deprivation. Cells were grown from early log phase (ODmax ~ 0.3) to late log phase (ODmax ~ 10). Samples were taken approximately every 2 h for budding index determination and immunoblot analysis.

cdc12-6 Temperature-shift. cdc12-6 strains containing either YCp50 or YEP24CDC12 were grown to early log phase at 25°C in minimal medium lacking uracil and treated with nocodazole as described above. After treatment, cells were split into two aliquots, one incubated at 37°C for 10 min, the other at 25°C. Samples were then fixed and prepared for immunofluorescence.

**Cloning of BUD4**

SY59 (a bud4-1 ura3) was transformed with a yeast library (Carlson and Boeistein, 1982). Approximately 11,000 transformants were patched onto SLAHD plates in two concentric rings of 25 (because the pseudohyphal phenotype in a and α cells was sensitive to position on the plate as well as the number of colonies per plate).

Plates were incubated for 2 wk at 30°C and scored for pseudohyphal by microscopic examination at 30X magnification. Colonies that failed to form pseudohyphae were restested and assayed for budding pattern. Plasmid DNA was isolated from the five colonies that exhibited axial budding. These were shown to be identical by restriction analysis. One plasmid so identified, pBUD4, was shown to contain BUD4 as follows: The pBUD4 DNA insert was cloned into PBS306, a yeast integrating vector containing URA3 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). The resultant plasmid, pSt10, was linearized with SalI and used to transform IH2390 (a BUD4 ura3-52) to uracil prototrophy, thus marking the locus with URA3. Integration of the plasmid at the correct locus was confirmed by Southern analysis (data not shown). The resultant strain, SY127, was crossed to IH2410 (a bud4-1), and the meiotic progeny analyzed. In 44 of 45 tetrads (from two independent diploids) the Bud+ phenotype segregated 2:2. All Bud+ progeny were Ura+, and all Bud− progeny were Ura−. In the exceptional tetrad, uracil prototrophy segregated 2:2 whereas the budding phenotype segregated 3 Bud+:1 Bud−, probably due to gene conversion. These data indicate that the plasmid carries the authentic BUD4 gene.

**Sequencing of BUD4**

Subclones of BUD4 were prepared in pBlUESCRIPT (Stratagene, LaJolla, CA). A set of nested deletions was prepared by exonuclease III digestion (Henikoff, 1984) using the Eco-Site Deletion Kit (New England BioLabs, Inc., Beverly, MA). Deletion clones on the coding strand were sequenced by the dyeoxy method (Sanger et al., 1977) using the USB™..
Table I. Yeast Strains Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SY59</td>
<td>a ura3-52 bud4-1, product of a cross between IH2410 and CG146</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2390</td>
<td>a HMRA HMLo his3 trp1 ura3 can1 MAL2</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY127*</td>
<td>bud4::BUD4-URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY298*</td>
<td>bud4::TRP1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY299*</td>
<td>a bud4::TRP1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY300*</td>
<td>a/a bud4::TRP1/bud4::TRP1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2393*</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2407*</td>
<td>a bud1-1</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2408*</td>
<td>a bud2-1</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2409*</td>
<td>a bud3-1</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2410*</td>
<td>a bud4-1</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2424*</td>
<td>a bud5::URA3</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY401*</td>
<td>a axl1::URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM5.1*</td>
<td>a bud3::URA3</td>
<td>Chant et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH2397*</td>
<td>a/a</td>
<td>Chant and Herskowitz, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JO31-1A</td>
<td>ade2-101 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-Δ63</td>
<td>Herskowitz lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JO131</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Herskowitz lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JO2922</td>
<td>a/a</td>
<td>Herskowitz lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY294</td>
<td>a bud4::LEU2</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGX69</td>
<td>a/a ura3-52/ura3-52</td>
<td>Gimeno et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG146</td>
<td>a ura3-52</td>
<td>Gimeno et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JO369</td>
<td>a ade2-101 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 Gal2+</td>
<td>J. Ogas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASY80</td>
<td>a cli1::TRP1 cln2::LEU2 cln3::TRP1 pGAL-CLN2-HIS5</td>
<td>A. Sil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY283</td>
<td>a cdc12-6 ura3-52 his4</td>
<td>J. R. Pringle lab collection and this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isogenic to IH2390 except where noted.
1 Isogenic to JO31-1A except where noted.
2 Isogenic to JO292 except where noted.

Sequenase™ Version 2.0 Sequencing Kit. The noncoding strand was sequenced by the UCSF Biomedical Resource Center (San Francisco, CA). Primers were designed to fill in gaps after the deletions were analyzed. The BUD4 sequence has been submitted to GenBank, accession No. U41641. The yeast genome project has also revealed an ORF, YJR092w, that is probably the BUD4 gene, which has eight nucleotide differences from GenBank U41641: Three of these differences were single nucleotide additions in the YJR092w sequence after nucleotides 361, 528, and 589, respectively, of the U41641 sequence. Reinspection of this region revealed that YJR092w is identical to U41641 in this region (Massoud Ramezani, Institut fur Mikrobiologie, Dusseldorf, Germany, personal communication). In addition, YJR092w has an A insert after positions 1143, 1185, and 1258 of the U41641 sequence; T1294 and A3677 of the U41641 sequence have been submitted to GenBank, accession No. U41641.

Deletion of the BUD4 Gene

The BUD4 coding sequence in plasmid pSS24 was replaced with either LEU2 or TRP1. pSS24 was digested with AgeI (which cuts 30 nucleotides upstream of the translation termination codon) and filled in with the large fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I (Klenow) (New England Biolabs). The plasmid was then digested with Sphi, which cuts at the initiator ATG of the BUD4 open reading frame (ORF)-, and then treated with T4 3′-5′ exonuclease (New England Biolabs). Sall linkers were ligated onto the resultant blunt ends and the vector circularized. The plasmid, pSS36, lacked BUD4 sequences from the first ATG of the predicted open reading frame but contained the carboxy-terminal nine codons. The ~2.2-kb SalI fragment of LEU2 from pLK9 was ligated into the SalI site of pSS36, resulting in pSS38. The ~1-kb HincII/Stul fragment of TRP1 from YPP14 was cloned into the SalI site of pSS36 that had been filled in with the Klein now fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I to create pSS42. BUD4 was disrupted in a/a, and a/a cells of two strain backgrounds. To disrupt BUD4 in the S388C background, pSS38 was digested with BglII and BamHI, and JO31-1A (a), JO13 (a), and JO292 (a/a) strains were transformed to leucine prototrophy. To disrupt BUD4 in a strain background used in previous bud-site selection studies (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991), pSS42 was digested with AffIII and IH2390 (a), IH2393 (a), and IH2397 (a/a) strains were transformed to tryptophan prototrophy. Genomic DNA was prepared from these strains, digested with BstXI, and analyzed by Southern blotting using the ~0.5-kb BstXI-Sphl fragment as the probe, which confirmed that the BUD4 gene was disrupted (data not shown).

Preparation of Bud4p Antiserum

Preparation of a Glutathione-S-transferase Fusion Protein. To create an intramembrane fusion of glutathione-S-transferase (to the NH2-terminal ~26-kD of Bud4p, pSS15 was digested with SphiI and treated with T4 3′-5′ exomuclease followed by digestion with BglII. This ~1.2-kb SphiI/BglII fragment was cloned into pGEX1* (pGEX from Pharmacia modified by K. Oegema, UCSF, San Francisco, CA) that had been digested with Kpnl and treated with T4 3′-5′ exomuclease followed by digestion with BglII. The resultant plasmid, pSS43, was transformed into a protease-deficient E. coli strain, NB42 (a gift from Peter Jackson, Stanford University). The fusion protein was purified using glutathione affinity chromatography (Kellgog et al., 1995). One liter of LB containing 100 μg/ml carbenicillin was grown to OD600 0.49, at which time IPTG was added to 0.8 mM, and the culture grown for 195 min to OD600 1.9. Cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Pellets were thawed in 1x PBS containing 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM benzamidine, 200 μg/ml lysozyme, and 1 mM PMSF (bta buffer). The suspension was sonicated three times for 15 s using a Branson Sonifier Cell Disruptor 185 fitted with a microtip, and then clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm in an SS34 rotor for 60 min at 4°C. The lysate was treated with 10 μg/ml RNase I and 10 μg/ml DNase I for 5 min at 4°C and again clarified. The supernatant was applied at ~0.4 ml/min to a 1-ml glutathione agarose column equilibrated with 1x PBS, and the flow-through reapplied to the column. The column was then
Table II. Plasmids Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBUD4</td>
<td>Original BUD4 complementing clone</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS1</td>
<td>SalI fragment of pBUD4 in the SalI site of YEp24</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS2</td>
<td>pBUD4 lacking SalI fragment</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS3</td>
<td>SalI fragment of pBUD4 in the SalI site of YEp24</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS7</td>
<td>SalI–BamHI fragment of pBUD4 in the SalI–BamHI sites of YEp24</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS8</td>
<td>XbaI fragment of pBUD4 in the XbaI site of YEp24</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS9</td>
<td>SalI–BamHI fragment of pBUD4 in the SalI–BamHI sites of YCP50</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS10</td>
<td>XhoI–BamHI fragment of pBUD4 in the XhoI–BamHI sites of pRS306</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS15</td>
<td>entire pBUD4 insert (recreated by cloning the 4-kb SalI fragment and the ~7-kb SalI–SmaI fragments into the SalI and SmaI sites) in pBlueScripT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS17</td>
<td>PvuII fragment of pSS15 in the PvuII site of YEp24</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS18</td>
<td>PvuII fragment of pSS15 in the PvuII site of YCP50</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS24</td>
<td>pSS15 lacking the MluI/KpnI fragment</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEp24CDC12</td>
<td>2 μg CDC12</td>
<td>H. Fares and J. Pringle, UNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plK9</td>
<td>SalI fragment of LEU2 in pUC8</td>
<td>J. L. Li, UCSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPP14</td>
<td>HincII fragment of TRP1 in pUC8</td>
<td>J. L. Li, UCSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS36</td>
<td>SalI–AgeI fragment of pSS24 replaced with SalI linkers</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS38</td>
<td>SalI fragment of LEU2 cloned into the SalI site of pSS36</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS42</td>
<td>HincII–StuI fragment of TRP1 cloned into the SalI site of pSS36</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSS43</td>
<td>SalI (chewed back)–BglII fragment of pSS15 in the KpnI (filled-in)–BglII site of pGEX*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX1*</td>
<td>pGEX with an altered polylinker</td>
<td>K. Oegema, UCSF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

washed with 40 ml lysis buffer containing 0.5 mM DTT and 0.1% Tween 20 and subsequently washed with lysis buffer containing 0.5 mM DTT until no protein detectable by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) leached off the column. The fusion protein was eluted with lysis buffer containing 1 mM DTT and 5 mM glutathione. Fractions containing protein were pooled and concentrated with a Centriprep 30, yielding 11.3 mg protein. 0.5 mg protein was used for the initial injection of two rabbits and for subsequent boosts (Berkeley Antibody Company [BAbCO], Richmond, CA).

**Antibody Purification.** Crude serum was adsorbed against a cyanogen bromide–activated Sepharose column coupled to a bacterial extract derived from cells expressing glutathione-S-transferase. The flow-through from this column was applied to a cyanogen bromide–activated Sepharose column coupled to a yeast extract derived from strain SY298 (a bud4:: TRP1). The flow-through from the second column was applied to an Affigel 10 column coupled to the Bud4p–GST fusion protein. Antibodies were eluted with 0.2 M glycine, pH 2.2. Fractions were collected and immediately neutralized with 3 M Tris, pH 9. Protein-containing fractions were identified using the Bradford assay, pooled, and adjusted to 50 mM Hepes, pH 6.8, 1 mg/ml BSA, and 5 mM NaN3. The affinity-purified antibody was stored at ~80°C and the working stock at 4°C.

**Immunofluorescence.**

The protocol was essentially that of Pringle et al. (1991). Cells were grown to early to mid log phase, fixed with formaldehyde for 1–16 h, collected by centrifugation, washed with phosphate buffer, and then spheroplasted.

Spheroplasts were applied to polylysine-coated slides and further permeabilized by treatment with 0.2% SDS in 1× PBS (Ziman, 1993), followed by submersion in −20°C methanol for 6 min and in −20°C acetone for 30 s (Novick and Botstein, 1985). Bud4 antibody was used at a 1:5 dilution. The secondary antibody was fluorescein-conjugated anti rabbit-IgG antibody.

**Cell Extracts and Western Blots.**

Cells were grown to early to mid log phase, collected by centrifugation, washed with water, and resuspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% SDS, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM PMSF. Samples were heated to 95°C, mixed with glass beads, and vortexed three times for 30 s. Protein concentration was determined (Markwell et al., 1978) and all samples adjusted to the concentration of the most dilute sample. Samples (typically ~150 μg protein) were fractionated using 6% SDS-polycrylamide mini-gels, and proteins transferred to nitrocellulose filters in 150 mM glycine, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 20% methanol, 0.05% SDS. Filters were blocked for 1–16 h in TBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 2% nonfat dry milk and decorated with a 1:500 dilution of the affinity-purified Bud4p antibodies. Bud4 protein was visualized using the Amersham ECL protein detection kit.

**Printing and Photography.**

Cells were visualized with a Zeiss Axioskop and photographed with TMAX 400 film (ASA set at 1600). Images were printed on Rapitone P1-4 paper and arranged using Adobe Photoshop.

**Results.**

**Use of the Pseudohyphal Behavior of bud4 Mutants to Clone BUD4.**

The ability of yeast cells to exhibit pseudohyphal growth is correlated with the bipolar budding pattern. a/α diploids, which exhibit bipolar budding, form pseudohyphae in certain strain backgrounds when starved for nitrogen (Gimeno et al., 1992). Cells to form pseudohyphae, the behavior of a bud4 and α bud4 mutants, which exhibit bipolar budding, was examined. The bud4-1 mutation in IH2410 (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991) was introduced into a strain background (CG146) suitable for scoring pseudohyphal growth (Gimeno et al., 1992). Two of 21 of the bud4-1 haploid segregants from this cross, including SY59, exhibited pseudohyphal growth (Fig. 1 c).
progeny exhibiting this behavior indicates that additional genes important for pseudohyphal development segregated in these crosses. These results demonstrated that the bud4-1 mutation could indeed allow α and α haploids to form pseudohyphae in the appropriate strain background.

The BUD4 gene was cloned by screening for reversal of the pseudohyphal growth behavior of bud4-1 strain SY59. Pseudohyphal growth was assessed by observing the morphology of a colony edge using a dissecting microscope (Fig. 1). Although the pseudohyphae formed by α bud4-1 strains were not as long as those of αα Bud+ strains (Fig. 1, compare b and c), it was possible to distinguish α bud4-1 and α BUD4 strains (Fig. 1, compare a and c). SY59 was transformed with a yeast genomic library (Carlson and Botstein, 1982), and ~11,000 transformants were patched onto SLAHD plates. 83 reproducibly failed to form pseudohyphae (Fig. 1 d). Five of these exhibited axial budding and contained identical plasmids (data not shown). Genetic analysis showed that the ~11-kb insert mapped to the BUD4 locus (see Materials and Methods). Most subclones failed to complement (Fig. 2 A), consistent with the existence of a single long open reading frame (Fig. 2 A, row g). BUD4 has the potential to encode a protein, Bud4p, of 1,446 amino acids (data not shown) with a putative GTP-binding site at its carboxy terminus (Fig. 2 B). Bud4p lacks hallmark sequences of any particular subfamily of GTPases (Bourne et al., 1991). In addition, the presumptive upstream regulatory region of BUD4 contained a sequence that may confer cell cycle-dependent transcription: the segments from −206 to −154 is similar to the promoter segment of SWI5 and CLB2 that are necessary and sufficient to restrict transcription to the late S, G2, and M phases of the cell cycle (Fig. 2 C) (Lydall et al., 1991; Maher et al., 1995). The BUD4 upstream region is also similar to the presumptive regulatory region of BUD3, suggesting that BUD3, BUD4 and perhaps SWI5 and CLB2 are coordinately regulated.

BUD4 Null Phenotype

BUD4 null alleles were constructed by replacing all coding information except the nine carboxy-terminal codons with LEU2 or TRP1 (see Materials and Methods) (Rothstein, 1983). These bud4::LEU2 and bud4::TRP1 alleles (Fig. 2 A, rows h and i) were then used to replace the genomic BUD4 in two strain backgrounds. Gene replacement was

Figure 1. Cloning of BUD4, which encodes a potential GTP-binding protein, by reversal of pseudohyphal growth behavior. CG146 (α Bud+) containing YEp24 (a), CGX69 (αα Bud+) containing YEp24 (b), SY59 (α bud4-1) containing YEp24 (c), or SY59 containing pBUD4 (d) were patched onto SLAHD solid medium and incubated for two weeks at 30°C. Arrows indicate positions of patch edges.
confirmed by Southern analysis (see Materials and Methods). a, α, and a/α cells lacking BUD4 exhibited a bipolar pattern like that of the original bud4-1 mutant (Table III). Growth of a, α, and a/α strains lacking BUD4 was normal at all temperatures, on rich and minimal media, and on media with a variety of carbon sources or increased osmolality. Sporulation efficiency and spore morphology were unaffected by loss of the BUD4 gene. A modest reduction in efficiency of mating was observed in α cells of the S288C strain background by qualitative patch mating tests (data not shown); the significance of this reduction remains to be tested. As observed for BUD1, BUD2, BUD3, and BUD5 (Bender and Pringle, 1989; Chant et al., 1991; Chant et al., 1995; Park et al., 1993), all existing evidence suggests that the primary role of BUD4 in an otherwise wild-type cell is to facilitate appropriate bud-site selection.

Bud4p Localizes to the Mother/Bud Neck

To determine the subcellular localization of Bud4p, it was necessary to generate polyclonal antibodies against Bud4p. A fusion protein composed of the NH2-terminal 36-kD of Bud4p (see Materials and Methods) and glutathione-S-transferase was prepared as antigen. Polyclonal antisera from two rabbits recognized a protein of apparent molecular mass 230 kD present in wild-type (BUD4) extracts and absent from bud4::TRP1 extracts (Fig. 3 A). The sequence of the BUD4 gene predicts a protein with a molecular mass of ~170 kD. The 230-kD polypeptide was identified as Bud4p because it was overexpressed in extracts from cells carrying BUD4 on a high copy plasmid (data not shown) and because it exhibited greater mobility on SDS-PAGE when derived from a bud4-1 mutant (Fig. 7 B). Many proteins, particularly those that do not have globular structures, exhibit SDS-PAGE mobilities slower than predicted from their sequence (for example see Field and Alberts, 1995).

Affinity-purified polyclonal antiserum was used to stain fixed spheroplasts by indirect immunofluorescence. Cells deleted for BUD4 exhibited a diffuse haze throughout the mother and the bud (Fig. 3 B, column c). Analysis of several hundred wild-type cells stained with Bud4 antibodies...
Table III. Phenotype of a bud4 Null Mutant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>Axial</th>
<th>Bipolar</th>
<th>Random</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α BUD (IH2390)</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α bud4::TRP1 (SY298)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α BUD (IH2393)</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α bud4::TRP1 (SY299)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a BUD (IH2410)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD a/α (IH2397)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bud4::TRP1/ a/α (SY300)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microcolony budding assays were performed to determine the budding pattern of isogenic strains.

revealed two classes of staining. 30–50% of unbudded cells exhibited an apparent ring of staining (Fig. 3 B, column a). Approximately fifty percent of budded cells contained two apparent rings of staining (Fig. 3 B, column b). The rings were located on either side of the mother/bud neck, with one ring present in the mother cell and one ring in the bud. Cells with small buds were unstained (data not shown).

Presence and Localization of Bud4p Are Cell Cycle–dependent

The observations of Bud4p localization in asynchronous cultures suggested that the localization of Bud4p was cell cycle–dependent. We tested this hypothesis directly using synchronous populations of cells. Cells arrested in mitosis by addition of nocodazole accumulated more Bud4p than cells in asynchronous cultures (Fig. 4 A, compare lane 1 to lanes 2–4). In contrast, cells arrested with hydroxyurea accumulated less Bud4p than did asynchronous cultures (Fig. 4 A, compare lane 1 to lanes 5–8). The immunofluorescence data paralleled these observations: 78% (n = 100) of cells arrested with hydroxyurea contained two faint rings of Bud4p that were localized to either side of the mother/bud neck (Fig. 4 B, left panels). 92% (n = 100) of cells arrested in mitosis with nocodazole contained two bright rings of Bud4p, one on either side of the mother/bud neck (Fig. 4 B, right panels). Staining of nocodazole–treated cells was indistinguishable from that of untreated cells with buds of similar size (compare Fig. 4 B, right panels to Fig. 3 B, column b).

Bud4p was also examined in cells arrested in G1. First, cells were arrested at START by deletion of G1 cyclins (Richardson et al., 1989; Nasmyth, 1993). Strain ASY80 lacks chromosomal copies of CLN1, CLN2, and CLN3 and is kept alive by expression of CLN2 from a galactose–regulated CLN2 gene. When grown in galactose, these cells exhibit near normal morphology and cell division. When shifted into glucose-containing medium, unbudded cells accumulate (Sil, A., personal communication, Fig. 5 A). We observed that ASY80 cells grown in galactose–containing medium contained Bud4 protein (Fig. 5 A, lane 3),

Figure 3. Identification and localization of Bud4 protein. (A) Extracts derived from cells of isogenic strains SY298 (α bud4::TRP1), IH2390 (α BUD), IH2397 (α/α BUD), and IH2393 (α BUD) were analyzed for Bud4p by immunoblotting. The band at the dye front is a cross-reacting protein which, as shown, is also present in BUD4 deletion extracts. Equal amounts of protein were loaded in each lane. (B) Cells of isogenic strains IH2393 (α BUD) (columns a and b) and SY299 (α bud4::TRP1) (column c) were grown to early log phase, fixed, and processed for indirect immunofluorescence staining with affinity-purified Bud4p antibodies.
Figure 4. Presence and localization of Bud4p in cells arrested in S and M phases of the cell cycle. (A) Extracts were derived from cells of strain IH2393 (a BUD4) grown to early log phase and mock treated (lane 1), treated with nocodazole at 5 µg/ml (lane 2), 10 µg/ml (lane 3), or 20 µg/ml (lane 4), or with hydroxyurea at 10 mM (lane 5), 20 mM (lane 6), 40 mM (lane 7), or 80 mM (lane 8) for 2 h at 30°C, and then analyzed for Bud4p by immunoblotting. Arrest of cells was analyzed by examining cell morphology; percent arrested cells is indicated below the corresponding lanes. Equal amounts of protein were loaded in each lane. (B) Cells of strain IH2393 (a BUD4) were grown to early log phase, treated with 0.2 M hydroxyurea (lefipanels) or 10 µg/ml nocodazole (right panels) as described in A, fixed, and processed for indirect immunofluorescence staining with affinity-purified Bud4p antibodies.

whereas ASY80 cells shifted into glucose-containing medium possessed little Bud4 protein (Fig. 5 A, lane 4). A Cln+ strain in the same background progressed through the cell cycle normally in both galactose- and glucose-containing media and possessed similar amounts of Bud4 protein under both conditions (Fig. 5 A, lanes 1 and 2). Next, cells were arrested at G1/G0 by nutrient depletion (Nasmyth, 1993). As cell number increased, both the budding index and the level of Bud4p decreased (Fig. 5 B). Finally, cells were arrested at START by treatment with α-factor. Although a cells in asynchronous cultures contained Bud4p (Fig. 5 C, lane 1, top panel), Bud4p levels dropped sharply upon treatment with α-factor (Fig. 5 C, lane 2).

These observations suggested that Bud4p levels varied over the cell cycle with a trough at START and a peak in mitosis. This hypothesis was confirmed by analyzing cells recovering from α-factor–induced cell cycle arrest (Fig. 5 C). Bud4p levels remained low for ~30 min after the removal of α-factor. Bud4p reappeared by 40 min after release from α-factor, just before entry into mitosis (Fig. 5 C, lanes 6 and 7). The timing of mitosis was determined by immunoblot analysis of Cib2p, a mitotic B-type cyclin (Fitch et al., 1992; Richardson et al., 1992; Grandin and Reed, 1993; Surana et al., 1991, Ghiara et al., 1991), and by examination of bud sizes. The pattern of reappearance of Bud4p nearly paralleled that of Cib2p (Fig. 5 C, lower panel) (Grandin and Reed, 1993).

The localization of Bud4p in these cells recovering from α-factor arrest reflected the presence of Bud4 protein. Localization of Bud4p to the mother/bud neck was first visible when ~50% of the cells had medium-sized buds (data not shown), at the time the levels of Bud4 and Cib2 proteins began to rise. Unbudded cells with rings of Bud4p were first seen after cytokinesis of the first cell cycle and preceded the presence of unbudded cells lacking rings of Bud4p. At the time of cytokinesis, ~90% of unbudded cells (178/200 cells) were stained with Bud4 antibodies whereas fifteen minutes later, only half of the unbudded cells (101/200 cells) were stained with Bud4 antibodies.

Localization of Bud4p Is Independent of Cell Type and Requires Bud3p and Cdc12p

Because BUD4 is required in a and α cells for axial budding but not in a/α cells for bipolar budding (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991), it was of interest to determine whether a/α cells synthesize Bud4p. Bud4 protein was present at similar levels and exhibited the same apparent molecular weight in a, α, and a/α cells (Fig. 5 A). Localization of Bud4p was identical in all cell types as well (Fig. 6).
Figure 5. Absence of Bud4p from cells arrested in G1. (A) JO369 cells (a CLN) (lanes 1 and 2) or ASY80 (a Δcln1 Δcln2 Δcln3 pGAL::CLN2) (lanes 3 and 4) were grown to early log phase in YEP-galactose medium (lanes 1 and 3). An aliquot of each strain was shifted to YEP-glucose medium (lanes 2 and 4) to deplete ASY80 of Cln2p. Protein extracts were prepared from samples and subjected to analysis of Bud4p by immunoblotting. (B) An overnight culture of JO31-1A (a BUD) was prepared in YEPD at 30°C. Cells were diluted 50-fold into fresh medium and allowed to grow for 3 h. Samples were taken at OD600 of 0.19 (lane 1), 0.34 (lane 2), 0.95 (lane 3), 2.6 (lane 4), 3.5 (lane 5), or 7.6 (lane 6). Percentage of unbudded cells is reported below the figure. Protein extracts were prepared from samples and subjected to analysis of Bud4p by immunoblotting. Equal amounts of protein were loaded in each lane. (C) SY292 (a BUD4) was grown to early log phase (asynchronous culture, Asy) (lane 1), treated with 10 μg/ml α-factor for 95 min (arrested culture, Arr) (lane 2), and resuspended in warm, α-factor-free YEPD. Aliquots were removed every 10 min for 50 min (lanes 3–7). Percent unbudded cells is reported below the figure. Protein extracts were prepared from samples and subjected to analysis of Bud4p by immunoblotting. Equal amounts of protein were loaded in each lane.
We determined whether localization of Bud4p was dependent upon other proteins with roles in bud-site selection by examining Bud4p in mutants with altered bud-site selection patterns. Bud4p was localized at the mother/bud neck in bud1 and axl1 mutants (Fig. 7 A, rows b and f) and in bud2-1, bud2::LEU2, and bud5::URA3 mutants (data not shown). No difference in distribution or intensity of staining was observed in comparison with Bud+ cells. In contrast, the Bud4p found in bud3-1, bud3::URA3, and bud4-1 mutants was less efficiently localized than in wild-type cells (Fig. 7 A, rows c, d, and e). Though Bud4p was visible at the mother/bud neck in the bud3-1, bud3::URA3, and bud4-1 mutants, the staining was much less intense than in wild-type cells. As observed in wild-type cells, approximately half of all budded cells exhibited staining. Bud4p was not observed in unbudded bud3-1 or bud4-1 mutant cells. Absence of efficient Bud4p staining in bud3-1 and bud4-1 mutants was not due to reduced levels of Bud4p in those cells (Fig. 7 B). Bud4p was present at normal levels in extracts from all bud-site selection mutants analyzed. The Bud4p found in bud4-1 strains exhibited a more rapid mobility in SDS-PAGE, consistent with a nonsense mutation near the 3' end of the BUD4 ORF or an altered posttranslational modification. The SDS-PAGE mobility of the Bud4p found in bud-site selection mutants bud1-1, bud2-1, bud3-1, bud5::URA3, and axl1::URA3 was indistinguishable from that of Bud4p in wild-type cells (Fig. 7 B).

CDC12 is essential for normal yeast cell growth and cytokinesis and is thought to encode one of the subunits of the neck filaments (Haarer and Pringle, 1987; Hartwell, 1971; Longtine et al., 1996). Because localization of Bud3p requires functional CDC12 (Chant et al., 1995) and because efficient assembly of Bud4p depends on BUD3, we examined the localization of Bud4p in cdc12-6 mutants shifted to the nonpermissive temperature (37°C). Neck filaments are no longer visible in cdc12-6 mutants shifted to the nonpermissive temperature for 5 min (Ford and Pringle, 1991; Kim et al., 1991; Chant et al., 1995; Longtine et al., 1996). Whereas localization of Bud4p appeared normal in cdc12 mutants grown at the permissive temperature (72% of cells with large buds stained, n = 100) (Fig. 8, column a), none of the 100 cells examined exhibited Bud4p staining after a 10-min shift to 37°C (Fig. 8, column b). Cells stained efficiently with control antibodies at both temperatures (data not shown). Bud4p staining in cdc12 strains bearing CDC12 on a plasmid was only slightly affected by the temperature shift (89% of cells with large buds stained at 25°C, and 68% of cells with large buds stained at 37°C, n = 100) (Fig. 8, columns c and d).

BUD4 and CDC12 also exhibited genetic interactions. cdc12 strains are temperature sensitive, exhibiting normal growth at 25°C but failing to grow at both 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 9) (Hartwell, 1971). cdc12-6 strains containing a CDC12 plasmid grew well at all temperatures (Fig. 9). BUD4 on a low copy plasmid (pSS18) partially restored growth to cdc12-6 strains at 30°C but not at 37°C (Fig. 9) whereas BUD4 present on a high copy plasmid (pSS17) did not improve growth at either temperature (Fig. 9). These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that Bud4p and the septin proteins interact with each other.

Discussion

The BUD3 and BUD4 genes are necessary for yeast cells to exhibit the axial budding pattern. It has been proposed that the Bud3 and Bud4 proteins recognize a landmark for axial budding (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991) and that the neck filaments may constitute this landmark (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Flescher et al., 1993). We show here that the Bud4 protein localizes to the mother/bud neck, and that its localization is similar to that of the Bud3 protein (Chant et al., 1995). We have observed that Bud4p rings are present in cells after cytokinesis and that these rings disappear before bud emergence. These and other observations support the hypothesis that Bud4p, along with Bud3p, participates in a cycle of protein-protein interactions that provides the spatial information necessary to propagate the axial budding pattern (Fig. 10) (Chant et al., 1995).

Bud4p, a Putative GTP-binding Protein, May Act in Conjunction with Bud3p

Several lines of evidence suggest that Bud3p and Bud4p act as a functional unit, perhaps forming a heteromultimeric complex. First, the phenotypes of mutants defective in BUD3 and BUD4 are identical. Second, the localization patterns of Bud3p and Bud4p are nearly indistinguishable. The only discernible difference is that weakly staining rings of Bud4p are observed at the mother/bud neck in cells arrested with hydroxyurea (Fig. 4 B), whereas Bud3p rings are not observed under similar conditions (Chant et al., 1995). This disparity may simply reflect differences in the sensitivity of the Bud3p and Bud4p antisera used in these analyses. Third, localization of both Bud3p and Bud4p depends upon the septin, CDC12. Finally, we have found that localization of Bud4p is strongly, although not absolutely, dependent upon BUD3. It is simplest to imagine...
that Bud3p and Bud4p associate with each other to form a heteromultimeric structure.

Bud4p may be a GTP-binding protein: its carboxy terminus contains all four of the hallmark sequences found in GTPases, although it lacks consensus sequences characteristic of any particular subfamily (Fig. 2 B) (Bourne et al., 1991). GTP binding and hydrolysis by Bud4p could play a role at a number of different steps such as promoting localization of Bud4p to the bud site, assembly of Bud4p into ringlike structures, association of Bud4p with Bud3p or with the neck filaments, disassembly of the Bud4p rings in G1, or degradation of Bud4p. The potential GTP-binding activity of Bud4p together with its cytoskeleton-like immunofluorescence structure are reminiscent of FtsZ and the septins (for a discussion see Flescher et al., 1993). Both FtsZ and the Drosophila septins bind GTP and form filaments in vitro and are required for cytokinesis in vivo (de Boer et al., 1992; Field et al., 1996; Mukherjee and Lutkenhaus, 1994; Mukherjee et al., 1993; Neufeld and Rubin, 1994; RayChaudhuri and Park, 1992; Walker et al., 1975). Like Bud4p, FtsZ and the septins localize in ring structures at the site of cytokinesis (B1 and Lutkenhaus, 1991; Ford and Pringle, 1991; Haarer and Pringle, 1987; Kim et al., 1991; Neufeld and Rubin, 1994).
Bud4p Appears to Play a Role in a Cycle of Protein Localization

The study of Bud3p led to the proposal that Bud3p and the neck filaments determine each other's localization (Chant et al., 1995). Our findings on the localization of Bud4p are consistent with the hypothesis that Bud4p and the neck filaments likewise determine each other's localization (Fig. 10). Using synchronized cell cultures, we have shown that Bud4p rings are present after cytokinesis in early G1, where they would be in a position to determine the location of the neck filaments. Bud3p rings are also inferred to be present after cytokinesis based on comparison of Bud3p and actin staining (Chant et al., 1995). We have observed that the rings of Bud4p disappear later in G1 and are absent from cells with small buds, suggesting they are absent from cells in S phase. The Bud4p rings appear in cells with medium and large-sized buds. Analysis of Bud4p rings and protein levels in cells arrested at specific points in the cell cycle corroborated these observations. Cells arrested at START contained low levels of Bud4p, whereas cells arrested in mitosis with nocodazole contained high levels of Bud4p. Cells treated with hydroxyurea contained lower but clearly measurable levels of Bud4p, as observed for other proteins whose synthesis peaks in mitosis (for example see Sorger and Murray, 1992).

The persistence of the Bud3p and Bud4p ring structures after the neck filaments disappear at cytokinesis provides the cell with a spatial memory. Based upon electron microscopic studies (Byers and Goetsch, 1976), the neck filaments are present at the mother/bud neck from bud emer-
BUD4 can partially suppress growth defects associated with cdc12-6. (B) SY283 (α cdc12-6 his4 ura3) cells transformed with pSS17 (2μBUD4), pSS18 (CEN/ARS/BUD4), YCP50, or YEp24CDC12 were streaked on synthetic medium lacking uracil and incubated at 25°C, 30°C, or 37°C for 3 d.

gence until cytokinesis. The septin, Cdc3p, thought to be a subunit of the neck filaments (Kim et al., 1991), arrives at the mother/bud neck shortly before bud emergence and persists until shortly after cytokinesis (Kim et al., 1991). Bud3p and Bud4p rings are present from mitosis until early G1 (Chant et al., 1995, this study). Therefore, the mother/bud neck region is marked by either Bud3p and Bud4p or the neck filaments for the entire cell cycle.

Our observation that the Bud4p rings disappear by START, before bud emergence, indicates that Bud4p (and Bud3p) function in G1 before START or perhaps even before cytokinesis. How Bud3p and Bud4p direct positioning of the new bud site is not clear at present, but they might do so by interacting with a variety of binding partners such as the neck filament proteins themselves or with proteins such as Spa2p, calmodulin, Cdc42p, Smy1p, or Myo1p, which are also localized to the nascent bud site (Brockerhoff and Davis, 1992; Lillie and Brown, 1994; Snyder et al., 1991; Ziman et al., 1993). Bud3p and Bud4p may also interact with the bud-site selection proteins Bud1p, Bud2p, and Bud5p, which are proposed to localize Cdc42p and other proteins necessary for establishing the bud site (Park et al., 1993).

**Bud4p Does Not Contribute to Cell Type Differences in Budding Pattern**

Because α/α diploids exhibit bipolar budding (as do bud3 and bud4 mutants), expression of BUD3 or BUD4 was proposed to be inhibited by α/α diploids (Herskowitz, 1988; Chant and Herskowitz, 1991). Both Bud3p and Bud4p, however, are present and similarly localized in all cell types (Chant et al., 1995, this study). No obvious differences in posttranslational modification of Bud4p are evident in the various cell types. The cell type differences in bud-site selection, therefore, cannot be accounted for by the presence or localization of Bud3p or Bud4p. The AXL1 gene, also required for axial budding, is repressed in α/α diploids (Fujita et al., 1994). None of the currently measurable properties of Bud4p exhibit an AXL1 dependence. In particular, Bud4p does not appear to be a target of the proteolytic activity of Axl1p as no obvious difference in molecular weight was observed for Bud4p in cells containing AXL1 (α and α cells) compared to cells lacking AXL1 (α/α null cells and α/α cells). Recent studies suggest that the proteolytic activity of Axl1p is not necessary for axial budding, although it is required for α-factor maturation (Adames et al., 1995). A second possible role for Axl1p would be to facilitate the localization of Bud4p. However, localization of Bud4p is indistinguishable in AXL1 and α/α null mutants and in all cell types. The role of Axl1p remains to be determined.

**Regulation of Bud4p May be Responsible for Altered Polarity of Invasive, Starving, and Mating Cells**

Cells recovering from starvation exhibit bipolar rather than axial budding (Chant and Pringle, 1995; Madden and Snyder, 1992; Thompson and Wheals, 1980). Because Bud4p disappears as cells become nutrient depleted, starvation conditions essentially create a phenocopy of a bud4 mutation which causes cells to initially bud in a bipolar manner upon refeeding. Similarly, α and α haploid cells residing at the bottom of a colony (where they are presumably nutrient starved) exhibit nonaxial budding patterns that are either bipolar or unipolar (Roberts and Fink, 1994). We explain this altered budding pattern also as a consequence of lack of Bud4p.

Yeast cells alter their polarity program during mating (Chenevert, 1994). To mate successfully, cells must ignore axial landmarks (Dorer et al., 1995; Valtz et al., 1995; Madden and Snyder, 1992) and instead become polarized with respect to the highest concentration of pheromone of the mating partner (Jackson and Hartwell, 1990). Cells could override axial landmarks by erasing bud-site information, by building a preferred alternate site, or both. The
absence of Bud4p from cells treated with mating pheromone may facilitate choosing a new site for polarization.

Choosing sites for cell division and for orienting intracellular polarity requires that cells have the ability to position macromolecules specifically. Further studies on Bud4p and on the process by which bud sites are selected should reveal how proteins are uniquely positioned within cells and how the position of one protein influences the assembly of asymmetric structures. Our findings and those of Chant et al. (1995) suggest that Bud3p, Bud4p, and the neck filaments direct each other’s assembly. Because both yeast and Drosophila septins are required for cytokinesis, it is possible that analogues of Bud3p and Bud4p might exist in metazoans and play a role in organizing septins or controlling other aspects of the cleavage furrow.
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