Amphiphysin II (SH3P9; BIN1), a Member of the Amphiphysin/Rvs Family, Is Concentrated in the Cortical Cytomatrix of Axon Initial Segments and Nodes of Ranvier in Brain and around T Tubules in Skeletal Muscle
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Abstract. Amphiphysin (amphiphysin I), a dominant autoantigen in paraneoplastic Stiff-man syndrome, is a neuronal protein highly concentrated in nerve terminals, where it has a putative role in endocytosis. The yeast homologue of amphiphysin, Rvs167, has pleiotropic functions, including a role in endocytosis and in actin dynamics, suggesting that amphiphysin may also be implicated in the function of the presynaptic actin cytoskeleton. We report here the characterization of a second mammalian amphiphysin gene, amphiphysin II (SH3P9; BIN1), which encodes products primarily expressed in skeletal muscle and brain, as differentially spliced isoforms. In skeletal muscle, amphiphysin II is concentrated around T tubules, while in brain it is concentrated in the cytomatrix beneath the plasmamembrane of axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier. In both these locations, amphiphysin II is colocalized with splice variants of ankyrin G (ankyrinG), a component of the actin cytomatrix. In the same regions, the presence of clathrin has been reported. These findings support the hypothesis that, even in mammalian cells, amphiphysin/Rvs family members have a role both in endocytosis and in actin function and suggest that distinct amphiphysin isoforms contribute to define distinct domains of the cortical cytoplasm. Since amphiphysin II (BIN1) was reported to interact with Myc, it may also be implicated in a signaling pathway linking the cortical cytoplasm to nuclear function.

Amphiphysin I, a human autoantigen in Stiff-man syndrome associated with breast cancer (De Camilli et al., 1993; Folli et al., 1993), is a neuronal protein highly concentrated in the cortical cytomatrix of nerve terminals where it has a putative role in synaptic vesicle endocytosis (Lichte et al., 1992; David et al., 1994, 1996; Shupliakov et al., 1997). It comprises an NH2-terminal region, which is predicted to form coiled coil structures, a COOH-terminal SH3 domain, and a proline-rich linker region between these two domains that is poorly conserved evolutionarily (David et al., 1994). Biochemical studies, complemented by colocalization and coimmunoprecipitation experiments, have strongly suggested that the two main physiological ligands for the SH3 domain of amphiphysin I are the GTPase dynamin I (David et al., 1996; Grabs et al., 1997) and the inositol-5-phosphatase synaptojanin (McPherson et al., 1996). Dynamin I participates in synaptic vesicle recycling via its critical role in the fission of clathrin-coated vesicles from the nerve terminal plasmalemma (Kosaka and Ikeda, 1983; Koenig and Ikeda, 1989; Shpetner and Vallee, 1989; Takei et al., 1995), and synaptojanin is thought to function in a closely related step (McPherson et al., 1996). In addition, amphiphysin I interacts in vitro, via a region distinct from its SH3 domain, with the appendage domain of the α subunit of the clathrin adaptor AP2 (Wang et al., 1995; David et al., 1996). It has, therefore, been suggested that one of the functions of amphiphysin I is to recruit dynamin I and synaptojanin at the clathrin coat of synaptic vesicles (David et al., 1996). Consistent with this hypothesis, disruption of amphiphysin SH3 domain interactions in living nerve terminals produces a potent block of synaptic vesicle endocytosis at the stage of deeply invaginated clathrin coated pits (Shupliakov et al., 1997).
Amphiphysin I shares substantial primary sequence similarity and a similar domain structure, with the yeast protein Rvs167. Furthermore, the NH2-terminal portion of both proteins is similar to the yeast protein Rvs161 (Bauer et al., 1993; David et al., 1994; Sivadon et al., 1995). Mutations in either RVS161 or RVS167 block receptor-mediated and fluid phase endocytosis in yeast, strongly supporting a role of the Amphiphysin/Rvs family in endocytic processes (Munn et al., 1995). In addition, RVS167 and RVS167 mutants also exhibit defects in the function of the actin cytoskeleton, in agreement with the general link between actin and endocytosis that has emerged from yeast studies (Munn et al., 1995; Sivadon et al., 1995). A corresponding link between amphiphysin I and the function of the actin cytoskeleton has been suggested by studies in cultured hippocampal neurons (Mundigl O., C. Ochoa, C. David, A.K. Kabanov, and P. De Camilli, Mol. Biol. Cell (Suppl.), 7:84a.). Finally, rvs mutants impair the ability of yeast cells to enter stationary phase upon exposure to nutrient starvation (reduced viability upon starvation) suggesting an indirect role of the RVS genes in controlling cell proliferation (Crouzet et al., 1991; David et al., 1994).

Amphiphysin I is expressed at a high concentration in brain and testis, at a lower concentration in neuroendocrine tissues (De Camilli et al., 1993; Folli et al., 1993; Lichte et al., 1992), and at only much lower levels in most other tissues (Butler, M.H., S. Floyd, and P. De Camilli, unpublished results). We have characterized here the product of a second amphiphysin gene, which we refer to as amphiphysin II. Amphiphysin II is localized primarily in specialized regions of the cortical cytoplasm of axons and muscle cells. These observations add further evidence for a general connection between proteins of the amphiphysin/Rvs family and the function of the cortical cell cytoskeleton.

**Materials and Methods**

**Antibodies**

The following affinity purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies were generated in our laboratory, CD5 antibodies specific for amphiphysin I (raised against a GST-fusion protein comprising the full length amphiphysin I protein [David et al., 1994]); CD7 and CD8 antibodies specific for amphiphysin II (raised against a GST-fusion protein comprising the last 68 amino acids of amphiphysin II; in this region, the amino acid identity between amphiphysin I and II is 55%); CD9 antibodies directed against both amphiphysin I and II (raised against a synthetic peptide corresponding to amino acids 26 to 40 of amphiphysin I, which is 100% conserved in amphiphysin II). A mouse polyclonal serum specific for amphiphysin I was raised against the polyhistidine-tagged full length amphiphysin I protein [David et al., 1994]. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies directed against synaptotagin were previously described (McPherson et al., 1986). DGI antibodies specific for dynamin I were generated against a dynamin I GST-fusion protein lacking the proline-rich domain. Antibodies directed against desmin, MAP2, and myelin basic protein (MBP) were purchased from Immunon (Pittsburgh, PA), Boehringer Mannheim Corp. (Indianapolis, IN), and Sternberger Monoclonals (Baltimore, MD), respectively. Polyclonal anti-ankyrin3 antibodies and anti-glut4 antibodies were kind gifts of J. Morrow (Yale University, New Haven, CT; Devarajan et al., 1996) and D. James (University of Queensland, Australia), respectively. A monoclonal anti-clathrin antibody (X22) was a kind gift of F. Brodsky (University of California, San Francisco, CA; Brodsky, 1985). A mouse monoclonal antibody (GAD6) against glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) was a kind gift of D. Gottlieb (Washington University, St. Louis, MO; Chang and Gottlieb, 1988).

**DNA Cloning**

By searching the database for amphiphysin I homologues, a sequence of 289 bp was identified from a human muscle library with 76% identity to the COOH-terminal region of human amphiphysin I. (These sequence data are available from Genbank/EMBL/DBJ under accession Z24784.) A probe corresponding to the first 251 bp of this sequence was amplified by PCR (forward primer 5’-agcagcaacagac-3’, reverse primer 5’-ggagaggttctcagc-3’) from a human skeletal muscle cDNA library constructed in ZAPII phage (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The probe was then radioactively labeled by primer-direct labeling (Bogue et al., 1994) and used to screen 2 × 106 plaques of the same library. The two longest clones isolated by the screen (clones 17-42 and 12-1A) were partially characterized by restriction mapping and found to overlap extensively. Both clones were fully sequenced, and clone 17-42 was found to encode a nearly full length protein, missing only three amino acids at its COOH-terminal end. Subsequent searches of the database revealed additional expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences from a human infant brain library that were identical to portions of our clone 17-42. Clones 24660, 30686, and 27466 (Genbank/EMBL/DBJ T80281, R18250 and R12992, respectively) were obtained through the IMAGE Consortium (Research Genetics Inc., Huntsville, AL) and fully sequenced. A human brain cDNA library constructed in lgt11 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) was then screened with a 220-bp probe amplified by PCR (forward primer 5’-etggagaggggctccgc-3’, reverse primer 5’-agcagcaacagacagc-3’) and labeled by primer-direct labeling. Positive plaques of out of 1 × 106 were identified, and the two longest clones (clone 11 and clone 19) were fully sequenced. These clones were identical to the IMAGE cDNA clones mentioned above, except for some additional alternative splicings (see Fig. 1 B). Since none of the cloned isoforms encoded an entire reading frame, the full length clones were assembled as follows starting from the two longest clones. Clone 17/12 (see Fig. 1 C) was assembled from clone 17-42 by replacing its COOH terminus with that of clone 12-1A using the SapI restriction site. Clone 17/19 (see Fig. 1 C) was assembled from clone 19 (Genbank/EMBL/DBJ U87558) by replacing its NH2-terminal region with that of clone 17-42 at the unique restriction site BsaAI. Nucleotide sequences were analyzed by Blast and Fasta and aligned by Bestfit andPILEUP (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, WI). Chromatograms from sequencing analysis were assembled by Seqman (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI). Coiled coil structure identification was performed using Coils 2.2 (Lupas, 1996).

**Northern Blot Analysis**

Northern blot analysis of amphiphysin II expression was performed on a human multiple tissue RNA filter (Clontech) containing 2 µg of Poly A+ RNA on each lane. The full length amphiphysin II cDNA (clone 17/12) and an oligonucleotide of 120 bp corresponding to amino acid 351–390 of the contiguous sequence shown in Fig. 1 A, were labeled by random priming (Boehringer Mannheim Corp.; 2 × 106 cpm/ml) and hybridized at 42°C in 50% formamide, 6× SSC, 0.1% SDS, 2× Denhardt’s, 100 µg/ml salmon sperm DNA. An actin probe was used as a control to assess gel loading. Filters were washed twice for 20 min at high stringent conditions in 0.2× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 50°C.

**Cell Transfection**

COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with cDNAs corresponding to either clone 17/12 or clone 17/19 (see Fig. 1 C). The two cDNAs were subcloned in pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) and then purified with a Maxiprep kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA). COS-7 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) were transfected with lipofectamine (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), according to standard procedures (Chen and Okayama, 1987). Triton X-100 extracts of transfected cells and untransfected COS-7 cells were harvested after 24 h and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

**Immunocytochemistry**

**Light microscopy.** Rabbits were fixed and frozen sectioned as described (De Camilli et al., 1983). Small fragments of rat soleus skeletal muscle were incubated in relaxing media (100 mM Hepes, 100 mM potassium phosphate, 3 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 15 mM phosphocreatine, 2 mM NaATP; Kaufman et al., 1990) at room temperature for 10 min. Muscles were then stretched, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and semithin sectioned (0.5 mm) on an ultramicrotome (Ultracut FCS; Reichert, Vienna, Austria). Rat muscle and brain sections were stained
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for indirect immunofluorescence according to De Camilli et al. (1983). Pictures were recorded on black and white films (T-MAX 100; Kodak, Rochester, NY) with a microscope (Axioskop; Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped for epifluorescence microscopy.

**Electron microscopy.** Small pieces of rat soleus skeletal muscle were rapidly excised and fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.12 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. The samples were then infiltrated with polyvinylpyrrolidone/sucrose for 2 h. Ultrathin frozen sections were cut...
onto an ultramicrotome (Reichert) with FCS attachment and immediately processed for immunogold labeling (10-nm gold) as previously described (Keller et al., 1984; Tokuyasu et al., 1989).

Miscellaneous Procedures

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed essentially as described by Laemmli (1970) and Towbin et al. (1979), respectively. Immunoreactive bands were detected by either alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA) or $^{125}$I-protein A (10$^5$ cpm/ml; Dupont/NEN, Boston, MA).

Results

Cloning of Amphiphysin II

Human adult skeletal muscle and brain cDNA libraries were screened with probes corresponding to human partial cDNA sequences present in the database with significant homology to human amphiphysin I. Four clones identified by this screening (muscle clones 17-42 and 12-1A and brain clones 11 and 19) and three human infant brain clones (clones 24660, 27466, and 30686) obtained from the IMAGE Consortium were fully sequenced.

A comparative analysis of all these clones suggests that they are derived by alternative splicing from a single gene. The products of this gene will be collectively referred to as amphiphysin II, because of their strong sequence similarity to amphiphysin I. The contiguous amino acid sequence derived from the analysis of the individual human clones is shown in Fig. 1 A. Fig. 1 B is a schematic alignment of the human clones with a mouse sequence (SH3P9) that represents the murine homologue of amphiphysin II and which was obtained during a screen for SH3 domain-containing proteins (Sparks et al., 1996). The partial clones depicted in Fig. 1 B were used to assemble the full length clones 17/12 and 17/19, as shown in Fig. 1 C (see Materials and Methods). These full length clones correspond to two alternative splicing variants of amphiphysin II. Clone 17/12 is identical to BIN1 (with the exception of a single amino acid; see Fig. 1 C, legend), a protein recently identified in a two hybrid screen for MYC-interacting proteins (Sakamuro et al., 1996).

The percentages of similarity and identity between the contiguous sequence of human amphiphysin II (as defined by Fig. 1 A) and human amphiphysin I (David et al., 1994) are 71 and 55%, respectively. Fig. 1 D shows a schematic alignment of the two sequences, as well as the boundaries of the A–D domains as defined previously on the basis of blocks of similarity between the Rvs yeast proteins and human and chicken amphiphysins (David et al., 1994; Fig. 1, legend).

Note that alternatively spliced fragments I and II (Fig. 1 B) of amphiphysin II coincide with gaps in the amphiphysin II sequence.
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physin I sequence, raising the possibility that even the amphiphysin I gene may undergo a similar alternative splicing in this region.

Amphiphysin II Is Primarily Expressed in Brain and Muscle

Northern blot analysis of different tissues with the full length amphiphysin II cDNA (clone 17/12) revealed that skeletal muscle is, by far, the major site of expression of this gene (Fig. 2 A). A major band centered at 2.2 kb was present in this tissue. The same band was present at much lower levels in brain and at an even lower concentration in several other tissues (Fig. 2 A). Several minor transcripts were also visible, including a band of 3 kb in brain. Since the putative alternatively spliced sequence III was only detected in brain clones (Fig. 1 B), we probed a blot identical to that of Fig. 2 A with constructs generated by PCR and corresponding either to this entire sequence (Fig. 1 A, amino acids 350–472) or to its 5’ portion (Fig. 1 A, amino acids 350–390). Both probes produced an identical pattern (Fig. 2 B and data not shown) and labeled bands with similar mobility as those labeled by the full length probe (clone 17/12) but with different relative intensities. The most striking difference is a strong labeling of the 3-kb band in brain and the weaker labeling of transcripts migrating at the 2.2-kb region. These observations confirm the preferential inclusion of splice segment III in brain amphiphysin II. No cross-reactivity with amphiphysin I mRNA (major transcript at 4.5 kb [David et al., 1994]) was observed in the high stringency conditions at which the Northern blot analysis was performed.

To determine the electrophoretic mobility and the tissue distribution of amphiphysin II, two rabbit antibodies (CD7 and CD8) were raised against the COOH-terminal 68 amino acids of amphiphysin II and tested by Western blotting against a variety of tissues (Fig. 3 A and data not shown). These antibodies specifically recognized very strongly a cluster of bands around 85 kD in brain and around 60 kD in skeletal muscle (Fig. 3 A) and did not react with amphiphysin I. Bands of similar molecular weight were seen in other tissues (primarily lung) only after very prolonged autoradiographic exposures (not shown). In these long exposures, the 85-kD band was also detectable in skeletal muscle in agreement with the Northern blot data of Fig. 2 B. In addition, a prominent band of 35 kD was visible in skeletal muscle (Fig. 3 A). As seen by a comparison of Figs. 2 and 3 A, there is a discrepancy between overall levels of amphiphysin II proteins and amphiphysin II mRNAs detected in muscle and brain. This discrepancy each lane and probed with the CD8 polyclonal rabbit serum specific for amphiphysin II. Bound antibodies were detected by 125I-protein A. (B) Comparison of amphiphysin I and amphiphysin II expression in rat brain, skeletal muscle, and lung. Extracts of the three tissues were probed with an antibody specific for amphiphysin I (CD5), for amphiphysin II (CD8), and with an antibody that recognizes both amphiphysin I and II (CD9). Bound antibodies were detected by 125I-protein A. The low molecular weight bands labeled by the CD8 antibody are not visible in the brain and lung lanes of Fig. 3 A because they had migrated at the gel front. Numbers at left indicate molecular weights (kD).
may be partially explained by a less efficient extractability of amphiphysin II from skeletal muscle. Alternatively, it may be due to the occurrence of major differences in amphiphysin II mRNA translation efficiency and/or in protein turnover in the two tissues.

To corroborate the identification of the brain and muscle bands as amphiphysin II, a distinct antiserum (CD9) was raised against a 15-mer peptide corresponding to amino acids 26–40 of amphiphysin I, which is identical to the corresponding region of amphiphysin II. This antibody recognized both the 128-kD brain amphiphysin I band (as the CD5 antibody does) and the bands immunoreactive with the amphiphysin II-specific antibody CD8 (Fig. 3B). The CD9 antibody also recognized a few other bands in brain, suggesting the existence of additional amphiphysin isoforms. The CD7/CD8-immunoreactive 35-kD protein band of skeletal muscle was not recognized by the CD9 antibody and may therefore represent a variant of amphiphysin II that does not include its NH$_2$-terminal domain. (Henceforth, we will refer to the brain 85- and muscle 60-kD bands as amphiphysin IIa and IIb, respectively.) Both amphiphysin IIa and IIb were recognized by antibodies directed against either NH$_2$-terminal (CD9) or COOH-terminal (CD7 and CD8) epitopes. Their different mobility suggests therefore internal alternative splicing. Most likely, this difference reflects the presence of splice fragment III (127 amino acids) in amphiphysin IIa. This
The hypothesis was supported by the transfection of COS-7 cells with clones 17/12 and 17/19. Amphiphysin II immunoreactivity induced by these transfections comigrated with amphiphysin IIa and IIb, respectively (Fig. 4). The difference of ~25 kD between amphiphysin IIa and IIb is more than the difference expected by the inclusion of 127 amino acids. However, it was previously shown that amphiphysin I has an aberrant mobility in SDS-PAGE, migrating significantly slower (~128 kD) than predicted by its amino acid sequence (76 kD; Lichte et al., 1992; David et al., 1994). This aberrant mobility is primarily due to a region (David et al., 1994) that strikingly corresponds to the alternatively spliced region III in amphiphysin II.

To determine whether the SH3 domains of amphiphysin I and II have similar properties, we carried out parallel affinity-purification experiments of brain extracts on GST fusion proteins comprising either one of the two SH3 domains. Both amphiphysin SH3 domains were equally effective in binding dynamin I, but the SH3 domain of amphiphysin II bound synaptojanin less effectively (not shown). Effectively in binding dynamin I, but the SH3 domain of amphiphysin II bound synaptojanin less effectively (not shown). Thus, amphiphysin I and amphiphysin II’s SH3 domains have similar but not identical binding properties.

Localization of Amphiphysin II in the Nervous System

Considering their significant primary sequence similarity, amphiphysin I and II may have overlapping functions in brain. Therefore, we investigated whether these two proteins have a similar subcellular distribution by double immunofluorescence of rat brain frozen sections. Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the localization of amphiphysin I and II in three different gray matter regions of the brain: the cerebral cortex, the hippocampus, and the cerebellum. In all regions, amphiphysin I immunoreactivity visible at axon initial segments is further demonstrated in the high power views of cerebellar sections double stained for amphiphysin II and other protein markers (Fig. 6). Labeling for MAP2, a marker of perikarya and dendrites (De Camilli et al., 1984), demonstrates the origin of the amphiphysin II positive process from a small indentation at the basal pole of the cell (Fig. 6, A and B). Staining for glutamic acid decarboxylase, a marker of basket cell nerve terminals (Mugnaini and Oertel, 1985), shows that the amphiphysin II-positive region of the axon coincides with its unmyelinated portion innervated by basket cells (Fig. 6, C and D). Labeling for myelin basic protein illustrates the sharp boundary between the amphiphysin II-positive portion of the axon and its myelinated portion (Fig. 6, E and F).

In addition to axon initial segments, amphiphysin II immunoreactivity was also observed in spots sparsely distributed in the gray matter (which contains axons as well as neuronal perikarya and dendrites) and more densely packed in the white matter (which contains axon tracts only). These spots are illustrated in Fig. 7, A and B, which show brain regions double stained for amphiphysin II and myelin basic protein. At high power, these immunoreactive structures appear as bright rings overlapping with axonal profiles (Fig. 7 C), very similar to the rings of amphiphysin II immunoreactivity visible at axon initial segments. The distribution of these structures and their fine morphology allows their identification as nodes of Ranvier (Peters et al., 1991).

The presence of amphiphysin II in the cortical region of both axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier is consis-
of triadin, a marker of T tubules (Guo et al., 1994; data not shown). Immunoreactivity for clathrin heavy chain (monoclonal antibody X22), which was previously shown to be concentrated in muscle at I bands (Muñoz et al., 1995a,b), formed stripes comprised between the amphiphysin II striations and the Z line, as shown by double staining of amphiphysin II and clathrin (Fig. 8, H and I). The glucose transporter, glut4, a protein that is internalized at least partially via clathrin coated vesicles (Garippa et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 1996), is also localized in proximity of T tubules (Muñoz et al., 1995a). Glut4 immunoreactivity is centered around the M line, as shown by double labeling with anti-glut4 and anti-clathrin antibodies (Fig. 8, J and K).

The localization of amphiphysin II was further investigated by immunogold labeling of ultrathin frozen sections and found to be localized in correspondence with T tubules (Fig. 9, B and D). The specificity of this labeling was confirmed by labeling similar sections for desmin. As expected, desmin immunoreactivity was concentrated along the Z line and absent from the T system (Fig. 9, A and C).

Cytoplasmic Localization of Amphiphysin II in Transfected Cells

Neither in brain nor in muscle (Figs. 5–8) was amphiphysin II found to have a nuclear localization. This was in contrast to the nuclear localization of this protein (BIN1) reported by Sakamuro et al. (1996) in transfected HepG2 cells. We examined, therefore, the localization of amphiphysin IIA and IIB in transfected COS-7 cells as well as in HepG2 cells transfected with the same clone (17/12, and under the same experimental conditions) used by Sakamuro et al. (1996). In all cases the protein was primarily localized in the cytosol (Fig. 10 and data not shown).

Discussion

We report here the characterization of an amphiphysin gene (amphiphysin II) that is primarily, but not exclusively, expressed in brain and skeletal muscle. The gene undergoes extensive alternative splicing. Amphiphysin II is substantially similar in amino acid sequence and domain structure to amphiphysin I. The strongest similarity is present in the A domain, which is predicted to form coiled coil structures (Sivadon et al., 1995), and in the D domain, which contains the SH3 domain (David et al., 1994). Domain A is characteristic of all proteins of the amphiphysin/Rvs family identified so far, including the yeast protein Rvs161, which is composed of the A domain only (David et al., 1994; Sivadon et al., 1995). Due to these similarities, the two amphiphysins are likely to have homologous functions. However, their different cellular and subcellular localizations clearly indicate that their functions are not overlapping.

In brain, amphiphysin I is concentrated in the cortical cytoplasm of nerve terminals where it participates in synaptic vesicle endocytosis (David et al., 1996; Shupliakov et al., 1997). In contrast, amphiphysin II is concentrated in axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier. The occurrence of clathrin coated pits and clathrin coated invaginations has been reported to occur more frequently at initial segments and nodes of Ranvier than at other locations along the ax-

Figure 7. Localization of amphiphysin II at nodes of Ranvier. (A and B) Double immunofluorescence for amphiphysin II and myelin basic protein. Field shown is from the forebrain including two longitudinally sectioned white matter tracts. (A) Amphiphysin II positive axon initial segments are visible in the gray matter (GM). Small spots of amphiphysin II immunoreactivity visible on white matter tracts (WM) represent nodes of Ranvier. (C) White matter region in the brain stem demonstrating front (double arrows) and side (single arrows) views of nodes of Ranvier. The inset shows at high power a bundle of cross-sectioned axons demonstrating the localization of amphiphysin II in the cortical cytoplasm of nodes of Ranvier. Bar: (A and B) 27 μm; inset, 135 μm.

Localization of Amphiphysin II in Skeletal Muscle

The light microscopic localization of amphiphysin II in skeletal muscle is illustrated in Fig. 8. Amphiphysin II immunoreactivity appears as transverse striations along the muscle fiber (Fig. 8 A). These striations are within the I band, as shown by counterstaining of actin by fluorescent phalloidin (Fig. 8, B and C), and they flank the Z line, as shown by counterstaining for desmin (Fig. 8, D and E). The amphiphysin II stripes are similar to the stripes of ankyrin3 (ankyrinG) immunoreactivity (Fig. 8, F and G, as shown by double staining of ankyrin3 and actin). Ankyrin3 was previously shown to be concentrated along plasmalemmal T tubules (Flucher et al., 1990). Accordingly, the localization of amphiphysin II was also very similar to that
onal surface, with the exception of nerve terminals (Karls-
son, 1967; Campos-Ortega et al., 1968; Conradi, 1969). Thus,
an involvement of amphiphysin II in endocytosis is plausi-
bile. However, it is unlikely that the high and specific con-
centration of amphiphysin II present at these sites may be
simply related to endocytosis.

A characteristic feature of the cortical cytoplasm at ini-
tial segments and nodes of Ranvier is the presence of a
dense matrix underlying the plasmalemma (Palay et al.,
1968; Conradi, 1969; Waxman and Quick, 1978). This sub-
membranous cytoskeleton may participate in mediating
the local enrichment of special adhesion molecules (mem-
bers of the neurofascin/L1 family; Shiga and Oppenheim,
1991) and of proteins required for the generation and propa-
gation of action potentials, such as Na\(^+\) channels (Srin-
ivasan et al., 1988; Waxman and Ritchie, 1993), Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)
ATP-
ase (Nelson and Veshnock, 1987; Waxman and Ritchie,
1993), and Na\(^+\)/Ca\(^2+\) exchangers (Waxman and Ritchie,
1993).
The only unique component of this specialized cortical cy-
tomatrix identified so far is a neuron-specific isoform of
ankyrin\(^3\) (ankyrin\(_G\); Kordeli et al., 1995). Neuronal amph-
iphysin II may be a second component of this matrix. As in the
case of amphiphysin II, the isoform of ankyrin expressed
at axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier is generated
by alternative splicing of a gene (ankyrin\(^3\)) that is widely
expressed outside the nervous system, and at particularly
high concentrations in skeletal muscle (Kordeli et al., 1995).

In skeletal muscle, amphiphysin II is concentrated
around the plasmalemma of T tubules, and even here, it
colocalizes with ankyrin\(^3\), previously shown to be a com-
ponent of the submembranous cytoskeleton of T tubules
(Flucher et al., 1990). Like axon initial segments and
odes of Ranvier, T tubules are enriched in proteins re-
sponsible for controlling ion permeability and transport
(Lau et al., 1979; Flucher et al., 1990) and a specialized cy-
tomatrix around the T tubules, including both amphiphysin
II and ankyrin\(^3\), may help to define the composition and
function of these plasmalemmal domains (Flucher et al.,
1990). T tubules are not typically regarded as sites special-
ized for endocytosis. However, there is evidence that

![Figure 8. Immunofluorescence localization of amphiphysin II and other proteins of the sarcomere in skeletal muscle. Immunofluorescence of semithin frozen sections. Amphiphysin II immunoreactivity (A, B, D, and H) forms transverse bands that flank the Z line. B–K show pairs of double-fluorescence micrographs. (B and C) Amphiphysin II and actin (phal-loidin staining); (D and E) amphiphysin II and desmin, a marker of the Z line; (F and G) ankyrin (ankyrin3) and actin; (H and I) amphiphysin II and clathrin heavy chain (antibody X22); (J and K) glut4 and clathrin. Arrows equal Z and M lines, as indicated. Bar, 7.9 μm.](https://example.com/figure8.png)
Figure 9. Comparison of the localizations of desmin and amphiphysin II in skeletal muscle by electron microscopy immunocytochemistry. Ultrathin frozen sections were labeled by immunogold for desmin (A and C) and amphiphysin II (B, D and E). Desmin immunoreactivity is localized on a network of filamentous structures that are in register with Z lines. Amphiphysin is selectively localized at the T system and is present on T tubules (E). Z, Z lines; T, T tubules; PM, plasmalemma. Bar: (A, B, and E) 300 nm; (C and D) 378 nm.
clathrin-mediated endocytosis may occur at this region. First, clathrin immunoreactivity (detected by monoclonal antibody X22) is present in proximity of T tubules as previously reported (Kaufman et al., 1990) and further confirmed by this study. This clathrin heavy chain is likely to correspond to the skeletal muscle specific clathrin recently described by several groups (Gong et al., 1996; Bedra et al., 1996; Lindsay et al., 1996; Sirotkin et al., 1996). Second, the glit4 transporter, which undergoes regulated surface exposure in response to insulin (Wang et al., 1996) and is internalized at least in part via clathrin coated vesicles (Garippa et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 1996), is concentrated along the T system and surrounding vesicles (Slot et al., 1991; Muñoz et al., 1995a,b).

In yeast, mutations in either the Rvs167 and/or the RVS167 genes produce both endocytosis defects and defects in the function of the peripheral actin cytoskeleton, including abnormal polarity, uneven cell size and morphology, and delocalization of actin patches (Munn et al., 1995; Sivadon et al., 1995). The COOH-terminal region of Rvs167 was identified in a two hybrid screen for actin binding proteins (Amberg et al., 1995). More generally, yeast studies have demonstrated an important role of the actin cytoskeleton in endocytosis, thus raising the possibility that effects of RVS mutations on endocytosis and the peripheral cytoskeleton may be interrelated (Munn and Riezman, 1994; Amberg et al., 1995; Munn et al., 1995).

Our present demonstration that amphiphysin II is localized in the cortical cytomatrix of specialized regions of axons and muscle is consistent with the role of the RVS genes in actin function. A dual role in endocytosis and in the dynamics of the peripheral cytoskeleton may be a general characteristic of amphiphysin/Rvs family proteins. The strong implication of amphiphysin I in synaptic vesicle endocytosis may reflect the unique specialization of the presynaptic actin cytomatrix for this function.

An additional phenotype produced by mutations in the RVS genes is reduced viability upon starvation, a phenotype displayed by an inability of the cell to enter a stationary phase under these conditions (Crouzet et al., 1991; Bauer et al., 1993). Since amphiphysin I was shown to be an autoantigen in breast cancer (De Camilli et al., 1993; David et al., 1994, 1996), it was speculated (David et al., 1994) that proteins of the amphiphysin/Rvs family, like other proteins of the cortical cell cytomatrix that can act as tumor suppressors (Rubinfeld et al., 1993; Tsukita et al., 1993), may be directly implicated in cancer.

While this study was in progress, sequences of amphiphysin II isoforms were independently published in the context of two studies. A first study reported the identification of mouse muscle amphiphysin II during a search for novel SH3-containing proteins (Sparks et al., 1996). This protein (SH3P9) was not further characterized. A second study identified a fragment of murine amphiphysin II in a yeast two hybrid screen for MYC binding proteins (Sakamuro et al., 1996). The authors of this study went on to isolate a human amphiphysin II isoform, BIN1, which is identical to our clone 17/12, and to demonstrate that this protein is localized in the nucleus and has the properties of a tumor suppressor gene. These findings are consistent with the presence of a nuclear localization sequence in BIN1 (Sakamuro et al., 1996), which we show here to be encoded by splice fragment II (Fig. 1 B). In our study, however, we do not have any evidence for a nuclear localization of amphiphysin II in adult muscle or brain. Our results, therefore, argue for a primary function of amphiphysin II in the cytoplasm, although they clearly do not exclude that amphiphysin II may shuttle from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and that it may function in a signaling pathway from the cell periphery to the nucleus. It was shown previously that proteins of the submembranous cytoskeleton (e.g., the tight junction protein ZO1 [Gottardi et al., 1996]) have a nuclear localization under certain conditions. Thus, the possibility that amphiphysin II may participate in nuclear events and even be concentrated in the nucleus under certain functional states cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, we suggest that amphiphysin/Rvs proteins may play a general role in the physiology of the peripheral cytoskeleton which underlies the plasmalemma. Different isoforms, generated either by distinct genes or by alternative splicing of the same genes, may serve to adapt this general role to specific functions of specialized cell surface domains. Given the central importance of the subplasmalemmal cytomatrix in a variety of cellular processes, including vesicular trafficking to and from the plasmalemma, generation of regional heterogeneity of plasmalemma, signal transduction, and regulation of cell–cell interaction, the components of this matrix are likely to have pleiotropic functions. Further studies of amphiphysin family members may not only reveal new aspects of the function of the peripheral cytoskeleton and endocytosis, but also help elucidate a novel signaling pathway from the cell surface to the nucleus. The reported connection between amphiphysin I autoimmune and cancer (Folli et al., 1993; De
Camilli et al., 1993) suggests that these studies may be of relevance to the biology of at least some forms of human cancer.
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