Calcium Determines the Supramolecular Organization of Fibrillin-rich Microfibrils
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Abstract. Microfibrils are ubiquitous fibrillin-rich polymers that are thought to provide long-range elasticity to extracellular matrices, including the zonular filaments of mammalian eyes. X-ray diffraction of hydrated bovine zonular filament demonstrated meridional diffraction peaks indexing on a fundamental axial periodicity (D) of ~56 nm. A Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced reversible change in the intensities of the meridional Bragg peaks indicated that supramolecular rearrangements occurred in response to altered concentrations of free Ca\(^{2+}\). In the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), the dominant diffusing subspecies were microfibrils aligned in an axial 0.33-D stagger. The removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) caused an enhanced regularity in molecular spacing of individual microfibrils, and the contribution from microfibrils not involved in staggered arrays became more dominant. Scanning transmission electron microscopy of isolated microfibrils revealed that Ca\(^{2+}\) removal or addition caused significant, reversible changes in microfibril mass distribution and periodicity. These results were consistent with evidence from x-ray diffraction. Simulated meridional x-ray diffraction profiles and analyses of isolated Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing, staggered microfibrillar arrays were used to interpret the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\). These observations highlight the importance of Ca\(^{2+}\) to microfibrils and microfibrillar arrays in vivo.

A fibrillin-rich network is formed from elastic microfibrils that are widely distributed in the extracellular matrices (ECM) of tissues such as skin, muscle, vasculature, ligaments, cartilage, and ocular zonules (13, 24). Long flexible microfibrils with numerous beads have been isolated from tissues and their structure visualized by rotary shadowing or scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) (10, 14, 26). Isolated microfibrils are 10–14-nm wide beaded structures exhibiting an average axial unit repeat (D) of 56 nm within the range of 33–165 nm (10, 26). This 400% variation in bead periodicity suggests that microfibrils in vivo may be highly elastomeric. In evolutionary terms, these microfibrils may be the most fundamental elastic components of the ECM, and may therefore be of central importance in providing long-range elastic recoil to connective tissues (18, 28). In elastic tissues, microfibrils act as the template for tropoelastin deposition during elastic fibrillogenesis, and mature elastic fibers are composites of elastin and microfibrils (19).

The major structural components of microfibrils are fibrillin-1 and fibrillin-2, homologous multidomain glycoproteins that contain 47 epidermal growth factor–like domains, 43 of which have Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding potential and probably bind Ca\(^{2+}\) in vivo (1, 7, 21, 25). Fibrillin is believed to contribute both to the beads and to the filamentous strands linking the beads (17, 22), although other molecules may also be present (4–6, 16, 27). The molecular arrangement of fibrillin in assembled microfibrils is poorly defined, and to date, only tentative structural models have been proposed to account for their elastic properties (3, 22). Rotary shadowing studies have highlighted the key role of ligated Ca\(^{2+}\) in maintaining the ordered lateral packing arrangement of microfibrils (11). In addition, the experimental removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) renders isolated and recombinant fibrillin-1 molecules shorter and more flexible (23).

We have previously used x-ray diffraction to examine the structural organization of microfibrils in zonular filaments (29). Preliminary x-ray diffraction studies of microfibrils in such tissues have provided information relating to the molecular structure, packing, and behavior on extension that may explain their unusual biomechanical properties. Diffraction data obtained from native bovine zonular filaments revealed a structural feature indexing on a 56-nm periodicity. The fundamental periodicity did not change significantly with extensions of up to 40%, indicat-
ing that some portions of the microfibrils exhibit a static periodicity (29). The diffraction data obtained from native zonule preparations also exhibited strong intensities corresponding to molecular spacings of 18.73 and 9.36 nm. These correspond to the third and sixth orders of a 56-nm periodicity.

A key advantage of X-ray diffraction to study fibrillin-rich microfibrils is that a statistically significant number of molecular conformations can be examined in hydrated intact tissues. This technique can reveal molecular features that cannot be readily detected by microscopic techniques that rely on disruption of tissues and dehydration of samples (30, 31). In this study, we have examined the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on the structural organization of microfibrillar arrays using X-ray diffraction of tissue microfibrils together with electron microscopic and biochemical studies of isolated microfibrils.

### Materials and Methods

#### Materials

Adult and second trimester fetal calves (120–130 d gestation) were obtained from the local abattoir within 1 h of death. Bacterial collagenase (type 1A), hyaluronidase (E.C. 3.2.1.36) (type X from leech), DNase I (E.C. 3.1.2.1) from bovine pancreas, PMSF, N-ethylmaleimide, and benzamidine were obtained from the Sigma Chemical Company (Poole, Dorset, UK). Sepharose CL-2B was supplied by Pharmacia-LKB (Milton Keynes, Bucks, UK). Centriflo microconcentrators were purchased from Amicon (Stonebrook, Gloucestershire, UK).

#### X-ray Diffraction

Zonular fibers from bovine eyes are relatively large (5-mm long in situ) and contain microfibrils oriented along their length. They therefore present a good system to study the structure and extensibility of microfibrils. Dissection of zonular filaments and removal of the contaminating vitreous were done as in (29). X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted on beamlines 2.1 and 16.1 at the Central Laboratories Research Council (CLRC) Daresbury laboratory. This provided a suitable small beam of intense X-ray flux combined with suitable low angle camera geometries. Each sample was mounted between two thin sheets of mica that were sealed together with silicon grease and the sample bathed in PBS, pH 7.5, at 20°C. The aluminum frame that held the sample also served as a suitable aperture for the X-ray diffraction beam. Before diffraction, the sample was immersed for 15 min in an excess of isotonic 0.05 M Tris, pH 7.4. Before diffraction, the empty cell was subtracted from each. Data pixels were converted from two-dimensional detector data to radial data profiles (reciprocal film space co-ordinate vs. angle where the equator is 0 degrees) in order to facilitate integration around arced Bragg diffraction peaks. Typical diffraction images can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2. Data correction procedures were similar to those used in (29). The two-dimensional radial distribution profiles were integrated using boundaries that included all visible meridional Bragg intensity; this resulted in single line plots used to examine any changes in meridional intensity or position.

#### Preparation of Isolated Microfibrils for Ultrastructural Analysis

Intact native microfibrils were isolated and purified from fetal bovine aorta, skin, and nuchal ligament and from adult zonular filaments as previously described (12, 14–16). These microfibrils were morphologically indistinguishable. Briefly, tissues were disrupted by bacterial collagenase digestion in 0.05 M Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, containing 0.4 M NaCl and 0.01 M CaCl\(_2\) in the presence of freshly added protease inhibitors (5 mM PMSF, 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide, 2 mM benzamidine). These extracts were sequentially digested with leech hyaluronidase and DNase I before isolation in the void volume of a Sepharose CL-2B gel filtration column. Microfibril preparations were equilibrated in 0.05 M Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, containing 0.4 M NaCl and 0.01 M CaCl\(_2\), and examined by electron microscopy after rotary shadowing. Ultrastructural analyses of microfibrils were carried out on untreated microfibrils and after 10 min incubation at 20°C in (a) 5 or 10 mM EDTA or EGTA, (b) 5 or 10 mM CaCl\(_2\), or (c) 5 mM EDTA and then 6 mM CaCl\(_2\). We have previously shown that 5–25 mM EDTA and EGTA have the same effect on microfibril morphology (11).

#### Rotary Shadowing Electron Microscopy

Intact microfibrils were adsorbed onto mica and shadowed with tungsten/platinum, using a modification of the mica sandwich technique (12, 14, 16).

#### Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy

STEM mass analysis is a well-established technique that has provided quantitative data on mass per unit length and axial mass distribution of unstained and unshadowed fibrillin-containing microfibrils and other macromolecules (2, 8, 9, 20, 26). Intact native aortic microfibrils were prepared and examined by STEM using a transmission electron microscope (1200EX; JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA) as previously described (26).

An axial unit repeat (D) was defined as the distance from one mass minimum to the next (effectively one bead plus one interbead region). Total period mass (mass per unit length [kD/μm] × axial repeat [μm]) represented the total mass (kD) present in each axial repeat. Five measurements of mass per unit length were taken per microfibril, with 40–50 microfibrils per grid examined. Intra-period axial mass distributions were calculated as the averages of 10 measurements per microfibril. In addition, 50 measurements of intra-period axial mass distributions were determined...
for calcium-containing microfibrils where the axial repeat was within the range of 55.5–56.5 nm. Two-dimensional contour plots were constructed from the averages of five scans per microfibril. In all cases, these STEM measurements were repeated on multiple preparations. Microfibril diameter, measured as a function of mass distribution, was determined from two-dimensional contour plots.

Results

X-ray Diffraction of Ciliary Zonules

The x-ray diffraction patterns of ciliary zonules exhibited a series of meridional Bragg peaks (Fig. 1); these were found to index on a fundamental periodicity of 56 nm. The background diffuse scatter is believed to derive in part from the sample itself, indicating a degree of static disorder in the sample. The application of a small pretension on the sample (10% extension of zonular tissue) caused the shape of the diffuse scatter to become anisotropic and less predominant. In the presence of EGTA, it was also observed that the relaxed zonular preparations indicated a shortened axial periodicity of 46.8 nm (see Fig. 5A). The effect of pretension was to maintain the axial periodicity at ~56 nm for each cyclic treatment of an individual sample. Under conditions of fixed extension, the relative intensity of Bragg reflections were modulated whilst the periodicity remained constant. In all zonular filaments studied, the meridional series was found to extend over eight orders, the truncation of the series is presumed to reflect the inherent disorder in the sample. The electron density contrast of the bead–interbead region is apparent at low resolution. However, variation in the bead–interbead mass distribution in the bulk sample blurs the electron density contrast at higher resolution, and eventually Bragg peaks merge into the diffuse background. The intensity profile of the meridional series appears to be sampled by a Gaussian function indicating that the averaged axially repeating electron density structure itself resembles a Gaussian function; variation between unit cell densities will impose a degree of static disorder.

In both native samples and those immersed in Ca\(^{2+}\) solution, the presence of a dominant third order of intensity indicates that a regular array of microfibrils with a relative stagger of 56/3 nm may exist as a population within the sample (Fig. 1). This feature is less apparent in the samples where Ca\(^{2+}\) have been chelated by EGTA, here the meridional diffraction intensities of the first four orders follows a more stepwise decrease in intensity with diffraction order. The strength of the meridional diffraction also appears to be greater in the presence of EGTA when compared with the equatorial diffraction features. The diffraction profiles obtained using the 2.5-m camera indicate less obvious differences for diffraction orders three through eight (see Fig. 5B), indicating that the differences in the diffraction images are limited to the first few terms of the Fourier series. Changes in the molecular packing must, therefore, involve structural alterations that contain an inherent degree of static or dynamic disorder.

Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced Changes in Isolated Microfibrils

Rotary shadowed microfibrils, and dark field images of unstained, unshadowed microfibrils, from four different tissues all showed the same structural changes following Ca\(^{2+}\) chelation and addition (Fig. 2). Microfibrils treated with EDTA or EGTA remained intact but exhibited profoundly altered morphology with pronounced beads, loss of interbead definition, and increased flexibility. In con-
contrast, microfibrils incubated with CaCl$_2$ were well-packed, relatively linear structures. In the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, some microfibril bundles were also apparent (see Fig. 7).

Quantitative STEM analyses were carried out on these microfibrils to investigate the effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on microfibril mass and periodic organization (Table I; Figs. 3 and 4). Similar results were obtained for all microfibril populations examined. Axial repeat distances of untreated aortic and zonular filament microfibrils were 54.8 nm (SD = 4.3) and 54.9 nm (SD = 2.1), respectively. After incubation with EDTA or EGTA, axial repeat distance decreased in both aortic and zonular filament microfibrils to 38.7 nm (SD = 3) and 37.3 nm (SD = 0.2), respectively. After incubation in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, aortic microfibril axial repeat distance increased to 59.8 nm (SD = 7.3) and zonular filament axial repeat distance to 60.75 nm (SD = 5.1). The mass per unit length of aortic microfibrils was 33.7 kD/nm (SD = 4; $n = 47$) (Table I). After incubation in the presence of EDTA, microfibrillar mass per unit length increased to 45.9 kD/nm (SD = 5.7; $n = 37$). Microfibrils incubated in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ had a mass per unit length of 32.5 kD/nm (SD = 4.7; $n = 52$). When total mass per axial repeat was calculated, it was clear that small mass losses had occurred after EDTA ($-3.8\%$) treatment, and gains after addition of Ca$^{2+}$ ($+5.3\%$).

Irrespective of experimental conditions, microfibrillar mass was always distributed axially as repeating peaks of mass coinciding with beads, and troughs corresponding to interbeads (Fig. 4). In the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, the peaks of mass were asymmetrical (Fig. 4 A). Visualization of microfibril mass distributions as two-dimensional contour plots highlighted that microfibril diameter was reduced in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and increased after EDTA chelation (Fig. 4 B).

### Modeling of X-ray Diffraction Data

A linear profile of the x-ray diffraction intensities for the first four orders of fibrillin can be seen in Fig. 5 A. Data are shown for the sample in the native state and in a Ca$^{2+}$-enriched buffer, as well as after removal of Ca$^{2+}$ by EGTA. The relative intensity of each series of reflections was normalized. The effect of EGTA is clear in enhancing the relative intensity of the first and second orders of diffraction. In the case of native samples and those with Ca$^{2+}$ present, the third order of diffraction was dominant. The effects of EGTA and Ca$^{2+}$ on diffraction orders four through eight were observed to be minor (Fig. 5 B).

Modeling of the intensity started with the use of axial mass distribution derived from the STEM data of dried isolated microfibrils. For individual microfibrils, the intensity profile is dominated by the general bead–interbead function. Axial density profiles from STEM data where the bead–interbead periodicity was measured to be 56 ± 1 nm, were used. Initial attempts at diffraction profile simulation showed a rapid extinction of the diffraction intensity.

---

**Figure 2.** Isolated fibrillin-rich microfibrils visualized by rotary shadowing electron microscopy or dark-field STEM. Intact native microfibrils isolated from bovine skin (a–c), zonular filaments (d–f), and aorta (g–i) were visualized directly or after incubation in the presence of EDTA, EGTA, or CaCl$_2$. Rotary shadowing EM and dark-field STEM both highlight gross structural microfibril rearrangements that result from these treatments. a, d, and g, untreated microfibrils; b and h, microfibrils treated with 5 mM EDTA; c, f, and i, microfibrils treated with 5 mM EGTA; c, f, and i, microfibrils treated with 5 mM CaCl$_2$; a–f, rotary-shadowed images. Bars, 100 nm.
with order. This indicated that in the dry state the axial mass distribution is smeared, possibly because of the action of drying. The effect is that the structural interface between the bead and interbead is less pronounced than in the fully hydrated state that gives a sharper contrast of electron density distribution. A sharpened axial density profile that reduced the half-width of the bead region, was used to calculate the Fourier transforms.

Arrays of the axial mass profiles were constructed by staggering the axial mass profiles by a number of discrete subdivisions of the axial repeat. There are no features present in any STEM axial density profiles that indicate strong molecular contrast of 0.33 D along an individual microfibril, rather the presence of a strong third order of the diffraction data immediately indicates the optimal relative axial stagger between nearest neighbor microfibrils to be 0.33 D where D is the axial repeat. The axially projected and staggered density profiles used to simulate the x-ray diffraction intensities can be seen in Fig. 6. The intensity terms for a meridional series were calculated from the Fourier transform of the density profiles. There are differences in the Gaussian character of the staggered and non-staggered arrays presented in the Fourier transforms. The contribution from the individual microfibril profiles decayed to a minimum within the first four orders. In the case of the staggered arrays, the model dictates that the inherent ordering of the axial structures required to achieve array formation is reflected in a diffraction profile which shows more coherence and results in diffraction to the sixth order. The simulated diffraction series from staggered microfibril arrays and non-staggered microfibrils were combined in an optimal manner to simulate the profile of scattering from zonular fibrils with and without Ca$^{2+}$. These simulations can be seen in Fig. 5 C. The EGTA treated sample (Ca$^{2+}$ depleted) is constructed from the combination of 35% of the diffraction intensity from the individual microfibrils added to the diffraction series of the staggered profile. The Ca$^{2+}$-enriched sample was constructed by the combination of 10% of individual microfibril profile added to the diffraction series of the staggered array profile. The use of the two structural features, regularly spaced bead–interbead regions of individ-

### Table I. STEM Mass Analysis of Isolated Microfibrils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Axial repeat</th>
<th>Mass per unit length (kD/nm)</th>
<th>Total period mass (kD)</th>
<th>Mass change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>54.8 (± 4.3)</td>
<td>33.7 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA-treated</td>
<td>38.7 (± 3.0)</td>
<td>45.9 (± 5.7)</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>-71 (-3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl$_2$-treated</td>
<td>59.8 (± 7.3)</td>
<td>32.5 (± 4.7)</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>+97 (+5.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aortic microfibrils were untreated, or incubated with 5 mM EDTA or 5 mM CaCl$_2$. Axial repeat measurements were determined using dark field images of unstained, unshadowed microfibrils. Mass measurements were derived by STEM using a JEOL 1200EX transmission electron microscope, with tobacco mosaic virus as mass standard. Standard deviations are shown in parenthesis (4).

Figure 4. Mean axial mass distributions of microfibrils. Mean axial mass distributions of intact unstained microfibrils were determined from dark-field STEM images using low-dose electron exposure. (A) Axial mass distributions of (a) untreated microfibrils, (b) 5 mM EDTA-treated microfibrils, and (c) 5 mM CaCl$_2$-treated microfibrils. (B) Contour plots of axial mass distribution of (a) untreated microfibrils, (b) 5 mM EDTA-treated microfibrils, and (c) 5 mM CaCl$_2$-treated microfibrils.

Figure 3. Determination of microfibrillar mass and axial repeat by STEM. Intact unstained native microfibrils were adsorbed onto carbon film grids and examined by STEM in a JEOL 1200EX transmission electron microscope interfaced with a microcomputer system permitting digital scan control and digitization of signal from the dark-field detector. Mass measurements were based on the use of tobacco mosaic virus as a mass standard. (A) Mass per unit length (kD/nm) of (a) untreated microfibrils, (b) 5 mM EDTA-treated microfibrils, and (c) 5 mM CaCl$_2$-treated microfibrils. (B) Axial repeat (D-period) in nm of (a) untreated microfibrils, (b) 5 mM EDTA-treated microfibrils, and (c) 5 mM CaCl$_2$-treated microfibrils.
In the presence or absence of bound Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the isolated microfibrils and staggered array structures can be found in Results. The two lines represent simulations of microfibril was added to the simulated data based on the exponential decay of the diffuse scatter in the sample. Details of percentage contribution of the staggered and non-staggered profiles. A diffuse background was added to the simulated data based on the exponential decay of the diffuse scatter in the sample. Details of percentage contribution from the isolated microfibrils and staggered array structures can be found in Results. The two lines represent simulations of microfibril in the presence or absence of bound Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Short dashed, EGTA-treated sample; solid, native sample.

Figure 5. X-ray diffraction intensity profiles and simulations. (A) Profiles of the meridional intensities of the first four orders of the zonular filament diffraction pattern showing the Bragg peaks superimposed on a diffuse background. The application of a small pretension (10% extension of zonular tissue) caused the shape of the diffuse scatter to become anisotropic and less predominant, and maintained axial periodicity at \(\sim\)56 nm for each cyclic treatment of an individual sample with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and EGTA. Under these conditions of fixed extension, the relative intensity of Bragg reflections were modulated while the periodicity remained constant. The effect of EGTA can be seen as the presence of the first order as the dominant intensity. In samples containing Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the third order is dominant. The effect of EGTA on the fundamental periodicity of the axial repeat without a 10% pretension is also shown. The line plot represents integrated intensity of meridional reflections versus radial position. Short dashed, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-enriched sample; solid, native sample; long dashed, EGTA-treated sample with pretension; dotted, EGTA-treated sample without 10% pretension. (B) Profiles of the meridional intensities of orders three through eight of the zonular filament diffraction pattern under conditions of 10% pretension, showing the Bragg peaks superimposed on a diffuse background. The effect of EGTA is less pronounced at higher orders of diffraction since the molecular arrangements in the individual microfibrils are more variable than the supramolecular arrays. The line plot represents integrated intensity of meridional reflections versus radial position. Short dashed, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-enriched; solid, native sample; long dashed, EGTA-treated sample with pretension. (C) Simulations of meridional diffraction series using combinations of the staggered and non-staggered profiles. A diffuse background intensity profile. The profiles in Fig. 5 were superimposed on a profile of diffuse scatter modeled as an exponential function that in turn was derived from the interpolated diffuse scattering present in the diffraction data. This improved the quality of the simulation in comparison to the diffraction intensity profiles from zonular filaments. The simulated profiles cover the diffraction space sampled by the two different camera lengths used. The principal differences in the simulated profiles are confined (as in the experimental data) to the first few orders of diffraction. The diffraction profile of the higher orders are similar in both simulations as in the case of the experimental data.

The main features of the diffraction profiles can therefore be simulated by a relatively simple model that consists of differential contributions of two levels of structure. The model makes relatively few assumptions and all features are backed by observations from other sources such as STEM measurements and the observation of microfibrillar 0.33-D staggered arrays by EM.

Staggered arrays of microfibrils may be found in discrete regions of the zonular bundles. Electron micrographs of staggered arrays that have remained intact after microfibril purification also strongly indicate the presence of these structures. Fig. 7 shows an electron micrograph of such a two-dimensional array of \(\sim\)0.33-D staggered microfibrils. The array propagates for over 40 bead–inter-bead periodicities, but also contains incoherence that may result from sample preparation or be inherent in the system. X-ray diffraction allows periodicities to be observed that indicate the existence of significant amounts of microfibrillar bases staggered arrays in the intact tissue. The axial value for D may be regulated by the lateral association of adjacent microfibrils and produce a more ordered part of the microfibrillar-rich tissue.

It is of interest that the subsidiary peak observed on the axial mass profiles of microfibrils containing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is \(\sim\)0.33 D (where D = 56 nm) away from the central peak of the bead region (see Fig. 4 A). This structural feature is not strong enough to produce a significant third order diffraction.
tion peak and is not visible on staggering the microfibrils in a regular 0.33-D array. The presence of this subsidiary peak may, however, provide a basis for the staggered interaction between molecules in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\).

The relative increase of first and second order diffraction intensities observed in removing Ca\(^{2+}\) from the zonular filament sample could result from a decrease in the spread of bead–interbead periodicities in the sample. This effect is also observed in the SD measurements of the STEM data (see Fig. 3; Table I). Increased regularity of the bead–interbead spacing would result in more coherent contrast density contributing to coherent Bragg scatter. In the native, and particularly within the Ca\(^{2+}\)-enriched treatments, the diffraction profile is predominantly from the staggered arrays, since the disperse nature of the D period values as observed in STEM data prevents coherent diffraction contributions from the microfibrils not involved in the staggered arrays.

**Figure 6.** The relative density profiles used to simulate the electron density in the non-associated microfibrils (left) and microfibrillar arrays where the relative molecular stagger is 0.33 D (right). The staggered array was produced by superimposing the individual microfibril structure at 0.33-D intervals to produce a continuous function.

**Discussion**

Using high resolution biophysical methods, we have found that microfibril periodicity can be observed in intact, hydrated tissue samples, and that the diffraction intensity distribution can be experimentally modulated by removing Ca\(^{2+}\) ions. We have also observed that Ca\(^{2+}\) influences the flexibility, mass distribution, and periodicity of microfibrils isolated from zonules and other tissues. These studies demonstrate for the first time the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependence of the molecular packing of not only individual microfibrils but also higher order hydrated microfibrillar arrays such as those occurring in vivo.

The small angle x-ray diffraction peaks indicate two possible levels of molecular organization. These are individual microfibrils with some statistical spread of periodicity, and supramolecular arrays where the axial molecular spacing is inherently regulated by the formation of a lattice. For diffraction to occur as discrete Bragg peaks above a diffuse background, the sample must contain a significant number of molecular spacings where the relative spread of the fundamental bead–interbead spacing is low. Therefore, the diffraction pattern of zonular fibers indicates a degree of axial uniformity that correlates well with the well-documented ~56-nm periodicity of isolated untensioned microfibrils (13, 14, 26).

The relative intensity of the diffraction peaks from individual microfibrils corresponds to the mass density distribution within the periodic structure as determined by STEM analysis, where the mass density of the specimen is directly proportional to the electron density of the signal (see Fig. 3). The Fourier transform of such a Gaussian function corresponds to a series of intensities where the intensity decreases with increasing Bragg reflection order under a Gaussian envelope. This pattern approximates well to the observed intensity distribution of zonular filaments in the absence of calcium (+EGTA). X-ray diffraction indicates that the axial mass contrast is sharper in the intact hydrated state than in dried isolated microfibrils as determined by STEM.

After incubation in Ca\(^{2+}\)-enriched buffer and in the native intact state, the third order is predominant in the diffraction data, indicating that a structural feature present in the sample contains a periodicity corresponding to one third of the bead–interbead period. Previous experiments have also shown a strong sixth order of diffraction, corre-
sponding to the second harmonic of this periodic feature (29). This element could reflect a particular structural arrangement with \( \sim 18.5 \)-nm axial periodicity along individual microfibrils, and/or the organization of microfibrils into a supramolecular architecture. Such higher order arrays may be present in zonular fibers and may allow the transmission of molecular elasticity from the microfibrillar level to the intact tissue. These arrays may serve as functional “junctions” within microfibril bundles where the internal stress of the system can be transferred to the hierarchical network of fibrillin zonular filaments. The relative inextensibility of these regions may be central to their role in transmitting force.

\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-containing zonular microfibrils are relatively rigid, but their bead–interbead periodicity is somewhat more variable than those experimentally depleted of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \). The SD of axial mass and periodicity measurements from STEM analysis of freshly isolated non-ocular microfibrils corroborates this interpretation. This indicates that the bulk of the zonular microfibrils contain insufficient periodic regularity to produce coherent diffraction. The main diffraction results from the 0.33-D staggered arrays in the junction regions. The isolation of such staggered microfibrillar arrays intact from tissues supports the likelihood that this arrangement occurs in vivo (see Fig. 7). However, in the presence of EGTA, although the diffraction from the junction region remains, the diffraction pattern of the first two orders is now dominated by individual microfibrils since the spread of molecular periodicities is less in the bulk of the tissues. The inextensibility of a diffracting portion of the zonules in the native state is already documented. The effect of applied tension on the zonule in the presence of EGTA compared with the relaxed state indicates that in the relaxed state the diffraction pattern contains diffraction from a shorter periodicity. The axial length change is 17% less than the \( \sim 56 \)-nm observed “static” periodicity. This indicates that the now dominant x-ray diffraction from the individual microfibrils is indexing on a shorter axial repeat.

STEM analysis of isolated microfibrils highlights that microfibril organization is \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-dependent. The reduction in bead periodicity and increase in microfibril diameter and flexibility that results from experimental \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) chelation demonstrate conclusively that ligated \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) influences microfibril packing and rigidity in vivo. \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-induced changes in microfibril periodicity (\( \sim 35 \% \)) were greater than those reported for isolated fibrillin molecules, where the change of \( \sim 19 \% \) in molecular length between \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-bound and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-free forms was ascribed to altered conformation of contiguous calcium-binding (cb)EGF-like domains (23); this observation indicates that additional \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-mediated folding events occur in assembled microfibrils. The x-ray diffraction evidence from untensioned EGTA-treated zonular filaments also contains a decreased axial periodicity, which is \( \sim 17 \% \) shorter than the observed periodicity of native zonular filaments (Fig. 5 A). It is striking that this value is similar to the molecular contraction proposed in Reinhardt et al. (23), however the percentage contraction in periodicity is significantly less than that observed by EM of individual microfibrils. These differences may be ascribed to local restriction of conformational changes resulting from lateral interactions in dense microfibril bundles.

Present models of fibrillin alignment in microfibrils, based on epitope mapping and/or fibrillin monomer dimensions, predict a parallel arrangement of linear fibrillin molecules, either unstaggered (12) or with \( \sim 50 \% \) overlap (3). Our STEM data are not in conflict with these published models, since the asymmetric axial mass distribution of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-saturated microfibrils supports parallel monomer alignment. However, neither model presents an obvious explanation for the mass maxima and minima that correspond to bead and interbead, respectively, and it is difficult to see how laterally aligned fibrillin (visualized as rod-like molecules [22]) could give rise to this axial mass distribution. Beaded microfibrils could result from the periodic association of polymers of linearly aligned fibrillin molecules with additional components that may influence the organization and properties of large microfibrillar arrays.

Conclusions

This x-ray diffraction study highlights that the fibrillin-rich microfibril periodicity observed in intact hydrated physiological tissue samples has an intensity distribution that indicates the presence of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \). The diffraction from zonular filaments can be modeled in a relatively simple way by defining to structural lattices of different character, these being axially well-ordered individual microfibrils and supramolecular microfibrillar arrangements. The relative contributions of these diffraacting sources may be highly variable depending on the microenvironment of the microfibrils within a tissue. The zonular filaments contain little else apart from microfibrils, and the presence of supramolecular junctions may be essential to the tissue architecture and therefore the macroscopic extensibility of the tissue. In elastic fiber–containing tissues, that arrangement may not be as predominant in diffraction terms due to differences in microfibrillar organization in the presence of elastin.
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