LYVE-1, a New Homologue of the CD44 Glycoprotein, Is a Lymph-specific Receptor for Hyaluronan
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Abstract. The extracellular matrix glycosaminoglycan hyaluronan (HA) is an abundant component of skin and mesenchymal tissues where it facilitates cell migration during wound healing, inflammation, and embryonic morphogenesis. Both during normal tissue homeostasis and particularly after tissue injury, HA is mobilized from these sites through lymphatic vessels to the lymph nodes where it is degraded before entering the circulation for rapid uptake by the liver. Currently, however, the identities of HA binding molecules which control this pathway are unknown. Here we describe the first such molecule, LYVE-1, which we have identified as a major receptor for HA on the lymph vessel wall. The deduced amino acid sequence of LYVE-1 predicts a 322-residue type I integral membrane polypeptide 41% similar to the CD44 HA receptor with a 212-residue extracellular domain containing a single Link module the prototypic HA binding domain of the Link protein superfamily. Like CD44, the LYVE-1 molecule binds both soluble and immobilized HA. However, unlike CD44, the LYVE-1 molecule colocalizes with HA on the luminal face of the lymph vessel wall and is completely absent from blood vessels. Hence, LYVE-1 is the first lymph-specific HA receptor to be characterized and is a uniquely powerful marker for lymph vessels themselves.
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Hyaluronan (HA),¹ a linear polymer of (1-β-4) D-glucuronic acid (1-β-3) N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, is a large glycosaminoglycan found in the tissue matrix and body fluids of all vertebrates which plays a fundamental role in regulating cell migration and differentiation (26). This role is first apparent during development, when changes in the levels of matrix HA induce condensation of mesenchymal cells and lead to the onset of chondrogenesis and myogenesis (reviewed in 19). In later life, HA facilitates cell migration in processes such as wound healing and inflammation by forming a pericellular matrix surrounding fibroblasts and epithelial cells, reducing the level of intercellular adhesion (20–22). The critical importance of HA in all of these roles is underlined by the observation that deletion of HA synthases in knockout mice results in early death of the embryo (31).

The largest concentrations of HA are found within the skin and musculo-skeletal system which account for 50% of total body HA. Here the rate of HA turnover is rapid (t1/2 0.5 d) by comparison with other extracellular matrix components (26). Surprisingly, most degradation does not occur within the skin itself; rather HA is transported through the lymphatic system to distant lymph nodes where >90% of the glycosaminoglycan in afferent lymph is degraded or reenters the circulation to be rapidly endocytosed by liver endothelial HA receptors (11, 12). Hence, the lymphatic vessels are a major conduit for HA transport from tissues such as the skin and intestine, where flux measurements indicate they can remove as much as 10% of the total HA content within a 24-h period (36). This turnover can be further increased after either tissue injury or sepsis. Yet why HA should require transport through the lymphatics is not presently understood and little or nothing is known about the nature of any HA-binding molecules involved.
The majority of HA-binding proteins within the tissues belong to the Link protein superfamily. Members of this superfamily contain a conserved disulfide linked domain of ~100 amino acid residues termed the Link module, which binds the minimal recognition unit HA6−8 and whose three-dimensional structure resembles the sugar-binding domain of C-type lectins. Other superfamily members in addition to the Link protein (34) include the large matrix proteoglycans Aggrecan (9), Versican (61), and Brevican (58), the tumor necrosis factor–inducible protein TSG-6 (28), and a single cell surface HA receptor, the CD44 molecule (1, 40). CD44 is widely expressed on epithelial, mesenchymal, and lymphoid cells, where it is thought to constitute the major receptor for HA (reviewed in 29). Interactions between CD44 and HA are implicated in several diverse functions including the maintenance of tissue structure within the epithelia (57), the extravasation of lymphocytes through inflamed vascular endothelium (8, 33), and the hematogenous dissemination of tumor cells (46, 47). The only other HA receptors characterized to date are RHAMM (receptor for HA-mediated motility), a 58-kD intracellular protein expressed transiently on the surface of transformed lymphocytes (15, 53), the cation-dependent liver endothelial cell (LEC) receptors responsible for the clearance of HA from the serum (59, 60), and the nuclear protein cdc37 (14). To date, however, there is no specific evidence that either CD44, RHAMM, or the LEC HA receptors are involved in the sequestration or transport of HA by lymphatic vessels, or in its uptake or degradation within the lymph nodes. Indeed, deletion of the CD44 gene in knockout mice produced no significant abnormalities, and resulted in no significant disruption of lymphatic function (43). Hence the identities of HA receptors involved in lymphatic HA homeostasis are completely unknown and we understand little about the regulation of this important physiological process.

Here we present the first identification of an HA receptor that is almost exclusively expressed on lymph vessels and is absent from blood vessels. This novel receptor characterized to date are RHAMM (receptor for HA-mediated motility), a 58-kD intracellular protein expressed transiently on the surface of transformed lymphocytes (15, 53), the cation-dependent liver endothelial cell (LEC) receptors responsible for the clearance of HA from the serum (59, 60), and the nuclear protein cdc37 (14). To date, however, there is no specific evidence that either CD44, RHAMM, or the LEC HA receptors are involved in the sequestration or transport of HA by lymphatic vessels, or in its uptake or degradation within the lymph nodes. Indeed, deletion of the CD44 gene in knockout mice produced no significant abnormalities, and resulted in no significant disruption of lymphatic function (43). Hence the identities of HA receptors involved in lymphatic HA homeostasis are completely unknown and we understand little about the regulation of this important physiological process.

Northern Blot Hybridization

For Northern blot analysis, multiple tissue RNA blots (2 μg polyadenylated RNA per lane) were purchased from Clontech and hybridized (42°C, 50% formamide, 6 × SSC) to a full-length CD44 double-stranded DNA probe labeled with [32P]dCTP by random hexamer-priming (Rediprime DNA labeling system, Amersham International plc). Blots were washed at high stringency (0.1 × SSC, 60°C, 15 min) before exposure to Kodak X-OMat RP x-ray film.

RT-PCR

For the detection of LYVE-1 and CD44 mRNAs in different cell lines, total RNA was isolated by extraction with guanidinium thiocyanate/sodium acetate, pH 4.0, and ethanol precipitation followed by first-strand cDNA synthesis using reverse transcriptase, and PCR using appropriate LYVE-1 or CD44 primers. First-strand cDNA syntheses were carried out by oligo-dT priming in 50-μl reactions containing 5 μg total RNA, 0.5 μM dNTPs, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, and 2.5 μl AMV reverse transcriptase for 3 h at 42°C. Samples (1 μl) of the final products were then committed to 50-μl PCR reactions (94°C, 1 min; 55°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min; 40 cycles) containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 1 μM dNTPs, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, and the LYVE-1 primers LYVE-1F Hind/LYVE-1R Bam (see below), or the CD44 primers AMP1 (TCCCGATATGACA-CATATGCC) and AMP2 (CCCAAGATGATCGACACCTCTCTTG). The integrity of the cDNA and input RNA was confirmed by PCR with the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase primers G3PF (TGGTCGATTTGGGCGCTTG) and G3PR (CCAAATCTGTGTCTGATCAGAG), and G3PR (CCAAATCTGTGTCTGATCAGAG). Products were electrophoresed on 1.25% agarose gels, transferred to charged nylon membranes (Hybond N; Amersham International plc), and hybridized with 32P-end-labeled oligonucleotide probes to detect either LYVE-1 (CCCGATATGACA-CATATGCC) and AMP2 (CCCAAGATGATCGACACCTCTCTTG). The integrity of the cDNA and input RNA was confirmed by PCR with the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase primers G3PF (TGGTCGATTTGGGCGCTTG) and G3PR (CCAAATCTGTGTCTGATCAGAG). Blots were washed at 55°C in 6× SSC for 10 min before autoradiography.

Preparation of Full-Length LYVE-1 cDNA in pReCMV and Transient Transfection of COS 1 Cells

For cell surface expression of full-length LYVE-1 molecule, the entire LYVE-1 coding sequence together with 11 bp of 5′ untranslated region and 40 bp of 3′ untranslated region was reclippeded (94°C, 1 min; 55°C, 1 min; and 72°C, 1 min, 30 cycles) from the original pBluescript clone (see above) with the primers LYVE-1 F Hind (CCCGGATATGACACTGGATGGTAG-GACAGATGAGGCCAG) and LYVE-1 R Xba (GGCTCTAGACGCTCTACGTTGTCCTCCTC) using Pyrococcus furiosus (Pfu) DNA polymerase, and ligated into HindIII/XbaI cut pReCMV. The pReCMV construct was...
Expression of LYVE-1 as a Soluble IgFc Fusion Protein

A 684-bp LYVE-1 fragment encoding the predicted NH2-terminal leader and extracellular domain, truncating at Gly 232, was amplified (94°C 1 min; 5°C 5 min; and 72°C 10 min, 25 cycles) from the full-length LYVE-1 cDNA clone in pBluescript using Pfu polymerase and the primers LYVE-1F Hind (see above for sequence) and LYVE-1 R Bam (CAGGGATCCGCCAGCTTCATCTTCGGA). After digestion with HindIII and BamHI, the product was cloned into BamHI/Hind III cut IgFc vector to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence, fused at the COOH terminus with the 234-residue hinge, CH2, to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence, fused at the COOH terminus with the 234-residue hinge, CH2, and CH3 region of human IgG1 (1). The absence of polymerase-induced errors was confirmed by sequencing the resulting construct on both strands. For expression, the LYVE-1 cDNA was transiently transfected into SV-40-transformed African green monkey kidney cells (COS 1) using DEAE dextran. After 72 h, culture supernatants were collected, supplemented with 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and the fusion protein purified by protein A-Sepharose affinity chromatography. Purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis under both reducing and nonreducing conditions, and by Western blot analysis with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc antibody.

Western Blotting/Biosynthetic Labeling

For detection of LYVE-1 protein expressed in transfected COS 1 cells lysates, these were boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond super; Amersham International plc) using a semi-dry transfer apparatus ( Hoeffer). Blots were incubated with LYVE-1 polyclonal serum (1:100 dilution) in PBS, pH 7.5, 5% dried milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20), and developed with peroxidase-conjugated anti–rabbit Ig using chemiluminescent detection (ECL kit; Amersham International plc). For biosynthetic labeling of LYVE-1 Fc fusion proteins, transiently transfected COS 1 cells were cultured (24 h, 37°C) in RPMI supplemented with 100 μCi/ml [35S]methionine/cysteine (Amersham International plc) followed by absorption of the labeled fusion proteins from the supernatants on protein A-Sepharose beads. Beads were then boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, the gels were fixed, stained with Coomassie blue, and impregnated with AMPLIFY (Amersham International plc), before drying and exposing to Kodak X-Omat x-ray film at 70°C.

HA-binding Assays

Binding to Immobilized HA. Binding of LYVE-1 IgFc fusion protein to immobilized HA was measured in a 96-well microtiter plate ELISA assay. In brief, microtiter plates (Nunc Maxisorp) were coated with HA (purified from rooster comb; Sigma) at a concentration of 2 mg/ml in coating buffer (15 mM sodium carbonate and 34 mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 9.3). After digestion with HindIII and BamHI, the product was cloned into BamHI/Hind III cut IgFc vector to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence, fused at the COOH terminus with the 234-residue hinge, CH2, to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence, fused at the COOH terminus with the 234-residue hinge, CH2, and CH3 region of human IgG1 (1). The absence of polymerase-induced errors was confirmed by sequencing the resulting construct on both strands. For expression, the LYVE-1 cDNA was transiently transfected into SV-40-transformed African green monkey kidney cells (COS 1) using DEAE dextran. After 72 h, culture supernatants were collected, supplemented with 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and the fusion protein purified by protein A-Sepharose affinity chromatography. Purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis under both reducing and nonreducing conditions, and by Western blot analysis with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc antibody.

Western Blotting/Biosynthetic Labeling

For detection of LYVE-1 protein expressed in transfected COS 1 cells lysates, these were boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond super; Amersham International plc) using a semi-dry transfer apparatus (Hoeffer). Blots were incubated with LYVE-1 polyclonal serum (1:100 dilution) in PBS, pH 7.5, 5% dried milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20), and developed with peroxidase-conjugated anti–rabbit Ig using chemiluminescent detection (ECL kit; Amersham International plc). For biosynthetic labeling of LYVE-1 Fc fusion proteins, transiently transfected COS 1 cells were cultured (24 h, 37°C) in RPMI supplemented with 100 μCi/ml [35S]methionine/cysteine (Amersham International plc) followed by absorption of the labeled fusion proteins from the supernatants on protein A-Sepharose beads. Beads were then boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, the gels were fixed, stained with Coomassie blue, and impregnated with AMPLIFY (Amersham International plc), before drying and exposing to Kodak X-Omat x-ray film at 70°C.

HA-binding Assays

Binding to Immobilized HA. Binding of LYVE-1 IgFc fusion protein to immobilized HA was measured in a 96-well microtiter plate ELISA assay. In brief, microtiter plates (Nunc Maxisorp) were coated with HA (purified from rooster comb; Sigma) at a concentration of 2 mg/ml in coating buffer (15 mM sodium carbonate and 34 mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 9.3). After overnight incubation at 25°C, blocking (2 h) in PBS, pH 7.5, 0.25% (wt/vol) BSA, 0.05% (wt/vol) Tween 20, and washing (three times, PBS, pH 7.5), plates were incubated with purified LYVE-1 IgFc or CD44H Fc, or with the control proteins ICAM-2 Fc or CD33 Fc (15–500 ng/ml) for 1 h at 25°C. After removal of unbound protein by washing (three times) with PBS, bound fusion protein was detected by successive (1 h, 25°C) incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG antibody. Reactions were developed by the addition of the 4-phenylendiamine substrate. Absorbances were measured at 490 nm in a Bio-Rad microplate reader as described above.

Sandwich Assay for Binding to Performed HA Receptor Complexes. To measure binding of LYVE-1 to HA presented as a complex with CD44, microtiter plates were coated with biotinylated CD44Fc (50 μg/ml), before successive incubations with HA (250 μg/ml) and either LYVE-1 (5 μg/ml) or CD33 Fc (5 μg/ml, negative control), followed by detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti–human IgFc (see above). To measure binding of CD44 to HA presented as a complex with LYVE-1, microtiter plates were coated with either LYVE-1 Fc or CD33 Fc (negative control), before successive incubations with HA (250 μg/ml) and CD44H Fc (5 μg/ml) and detection with the peroxidase-conjugated CD44 mAb A3D8 (1 μg/ml).

Production of LYVE-1 Polyclonal Serum

For the production of polyclonal antisera, rabbits were immunized with purified LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein (100 μg) in complete Freund’s adjuvant, followed by three successive boosts (50 μg) in incomplete adjuvant, at intervals of 4 wk. Sera were then tested for reactivity with LYVE-1 Fc or with the irrelevant receptor-globulin controls CD33 Fc or ICAM-2 Fc, immobilized to 96-well microtiter dishes using an ELISA assay detected with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and O-phenylendiamine. Antibodies reactive with the human IgG portion of the immuno- genic antigen were depleted by preabsorption on columns of human IgG Sepharose.

Immunoperoxidase and Immunofluorescent Antibody Staining of Cells and Tissues

For immunoperoxidase staining, normal human tissue samples were obtained from the Department of Histopathology, Oxford Radcliffe Hospital, as paraffin-embedded sections on silanized glass microscope slides. Slides were dewaxed and rehydrated by successive incubation in Citroclear (5 min), 100% ethanol (5 min), 70% ethanol (5 min), and water followed by microwave treatment (700 W, 8 min) and incubation (30 min, 25°C) with 1:100 diluted LYVE-1 serum in PBS, 5% human serum, 0.1% azide. Antigen was subsequently detected by incubation (30 min, 25°C) with an anti-rabbit Ig peroxidase conjugate, and diaminobenzidine (Envision kit; DAKO), before counterstaining (30 s) with hematoxylin and eosin.

For standard single/double immunofluorescent staining, tissue sections on glass microscope slides were dewaxed and rehydrated as described above before incubation (30 min, 5°C) with 1:100 diluted LYVE-1 serum in PBS, 5% normal human serum, 0.1% azide, either alone or in combination with 1:2 diluted CD31, CD34, or VWF hybridoma supernatants, or with CD44 mAb (10 μg/ml). After washing (twice) to remove unbound antibodies, samples were then stained (30 min, 5°C) with 1:25 diluted FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit Ig (to detect LYVE-1), either alone or in combination with 1–50 diluted Texas red-conjugated goat anti-rabbit Ig. Samples were fixed in 2% (wt/vol) formaldehyde and viewed under a Zeiss Axio- iskon fluorescence microscope using epifluorescent illumination. Staining for HA and colocalization with LYVE-1 receptor was carried out using complexes of biotinylated bovine aggre can G1 domain and bovine link protein as described previously (48). In brief, dewaxed and rehydrated tissue sections on glass microscope slides were blocked (30 min, room temperature) in PBS, pH 7.5, containing 50 mM glycerine and 1% (wt/vol) fat-free milk powder, before incubation (overnight, 5°C) with 158his (5 μg/ml) or with or without LYVE-1 serum (1:100 dilution) in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, supplemented with 1% BSA. After washing to remove unbound reagents, the sections were incubated (1 h, room temperature) with a mixture of Texas red-labeled anti-rabbit Ig and FITC-labeled avidin (Vector Laboratories, Inc.), diluted 1:30 and 1:500, respectively, in PBS, pH 7.5. Slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Inc.) for immunofluorescence microscopy.

Results

Identification of a Novel HA Receptor LYVE-1

To identify new HA receptors we searched recent databases of ESTs for cDNAs homologous to the CD44 mole-
cule, currently thought to be the primary HA receptor on mammalian cells. A homology search of the combined Human Genome Sciences/TIGR EST databases with the amino acid sequence of full-length CD44H using the BLAST program identified a number of ESTs with predicted amino acid sequences >30% similar to CD44. 59 identical ESTs were identified in libraries prepared from fetal tissues including liver, spleen, brain, and heart; adult human placenta, bone marrow, lung, and spinal cord; glioblastoma, ovarian, and bone tumor; and HUVEC. The 2,313-bp EST from HUVEC which we have termed LYVE-1 (lymphatic vessel endothelial HA receptor) was subjected to complete nucleotide sequencing. Translation of the LYVE-1 cDNA (Fig. 1 A) revealed a large (966 bp) open reading frame starting with a Kozak (24) consensus ATG initiation codon at position 91 and terminating with the TAG stop codon at position 1057. The deduced amino acid sequence encodes a 322-residue polypeptide with the features characteristic of a type I integral membrane glycoprotein. These are: a sequence of 26 largely hydrophobic residues at the NH$_2$ terminus most likely comprising the leader peptide; a hydrophilic sequence of 212 amino acids containing seven cysteine residues, a serine/threonine-rich region (residues 145–216) and two motifs for N-linked glycosylation (NFT and NSS, centered on residues 54 and 131, respectively) corresponding to an extracellular domain; a sequence of 21 hydrophobic residues immediately followed by the dibasic motif KR and a highly charged stretch of 63 residues predicted to form the transmembrane anchor and cytoplasmic tail, respectively (Fig. 1 A). The predicted transmembrane anchor is also apparent in Kyte-Doolittle (25) and Goldman hydropathy plots of the derived amino acid sequence, both of which confirm the position of the predicted hydrophobic transmembrane anchor. These sequence data are available from GenBank under accession number AF118108.

**Similarities between the Link Modules in LYVE-1 and CD44**

Alignment of the LYVE-1 amino acid sequence with that of the human CD44 HA receptor (Fig. 2) illustrates the degree of homology between the two molecules which
have an overall similarity of 41%. Closer inspection reveals the region of maximal homology (57% similarity, 38% identity) to be contained within the area encompassing the extended CD44 Link domain and the corresponding region (residues 36–139) in the LYVE-1 sequence (Fig. 2 A). The location of the putative Link module in LYVE-1 is marked by four central cysteine residues (Cys 61, 85, 106, and 128), whose spacing (C1 - X23 - C2 - X20 - C3 - X20 - C4) is almost identical in CD44, and whose intervening sequences share 57% similarity. This similarity rises to 68% (57% identity) between C2 (Cys 85) and C3 (Cys 106). These four cysteine residues are conserved in all members of the Link superfamily where they form essential disulfide bridges that stabilize the HA-binding domain, a structure comprising two α helices and two anti–parallel β sheets surrounding a large hydrophobic core (23). Interestingly the two additional cysteine residues Cys 31 and Cys 133 that uniquely flank the CD44 Link module (13, 45) are also present at appropriately conserved locations in the LYVE-1 sequence (Cys 36 and Cys 139, Fig. 2 A).

Downstream of the Link module, however, the region responding to the membrane proximal domain of LYVE-1 shows little similarity to CD44, with the exception of a moderately high proportion of serine and threonine residues (37% within residues 145–216).

The presence of the LYVE-1 Link module is more clearly illustrated by sequence alignments with other human Link superfamily members including the cartilage matrix proteins Aggrecan, Versican, and Link protein itself, each of which contain tandem repeats of the Link homology unit (Fig. 2 B). A novel feature apparent from these alignments is that LYVE-1 and CD44 appear to define a subgroup within the Link superfamily. These findings suggest the divergence of the cell surface HA receptors as a separate branch from the HA-binding extracellular matrix proteins during evolution.

More detailed comparisons of individual amino acid residues within the putative HA-binding regions of the LYVE-1 and CD44 Link modules reveal a number of differences. For example, of the nine key residues identified by site directed mutagenesis (2, 37) as critical for HA binding within the human CD44 Link domain, Lys 38, Arg 41, Tyr 42, 79, and Asp 100 are conserved within the LYVE-1 molecule (residues 46, 87, and 109, respectively, Fig. 2 A). Furthermore, the peripheral cluster of basic amino acids located downstream of the Link module in CD44, which includes Arg 158 and Lys 162 (Fig. 2 A) previously shown to be important for HA binding (37), is not conserved in the LYVE-1 sequence. Hence, despite overall similarities in Link module sequences, the specific residues involved in the predicted LYVE-1–carbohydrate interaction are likely to be different from those in the CD44 molecule.

The LYVE-1 Receptor Is Expressed on the Cell Surface

To confirm the identification of the LYVE-1 molecule as an integral membrane glycoprotein, COS 1 fibroblasts were transfected with LYVE-1 cDNA and stained with polyclonal LYVE-1 antibodies for immunofluorescence microscopy. The specificity of the LYVE-1 serum is characterized in detail below. As shown by the results in Fig. 3 A, the transfectants displayed intense surface staining. Western blotting of the LYVE-1 transfected COS cells using a polyclonal serum generated against soluble LYVE-1 included Arg 158 and Lys 162 (Fig. 2 A) previously shown to be important for HA binding (37), is not conserved in the LYVE-1 sequence. Hence, despite overall similarities in Link module sequences, the specific residues involved in the predicted LYVE-1–carbohydrate interaction are likely to be different from those in the CD44 molecule.

**The LYVE-1 Receptor Is Expressed on the Cell Surface**

To confirm the identification of the LYVE-1 molecule as an integral membrane glycoprotein, COS 1 fibroblasts were transfected with LYVE-1 cDNA and stained with polyclonal LYVE-1 antibodies for immunofluorescence microscopy. The specificity of the LYVE-1 serum is characterized in detail below. As shown by the results in Fig. 3 A, the transfectants displayed intense surface staining. Western blotting of the LYVE-1 transfected COS cells using a polyclonal serum generated against soluble LYVE-1 revealed a major band which migrated with an apparent molecular mass of 60 kD, and which was absent from mock transfected cells. The discrepancy between the experimentally observed value and the size predicted from the primary sequence (35,158 D) is largely due to glycosylation, since treatment with either N-glycanase or O-glycanase/neuraminidase reduced the molecular mass by ~20 kD (not shown).
LYVE-1 Binds Both Immobilized and Soluble HA

To confirm the presence of a functional HA-binding domain in the LYVE-1 receptor, we expressed the extracellular domain with its own NH2-terminal leader (residues 1–232) as a soluble fusion protein with the hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG1 (total length 440 residues). The purified protein migrated as a single 80-kD band on SDS-PAGE, similar to a CD44H Fc fusion protein (total length 434 residues, Fig. 4 A). The discrepancy with the 46,900-D molecular mass predicted from the mature 440 residue primary sequence again is largely due to N- and O-glycosylation of the LYVE-1 core polypeptide (see above).

Comparison of LYVE-1 Fc with CD44 Fc in a microtiter plate glycosaminoglycan binding assay (Fig. 4, B and C) revealed that LYVE-1 binds immobilized HA in a concentration-dependent manner that is similar if not identical to CD44. In contrast, neither of the two control fusion proteins CD33 Fc or ICAM-2 Fc displayed any significant binding over this range (0.25–10 μg/ml). The specificity of the LYVE-1 HA interaction was demonstrated by competition with free glycosaminoglycans, which showed that neither chondroitin-4-SO4, chondroitin-6-SO4, nor heparan sulfate blocked binding even at concentrations up to 200 μg/ml (Fig. 4 C). In contrast the LYVE-1 HA interaction was extremely sensitive to inhibition by free HA (IC50 ~3 μg/ml). This indicates that LYVE-1 has a much higher specificity for glycosaminoglycan binding than either CD44 or the cation-dependent liver endothelial receptors. Importantly, this also distinguishes LYVE-1 from the lymph node receptors involved in the uptake and degradation of lymph fluid HA, since these are blocked by chondroitin sulfate and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (54).

LYVE-1 Fc also bound soluble high molecular mass HA in a concentration-dependent fashion (Fig. 4 D), although the binding capacity decreased dramatically with increasing levels of HA biotinylation (data not shown), a feature that was not observed with CD44. This latter result suggests there is a significant difference in the size or geometry of the HA-binding surface within these two receptors.

LYVE-1 mRNA Has a Restricted Pattern of Tissue Expression

Expression of the LYVE-1 gene in vivo was investigated by Northern blot hybridization to poly(A)+ RNAs prepared from a range of human tissues (Fig. 5). Two major bands of ~2 and 2.6 kb were abundant in spleen, lymph node, heart, lung, and fetal liver RNA and to a lesser degree in appendix, bone marrow, placenta, muscle, and adult liver RNA. These were largely if not completely absent from peripheral blood lymphocytes, thymus, brain, kidney, and pancreas RNA. In addition, minor bands of 6–7 kb were detected to a variable extent in spleen, lymph node, fetal liver, heart, lung, and muscle. The 2.6-kb band

Figure 3. Cell surface expression of LYVE-1 receptor on transfected COS cells. In A, COS 1 cells were transiently transfected with either full-length LYVE-1 cDNA in the expression vector pRcCMV (a and b), or with a control empty pRcCMV vector (c and d) using DEAE dextran followed by surface immunofluorescent staining with rabbit polyclonal LYVE-1 antiserum (1:100 dilution) and FITC goat anti-rabbit IgG. In B, control and LYVE-1 transfected COS cells were electrophoresed on a 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and Western blotted with LYVE-1 antiserum and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG (see Materials and Methods). Samples were control transfected COS (lane 1) and LYVE-1 transfected COS (lane 2). The positions and sizes in kilodaltons of the molecular mass calibration markers myosin (205 kD), β-galactosidase (116 kD), phosphorylase b (97 kD), BSA (66 kD), ovalbumin (45 kD), and carbonic anhydrase (29 kD) are indicated on the left.
is predicted to correspond to the LYVE-1 transcript shown in Fig. 1, whereas the 2-kb band likely represents a transcript that is polyadenylated on one or other of the consensus AATAAA motifs at positions 1819 and 1905 within the 3′ untranslated region (see Fig. 1). The minor 6–7-kb bands have not yet been characterized. Hence it is possible they represent alternatively spliced LYVE-1 transcripts.

Intriguingly, RT-PCR analyses (Fig. 6) of individual cell lines derived from lymphocytes, myeloid cells, monocytes, microvascular endothelial cells, and fibroblasts yielded no products in any cell type with the exception of late passage HUVEC, and placental tissue, used as a positive control. In contrast, each cell line yielded abundant PCR products when amplified with primers to CD44, or the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. These results suggested an unusually specific pattern of tissue expression for LYVE-1 that was clearly distinct from that of the CD44 molecule.

**The LYVE-1 Molecule Is Present on the Walls of Lymphatic Vessels**

To explore the expression pattern of the LYVE-1 protein in more detail, we generated a polyclonal serum by immunizing rabbits with purified LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein (see above). The serum was then preabsorbed on human IgG Sepharose to remove contaminating Fc-reactive antibodies, followed by affinity chromatography on a LYVE-1–Sepharose column. The specificity of the purified antiserum was established using immobilized LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein in an ELISA assay. As shown in the top panel of Fig. 7, the LYVE-1 antiserum was highly specific for LYVE-1 Fc at dilutions below 1:100 and reacted only...
weakly with the control ICAM-2 fusion protein, which in common with LYVE-1 Fc, contains the hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG1. Importantly, the LYVE-1 antiserum was unreactive with a CD44H ectodomain construct CD44158his which contains a functional Link module (residues 1–158) expressed as a histidine-tagged bacterial fusion protein (3), with CD44H Fc and with CD44-transfected COS 1 cells (not shown). Finally, the LYVE-1 antiserum (1:100 dilution) had the capacity to completely block binding of soluble bHA (Fig. 7, bottom). These data indicate the polyclonal serum is specific for LYVE-1 and does not exhibit reactivity with CD44, its closest homologue.

Immunoperoxidase staining of human tissues with the polyclonal LYVE-1 serum revealed an unusual and highly restricted expression pattern, as shown in Fig. 8 and summarized in Table I. Intriguingly the expression of LYVE-1 was mostly confined to endothelial cells lining vessels of
comparison of the two vessel types in sections of salivary
gland (Fig. 8 g), where blood vessels that are clearly distin-
guished by their erythrocyte content (visible through
weak nonspecific staining with rabbit IgG) are negative for
LYVE-1, while the adjacent empty lymph vessels stain in-
tensely. This distinction was confirmed by double immu-
nofluorescence staining of small intestine sections (Fig. 9)
with antibodies to LYVE-1 and to the vascular endothelial
markers CD34 (sgp90) and vWF (Factor VIII–related
molecule). LYVE-1 staining was mutually exclusive with
both of the other markers, and was confined to irregularly
shaped vessels within the submucosae that were devoid of
erythrocytes. Furthermore, double immunofluorescence
staining revealed little or no CD44 expression on lym-
phatic vessels, indicating that LYVE-1 is likely to be
the major receptor for HA on lymphatic endothelial cells
(Fig. 9).

Physiological Function of the LYVE-1 HA Receptor

The lymphatic system is the main conduit for transport of
HA from the tissues and degradation within lymph nodes.
However, the molecules within lymphatic vessels which in-
teract with lymph fluid HA have not been identified, and
the mechanisms driving HA transport to the lymph nodes
have yet to be elucidated. Therefore, we considered the
possibilities that LYVE-1 might either sequester HA on

the lymphatic system. The only other sites of prominent
LYVE-1 expression were sinusoidal endothelial cells within
the spleen and placental syncytiotrophoblasts (Table I and
Fig. 8 k). In contrast with the expression pattern of CD44,
no LYVE-1 was detected on lymphocytes or other he-
matopoietic cells within lymphoid organs such as the tonsil
and thymus (Table I and Fig. 8 l). Localization of LYVE-1
within the lymphatics was most strikingly visible among
the draining lymphatic vessels within the submucosae, un-
derlying the intestinal crypts in colon and small intestine
(Fig. 8, a–d). Many small lymph vessels, including some
containing large numbers of lymphocytes, showed intense
endothelial staining. High levels of LYVE-1 expression
were also apparent on the lacteal vessels draining individ-
ual intestinal villi, on subdermal lymphatic vessels within
the skin, and on lymphatic vessels within peripheral nodes
(Fig. 8, e–j). A similar expression pattern was found in
other tissues displaying prominent lymphatics, particularly
the appendix and stomach (see Table I). In contrast, no
LYVE-1 was detected on blood vessels within any of the
tissues tested. Interestingly, LYVE-1 protein expression
was detected on the surface of cultured HUVEC (Fig. 8
m), confirming the detection of LYVE-1 mRNA by RT-
PCR (see above). This is most likely to be the result of cul-
ture induced de-differentiation as no vascular staining was
observed in tissue sections of umbilical vein (not shown).

The selectivity for lymphatic expression is underlined by a
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the lymph vessel wall or promote HA-mediated rolling of leukocytes present within the lymph fluid. In the first instance, we looked for an association between LYVE-1 and its ligand HA on the luminal face of tissue lymphatics by double immunofluorescent staining, using bHABC composed of bovine Aggrecan and Link protein (Fig. 9). Abundant quantities of HA were detected throughout the parenchyma and lining the walls of numerous vessels in all the tissues tested (data not shown). A significant amount of this HA indeed colocalized with LYVE-1 in numerous patches (visible as yellow staining), around the luminal surface of lymph vessels, particularly those in the small intestines (Fig. 9). Although relatively high levels of HA (50 µg/ml) are normally found within lymph vessels in vivo (49), we observed some variation in the amounts retained on LYVE-1 stained vessels between and within different tissues. It is not yet clear whether this reflects variation in the amount of HA, or its recovery during the preparation of different tissues, or variation in the binding affinity of LYVE-1 in different locations.

Finally, we looked for evidence that leukocytes adhere to HA presented by LYVE-1 present on the lymph vessel wall. Our initial attempts to demonstrate such adhesion using CD44 transfected Namalwa B lymphoma cells and frozen sections of gut lymphatics in Stamper-Woodruff type assays were difficult to interpret, because of the high levels of binding to HA within the surrounding parenchyma (not shown). As an alternative, we tested the ability of LYVE-1 Fc immobilized on plastic microtiter dishes to support HA-mediated binding to CD44. As shown in Fig. 10, LYVE-1 indeed supported HA-mediated binding of CD44, regardless of the order used for primary presentation or secondary HA binding. In conclusion, our results indicate that LYVE-1, in addition to binding HA within the lymphatics, may also bind HA-coated lymphocytes in transit to the lymph nodes.

**Discussion**

The interaction between cells and extracellular matrix HA is clearly of fundamental importance both during embryonic limb development and in processes such as wound healing and inflammation in later adult life (19–22). Such importance predicts that many receptors must be involved in HA adhesion, and that complex mechanisms must exist to regulate both their expression and their ligand-binding affinity. Yet at present, the list of professional cell surface HA receptors is small and includes only the CD44 molecule, RHAMM, and the LEC HA receptors. Furthermore, deletion of the gene for CD44, the most abundant and widely expressed of these, has little if any deleterious effects on the embryo (43). Thus, it has become increasingly clear to many workers in the field that additional receptors for HA are likely to exist within the genome.

In this paper we have described the primary structure and biological function of one such receptor, termed LYVE-1, which is present within vessels of the lymphatic system. This new receptor is a type I integral membrane polypeptide whose extracellular domain encodes a single cartilage Link module, the prototypic HA-binding domain conserved within all members of the Link or hyaladherin superfamily (51). The central core of the LYVE-1 Link module (C2-C3) is 57% identical to that of the human CD44 HA receptor, the only other Link superfamily HA receptor to be described to date and the closest homologue of LYVE-1. Nevertheless, there are distinct differences between LYVE-1 and CD44 that suggest the two homologues differ either in the mode of HA binding or in its regulation.

Firstly, in terms of HA binding, only three of nine residues identified as essential within the CD44 Link module are conserved in LYVE-1. Lys 38, Tyr 79, and Asp 100) and none of the downstream COOH-terminal basic residues of CD44 are present. Furthermore, unlike CD44, the affinity of LYVE-1 for bHA is drastically reduced with increasing degrees of biotinylation. These features suggest HA is orientated differently within the LYVE-1 Link module and suggest it may bind a larger HA unit than the minimal HA$_6$ unit bound by CD44 (18, 55). Competition experiments with defined HA fragment sizes will be re-

---

**Table I. Tissue Distribution of the LYVE-1 Molecule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type*</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>+ + Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>+ + Sinusoidal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBMC</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Endocrine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal</td>
<td>+ Zona reticularis cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>+ + Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>+ Exocrine cells/islets of Langerhans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thryoid</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reproductive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta</td>
<td>+ Syncytiotrophoblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>+/–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gastrointestinal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>+ + Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omentum</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cardiorespiratory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>+/– Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>+ Cerebral cortex neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>+ + Tubular cuboidal epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>+/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Paraffin-embedded human tissue sections were incubated with a polyclonal rabbit anti-human LYVE-1 protein antiserum and stained with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody as described in Materials and Methods (see also Fig. 8).

‡Staining intensity was assessed semiquantitatively using the following indices: +++, strong staining; +, moderate staining; +, weak staining; +/-, equivocal staining; –, no staining.

PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cell.
quired to distinguish between these possibilities. A further distinction between LYVE-1 and CD44 is their dramatic difference in substrate specificity. Unlike CD44, LYVE-1 displays exquisite specificity for binding HA and no affinity for the glycosaminoglycans chondroitin-4 sulfate, chondroitin-6 sulfate, or heparan sulfate. This remarkable ligand specificity also distinguishes LYVE-1 from the lymph node HA receptors which mediate the uptake and degradation of HA from afferent lymph, a process that is blocked by chondroitin sulfate and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (54).

Secondly, the regulation of HA binding and its functional consequences are likely to differ significantly from CD44. Excluding the Link domain and its immediate boundaries, the LYVE-1 extracellular domain, transmembrane anchor, and cytoplasmic tail bear no similarity to those of the CD44 molecule. In the CD44 ectodomain, alternative splicing of up to 10 exons within the membrane-proximal region regulates HA binding in some cell types (5, 44), and introduces new ligand-binding specificities (4, 17). Although we have detected high molecular weight LYVE-1 transcripts in some tissues by Northern blotting (see Fig. 5), we have so far not observed alternatively spliced variants by RT-PCR. In the CD44 transmembrane anchor, a central cysteine residue (30) regulates HA binding in a cell-specific manner, through the formation of lipid domains within the bilayer (35, 39). No such residue is present in the LYVE-1 transmembrane anchor. Lastly, in the CD44 molecule, the 71-residue cytoplasmic tail binds the cytoskeletal components ankyrin and ezrin (52), and is subject to serine phosphorylation that regulates cell motility on HA substrata (16, 38). Although the lack of sequence conservation does not rule out the possibility that LYVE-1 interacts with these cytoskeletal components via different residues or that the LYVE-1 cytoplasmic tail interacts with other as yet undefined molecules, it seems unlikely that the mechanisms which regulate CD44-HA interactions are duplicated for LYVE-1, its closest known homologue.

Perhaps the most striking distinction between LYVE-1
and CD44 is in their patterns of tissue expression. Intriguingly, the LYVE-1 molecule is largely if not exclusively restricted to lymph vessel endothelial cells from which CD44 is almost completely absent. Comprehensive analyses of a large panel of human tissues by immunoperoxidase staining with specific antisera revealed LYVE-1 lining the lymphatics in virtually every tissue where these structures could be distinguished, and included vessels draining gastrointestinal tissues, skin, lymph nodes, breast, and salivary gland. The greatest concentration of LYVE-1 expression was seen in submucosal lymph vessels underlying smooth muscle in the colon, and the Lacteal vessels of intestinal villi that transport dietary lipid absorbed from the small intestine. Lymphatic vessels are morphologically distinguishable from blood vessels by their reduced or missing basement membrane and lack of erythrocyte content. There are currently no specific immunohistochemical markers that allow identification of lymphatics, although limited studies with endothelial markers indicate differential expression of the L-selectin ligand CD34 and the coagulation Factor VIII-related protein vWF (32, 42). Our own results using double immunofluorescence indicate that LYVE-1 defines a set of lymphatic vessels which express neither CD34 nor vWF and identify LYVE-1 as a powerful new marker for future studies of lymphatic structure and function.

The absence of LYVE-1 from vascular endothelial cells, in addition to its absence from lymphocytes, macrophages, fibroblasts, and epithelial cells, implies a rather different physiological role from that of the CD44 molecule, which is expressed abundantly in each of these locations (41) but is largely absent from lymphatic vessels. The primary role of the CD44 HA receptor appears to be the regulation of cell motility (50), as evidenced by its association with the migration of mesenchymal cells during differentiation, and with the hematogenous spread of tumor cells in experimental neoplasia (47). This is also apparent in inflammation, where CD44 expression on lymphocytes promotes migration through HA-coated vascular endothelium (7, 8), as well as the migration of lymphocytes through HA-coated reticular networks within peripheral lymph nodes (6). LYVE-1 by contrast appears to be restricted to relatively nonmotile lymphatic endothelial cells, where it seems more likely to function in the immobilization of HA in the vessel lumen, rather than in its recognition as a positional cue for migration.

A prominent function of the lymphatic system is the provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, where the latter are sampled by professional antigen-presenting cells and phagocytes. Lymph fluid contains high levels (40–50 µg/ml) of HA (see ref. 12 and reviewed in ref. 10), and its flux through the lymphatics is known to increase in inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis and in response to bacterial infection (10, 27). The lymph vessels thus act as a conduit both for migrating inflammatory cells and for HA, although the precise relationship between the two is not clear. One possibility is that LYVE-1 functions as an endocytic receptor in an analogous fashion to CD44 on macrophages and the LEC HA receptors on liver endothelium. The specificity of LYVE-1 for HA does, however, appear to rule out any likelihood that it is the receptor involved in HA uptake and degradation within the lymph node. Alternatively, inflammatory cells express CD44, we are tempted to speculate that LYVE-1 molecules may promote their adhesion to lymphatic endothelium via HA. Such a role is supported by our finding that LYVE-1 and HA colocalize to the luminal face of the lymph vessels, and that LYVE-1 can support HA-mediated adhesion to CD44. We are currently performing experiments to distinguish between these and other interesting possibilities.
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