The Intermediate Filament Protein Peripherin Is the Specific Interaction Partner of Mouse BPAG1-n (Dystonin) in Neurons
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Abstract. The dystonia musculorum (dt) mouse suffers from severe degeneration of primary sensory neurons. The mutated gene product is named dystonin and is identical to the neuronal isoform of bullous pemphigoid antigen 1 (BPAG1-n). BPAG1-n contains an actin-binding domain at its NH2 terminus and a putative intermediate filament-binding domain at its COOH terminus. Because the degenerating sensory neurons of dt mice display abnormal accumulations of intermediate filaments in the axons, BPAG1-n has been postulated to organize the neuronal cytoskeleton by interacting with both the neurofilament triplet proteins (NFTPs) and microfilaments. In this paper we show by a variety of methods that the COOH-terminal tail domain of mouse BPAG1 interacts specifically with peripherin, but in contrast to a previous study (Yang, Y., J. Dowling, Q.C. Yu, P. Kouklis, D.W. Cleveland, and E. Fuchs. 1996. Cell. 86:655–665), mouse BPAG1 fails to associate with full-length NFTPs. The tail domains interfered with the association of the NFTPs with BPAG1. In dt mice, peripherin is present in axonal swellings of degenerating sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglia and is downregulated even in other neural regions, which have no obvious signs of pathology. Since peripherin and BPAG1-n also display similar expression patterns in the nervous system, we suggest that peripherin is the specific interaction partner of BPAG1-n in vivo.
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Intermediate filaments (IFs) are 10-nm filaments found in most eukaryotic cells and are made up of various IF proteins. Tissue-specific and developmental stage-specific expressions are intrinsic features of IF proteins. In the mammalian nervous system, five IF proteins are specifically expressed in differentiated neurons: the neurofilament (NF) triplet proteins (NF-L, NF-M, and NF-H), α-internexin, and peripherin (Fliegner and Liem, 1991; Ho and Liem, 1996; Lee and Cleveland, 1996). In the adult, the neurofilament triplet proteins (NFTPs) are widespread in the mature nervous system. They coassemble into heteropolymeric NFs and are especially abundant in neurons with large axons. α-Internexin and peripherin are more limited to small neurons, with α-internexin primarily in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripherin predominantly in the peripheral nervous system (PNS). During the development of the CNS, the expression of α-internexin precedes that of the NFTPs (Kaplan et al., 1990; Fliegner et al., 1994). For example, at embryonic day 12 (E12) in rat, α-internexin mRNA is readily detected in the basal forebrain where neurogenesis has just begun, whereas NF-L and NF-M are still minimal or absent (Fliegner et al., 1994). NF-H appears even later in development and its expression is very limited until after birth (Shaw and Weber, 1982; Pachter and Liem, 1984). Similar to α-internexin, peripherin is expressed early in development (Leonard et al., 1988; Parysek et al., 1988; Parysek and Goldman, 1988; Escurat et al., 1990; Troy et al., 1990a). As early as E9 in mouse and E12 in rat, peripherin can be detected in the PNS, e.g., in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG), cervical ventral roots, cranial nerve ganglia, and the enteric nervous system. The expression of peripherin continues through adulthood in the PNS. In adult rat, the expression of peripherin has also been observed in the CNS. For example, a subset of brainstem reticular formation was shown to be immunoreactive to a peripherin antisem (Brody et al., 1989).

IFs are associated with a class of cytoskeletal cross-linking proteins, known as plakins or cytolinkers (Green et al.,...
expression of the development of the nervous system, BPAG1-n can be found in the DRG and cranial nerve ganglia (Bernier et al., 1995; Dowling et al., 1997). BPAG1-n is present in neurons of the sympathetic nervous system, the enteric nervous system, and the cerebellum (Dowling et al., 1997). These expression patterns are similar to that of peripherin (Parysek et al., 1988; Troy et al., 1990a, b). Similar to the other members of the plakin/cytolinker family, BPAG1 exhibits a three-domain structure, consisting of a central rod domain flanked by NH2-terminal head and COOH-terminal tail domains (Ruhreberg and Watt, 1997). The majority of the rod domain is composed of heptad repeats that mediate coiled-coil dimer formation. However, it is not known whether higher order structures can be formed. The NH2-terminal head domain consists of six a-helical segments, whereas the COOH-terminal tail domain is made up of two homologous subdomains, known as the B and C domains (Green et al., 1992). The COOH-terminal tail domain has been suggested to interact with cytokeratins and NFs (Guo et al., 1995; Dowling et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1996). In addition, both of the neuronal isoforms of BPAG1 contain putative actin-binding domains at the ends of their NH2 termini (Brown et al., 1995a).

Unlike plectin which is expressed only in a small subset of motoneurons (Errante et al., 1994), BPAG1-n is widespread in sensory neurons of adult mice, such as those in the DRG and cranial nerve ganglia (Bernier et al., 1995; Dowling et al., 1997). In addition, BPAG1-n is also present in neurons of the sympathetic nervous system, the enteric nervous system, and the cerebellum (Dowling et al., 1997). These expression patterns are similar to that of peripherin (Parysek et al., 1988; Troy et al., 1990a, b). During the development of the nervous system, BPAG1-n can be detected as early as E9.5 in differentiated neurons of mouse embryos (Dowling et al., 1997), coinciding with the expression of a-interneurin and peripherin, but apparently is expressed before the NFTPs. The embryonic expression of BPAG1-n is not restricted to the developing sensory neurons; it is also detected in motoneurons. The importance of BPAG1 to maintain the integrity of the nervous system has been elucidated by knockout studies of the BPAG1 gene in transgenic mice (Guo et al., 1995). A recent study indicated that the COOH-terminal tail domain of BPAG1 colocalized with NF-L/NF-H heteropolymeric filaments in transiently transfected cells and communoprecipitated with overexpressed NF-H proteins (Yang et al., 1996). Furthermore, full-length BPAG1-n protein coagulated with some of the filaments formed by the transfected NF-L and NF-H and the endogenous stress fibers in transfected cells. These studies led to the hypothesis that BPAG1-n is a cytoskeletal cross-linking protein, connecting NFs to microfilaments, and its functional perturbation causes NF disorganization that ultimately leads to neuronal degeneration (Brown et al., 1995a; Yang et al., 1996). However, the distribution pattern of BPAG1-n is much more restricted in the nervous system as compared with the NFTPs. This difference led to the question of whether BPAG1-n might interact with other neuronal IFs (nIFs). Therefore, we investigated the interaction of this protein with all of the nIFs. Surprisingly, we observed that the tail domain of BPAG1 associated with a-interneurin and peripherin filaments, but not with filaments formed by full-length NFTPs.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid Construction
cDNAs of mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal domain (mBPAG-C1) were synthesized by RT-PCR based on the original partial mouse BPAG1 sequence (Amagai et al., 1990). In brief, 1 pg of poly(A) RNA from mouse brain (Clontech) was primed with random hexamers and reverse-transcribed with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Perkin Elmer). Three pairs of primers were used to amplify three consecutive overlapping fragments of the mBPAG-C1 cDNA. The first pair of primers included sense primer 5'-AAAACTTAGTAAAGGGCTTTCAAGC-3' and antisense primer 5'-TTTAAAGGTCTGTAATCCTGTAGTCG-3'; the second pair of primers included sense primer 5'-AAGCTTTGACACCACCCATAGATA-3' and antisense primer 5'-AAAGTACGATCCAGTCTTGTAGTG-3'; and the third pair of primers included sense primer 5'-CAGGAAAGGCCCCCTCATACTCAACT-3' and antisense primer 5'-TCTGGACGAGTCTAACCAGGCCTC-3'. The last antisense primer covers the termination codon of BPAG1. The three amplified fragments were subcloned into the pGEM-T vectors (Promega) and sequenced to confirm their identities. Unique restriction enzyme recognition sites, HindIII and Stul, at the overlapping regions were used to reconstruct the mBPAG-C1 cDNA. To generate pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C1, the recovered mBPAG-C1 cDNA was ligated in frame to the 3' end of the FLAG epitope tag sequence in a pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) derived expression vector. The original FLAG epitope tag-containing vector was a generous gift from Dr. Howard Worman (Columbia University, New York). To generate pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C2, pTOPO-mBPAG-C2 was first made by cloning the PCR fragment of pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C1 with sense primer 5'-GCCCTGGTATCAAGGCGAGG-3' and antisense primer 5'-TCTGGACGAGTCTAACCAGGCCTC-3' into the pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The 2.3-kb EcoRI-EcoRV fragment of pTOPO-mBPAG-C2 was then ligated to the 5.4-kb fragment of EcoRI/EcoRV-digested pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C1 to make pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C2. All of the NFTPs, a-interneurin, and peripherin expression constructs were cloned in the expression vector pRSV1 and were described previously (Chin and Liem, 1989, 1990; Chio et al., 1991; Ching and Liem, 1993, 1998; Ho et al., 1995; Sun et al., 1997). To facilitate the coexpression of NF-L and NF-H in transiently transfected cells, a double expression construct, pCI-NFL/NFH, was created. pCI-NFL was then generated by cloning the blunt-ended 2.0-kb HindIII fragment from pRSV1-NFL into the Smal site of pCI vector (Promega).
the 3.4-kb EcoRI fragment from pGBT-NFH into the EcoRI site of pCI vector (Promega). Afterwards, the 4.7-kb BamHI-BglII fragment of pCI-NFH, which contained the expression cassette of NHF, was isolated and ligated to the BamHI digested pCI-NFL to yield pCI-NFLNFH.

Two-hybrid and three-hybrid constructs were made with plasmids pGBT9, pGAD424, pAS2-1, and pACT2 (Clontech). pGBT-mBPG-C1 and pAS2-mBPG-C1 were constructed by cloning the 3.0-kb EcoRV fragment of pcDNA-FLAG-mBPG-C1 into the SmaI site of pGBT9 and pAS2, respectively. pGAD-mBPG-C2 was engineered by removing the 2.2-kb BglII-BamHI fragment from pGAD-mBPG-C1. All of the full-length and truncated NTFP two-hybrid constructs in pGAD424 have been described previously (Leung and Liem, 1996). To engineer pGAD-α-internexin, the NcoI (blunted)-BamHI fragment comprising the full-length α-internexin cDNA was isolated from pET-α-internexin and ligated into the BamHI-digested pGAD424. pGAD-Peri35-474 was cloned by inserting the 1.8-kb BamHI-SmaI fragment of pRSvi-perM1M2 (Ho et al., 1995) into the SmaI-BamHI digested pGAD424 and pGBT9 (Leung and Liem, 1996), respectively. To generate pACT-NFL24-542, pACT-NFM, and pACT-NFH, the HindIII fragments, containing the hybrids GAL4 activation domain (GAD)-fusion cDNA of pGAD-NFL24-542, pGAD-NFM, and pGAD-NFH, were cloned into the HindIII-digested pACT2 vector, respectively. To construct three-hybrid vector pGAD-NFL1-415/NFH1-415, pGAD-NFL1-415 was digested with SpH1; and the 2.5-kb fragment containing the GAD-NFL1-415 expression cassette was then subcloned into the SpH1 partially digested pGAD-NFHI-415. Similar strategies were applied to create the other three-hybrid constructs, pGAD-NFL1-415/NFH1-415, pGAD-NFM1-421/NFM1-421, and pGAD-NFL1-415/NFM1-421.

Prokaryotic expression constructs were made with plasmids, pET3a, pET3b, pET16b, and pET21d (Novagen). To create pET-mBPG-C2, the 2.4-kb PCR fragment of pcDNA-FLAG-mBPG-C2 with sense primer 5′-CCGCACTGACAACTACAGGACGACG-3′ and antisense primer 5′-CTGGGAAAGATTTAGGAGG-3′ was digested with BamHI and NcoI before being ligated to the BamHI-NcoI digested pET-21d vector. To generate pET-NFL, NFL cDNA in pGEM4 vector (Chin et al., 1989) was digested with SmaI and the purified insert was ligated to BamHI linkers before cloning into the BamHI site of pET-3b. Similarly, full-length NF-M cDNA (Napolitano et al., 1987) was digested with EcoRI; the insert was purified, blunt-ended, and ligated to BamHI linkers. The BamHI-linkered NF-M cDNA was then cloned into the BamHI digested pET3a to make pET-NFM. For pET-NFH, the first ATPG of NF-H cDNA was first mutated to an NdeI site. The NdeI-BamHI NF-H fragment was then purified and cloned into NdeI-BamHI digested pET3a. To engineer pET-peripherin, the 1.8-kb BamHI-SmaI fragment of pRSvi-perM1M2 (Ho et al., 1995) was ligated to 8-mer BamHI-BglII linkers. The BamHI-linkered NF-M cDNA was then cloned into the BamHI site of pET16b vector.

**Transient Transfections, Indirect Immunofluorescence Microscopy, and Confocal Microscopy**

The SW13.cl2.21vm− cell line was grown at 37°C and 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Ham’s F12 medium (Life Technologies, Inc.) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. Transient transfection experiments were done by the calcium phosphate precipitation method as described previously (Sun et al., 1997). 48 h after transfection, cover slips with adherent cells were fixed in cold methanol at −20°C, washed several times with PBS, and incubated with primary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h. The primary antibody–treated cells were then washed with PBS and incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies for 30 min. Subsequently, the cover slips were washed with PBS and mounted onto slides with Aquamount (Lerner Laboratories) for immunofluorescent microscopy. Confocal microscopy was performed with an LSM 410 laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss), equipped with a krypton-argon laser, and attached to a Zeiss Axiovert 100 TV inverted fluorescence microscope. Usually, 20 optical sections were taken at 0.5-μm intervals. Images of 512 × 512 pixels were obtained, processed using Adobe Photoshop 3.0.

**Yeast Transformation and β-Galactosidase Assays**

Yeast strains SFY526 (MATα, ura3-2, his3-200, ade2-101, lys2-801, trpl-901, leu2-3, 112, can1, gal4-542, gal80-538, URA3::GAL1-lacZ) and HF7c (MATα, ura3-52, his3-200, ade2-101, lys2-801, trpl-901, leu2-3, 112, gal4-542, gal80-538, LYS2::GAL1-HIS3, URA3::GAL1-17-mers)::CYC1-lacZ) were grown and manipulated according to the MATCHMAKER two-hybrid system protocol (Clontech). In brief, the two-hybrid analysis was performed by cotransforming vectors in pairwise combinations into the SFY526 by the lithium acetate method, and the transformants were selected on minus Trp-Leu synthetic dropout plates for 4 d at 30°C. Filter lift assays were performed for the qualitative measurement of β-galactosidase activity as described (Leung and Liem, 1996). To carry out three-hybrid assays, two GAD-fusion vectors and one GAL4 DNA-binding domain (GDB)-fusion vector were transformed into yeast strain HF7c. The amount of GAD-fusion vectors used in each triple transformation was 20 times more than the normal amount used for double transformations. The triple transformants were selected on minus Trp-Leu-His synthetic dropout plates with 20 mM 3-amino triazole for 7 d at 30°C. Liquid culture β-galactosidase activities were measured with the substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (CPRG) were used for quantitative measurement of interactions and performed according to the MATCHMAKER Library Protocol PT1020-1 (Clontech). Yeast transformants were grown to mid-log phase and permeabilized by two freeze–thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen. Yeast extracts were then incubated with 2.23 mM CPRG solution. After the reaction mixtures started to change color, 3.0 mM ZnCl2 was added to stop the reaction and OD578 was measured for calculation of β-galactosidase activity. A β-galactosidase unit is defined as 103 OD578/min of reaction for 1 ml of culture at 1 ODunit.

**Protein Purification and Overlay Binding Assays**

His-tagged FLAG-mBPG-C2 and peripherin were solubilized in 8 M urea and purified on Ni2+ columns according to the pET system manual (Novagen). Bacterially expressed NFPs were solubilized in 8 M urea and purified by hydroxylapatite chromatography as previously described (Liem and Hutchison, 1982). Purified FLAG-mBPG-C2-His was dialyzed overnight in PBS before use. To perform the protein overlay assays, equal amounts (20 pmol) of purified IF proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and electrototransferred onto a nylon membrane (Millipore). The membrane was blocked overnight with 5% BSA in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20, and incubated with 50 μg of purified FLAG-mBPG-C2-His in 5 ml PBS/Tween 20 (0.05%) for 1 h. To visualize the bound FLAG-mBPG-C2-His, the membrane was washed extensively with PBS/Tween 20 (0.05%), treated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, and processed for chemiluminescence (ECL) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Amersham). To perform the slot-blot overlay assays, equal amounts of IF proteins (15 pmol) solubilized in 8 M urea were polymerized in vitro by overnight dialysis against PBS (pH 6.8). The polymerized IF proteins were then blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane in a slot-blot apparatus (Schleicher & Schuell). After blocking by 5% BSA, the blot was incubated with FLAG-mBPG-C2-His. After extensive washing, the bound FLAG-mBPG-C2-His was detected by blotting with anti-FLAG mAb as described above. The relative amounts of bound FLAG-mBPG-C2-His were determined by scanning the autoradiograph and quantifying using the NIH Image 1.61 program.

**Mouse Strains, Immunohistochemistry, and Western Blot Analysis**

Heterozygote breeders of dystonia mouse strain B6CFe/a/a-dt− were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and homozygote offspring were identified phenotypically. Standard procedures for preparing samples for cyrosectioning and immunostaining were performed. Spinal cords with attached DRG were dissected from perfused mice, postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 4 h, and incubated in 20% sucrose solution overnight. 15-μm sections were cut and placed on gelatin-coated slides. The sections were then fixed with −20°C methanol, blocked with 5% normal goat serum, and incubated with mouse monoclonal antiperipherin antibody. Diaminobenzidine/peroxidase immunostaining with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody was then carried out. The tissues were fixed onto a Slide-A-Lyzer, and permeabilized using the Slide-A-Lyzer protocol (Amersham). To perform the slot-blot overlay assays, equal amounts of IF proteins (15 pmol) solubilized in 8 M urea were polymerized in vitro by overnight dialysis against PBS (pH 6.8). The polymerized IF proteins were then blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane in a slot-blot apparatus (Schleicher & Schuell). After blocking by 5% BSA, the blot was incubated with FLAG-mBPG-C2-His. After extensive washing, the bound FLAG-mBPG-C2-His was detected by blotting with anti-FLAG mAb as described above. The relative amounts of bound FLAG-mBPG-C2-His were determined by scanning the autoradiograph and quantifying using the NIH Image 1.61 program.
Antibodies
The following primary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence staining, immunohistochemistry, and Western blots: mouse anti-FLAG M2 mAb (IBI-Kodak); rabbit polyclonal anti-α-internexin AbNcoA antibody and mouse anti-α-internexin mAb135 mAb (Kaplan et al., 1990); rabbit polyclonal antiperipherin Ab6264 antibody (Aletta et al., 1988) and mouse antiperipherin mAb (Chemicon); rabbit polyclonal antivimentin antibody (a gift from Dr. Eugenia Wang, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Montreal, Canada); mouse anti-NFL NR4 mAb (Sigma); and rabbit polyclonal antibodies against NF-L (Kaplan et al., 1991).

Results
Isolation of a cDNA Clone Encoding the Mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal Domain
To study the interactions of BPAG1 with nIFs, we isolated three consecutive overlapping pieces of the BPAG1 cDNA by RT-PCR on mouse brain mRNA. The three amplified DNA fragments were ligated together to yield a ~3.0-kb partial mouse BPAG1 cDNA. Sequencing of this cDNA showed that it encoded 988 amino acids, including the entire tail domain (768 amino acids) and the COOH terminus of the rod domain (220 amino acids). Because the complete mouse BPAG1 cDNA sequence had not been fully characterized (Amagai et al., 1990), the nomenclature of the mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal proteins was designated according to the sequence of the human BPAG1 epidermal isoform (Fig. 1). We compared our sequence with several EST clones using BLAST. The sequences of a series of I.M.A.G.E. clones (clones 1262090, 931676, 1227492, 1242939, 975299, 317229, and 975617) are identical to the sequence of mBPAG-C2 and cover 75% of the sequence. Furthermore, the mBPAG-C1 sequence is identical to the deduced protein sequence of BPM1, a partial mouse BPAG1 cDNA (accession number 321215; Amagai et al., 1990). The accession number for the mouse BPAG-C1 sequence is AF115383.

As deduced from the respective cDNA sequences, the amino acid composition of the mouse BPAG1 tail domain is ~80% identical to that of the human BPAG1 tail domain. Similar to the human orthologue, the tail domain of mouse BPAG1 contains two homologous subdomains, B and C.

Mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal Protein Associates with α-Internexin and Peripherin, but Not with NFTPs in the Yeast Two-Hybrid System
A recent study on the interactions of desmoplakin with cytokeratins indicated that the yeast two-hybrid system is a useful tool to characterize associations between plakins/cytolinkers and IF proteins (Meng et al., 1997). Therefore, we initially studied the associations of mBPAG-C1 with nIF proteins by a similar approach. mBPAG-C1 was fused with the GBD in vector pGBT9 (Fig. 1), and was tested in pairwise combinations with various GAD-fused nIF proteins that were expressed by the pGAD424 vector. Interactions between mBPAG-C1 and nIF proteins were determined by qualitative β-galactosidase filter lift assays of the yeast cotransformants. Surprisingly, mBPAG-C1 interacted with α-internexin and peripherin, but not with vimentin, NF-L, NF-M, or NF-H in the two-hybrid studies (Table I). To determine whether the last 220 amino acids of the mouse BPAG1 rod domain in mBPAG-C1 interact nonspecifically with the IF proteins in the two-hybrid system, we also prepared GBD-mBPAG-r220 and tested for its binding with each of the GAD-nIFs. No interactions between GBD-mBPAG-r220 and any one of the GAD-nIFs were observed (Table I). Because the expression levels of fusion proteins might play a role in detecting weak interactions, we also cloned cDNAs of mBPAG-C1 and each of the NFTPs into higher expression two-hybrid shuttle vectors, pAS2-1 and pACT2, and reexamined their interactions. However, we were still not able to detect any interactions between mBPAG-C1 and any of the NFTPs (Table I).
Mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal Proteins Colocalize with α-internexin and Peripherin Filaments, but Not with NFTP Filaments in Transiently Transfected Cells

The results of the two-hybrid experiments prompted us to repeat some of the transient transfection assays performed by Yang et al. (1996) on mBPAG-C1 and NFTPs. In addition, we wanted to confirm the interactions of mBPAG-C1 with α-internexin and peripherin. To facilitate the detection of mBPAG-C1 in the transient transfection assays, the NH₂ terminus of mBPAG-C1 was fused to a FLAG epitope tag, which also provided the translational start codon (Fig. 1). All transfections were performed in a human adrenal carcinoma cell line, SW13.cl.2Vim, because it did not contain any cytoplasmic IFs that might interact with mBPAG-C1. Cotransfections of mBPAG-C1 with peripherin showed colocalization of mBPAG-C1 with peripherin filament networks in transiently transfected cells (Fig. 2, A and B). In contrast, mBPAG-C1 appeared to disrupt the normal filament formation of α-internexin. α-Internexin has been shown previously to self-assemble into normal filamentous networks in transiently transfected SW13.cl.2Vim cells (Fig. 2 F; Ching and Liem, 1993). In the presence of mBPAG-C1, the filaments formed by α-internexin became bundled and these bundles were decorated with mBPAG-C1 (Fig. 2, D and E). In addition, the punctate background staining patterns observed with both antibodies suggest that interaction with mBPAG-C1 prevented some α-internexin molecules from self-assembly into filaments. Although mBPAG-C1 did not colocalize with vimentin filaments in transfected cells, it seemed to have some influence on the morphology of the assembled vimentin filament network (Fig. 2, G–I). Since none of the NFTP subunits can self-assemble into filamentous networks (Ching and Liem, 1993; Lee et al., 1993), transient transfections of mBPAG-C1 with NF-L and NF-M or with NF-L and NF-H were performed in order to detect potential interactions between BPAG1-n and polymerized NFs. In contrast to a previous report (Yang et al., 1996), we did not observe any colocalization between mBPAG-C1 and the filament networks formed by NF-L and NF-M or by NF-L and NF-H. Instead, the immunostaining pattern of mBPAG-C1 was diffuse in the presence of these filament networks in the transfected SW13.cl.2Vim cells (Fig. 3). To verify that these patterns were indeed distinct, we performed confocal microscopy on the cells cotransfected with mBPAG-C1, NF-L, and NF-H. As shown in Fig. 3, C and D, the filamentous staining pattern observed for NF-L/NF-H filaments did not colocalize with the diffuse mBPAG-C1 staining pattern.

The discrepancy between our results and Yang et al. (1996) could be due to the presence of the partial rod domain in our mBPAG-C1 protein. To investigate this possibility, transient transfections with pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C2 construct were carried out. mBPAG-C2 contains only the mouse BPAG1 tail domain (Fig. 1) and is therefore the mouse equivalent of the human BPAG1 tail domain used by Yang et al. (1996). As shown in Fig. 4 by confocal microscopy, mBPAG-C2 also colocalized with the peripherin filament network, but not with the NF-L/NF-H filament network. Moreover, mBPAG-C2 disrupted the α-internexin filament network and showed no correlation with the NF-L/NF-M filament network (data not shown). Because identical results were obtained by using either mBPAG-C1 or mBPAG-C2, we concluded that the presence of the partial rod domain in mBPAG-C1 did not

Table I. Two-Hybrid Analysis of the Interactions between GBD-mBPAG1 Proteins and NIF Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mBPAG-C1</th>
<th>mBPAG-r220</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-L</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-M</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-H</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Internexin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripherin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SFX526 cells cotransformed with pGHT-mBPAG-C1 or pGHT-mBPAG-r220 and various pGAD-nIFs were selected in minus Trp-Leu media. The plus and minus signs represent the results of the β-galactosidase filter lift assays on cotransformants. The NF-L and peripherin constructs code for amino acids 24–542 of NF-L and amino acids 35–474 of peripherin, respectively. In the case of NFTP studies, identical results were obtained from GAD-fused NFTPs expressed by vector pACT2 and GBD-fused mBPAG-C1 expressed by vector pAS2-1.
Mouse BPAG-C2 Interacts with Peripherin, but Not with NFTPs in Overlay Binding Assays

To confirm the specificity of the interaction between mBPAG-C2 and peripherin, we also used in vitro overlay binding assays. Peripherin was chosen, instead of α-inter-nexin, because its in vivo expression pattern resembles that of BPAG1-n; therefore, their interaction is of more physiological significance (Parysek and Goldman, 1988; Troy et al., 1990a; Dowling et al., 1997). Recent studies on the interactions of plectin and desmoplakin with IF proteins demonstrated that the association between these proteins can be monitored by overlay binding assays (Nikolic et al., 1996; Meng et al., 1997). Hence, we examined the interactions between mBPAG-C2 and IF proteins by similar strategies. Bacterially expressed IF proteins and mBPAG-C2 were successfully purified by column chromatography (Fig. 5 A). Purified IF proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nylon membrane. After the removal of SDS by washing in PBS (0.05% Tween 20 and 5% BSA), the membrane was incubated with mBPAG-C2 protein. The bound mBPAG-C2 was detected by an anti-FLAG mAb. As illustrated in Fig. 5 B, mBPAG-C2 associated with peripherin protein, but not with the NFTPs.

Since it is possible that the interaction of mBPAG-C2 and NFs occurs only with polymerized IFs, we reassembled peripherin, as well as combinations of NF-L/NF-M and NF-L/NF-H, in vitro by dialysis against PBS. The reassembled filaments were then examined for possible interactions with mBPAG-C2 using a slot-blot overlay assay. The relative amounts of mBPAG-C2 bound to the various polymerized IFs were measured by densitometric analysis. As shown in Fig. 5 C, the BPAG1 tail domain interacted with polymerized peripherin, but not with polymerized NF-L/NF-M or NF-L/NF-H.

Mouse BPAG-C1 Interacts with Tailless NFTPs in the Two-Hybrid Assays

Since we have shown that NF-H and NF-M prefer to form heterodimers with NF-L (Leung and Liem, 1996), we performed three-hybrid studies to examine whether mBPAG-C1 could associate with heterodimers of NF-L/NF-M (L/M) or NF-L/NF-H (L/H). We took advantage of the yeast strain HF7c, which contained a HIS3 reporter gene, as well as a weak lacZ reporter gene. We reasoned that if mBPAG-C1 could interact with heterodimers of L/M or L/H, triple transformants of pGBT-mBPAG-C1/pGAD-NFL/pGAD-NFM or pGBT-mBPAG-C1/pGAD-NFL/pGAD-NFH in HF7c would grow on minus histidine-leucine-tryptophan synthetic dropout plates. In addition, the selective pressure of histidine-free medium would keep all three plasmids in the same cells, provided that the expressed proteins interacted together as a unit. Under these conditions, there were still no interactions between mBPAG-C1 and NF-L/NF-M or NF-L/NF-H.
were also detected in cotransformants of pGBT-mBPAG-C1 with any one of the tailless GAD-NFTP fusion vectors, indicating weak interactions between the tailless NFTPs and mBPAG-C1 (Table II). To evaluate whether mBPAG-C1 really preferred to bind to the heterodimer of tailless NF-L and tailless NF-H, we constructed pGAD424-based three-hybrid vectors that contained two expression cassettes. By these means, two GAD fusion proteins could be expressed in the same transformant with single selection. Cotransformants of the three-hybrid vectors and pGBT-mBPAG-C1 would express the three fusion proteins simultaneously. Quantitative measurements of β-galactosidase activities were used to determine the relative strength of each interaction. The yeast transformants with pGBT-mBPAG-C1/pGAD-LΔ/pGAD-HΔ consistently displayed a high β-galactosidase activity, further confirming that mBPAG-C1 interact strongly with the LΔ/HΔ heterodimer (Fig. 6).
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Table II. Two-Hybrid Interactions of mBPAG-C1 and NFTPs in the Yeast Strain HF7c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GBD-mBPAG-C1</th>
<th>GAD-</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>L + M</th>
<th>L + H</th>
<th>LΔ</th>
<th>MΔ</th>
<th>HΔ</th>
<th>LΔ + MΔ</th>
<th>LΔ + HΔ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIS+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SC + FC</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LacZ+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HF7c cells cotransformed with pGBT-mBPAG-C1 and GAD-fused NFTP forms were selected in minus Trp-Leu-His media for 7 d. SC and FC correspond to the appearance of slow growing and fast growing colonies, respectively; minus sign, no colonies. β-Galactosidase filter lift assays were also performed for the growing colonies; plus and minus signs represent the results of the filter assay; ND, not determined. L, M, H, LΔ, MΔ, and HΔ refer to NFL1-415, NFM1-421, and NFH1-415, respectively. GAD-NFL24-542, GAD-NFM, and GAD-NFH were expressed from the higher expression vector pACT2, whereas GAD-fused tailless NFTPs were expressed from pGAD424 vector.

Mouse BPAG-C1 Associates with Nonfilamentous Structures Formed by Tailless NFTPs in Transiently Transfected Cells

To determine if the tail domains of NFTPs also interfered with the association of mBPAG-C1 with filaments formed by NF-L/NF-M or NF-L/NF-H in the transient transfection assays, we performed cotransfections of mBPAG-C1 with various combinations of tailless and full-length NFTPs. As described previously, normal filament networks are observed from coassembly of tailless NF-L and wild-type NF-M or coassembly of wild-type NF-L and tailless NF-M in transiently transfected SW13.cl.2Vim− cells (Ching and Liem, 1993). However, mBPAG-C1 did not associate with any of the filamentous networks formed from these coas-
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Figure 7. Interactions of mBPAG-C1 with aggregates of NF-L/NF-M proteins. SW13.cl.2Vim- cells from transient transfections of pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C1 with (A and B) pRSVi-NFL1-415 and pRSVi-NFM; (C and D) pRSVi-NFL and pRSVi-NFM1-421; (E and F) pRSVi-NFL1-415 and pRSVi-NFM1-421 were double-labeled with mouse anti-FLAG M2 mAb (A, C, and E) and rabbit polyclonal antibody against NF-L (B, D, and F). A normal filament network could be formed by wild-type NF-L and tailless NF-M or by tailless NF-L and wild-type NF-M, but mBPAG-C1 did not colocalize with these filament networks. mBPAG-C1 only associated with the aggregates formed by tailless NF-L and tailless NF-M. Bar, 10 μm.

Figure 8. Interactions of mBPAG-C1 with aggregates of tailless NF-L/NF-H proteins. SW13.cl.2Vim- cells from transient triple-transfections of pcDNA-FLAG-mBPAG-C1 with (A and B) pRSVi-NFL1-415 and pRSVi-NFH; (C and D) pRSVi-NFL and pRSVi-NFH1-415; (E and F) pRSVi-NFL1-415 and pRSVi-NFH1-415 were double-labeled with mouse anti-FLAG M2 mAb (A, C, and E) and rabbit polyclonal antibody against NF-L (B, D, and F). mBPAG-C1 only associated with the aggregates formed by tailless NF-L and tailless NF-H. Bar, 10 μm.

Pathological Changes of Peripherin in dt Mice

Since the COOH-terminal domain of mouse BPAG1 is able to associate with peripherin and the two proteins share a similar in vivo expression pattern, we wanted to determine whether there was any peripherin involvement in the development of dt pathology. We first looked at the peripherin immunostaining in the DRG of dt mice and their normal littermates. As expected, peripherin was present in the axonal swellings of dt mice (Fig. 9). We then compared the amounts of various nIF proteins, actin, and tubulin in nervous tissues of 3-wk-old homozygous dt mice and their normal littermates. Although the amounts of NF-L, α-internexin, actin, and tubulin in nervous tissues of 3-wk-old homozygous dt mice and their normal littermates, peripherin was generally downregulated in the dt mice (Fig. 10). The other two NFTPs, NF-M and NF-H, were present in comparable amounts between the homozygous dt mice and their normal littermates (data not shown). It should also be noted that the sciatic nerves of dt mice were smaller in diameter and yielded less protein than their normal littermates. These changes in the sciatic nerves are probably the result of the neuronal degeneration observed in dt mice.
Discussion

Interactions of BPAG1 COOH-terminal with the NFTPs: Interference by the NFTP Tail Domains

The biochemical and cellular mechanisms underlying the neurological degeneration of dt mice have received a lot of attention recently in the IF field (Bousquet and Coullombe, 1996; Fuchs and Cleveland, 1998; Houseweart and Cleveland, 1998). The presence of an IF-binding domain in BPAG1 (dystonin), the mutated gene product, and the abnormal accumulations of NFs in the degenerating sensory neurons of the dt mice resulted in the hypothesis that BPAG1-n is an important organizing element of NFs in sensory neurons (Brown et al., 1995a; Yang et al., 1996). Our studies have demonstrated that the IF-binding tail domain of mouse BPAG1 does not interact with full-length NFTPs. This finding poses a disparity with a recent study which showed that the tail domain of human BPAG1-n associated with the heteropolymeric filaments formed by murine NF-L and NF-H in transiently transfected SW13.cl.2Vim2 cells (Yang et al., 1996). We did not observe any colocalization of mouse BPAG1 COOH-terminal proteins with the filaments formed by rat NF-L and NF-H or by NF-L and NF-M. It should be noted that a similar FLAG-tag was used for the detection of the BPAG1 proteins in both studies. The three-hybrid assays and cotransfection experiments in our studies indicated that mBPAG-C1 interacted strongly with tailless NF-L and tailless NF-H, whereas the presence of the tail domains of any of the NFTPs appeared to prevent the assembled filaments from interacting with mBPAG-C1 (Table III). Yang et al. (1996) also showed that mouse NF-H protein overexpressed in COS cells was coimmunoprecipitated with the tail domain of human BPAG1-n, suggesting a direct interaction between NF-H protein and BPAG1-n. However, in our two-hybrid studies and overlay analysis, no interactions were detected between the BPAG1 COOH-terminal proteins and full-length NF-H. This discrepancy could be due to the presence of other IF proteins in the COS cells, which might serve as mediators between BPAG1 and NF-H. To circumvent this possibility, we repeated the immunoprecipitation experiments by using the SW13.cl.2Vim2 cell line that is devoid of cytoplasmic IFs, but were not able to observe the coimmunoprecipitation of

Figure 9. Peripherin can be detected in the axonal swellings of dt mice. Cryosections of the DRG from (A) dt mouse and (B) normal littermate were immunostained with peripherin mAbs. Arrows point to the peripherin staining in axonal swellings, whereas arrowheads point to peripherin staining in normal looking axons. Bar, 10 μm.

Figure 10. Peripherin is downregulated in nervous tissues from dt mice. Western blots of nervous tissues from dt mice (DT) and normal littermates (WT) were immunostained with various antibodies as indicated. Loadings of same amounts of total protein in each set of tissue samples from dt mice and normal littersmates were confirmed by Ponceau red staining (data not shown). In the case of peripherin and NF-L immunostainings, the blots were first probed with antiperipherin antibody, and then were stripped and reprobed with anti-NF-L antibody. The amounts of the protein samples on the blots immunostained with anti-α-internexin antibody were the same as those used for the NF-L and peripherin immunostainings, while one-half and one-third of the amounts were used for the blots immunostained with antiaxin and antitubulin antibodies, respectively. 43–58% reduction of peripherin was detected in various nervous tissues of the dt mice as determined by densitometric analysis.
mBPAG-C1 with NF-H, either in the presence or absence of NF-L. We are at a loss to explain the discrepancies of our experiments with those described by Yang et al. (1996). The only difference between our experiments is the source of BPAG1. Our COOH-terminal domain constructs were cloned from mouse brain mRNA, whereas the constructs made by Yang et al. (1996) were obtained from a human cDNA library. However, BPAG1 is highly conserved between human and mouse; the primary sequence is 80% identical.

**Interactions of BPAG1 with Peripherin: Relationship to the Pathogenesis of the dt Mouse**

Although we were unable to show interactions between BPAG1 COOH-terminal proteins and the full-length NFTPs, we clearly demonstrated that the COOH-terminal domain of BPAG1-n can associate with the other two NFTPs, peripherin and α-internexin, in the yeast two-hybrid and cotransfection experiments (Tables I and III). The interaction of BPAG1 with peripherin is of particular interest, because the two proteins exhibit similar expression patterns in the mouse PNS (Parysek et al., 1988; Troy et al., 1990a; Bernier et al., 1995; Dowling et al., 1997). We confirmed these interactions by overlay binding assays. We tested interactions of the mouse BPAG1 tail domain with NFTPs and peripherin that had been separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nylon membrane, as well as with repolymerized filaments that had been immobilized on a nitrocellulose membrane. In both cases, specific interactions were observed only between peripherin and BPAG1. The strong and specific interactions between peripherin and BPAG1 in the two-hybrid and overlay binding assays, the colocalization of BPAG1 COOH-terminal proteins with peripherin filaments in transient transfection studies, and the similarity in their in vivo expression patterns strongly suggest that peripherin is an important interaction partner of BPAG1-n. We also performed preliminary studies on the pathological changes associated with peripherin in dt mice. Axonal swellings of sensory neurons in the DRG are the most significant histopathological hallmarks of dt mice (Duchen et al., 1963; Duchen and Strich, 1964; Sotelo and Guenet, 1988; al-Ali and al-Zuhair, 1989; Guo et al., 1995). Immunostaining with antiperipherin antibody in the DRG revealed its presence in these axonal swellings (Fig. 9), although not all swellings were immunoreactive to the peripherin antibodies (data not shown). At present, it is not clear whether peripherin is absent in some of these swellings or just too scarce to be detected immunohistochemically. We also observed a general downregulation of peripherin in dt mice. The reduction in the peripherin levels is not restricted to the PNS. It is also detected in the CNS (Fig. 10), although no overt structural abnormalities have been observed in the CNS (Duchen et al., 1963). Presumably, peripherin is important in maintaining the integrity of neurons, therefore its disorganization and downregulation would ultimately cause neuronal degeneration that may account for the pathology of dt mice.

**Interactions of BPAG1 with NFs: Potential Role in the dt Pathogenesis**

We have demonstrated in the two-hybrid assays and overlay studies that the COOH-terminal domain of BPAG1 does not interact with wild-type NFTPs. Furthermore, mBPAG-C1 and mBPAG-C2 do not colocalize with the filaments formed by transfected NF-L/NF-M or NF-L/NF-H. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that full-length BPAG1-n can associate with native NFs in axons under normal physiological conditions. Although the tail domains of NFTPs appeared to prevent the proteins from binding to mBPAG-C1 in vitro, it is possible that posttranslational modifications of NFTPs and the presence of other linker proteins may expose the BPAG1-binding domain of NFTPs on the surface of NFs in axons, thereby allowing interactions between BPAG1-n and NFTPs. Furthermore, peripherin has been shown to copolymerize with NFTPs (Parysek et al., 1991) and therefore interactions between BPAG1-n with NFTPs could occur indirectly through peripherin.

During the initial phase of dt pathogenesis, most of the motoneurons in the BPAG1-deficient mouse look normal, indicating that BPAG1 is not necessary for the initial organization of NFs in motoneurons (Duchen and Strich, 1964; Janota, 1972; Duchen, 1976; al-Ali and al-Zuhair, 1989). To study the role of axonal NFs on the pathogenesis of dt axonopathy, Eyet et al. (1998) produced homozygous dt mice carrying a NFH-lacZ transgene. Because of the impairment in the axonal transport of NFs, these transgenic mice contain sensory neurons with axons devoid of NFs. Neuronal degeneration is still observed in these mice even though very few, if any, axonal swellings (devoid of NFs) are present, suggesting that abnormal accumulations of NFs in axonal swellings are not the only factor in dt pathogenesis. Nevertheless, the double transgenic mice displayed a longer life span, indicating that axonal swellings with neurofilamentous accumulations play a secondary role in promoting the neuronal degeneration in dt mice. However, it is not yet known whether the abnormal accumulations of NFs in the axons are directly caused by the absence of BPAG1-n, disorganization and downregulation of peripherin, or by the impairment of axonal transport.

**The Functions of BPAG1-n and Peripherin: A Lesson from Plectin**

Why would peripherin need to be “organized” by BPAG1-n? What causes the downregulation of peripherin in dt mice? Why does the dt pathology occur late in develop-
ment, even though peripherin and BPAG1-n are expressed early in differentiated neurons? These are some of the questions that remain unanswered. Before we are able to answer these questions, we must first understand the functions of BPAG1-n and peripherin. BPAG1-n is structurally very similar to plectin, although it has a shorter tail domain (Ruhrberg and Watt, 1997). Plectin is known for its ability to associate with many cytoplasmic structures, including IFs, actin filaments, microtubules, myosin filaments, and membrane proteins (Pytel and Wiche, 1980; Svitkina et al., 1996; Wiche, 1998). It is possible that BPAG1-n also interacts with some of these components in neurons. Therefore, perturbations of BPAG1-n may not only affect microfilaments and peripherin, but also other neuronal structures. Until we have identified all the interaction partners of BPAG1-n, it will be difficult to determine the precise cause of the neuronal degeneration in 

ptice. The function of peripherin is even less understood. Peripherin has been suggested to have a different function than NFTPs, since it is upregulated after nerve injury, while the NFTPs are downregulated (Troy et al., 1990b; Belecky-Adams et al., 1993). However, downregulation of peripherin by antisense oligonucleotides in cultured PC12 cells did not result in any observable effects on process outgrowth (Troy et al., 1992). Nevertheless, our present study shows that BPAG1-n is able to bind peripherin and that the association of BPAG1-n with peripherin appears to have physiological significance and may contribute to the development of 
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