Abstract. Alcohol oxidase (AOX), the first enzyme in the yeast methanol utilization pathway is a homooctameric peroxisomal matrix protein. In peroxisome biogenesis-defective (pex) mutants of the yeast Pichia pastoris, AOX fails to assemble into active octamers and instead forms inactive cytoplasmic aggregates. The apparent inability of AOX to assemble in the cytoplasm contrasts with other peroxisomal proteins that are able to oligomerize before import. To further investigate the import of AOX, we first identified its peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS). We found that sequences essential for targeting AOX are primarily located within the four COOH-terminal amino acids of the protein leucine-alanine-arginine-phenylalanine (LARF). To examine whether AOX can oligomerize before import, we coexpressed AOX without its PTS along with wild-type AOX and determined whether the mutant AOX could be coimported into peroxisomes. To identify the mutant form of AOX, the COOH-terminal LARF sequence of the protein was replaced with a hemagglutinin epitope tag (AOX–HA). Coexpression of AOX–HA with wild-type AOX (AOX–WT) did not result in an increase in the proportion of AOX–HA present in octameric active AOX, suggesting that newly synthesized AOX–HA cannot oligomerize with AOX–WT in the cytoplasm. Thus, AOX cannot initiate oligomerization in the cytoplasm, but must first be targeted to the organelle before assembly begins.

Alcohol oxidase (AOX)\(^1\) is a homooctameric flavoprotein consisting of eight identical subunits of \(\sim 74\, \text{kD}\), each containing a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) molecule as a prosthetic group (van der Klei et al., 1991). The protein catalyzes the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde and hydrogen peroxide, the first step in the methanol utilization pathway of certain yeasts including Pichia pastoris, Hansenula polymorpha, and Candida boidinii (van der Klei et al., 1991). AOX is normally localized in the matrix of single membrane-bound organelles called peroxisomes. During methanol growth, the peroxisomes also contain large amounts of dihydroxyacetone synthase, the first enzyme in the methanol assimilatory pathway, and catalase (CAT), which converts the hydrogen peroxide generated by oxidases such as AOX into water and oxygen (Veenhuis and Harder, 1991). As a result, peroxisomes, which are small and few in number in glucose-grown cells, are massively induced in methanol-grown cells (Veenhuis and Harder, 1991). In previous studies, we have shown that functional peroxisomes are essential for growth of P. pastoris and H. polymorpha on methanol, but not on glucose, and have exploited this observation in the isolation of numerous mutants that are defective in the biogenesis/assembly of peroxisomes (pex mutants) (Cregg et al., 1990; Gould et al., 1992; Liu et al., 1992; Waterham et al., 1992; Tan et al., 1995a).

Genes encoding AOX have been cloned from P. pastoris (AOXI and AOX2; Ellis et al., 1985; Cregg et al., 1989; Koutz et al., 1989), H. polymorpha (MOX; Ledeboer et al., 1985), and C. boidinii (AOD1; Sakai and Tani, 1992). The predicted primary sequences of their products are 73–85% identical. In all of these yeast species, the transcription of genes encoding AOX is highly repressed during growth on glucose or ethanol, and maximally induced during growth on methanol (Tschopp et al., 1987). Like other peroxisomal proteins, AOX is translated on free cytosolic ribosomes and posttranslationally imported into the per-
oxisomal matrix (Roa and Blobel, 1983). The four COOH-terminal amino acids of H. polymorpha AOX are capable of targeting a nonperoxisomal reporter protein to peroxisomes, suggesting that AOX is targeted by alanine-arginine-phenylalanine_CoOH (ARF), an uncommon variant of the type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS1) motif (Hansen et al., 1992; Subramani, 1993). The typical PTS1 motif is a tripeptide of the sequence serine-lysine-leucine_CoOH (SKL; and conserved variants) found at the extreme COOH terminus of many matrix proteins (Gould et al., 1989, 1990; Swinkels et al., 1992; Subramani, 1993). The motif is specifically recognized by a PTS1 receptor protein, Pex5p, as an early step in the peroxisomal protein import process (McCollum et al., 1993; Terlecky et al., 1995). Pex5p is thought to deliver PTS1-containing polypeptides to the surface of the peroxisome and then cycle back to the cytoplasm for further rounds of PTS1 protein binding and targeting (Dodd and Gould, 1996; Waterham and Cregg, 1997).

Recent observations indicate that the peroxisomal protein import mechanism may differ significantly from those known for other organelles (McNew and Goodman, 1996). In particular, newly synthesized peroxisomal proteins need not be in an extended monomeric conformation to be imported, but can assemble/oligomerize in the cytoplasm before import. For example, Saccharomyces cerevisiae thiolase, without its NH2-terminal PTS2 motif, is not imported into peroxisomes (Glover et al., 1994). However, if coexpressed with wild-type thiolase, it is efficiently imported into peroxisomes (Glover et al., 1994). For example, the thiolase, a homodimer, must be capable of oligomerizing before import, and that PTS2-less thiolase monomers are capable of dimerizing with wild-type monomers in the cytoplasm, and then being imported (piggybacked) into the organelle. Similar results have been obtained with three PTS1-targeted proteins: a chloramphenicol acetyl transferase PTS1 fusion protein (CAT–PTS1; McNew and Goodman, 1994), human alanine/glyoxylate amino transferase 1 (AGT; Leiper et al., 1993) and alanine/glyoxylate amino transferase 1 (AGT; Leiper et al., 1993), as previously described (Koutz et al., 1989, 1990). After digestion with EcoRI and HindIII, the resulting vector was made blunt ended with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated in vector pUC18 to create the human AOX-HA vector pHWO10 by replacing the ampicillin-resistance gene with the kanamycin-resistance gene. The vectors were integrated into the genomic HIS4 locus of P. pastoris strains GS115 or pex5Δ (Table I) after linearization with SalI, a unique site in the HIS4 gene of each vector.

**Construction of β-Lactamase Fusion Vector Strains**

Plasmids encoding chimeric proteins composed of selected AOX amino acid sequences fused to a modified E. coli β-lactamase protein were constructed. The modified β-lactamase was composed of amino acid residues H87A and R88Δ6β, added by the amino-mercaptoglycine (MSG) as previously described (Waterham et al., 1994, 1997). DNA sequences encoding the COOH termini of wild-type AOX (AOX-WT), AOX–RSC, and AOX–HA (see below) were ligated in reading frame to the 3\' end of the bla gene using either the Stul site located at 1.924 bp or the AgeI site (made blunt ended with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I) located at 1.972 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon (Koutz et al., 1989). The proper DNA sequence at each fusion junction was verified by DNA sequencing. The primary sequence of each fusion protein is shown in Table II. All β-lactamase fusion proteins were expressed under transcriptional control of the constitutive GAP promoter (P_gap) from the P. pastoris GAP gene (Waterham et al., 1997) in vector pHWO10. This vector was created from the HIS4-based vector pHWO10 by replacing the ampicillin-resistance gene with the kanamycin-resistance gene. The vectors were integrated into the genomic HIS4 locus of P. pastoris strains GS115 or pex5Δ (Table I) after linearization with SalI, a unique site in the HIS4 gene of each vector.

**Construction of Mutant AOX Expression Strains**

The P. pastoris AOX1 gene was amplified from plasmid pPG5.4 (Cregg et al., 1989) by the PCR using a forward primer, composed of the first 18 bp of the AOX1 open reading frame preceded by an EcoRI site, and a reverse primer, composed of 19 bp located 247–266 bp downstream of the AOX1 stop codon which includes a generic HindIII site (Koutz et al., 1989). After digestion with EcoRI and HindIII, the AOX1 gene was first subcloned in EcoRI-HindIII-digested pBS-SK (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), and subsequently as an EcoRI–Clal fragment under transcriptional control of the P. pastoris AOX1 promoter (P_AOX1) in vector pHIL-Al (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA; Waterham et al., 1997). The resulting vector was named pHWO40. To modify sequences encoding the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT, vector pHWO40 was digested with AgeI (located 1.972-bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon) and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT leucine-alanine-arginine-phenylalanine_CoOH (LARF) to arginine-serine-cysteine_CoOH (RSC). The resulting vector that expressed AOX–RSC was named pHWO41. A second vector encoding a COOH-terminal modified AOX was created by inserting two complementary oligonucleotides, which changed the four COOH-terminal residues from LARF to that for the human influenza virus epitope (HA) tag at the AOX1 gene using either the Stul site located at 1.924 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT, vector pHWO40 was digested with AgeI (located 1.972 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon) and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT, vector pHWO40 was digested with AgeI (located 1.972 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon) and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT, vector pHWO40 was digested with AgeI (located 1.972 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon) and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing the COOH-terminal residues of AOX-WT, vector pHWO40 was digested with AgeI (located 1.972 bp downstream of the AOX1 start codon) and then the resulting termini were made blunt with Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated. This resulted in a frame shift changing.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains, Media, and Microbial Techniques**

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table I. Shake-flask cultures were incubated for 10–15 h at 30°C (P. pastoris strains) or 37°C (H. polymorpha strains) in selective minimal YND or YNM medium (0.17% wt/vol) yeast nitrogen base without amino acids [Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, MI] supplemented with 0.5% [wt/vol] glucose [dextrose] or 0.5% [vol/vol] methanol. For growth of auxotrophic strains, amino acids were added to a final concentration of 50 μg/ml. Transformations of P. pastoris (Becker and Gaurrente, 1991) or H. polymorpha (Faber et al., 1994) were performed by electroporation. Cultivation of Escherichia coli strain DH5α and standard recombinant DNA techniques were performed essentially as described (Sambrook et al., 1989).

**Two-hybrid System Experiments**

Interactions between the P. pastoris PTS1 receptor protein Pex5p and selected sequences from AOX were studied with the yeast two-hybrid system (Matchmaker; Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). The P. pastoris PEX5 open reading frame was released from pSP72 (a gift from S. Subramani, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, CA) by
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digestion at a SacII site located 42-bp downstream of the PEX5 start codon, treated with mung bean exonuclease, and then digested at a PstI site located downstream of the PEX5 stop codon. This fragment was ligated into SmaI–PstI–digested pGAD424 which resulted in the expression of Pex5p fused in reading frame with the GAL4 activation domain of S. cerevisiae (Guarente, 1991).

The COOH termini of AOX-WT, AOX–RSC, and AOX–MOX were expressed alone by insertion of the vector pEXW051. The COOH terminus of Pex5p fused in reading frame with the GAL4 activation domain (pHWO51). The COOH termini of AOX-WT, AOX–RSC, and AOX–MOX were expressed alone by insertion of the vector pHWO51. The COOH terminus of Pex5p fused in reading frame with the GAL4 activation domain (pHWO51).

**Expression of Modified AOX1 Genes in P. pastoris**

AOX–HA was coexpressed with AOX–WT by inserting vector pHWO42 in GS115 (GS-HWO42), and expressed alone by insertion of the vector into the AOX1 and AOX2 deletion strain MC100-3 (MS-HWO42). Over-tamization of AOX proteins was examined using a modified version of the velocity sedimentation method described by Goodman et al. (1984).

**Indirect Immunofluorescence**

*P. pastoris* cells (25 OD600 U) were washed twice with water and fixed in 1 ml of 40 mM KPO4 buffer, pH 6.5, with 3.7% (vol/vol) formaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature. After two washes with 1 ml MOPS’S (5 mM 3-[morpholino]propane-sulfonic acid, 10 mM Na2SO4, and 0.5 M KCl), fixed cells were converted into spheroplasts in 0.5 ml MOPS’S supplemented to 85 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 0.08 mg/ml Zymolyase-100T for 1 h at 30°C. The spheroplasts were washed twice with 1 ml MOPS’S, incubated for 5 min at −20°C in 100% methanol, washed twice with 1 ml PBS’S (PBS, pH 7.2, 1 M sorbitol, and 1% bovine serum albumin), and finally suspended in 1 ml PBS’S. Suspended cells (0.2 ml) were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with 0.5 μl of polyclonal antibodies raised against CAT or β-lactamase (5 Prime, 3 Prime, Boulder, CO). After three washes with 1 ml PBS’S, cells were suspended in 0.2 ml PBS’S and incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark with 0.5 μl FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antisera (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). After three washes with 1 ml PBS’S, cells were suspended in 0.1 ml PBS’S. For microscopic observation, small samples of the cell suspensions were mixed with equal volumes of 0.1 M n-propyl gallate in 90% glycerol. Cells were examined with a microscope equipped for indirect immunofluorescence (Leitz Laborlux S; Wild Leitz, Rockleigh, NJ) at 1,000× and photographed (T-MAX 400 film; Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY).

**Biochemical Methods**

Subcellular fractionation of *P. pastoris* and *H. polymorpha* cells was performed as described previously (Waterham et al., 1996) except that *H. polymorpha* cells were converted to spheroplasts in the presence of 3 M sorbitol and homogenized in the presence of 2 M sorbitol. Cell-free extracts were made using a glass bead method (Waterham et al., 1997). Peroxisomal AOX and CAT, mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase, cytosolic...
glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and β-lactamase activities were assayed as described previously (Waterham et al., 1996, 1997). Protein concentrations were determined with the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) using bovine serum albumin concentrations as a standard. The transfer of proteins to nitrocellulose after SDS-PAGE electrophoresis was performed using the Mini Trans-Blot Electrophoretic Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) as directed by the manufacturer. Immunoblotting experiments were performed using the Western Light Kit (Tropix Inc., Bedford, MA) with specific polyclonal antibodies against AOX and monoclonal antibody 12CA5 against the hemagglutinin epitope (Boehringer Mannheim). Immunoprecipitations were performed as described in Rehling et al. (1996) except that protein A–Sephagrose (Pharmacia Biotech. Inc., Piscataway, NJ) was used instead of DynaBeads. Native (nonreducing nondenaturing discontinuous) gels were electrophoresed at 200 v for 2 h at 4°C through 5% polyacrylamide using a Mini-Protein II apparatus (BioRad Laboratories) as described in Ausubel et al. (1996).

**Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers**

Sequence data for the _P. pastoris_ AOX1 and AOX2 genes, as published by Koutz et al. (1989), have been submitted to the databases and are available from GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under accession numbers U96967 (AOX1) and U96968 (AOX2).

**Results**

**Active AOX Is Present Only in Peroxisomes of _P. pastoris_**

Our previous studies with _P. pastoris_ pex mutants indicated that methanol-induced _pex_ cells contain little or no AOX activity, although significant amounts of AOX protein are present in the cells (Liu et al., 1992, 1995; Waterham et al., 1996). The level of residual AOX activity in an individual _pex_ mutant allele strongly correlated with the severity of the peroxisome-deficient phenotype of that strain. For example, in methanol-induced cells of _pex2-1_ and _pex8-1_ (two slightly leaky mutants generated by chemical mutagenesis), numerous small peroxisomal remnants were observed that retain the ability to import small amounts of peroxisomal enzymes (Liu et al., 1995; Waterham et al., 1996). In both of these mutants, small but significant amounts of active AOX were present and these appeared to be exclusively within the peroxisomal remnants as judged by subcellular fractionation studies (Table III). In contrast, methanol-induced cells of _pex2-Δ_ and _pex8-Δ_ deletion strains (_pex2-Δ_ and _pex8-Δ_), which have a more severe peroxisome-deficient phenotype with few, if any, peroxisomal remnants and no measurable import ability, contained no active AOX, although AOX protein was present (Fig. 1). The inactive AOX protein was concentrated in cytoplasmic protein aggregates which sedimented with organelles during subcellular fractionation (Fig. 1; Liu et al., 1995; Waterham et al., 1996). These results indicate that active AOX exists only in the peroxisomal matrix and suggest that AOX precursors require the presence of functional peroxisomes to assemble into active octamers.
AOX from *H. polymorpha* Is Active in the Cytoplasm of *P. pastoris*

Unlike *P. pastoris*, AOX from *H. polymorpha* (MOX) efficiently assembles into an active oxameric enzyme in the cytoplasm of *H. polymorpha* *pex* mutants (van der Klei et al., 1991). To determine whether this difference is a characteristic of the AOX proteins themselves or the cellular environment provided by their hosts, we constructed *pex* strains of each yeast species that expressed AOX/MOX from *H. polymorpha pex* mutants (van der Klei et al., 1991). To determine whether this difference is a characteristic of the AOX proteins themselves or the cellular environment provided by their hosts, we constructed *pex* strains of each yeast species that expressed AOX/MOX from *H. polymorpha* MOX assembles into an active octameric enzyme in the cytoplasm of either *P. pastoris* or *H. polymorpha*. For *P. pastoris* *pex* strains expressing heterologous species. For *H. polymorpha*, a vector containing the *P. pastoris AOX1* gene was inserted into the genome of an *H. polymorpha* *pex10 mox* strain. AOX activity could not be detected in the AOX-expressing *pex10 mox* strain CW111 at either 30°C or 37°C (Table IV). Immunoblot analysis performed on crude organelle pellet and cytosolic supernatant fractions from methanol-induced cells of this strain showed that AOX protein was induced (data not shown). Since *H. polymorpha* MOX assembles into an active enzyme in the cytoplasm of either *H. polymorpha* or *P. pastoris* *pex* strains, the inability of *P. pastoris* AOX to properly assemble in these yeasts must be a characteristic of the protein itself and not of the environment provided by the *P. pastoris* cytoplasm.

**A Peroxisomal Targeting Signal Is Located at the COOH Terminus of AOX**

To further investigate the targeting and import of AOX, it was first necessary to define the AOX PTS. The three COOH-terminal amino acids of AOX, ARF, are similar in sequence to the PTS1 motif and, therefore, were a good candidate for the AOX PTS. Preliminary evidence in support of this was provided by Hansen et al. (1992) who showed that the last four amino acids of *H. polymorpha* MOX (LARF) are capable of targeting a nonperoxisomal enzyme to the organelle pellet. Protein samples of 30 μg from the resulting organelle pellet (P) and cytosolic supernatant (S) fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-AOX antibodies.

**Tables**

*Table III. Peroxisomal Enzyme Activities in Organelle Pellet and Cytosolic Supernatant Fractions of Methanol-induced *P. pastoris* pex strains*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>AOX</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>Cyt ( \Delta ) ox</th>
<th>GAPDH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pex2-1 (JC116)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pex2Δ (JC117)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pex8-1 (JC120)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.00070</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pex8Δ (JC125)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P and S are enzyme activities in organelar pellet and cytosolic supernatant fractions, respectively. %P is percentage of enzyme activity in the pellet fraction. Activity is expressed as U/mg protein. * indicates activity is undetectable.

The converse result was obtained when *H. polymorpha* MOX was expressed in a *P. pastoris* *pex* mutant. As expected, MOX was able to complement for methanol growth in a *P. pastoris* strain on MC100-3 that is deleted for both AOX genes (aoo1Δ aox2Δ). When the *P. pastoris* MOX vector was then inserted into the genome of a *P. pastoris* *pex2Δ aoo1Δ aox2Δ* strain (MC200), methanol-induced cells of the transformed strain contained active MOX (Table IV). Upon subcellular fractionation, both MOX activity and protein were found in the cytosolic supernatant (Fig. 2, 1 lanes). The same result was obtained when MOX was expressed in a *P. pastoris* *pex3Δ aoo1Δ aox2Δ* strain (data not shown). Since *H. polymorpha* MOX assembles into an active enzyme in the cytoplasm of either *H. polymorpha* or *P. pastoris* *pex* strains, the inability of *P. pastoris* AOX to properly assemble in these yeasts must be a characteristic of the protein itself and not of the environment provided by the *P. pastoris* cytoplasm.

**Table IV. Peroxisomal Enzyme Activities in Organelle Pellet and Cytosolic Supernatant Fractions of Methanol-induced Strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>AOX</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>Cyt ( \Delta ) ox</th>
<th>GAPDH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pp</em> pex2 aooΔ MOX (MC200-MOX)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hp</em> pex10 moxΔ AOX (CW111)</td>
<td>P (37°C)</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S (37°C)</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hp</em> pex10 moxΔ AOX (C) (MC200-MOX)</td>
<td>P (30°C)</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S (30°C)</td>
<td>UD</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P and S are enzyme activities in organelar pellet and cytosolic supernatant fractions, respectively. %P is percentage of enzyme activity in the pellet fraction. Activity is expressed as U/mg protein. * indicates activity is undetectable.

The converse result was obtained when *H. polymorpha* MOX was expressed in a *P. pastoris* *pex* mutant. As expected, MOX was able to complement for methanol growth in a *P. pastoris* strain on MC100-3 that is deleted for both AOX genes (aoo1Δ aox2Δ). When the *P. pastoris* MOX vector was then inserted into the genome of a *P. pastoris* *pex2Δ aox1Δ aox2Δ* strain (MC200), methanol-induced cells of the transformed strain contained active MOX (Table IV). Upon subcellular fractionation, both MOX activity and protein were found in the cytosolic supernatant (Fig. 2, 1 lanes). The same result was obtained when MOX was expressed in a *P. pastoris* *pex3Δ aoo1Δ aox2Δ* strain (data not shown). Since *H. polymorpha* MOX assembles into an active enzyme in the cytoplasm of either *H. polymorpha* or *P. pastoris* *pex* strains, the inability of *P. pastoris* AOX to properly assemble in these yeasts must be a characteristic of the protein itself and not of the environment provided by the *P. pastoris* cytoplasm.
protein to peroxisomes. To examine targeting of *P. pastoris* AOX, we first expressed a protein composed of the bacterial reporter enzyme β-lactamase fused to the four COOH-terminal amino acids of *P. pastoris* AOX (LARF) and determined whether this fusion protein (β-lac–LARF) was targeted to *P. pastoris* peroxisomes. Methanol-grown cells expressing β-lac–LARF were fractionated into an organelle pellet, consisting mainly of peroxisomes and mitochondria, and a cytosolic supernatant (Fig. 3 B). Biochemical analysis of these fractions revealed that a significant portion of β-lactamase activity colocalized with CAT activity in the organelle pellet fraction, suggesting that β-lac–LARF was targeted to peroxisomes. (Typically, a significant amount of peroxisomal matrix protein is also found in the supernatant fraction due to breakage of these fragile organelles.) Peroxisomal targeting of β-lac–LARF was confirmed by indirect immunofluorescence using β-lactamase– and CAT-specific antibodies (Fig. 3 B) and by further fractionation of the organelle pellet through sucrose density gradients (Fig. 4). In these gradients, β-lac–LARF was present at the same density as CAT and not with mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase. As a control, β-lactamase without LARF expressed in *P. pastoris* fractionated to the cytosolic supernatant along with the cytoplasmic marker enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and appeared to be cytoplasmic in immunofluorescence assays (Fig. 3 A). We concluded a PTS is located within the LARF sequence.

**LARF Is Necessary for Efficient Targeting of AOX**

We next investigated the necessity of LARF for targeting and import of AOX. In one set of experiments, the 22 COOH-terminal amino acids of AOX were fused to the COOH terminus of β-lactamase (β-lac–AOX). As expected, this fusion protein was efficiently targeted to peroxisomes of *P. pastoris* (Fig. 3 D). We then deleted the four COOH-terminal residues from this construct and replaced them with either the non-PTS amino acid sequence RSC (β-lac–AOX–RSC) or the hemagglutinin–epitope–tag sequence (β-lac–AOX–HA). When expressed in methanol-grown *P. pastoris* cells, both of these chimeric proteins were localized to the cytoplasm as judged by indirect immunofluorescence and subcellular fractionation assays (Fig. 3, E and F).

The necessity of LARF for AOX targeting was further investigated by expressing mutant versions of AOX in which the tetrapeptide sequence had been removed and replaced with either RSC (AOX–RSC) or the HA tag (AOX–HA). Each mutant protein was expressed under control of the *P. pastoris* AOX1 promoter in a *P. pastoris aox1A aox2Δ* strain. Both the AOX–RSC and AOX–HA constructs partially complemented the strain for growth on methanol (18 and 22 h/generation, respectively, versus 3.5 h/generation for wild type), and both contained low but significant levels of AOX activity (16 and 3% of wild type; Table V). Subcellular fractionation studies of the AOX–RSC and AOX–HA expression strains showed that the majority of AOX activity was in the organelle pellet (Table V), suggesting that the active portions of the mutant AOXs were properly targeted to peroxisomes. Further fractionation of the organelle pellet from the AOX–HA strain through a sucrose density gradient confirmed that the AOX activity was peroxisomal (Fig. 5 B). Thus, a portion of both AOX–RSC and AOX–HA synthesized in *P. pastoris* cells is properly imported into peroxisomes where it assembles into an active enzyme.

To estimate the proportion of total AOX–RSC and AOX–HA protein that assembled into an active enzyme, total cell extracts from methanol-induced cells of the AOX–RSC and AOX–HA-expressing strains were prepared by mechanical disruption and subjected to sucrose density gradient sedimentation (Goodman et al., 1984). This glass bead-based procedure was chosen because it avoids the long incubation period needed to prepare yeast protoplasts for subcellular fractionation, during which unstable inactive AOX protein is rapidly degraded relative to stable active AOX octamers. As a marker for the velocity gradients, we assayed activity for CAT, a tetrameric protein of ~300 kD. In a control gradient with total lysates prepared from strains expressing AOX-WT (Fig. 6 C), AOX activity and protein comigrated at one position through the velocity gradient, the same position as purified octameric AOX with a molecular mass of ~600 kD (Fig. 6 A). Gradients prepared from cells of strains expressing either AOX–RSC or AOX–HA alone contained small amounts of AOX activity at the normal octameric AOX position (Fig. 6, D and E). However, most of the AOX protein was spread over fractions representing lower molecular masses. Control gradients containing chemically denatured (mainly monomeric) AOX (Fig. 6 A, AOX*) or extracts prepared from a pex5Δ mutant strain expressing AOX–HA (Fig. 6 B) indicated that the inactive AOX proteins at these lower mass positions were most likely monomeric and aggregated forms of AOX.

We concluded from these studies that a PTS sufficient for targeting proteins to peroxisomes is present within LARF (most likely ARF), and that this PTS is essential for the efficient targeting and import of AOX. However, since small but significant amounts of AOX are imported in the absence of LARF, a second less efficient PTS exists in another part of the protein. This second PTS may be located within the next 18 COOH-terminal amino acids of AOX, since a mutant protein in which the 22 COOH-terminal amino acid residues were deleted was completely inactive (Table V).

**The COOH Terminus of AOX Interacts with the PTS1 Receptor**

The similarity of ARF to the consensus PTS1 motif, SKL, suggests that AOX may be imported via the PTS1 pathway. Furthermore, import of AOX or β-lac–AOX is blocked in a pex5Δ mutant which is specifically defective in the PTS1 receptor Pex5p (Fig. 3 C; McCollum et al., 1993). Additional evidence that AOX is targeted to peroxisomes by the PTS1 pathway was obtained in yeast two-hybrid system assays in which the 22 COOH-terminal amino acids of AOX were expressed as a fusion with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (GAL4–AOX) in combination with the *P. pastoris* PTS1 receptor protein Pex5p fused to the GAL4 activation domain (GAL4p–Pex5p). This combination produced a strong response in the system (Fig. 7). The interaction was specific since expression
Figure 3. Location of β-lactamase fusion proteins in *P. pastoris* as determined by immunofluorescence and subcellular fractionation. The photomicrographs contain cells of the strains listed in the left column processed for immunofluorescence using anti-catalase (*anti-CAT*) and anti-β-lactamase (*anti-βLAC*) antibodies. The right column shows histograms of the percentage of activity for selected marker enzymes present in crude organelle pellet fractions from the same strains. Cat, Catalase; Lac, β-lactamase; Cyt c ox, Cytochrome c oxidase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
of GAL4B–AOX with unfused GAL4A or GAL4B–Pex5p with unfused GAL4A produced little response. We then tested GAL4B–AOX variants in which the COOH-terminal LARF sequence was replaced by either RSC or the HA tag. Neither of these constructs produced a specific response in combination with GAL4A–Pex5p.

The Import Efficiency of AOX–HA Is Not Improved by Coexpression with AOX-WT

We examined whether the import efficiency of AOX without its PTS1 motif was increased when coexpressed with AOX-WT. To distinguish between AOX without its PTS1 motif and AOX-WT, we used the AOX–HA construct in which the four COOH-terminal amino acids had been replaced with the HA-epitope tag. Immunoblot analysis of total extracts prepared from P. pastoris strains expressing either AOX-WT or AOX–HA alone demonstrated that the

---

**Table V. Peroxisomal Enzyme Activities in Organelle Pellet and Cytosolic Supernatant Fractions of Methanol-induced P. pastoris Strains Expressing Mutant AOX1 Genes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Fraction*</th>
<th>AOX1</th>
<th>CAT1</th>
<th>Cyt c ox1</th>
<th>GAPDH1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOX-WT (MC-HWO40)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX–RSC (MC-HWO41)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX–HA (MC-HWO42)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX+AOX–HA (GS-HWO42)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%P</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P and S are enzyme activities in organelar pellet and cytosolic supernatant fractions, respectively. %P is percentage of enzyme activity in the pellet fraction. Activity is expressed as U/mg protein. UD indicates activity is undetectable.

---

*Figure 4.* Sucrose density gradient of organelle pellet from a methanol-grown P. pastoris strain expressing β-lactamase–LARF. Catalase activity (●) is presented as ΔE240 U/ml; cytochrome c oxidase activity (□) as U/ml × 10⁻², and β-lactamase activity (▼) as U/ml × 10⁻³.

*Figure 5.* Sucrose density gradient analysis of P. pastoris strains expressing AOX–HA. (A) Total cell extracts (10 μg/lane) prepared from a strain expressing only AOX-WT (lane 1) or AOX–HA (lane 2) and immunoblotted with antibodies against AOX and the HA tag. Sucrose density gradient profiles of strain MC-HWO42 expressing only AOX–HA (B) or strain GS–HWO42 coexpressing both AOX–HA and AOX-WT (C). Symbols in the gradients are: AOX (●), catalase (▼), and cyt c oxidase (□). In B, catalase activities are presented as ΔE240 U/ml, cytochrome c oxidase activities as U/ml, and alcohol oxidase activities as U/ml × 10⁻². In C, catalase activities are presented as ΔE240 U/ml, cytochrome c oxidase activities as U/ml, and alcohol oxidase activities as U/ml × 10⁻¹. The immunoblots shown below each graph contained either 5 (B) or 1 μl (C) of each indicated fraction and were reacted with anti-HA monoclonal antibodies.
anti-HA monoclonal antibodies exclusively recognized the AOX–HA protein, whereas polyclonal antibodies against AOX protein recognized both AOX-WT and AOX–HA (Fig. 5A). To estimate the proportion of imported versus cytoplasmic AOX–HA, we again made use of the sucrose velocity sedimentation method to separate imported, assembled, and active octameric AOX from cytoplasmic inactive monomers and aggregated forms of the protein (Goodman et al., 1984). Velocity gradients prepared with lysates from a strain expressing only AOX–HA showed a small amount of AOX activity at the position expected for octameric AOX (Fig. 6E). However, immunoblot analysis revealed that most AOX–HA protein migrated to lower molecular mass positions in the gradient. This distribution was not because of the HA tag since a similar result was obtained with a strain expressing AOX–RSC (Fig. 6D). As described above, control gradients performed with purified and then chemically denatured AOX (Fig. 6A, AOX*), or with a lysate prepared from a pex5Δ strain expressing AOX–HA (Fig. 6B), indicated that the AOX protein at the lower positions represented monomeric and/or aggregated forms of AOX.

A P. pastoris strain coexpressing both AOX–HA and AOX-WT was first examined by subcellular fractionation. After the organelle pellet from methanol-grown cells of the strain were centrifuged through a sucrose density gradient, a significant portion of AOX–HA was found to be peroxisome-bound as indicated by the comigration of AOX–HA protein with activities for AOX and CAT (Fig. 5C). When a lysate prepared from this strain was analyzed by sucrose velocity gradient sedimentation, large amounts of AOX activity migrated to the position of octameric protein (Fig. 6F). Immunoblot analysis with anti-AOX antibodies that recognize both AOX-WT and AOX–HA confirmed that the major portion of AOX protein comigrated with the AOX activity. However, immunoblot analysis with the anti-HA antibodies did not show a shift of AOX–HA protein to the position of octameric AOX (compare the

Figure 6. Sucrose velocity gradient analysis of AOX–HA expressing P. pastoris strains. Histograms show activity in fractions for tetrameric catalase (~300 kD; white bars) and octameric AOX (~600 kD; dark bars) as a percentage of the total activity in the gradient for each enzyme. Immunoblots containing 10-μl samples of gradient fractions were reacted with either anti-AOX or anti-HA antibodies. (A) shows purified active AOX and denatured AOX (AOX*). (B) shows extract prepared from a pex5Δ strain. C–F gradient results from strains expressing the following forms of AOX: C, AOX-WT; D, AOX–RSC; E, AOX–HA; F, both AOX–HA and AOX-WT. Fractions 14–19 from each gradient are not shown since they did not contain significant amounts of protein.
distribution of AOX–HA in Fig. 6, F and E). Thus, the import efficiency of AOX–HA, as measured by octamerization, was not improved by coexpression with AOX-WT, indicating that AOX–HA could not oligomerize with AOX-WT in the cytoplasm before import into peroxisomes.

**AOX–HA Is Not Impaired in Ability to Assemble with AOX-WT**

An alternative interpretation of the coexpression results was that AOX–HA could not oligomerize with AOX-WT in the cytoplasm because of interference by the HA tag in AOX–HA/AOX-WT assembly or to the rapid assembly kinetics of AOX-WT, as suggested for MDH by Elgersma et al. (1996). If this were true, then the small amount of AOX–HA that does assemble into active enzyme would be expected to be present as AOX–HA homoctamers and not as AOX–HA/AOX-WT heteromers. To examine whether or not active AOX–HA oligomerized with AOX-WT in cells coexpressing the two proteins, two experiments were performed. In the first, sucrose velocity gradient fractions containing octameric AOX from the coexpression strain (Fig. 6 F, fraction 9) and from the strain expressing AOX–HA alone (Fig. 6 E, fraction 9) were immunoprecipitated using anti–HA antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were subsequently examined via immunoblot against the relative amounts of HA and AOX cross-reacting protein (Fig. 8 A). If active AOX–HA in the coexpression strain was a homoctamer, the relative amount of HA- and AOX-reacting protein should be the same as in the AOX–HA fractions. In contrast, results showed that the HA antibodies precipitated significantly more AOX protein from the coexpression strain fractions, indicating that AOX-WT protein was coprecipitated along with AOX–HA. As a control for the specificity of the HA antibody preparation, no AOX protein was immunoprecipitated from AOX-WT gradient fractions (Fig. 6 C, fraction 9; Fig. 8 A, lane 4). These results suggested that AOX-WT and AOX–HA subunits exist as heteromers in octameric fractions from the coexpression strain.

In the second experiment, the presence of AOX–HA and AOX-WT protein in the same species of AOX molecule was examined directly by subjecting active octameric AOX (Fig. 6, from the gradients in fraction 9) to native PAGE and immunoblotting (Fig. 8 B). In these native gels, AOX-WT homoctamers migrate slower than AOX–HA homoctamers (despite the fact that AOX–HA is slightly higher in predicted molecular mass than AOX-WT). In fractions from the coexpression strain, AOX–HA migrated at approximately the same rate as homooctametric AOX-WT. Thus, most of the AOX–HA was present as heteroctamers with AOX-WT. Furthermore, the fact that heteromers migrated at about the same rate as AOX-WT homomers indicated that the heteromers were composed mostly of AOX-WT with only one or two AOX–HA subunits, indicating that the small amount of AOX–HA that reaches the peroxisomal matrix had no difficulty oligomerizing with the large amount of AOX-WT present in the organelle.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we examined the targeting and assembly of peroxisomal AOX in the yeast P. pastoris. In particular, we were interested in determining whether newly synthesized AOX is capable of oligomerizing in the cytoplasm before import, as recently reported for certain other peroxisomal proteins. Previous results from our laboratory suggested that P. pastoris AOX could not assemble outside the peroxisome. Methanol-induced pex mutants of this yeast contain little or no activity for AOX, although substantial amounts of AOX protein are present in the cytoplasm (Liu et al., 1992, 1995; Waterham et al., 1996). Here we show that the amount of residual AOX activity in the pex mutants closely correlates with the severity of the peroxisomal biogenesis defect with chemically induced (and slightly leaky) pex mutants typically containing some re-
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detectable AOX activity. In addition, we show that resid-
ual active AOX in the pex mutants is located inside the
few small peroxisomes or peroxisomal remnants present in
these cells. Although these results do not eliminate the
possibility that small amounts of AOX can octamerize into
an active enzyme in the cytoplasm of pex mutants, they
strongly suggest that the bulk of AOX protein must reach
the peroxisome to efficiently assemble.

The inability of AOX to properly assemble in the cyto-
plasm of pex mutants contrasts with other peroxisomal en-
zymes which appear to be fully active in the cytoplasm and,
therefore, have little difficulty assembling there. These en-
zymes include CAT from P. pastoris, an enzyme that must
properly fold, incorporate a heme cofactor, and tetramer-
ize to become active (Gould et al., 1992; Liu et al., 1992).
Thus, the inability of AOX to assemble is not the result of
some general inability of peroxisomal enzymes to incorpo-
rate cofactors or form homooligomers in the cytoplasm.
The assembly problem does not appear to be because of
the inability of AOX to oligomerize outside the peroxi-
some, since Evers et al. (1995) were able to efficiently re-
assemble active octameric AOX in vitro from FAD-con-
taining monomeric subunits obtained from either P. pastoris
or the related yeast H. polymorpha. They further showed
that, in a riboflavin auxotrophic mutant of H. polymorpha,
AOX protein is found in misfolded aggregates, indicating
that insertion of FAD into AOX is an essential step in the
assembly of H. polymorpha AOX (Evers et al., 1994,
1996). They postulated that the FAD insertion step may
be mediated by an unknown assembly factor since, once
removed, FAD could not be reinserted into AOX in vitro
(Evers et al., 1995). Thus, in the absence of peroxisomes,
the inability of P. pastoris AOX to assemble in the cyto-
plasm may also be related to a failure of FAD insertion
(e.g., FAD and/or FAD insertion factor concentration in
the cytoplasm may be too low to support efficient FAD
binding).

Interestingly, AOX (MOX) from H. polymorpha effi-
ciently assembles into an active enzyme in the cytoplasm
of H. polymorpha pex mutants (Cregg et al., 1990; van der
Klei et al., 1991). We found that MOX is active in the cyto-
plasm of P. pastoris pex mutants while AOX is inactive in
the cytoplasm of pex mutants of H. polymorpha. Thus, this
distinction between P. pastoris and H. polymorpha AOXs
must be due to differences between their AOX polypep-
tides and not to the environment provided by their hosts.
This is a surprising result given the high degree of se-
quence similarity shared by these polypeptides (~85%
identical). Perhaps, for proper assembly, P. pastoris AOX
requires a higher concentration of FAD or FAD-insertion
factor, or is more dependent on the acidic environment of
the peroxisome than H. polymorpha AOX.

Recent studies suggest that at least some peroxisomal proteins are not only capable of cytoplasmic assembly but
are also imported into peroxisomes in a preassembled oli-
gomeric state. The key experiment in these studies is the
coeexpression of the peroxisomal protein without its PTS
along with the wild-type protein. In each case, although
the PTS-less protein expressed alone is not imported, co-
expression resulted in the efficient coimport or piggyback-
ing of the PTS-less polypeptides. Coimport has been re-
ported for the human PTS1 enzyme, alanine/glyoxylate
amino transferase (Leiper et al., 1996), a chloramphenicol
acetyl transferase PTS1 fusion protein (McNew and Good-
man, 1994), a yeast PTS1 enzyme, MDH3 (Elgermsa et al.,
1996), and the yeast PTS2 enzyme thiolsae (Glover et al.,
1994). The ability of each of these proteins to piggyback
into peroxisomes strongly suggests that these proteins can
oligomerize in the cytoplasm before import.

To perform the coexpression study with P. pastoris
AOX, it was first necessary to identify and characterize its
PTS. We found that critical information for efficient per-
oxosomal targeting of P. pastoris AOX is located within its
four COOH-terminal amino acids, LARF. Previously, a
similar conclusion was drawn for the same four COOH-
terminal amino acids of H. polymorpha MOX (Hansen et al.,
1992). This conclusion was based on immunocytochemical
data which indicated that these four amino acids were ca-
ble of targeting β-lactamase to peroxisomes in H. poly-
morpha. We show that these same four COOH-terminal
amino acids are also sufficient to target β-lactamase to P.
pastoris peroxisomes. In addition, we show that these four
amino acids are critical for targeting since their removal
and substitution with either RSC or an HA-epitope tag re-
results in proteins that are only inefficiently imported into
peroxisomes. Interestingly, a small portion of both AOX–
RSC and AOX–HA is properly targeted to peroxisomes.
Cell fractionation studies confirmed that these activities
represent AOX protein that is correctly imported in per-
oxisomes, although the efficiency of import is not suffi-
cient to support normal methanol-growth rates. One ex-
planation for the residual import of LARF-less AOX is
that, in addition to a COOH-terminal PTS, AOX contains
a second independent but less efficient PTS. A second PTS
has been reported for other peroxisomal matrix proteins
including S. cerevisiae catalase A (Kragler et al., 1993) and
H. polymorpha Per1p (Waterham et al., 1994). This sec-
ond PTS may be located within the 18 amino acids imme-
diately adjacent to LARF in AOX, since a mutant AOX
deleted for the 22 COOH-terminal amino acids is not im-
ported at all. Furthermore, a β-lactamase fusion contain-
ing the 22 COOH-terminal amino acids of AOX is tar-
ged more efficiently than one fused to just the LARF
sequence. A second explanation for the residual import of
AOX without LARF is that the protein oligomerizes in
the cytoplasm with another methanol pathway protein,
such as dihydroxyacetone synthase, and is coimported.
The preimport coassembly of AOX and dihydroxyacetone
synthase was previously suggested from the results of ion-
ophore experiments with the yeast C. boidinii (Bellion and
Goodman, 1987). We observed that, in glucose-grown cells
that do not synthesize dihydroxyacetone synthase, AOX–
RSC (expressed under control of the constitutive GAP
promoter) is not imported, although AOX–WT is im-
ported (not shown), a result that is consistent with the no-
tion that residual import of AOX without LARF may be
dependent on another methanol pathway protein.

AOX is clearly targeted to peroxisomes via the PTS1
import pathway. Previous results demonstrated that AOX
behaves in a manner similar to luciferase, the prototypical
PTS1 protein, in P. pastoris wild-type and pex mutant cells
(McCollum et al., 1993; Spong et al., 1993; Liu et al., 1995;
Waterham et al., 1996). Here, we extend these results by
demonstrating that neither AOX nor β-lactamase fused to LARF is targeted to peroxisomes in a *pex3*Δ strain that is specifically defective in the import of PTS1 proteins (Spong et al., 1993). In addition, two-hybrid system results indicate that AOX strongly interacts with the PTS1 receptor Pex5p, and that this interaction is dependent upon the last four amino acids of AOX. We conclude that the primary targeting signal for AOX is a PTS1 located within LARF and that the PTS most probably consists of the COOH-terminal tripeptide sequence ARF. The first two amino acids of the motif in AOX, alanine and arginine, are known functional variants of the prototypical PTS1 sequence (SKL; Gould et al., 1989; Swinkels et al., 1992).

The substitution of a phenylalanine for leucine at the ultimate position was shown to abolish peroxisomal targeting in mammalian cells (Swinkels et al., 1992). However, while this work was in progress, Elgersma et al. (1996) reported that PTS1 motifs ending in phenylalanine were imported in *S. cerevisiae*.

To investigate the effect of coexpressing AOX-WT and AOX without its primary PTS, it was not possible to examine samples by differential or sucrose density gradient centrifugations, as done in previous studies of this kind. These techniques require prolonged incubation of cells to remove cell walls, conditions that result in degradation of improperly folded AOX (Liu et al., 1992, 1995; and Waterham et al., 1996). Furthermore, improperly folded AOX forms into protein aggregates which sediment upon differential centrifugation and, thus, appear in organelle pellets along with properly imported and assembled AOX. To observe import of AOX, cell extracts were prepared by the glass bead disruption method at a low temperature and were subjected to sucrose velocity sedimentation (Goodman et al., 1984). The proportion of AOX protein present at the position of fully assembled AOX octamers was then noted in the gradients. Previous studies (Liu et al., 1992, 1995) as well as those presented here indicate that active octameric AOX is only found in the peroxisomal matrix. Thus, the presence of AOX–HA at the position of octameric AOX in velocity gradients represents AOX–HA that was properly imported into the organelle.

The coexpression studies showed that the efficiency of AOX–HA import is not improved by AOX-WT. Thus, it appears that AOX–HA cannot initiate cytoplasmic assembly with AOX-WT in the cytoplasm and be piggybacked into the peroxisome. An alternative explanation for the failure of AOX–HA to efficiently oligomerize with AOX-WT in the coexpression experiment was that the HA tag somehow interfered with its assembly. Elgersma et al. (1996) proposed that the apparent inability of *S. cerevisiae* PTS1 enzyme MDH to coimport PTS1-less MDH was because of a reduction in the assembly kinetics of the mutant polypeptide. As a result, the mutant subunits were outcompeted by the wild-type subunits that rapidly homodimerize in the cytoplasm. Our results indicate that AOX–HA is not impaired in its ability to assemble with AOX-WT. When we examined the small portion of AOX–HA in the coexpression strain that does reach the peroxisomal matrix and assemble into active octameric enzyme, we found that virtually all of the mutant protein was present as heterooligomers with AOX-WT. Thus, it appears that despite the presence of large amounts of AOX-WT, most AOX–HA remains in the cytoplasm because AOX cannot initiate oligomerization in this compartment and, without its primary PTS1, the mutant AOX is inefficiently targeted to and import into the peroxisome. In summary, although peroxisomes are capable of importing some proteins as preassembled structures, AOX must be targeted to peroxisomes as monomers for import and assembly to occur properly.

Despite recent progress, basic features of protein import into peroxisomes remain largely unknown (Waterham and Cregg, 1997). Although the organelle appears to be morphologically simple with a single membrane and uncomplicated matrix, the import mechanism is unexpectedly complex. Peroxisomes have evolved at least two matrix protein import pathways and a third independent pathway specific for peroxisomal integral membrane proteins. As shown by several recent studies, the matrix protein import machinery is capable of importing large preassembled structures ≥9 nm in diameter (Walton et al., 1995), a size much greater than AOX octamers at ∼600 kD. Therefore, the necessity of importing AOX as unassembled monomeric polypeptides is probably not related to its size but may reflect the necessity to incorporate FAD before octamerization, a process proposed to occur in the peroxisomal matrix (Evers et al., 1996).

Although this is the first description of a yeast peroxisomal protein that cannot assemble in the absence of functional peroxisomes, this phenomenon may be relatively common in patients afflicted with the lethal peroxisomal biogenesis disorder Zellweger syndrome (Lazarow and Moser, 1994). In cells of these patients, peroxisomes are absent and peroxisomal matrix enzymes are left in the cytoplasm. Some of these enzymes are stable and active, whereas several others (including the plasmalogen biosynthetic enzymes, acyl-CoA:dihydroyacetone phosphate acyltransferase and alkyl-dihydroxyacetone phosphate synthase, and the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway enzymes, acyl-CoA oxidase, bifunctional enzyme, and 3-oxoacyl-CoA thiolase) are deficient (Lazarow and Moser, 1994). Pulse chase studies on acyl-CoA oxidase and thiolase demonstrated that the proteins are synthesized normally but remain largely inactive in the cytosol where they are rapidly degraded (Schram et al., 1986; Suzuki et al., 1986). The inability of these and other enzymes to assemble into stable active enzymes may be the reason that specific peroxisomal metabolic pathways are defective in Zellweger patients. Further investigations into the fate of AOX in *P. pastoris* mutants may shed light on the molecular details of this phenomenon and the molecular etiology of this aspect of Zellweger syndrome.
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