LYVE-1, a New Homologue of the CD44 Glycoprotein, Is a Lymph-specific Receptor for Hyaluronan
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Abstract. The extracellular matrix glycosaminoglycan hyaluronan (HA) is an abundant component of skin and mesenchymal tissues where it facilitates cell migration during wound healing, inflammation, and embryonic morphogenesis. Both during normal tissue homeostasis and particularly after tissue injury, HA is mobilized from these sites through lymphatic vessels to the lymph nodes where it is degraded before entering the circulation for rapid uptake by the liver. Currently, however, the identities of HA binding molecules which control this pathway are unknown. Here we describe the first such molecule, LYVE-1, which we have identified as a major receptor for HA on the lymph vessel wall. The deduced amino acid sequence of LYVE-1 predicts a 322-residue type I integral membrane polypeptide 41% similar to the CD44 HA receptor with a 212-residue extracellular domain containing a single Link module the prototypic HA binding domain of the Link protein superfamily. Like CD44, the LYVE-1 molecule binds both soluble and immobilized HA. However, unlike CD44, the LYVE-1 molecule colocalizes with HA on the luminal face of the lymph vessel wall and is completely absent from blood vessels. Hence, LYVE-1 is the first lymph-specific HA receptor to be characterized and is a uniquely powerful marker for lymph vessels themselves.
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The majority of HA-binding proteins within the tissues belong to the Link protein superfamily. Members of this superfamily contain a conserved disulfide linked domain of ~100 amino acid residues termed the Link module, which binds the minimal recognition unit HA$_6$-8 and whose three-dimensional structure resembles the sugar-binding domain of C-type lectins. Other superfamily members in addition to the Link protein (34) include the large matrix proteoglycans Aggrecan (9), Versican (61), and Brevican (58), the tumor necrosis factor--inducible protein TSG-6 (28), and a single cell surface HA receptor, the CD44 molecule (1, 40). CD44 is widely expressed on epithelial, mesenchymal, and lymphoid cells, where it is thought to constitute the major receptor for HA (reviewed in 29). Interactions between CD44 and HA are implicated in several diverse functions including the maintenance of tissue structure within the epithelia (57), the extravasation of lymphocytes through inflamed vascular endothelium (8, 33), and the hematogenous dissemination of tumor cells (46, 47). The only other HA receptors characterized to date are RHAMM (receptor for HA-mediated motility), a 58-kD intracellular protein expressed transiently on the surface of transformed lymphocytes (15, 53), the cation-dependent liver endothelial cell (LEC) receptors responsible for the clearance of HA from the serum (59, 60), and the nuclear protein cdc37 (14). To date, however, there is no specific evidence that either CD44, RHAMM, or the LEC HA receptors are involved in the sequestration or transport of HA by lymphatic vessels, or in its uptake or degradation within the lymph nodes. Indeed, deletion of the CD44 gene in knockout mice produced no significant abnormalities, and resulted in no significant disruption of lymphatic HA homeostasis are completely abnormal, and resulted in no significant disruption of the CD44 gene in knockout mice produced no significant degradation within the lymph nodes. Indeed, deletion of the CD44 gene in knockout mice produced no significant abnormalities, and resulted in no significant disruption of lymphatic function (43). Hence the identities of these CD44 receptors involved in lymphatic HA homeostasis are completely unknown and we understand little about the regulation of this important physiological process.

Here we present the first identification of an HA receptor that is almost exclusively expressed on lymph vessels and is absent from blood vessels. This novel receptor which we have named LYVE-1 is a homologue of the CD44 HA receptor and a new member of the Link protein superfamily. We present evidence that LYVE-1 sequesters HA on lymph vessel endothelium in vivo and highlight the significance of this novel receptor in further defining the structure and function of the lymphatic system.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells, Antibodies, Chemicals, and Ig Fusion Proteins**

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were kindly donated by Dr. Sue Adams (Molecular Parasitology Group, University of Oxford) and used at the third passage, mAbs to human CD31 (JC70), CD34 (QBEND 10), and von Willebrand factor (vWF, F8/86) were kindly donated by Dr. David Mason (Department of Cellular Science, University of Oxford). Texas red–conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG and fluorescein-conjugated by Dr. David Mason (Department of Cellular Science, University of Oxford). A soluble truncated form of CD44, CD44 Fc fusion protein (CD44 Fc) fused to the hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG was employed, respectively, by Dr. Kelly Bennett (Bristol-Myers Squibb, Seattle, WA), Dr. Sue Adams (see above), and Dr. Regis Doyonnas (MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford). A soluble truncated form of CD44H (CD44$_{140}$) comprising residues 1–158 of the ectodomain was expressed in *Escherichia coli* and refolded from urea-solubilized inclusion bodies (3). Details of the CD44$_{140}$ protein which displays similar HA binding to CD44 Fc have been published recently (3).

**Cloning and Identification of the LYVE-1 Receptor cDNA**

A commercial cDNA database (Human Genome Sciences. The Institute for Genome Research) of >10^6 expression sequence tags (ESTs) obtained by random DNA sequencing of clones within 700 different human cDNA libraries was screened for ESTs bearing significant homology to the CD44 HA receptor using the program BlastSearch (Genetics Computer Group). Several overlapping ESTs with translated amino acid sequences that were at least 30% identical to that of full-length CD44 were identified in cDNA libraries constructed from tissues including umbilical vein, placenta, fetal liver, adipose tissue, lung, heart, prostate, embryo, spinal cord, bone marrow, bone, and ovarian tumor. The LYVE-1 EST described here was isolated from a HUVEC cDNA library, subcloned into the plasmid vector pBluescript, and sequenced in its entirety.

**Northern Blot Hybridization**

For Northern blot analysis, multiple tissue RNA blots (2 µg polyadenylated RNA per lane) were purchased from Clontech and hybridized (42°C, 50% formamide, 6× SSC) to a full-length CD44 double-stranded DNA probe labeled with $[^32P]dCTP$ by random hexamer-priming (Rediprime DNA labeling system, Amersham International plc). Blots were washed at high stringency (0.1× SSC, 60°C, 15 min) before exposure to Kodak X-OMat RP x-ray film.

**RT-PCR**

For the detection of CD44 and CD44 mRNAs in different cell lines, total RNA was isolated by extraction with guanidinium thiocyanate/sodium acetate, pH 4.0, and ethanol precipitation followed by first-strand cDNA synthesis using reverse transcriptase, and PCR using appropriate LYVE-1 or CD44 primers. First-strand cDNA syntheses were carried out by oligo-dT priming in 50-µl reactions containing 5 µg total RNA, 0.5 µM dNTPs, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, and 2.5 mM AMV reverse transcriptase for 3 h at 42°C. Samples (1 µl) of the final products were then committed to 50-µl PCR reactions (94°C, 1 min; 55°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min; 40 cycles) containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCI, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM dNTPs, 1 U *Taq* DNA polymerase, and the LYVE-1 primers LYVE-1F Hind/LYVE-1R (see below), or the CD44 primers AMP1 (TCCGAGATGAGACATATGTC) and AMP2 (CCAAAGATGTACGACCTTGG). The integrity of the cDNA and input RNA was confirmed by PCR with the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase primers GP3 (TGGTGATTTGGGCGCTTGG) and GP3R (CCAAAACTGTTGTGATCATACG). Products were electrophoresed on 1.25% agarose gels, transferred to charged nylon membranes (Hybond N; Amersham International plc), and hybridized with 32P-labeled oligonucleotide probes to detect either LYVE-1 (CCTCATGATGAGACATATGTC) or CD44 (TGTACATGAGACATACCAG). Blots were washed at 55°C in 6× SSC for 10 min before autoradiography.

**Preparation of Full-Length LYVE-1 cDNA in pRcCMV and Transient Transfection of COS 1 Cells**

For cell surface expression of full-length LYVE-1 molecule, the entire LYVE-1 coding sequence together with 31 bp of 5′ untranslated region and 40 bp of 3′ untranslated region was cloned into pBluescript (see above) with the primers LYVE-1 F Hind (CCGGAGATCCGGGTAGGACGACATGAGCCCGAG) and LYVE-1 R Xba (GCTCTAGACGCTTCAAGTGTGTCCTTC) using Pyrococcus furiosus (Pfu) DNA polymerase, and ligated into HindIII/XbaI pcRcmv. The pcRcmv construct was...
then used to transiently transfected COS 1 cells using DEAE dextran and chloroquine as described previously (17).

Expression of LYVE-1 as a Soluble IgFc Fusion Protein

A 684-bp LYVE-1 fragment encoding the predicted NH2-terminal leader and extracellular domain, truncating at Gly 232, was amplified (94°C 1 min; 60°C 5 min; and 72°C 10 min, 25 cycles) from the full-length LYVE-1 cDNA clone in pBluescript using Pfu polymerase and the primers LYVE-1 Hind (see above for sequence) and LYVE-1 R Bam (CGCGGATCCACAGGCTTCATCTTGGAATG). After digestion with HindIII and BamHI, the product was cloned into BamHI/HindIII cut IgFc vector to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence, fused at the COOH terminus with the 234-residue hinge, CH2, to yield a construct encoding amino acid residues 1–232 of the LYVE-1 sequence. Purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis under both reducing and nonreducing conditions, and by Western blot analysis with peroxidase-conjugated anti–human IgGFc antibody.

Western Blotting/Biosynthetic Labeling

For detection of LYVE-1 protein expressed in transfected COS 1 cell lysates, these were boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond super; Amersham International plc) using a semi-dry transfer apparatus ( Hoefer). Blots were incubated with LYVE-1 polyclonal serum (1:100 dilution in PBS, pH 7.5, 5% dried milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20), and developed with peroxidase-conjugated anti–rabbit Ig using chemiluminescent detection (ECL kit; Amersham International plc). For biosynthetic labeling of LYVE-1 Fc fusion proteins, transiently transfected COS 1 cells were cultured (24 h, 37°C) in RPMI supplemented with 100 μg/ml [35S]methionine/cysteine (Amersham International plc) followed by absorption of the labeled fusion proteins from the supernatants on protein A–Sepharose beads. Beads were then boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and electrophoresed on 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, the gels were fixed, stained with Coomassie blue, and impregnated with AMPLIFY (Amersham International plc), before drying and exposing to Kodak X-Omat x-ray film at 70°C.

HA-binding Assays

Binding to Immobilized HA. Binding of LYVE-1 IgFc fusion protein to immobilized HA was measured in a 96-well microtiter plate ELISA assay. In brief, microtiter plates (Nunc Maxisorp) were coated with HA (purified from rooster comb; Sigma) at a concentration of 2 mg/ml in coating buffer (25°C 1 h, 25°C) with 0.05% (vol/vol) BSA, 0.05% (wt/vol) Tween 20, and washing (three times, PBS, pH 7.5), plates were incubated with purified LYVE-1 Fc or CD44H Fc, or the control fusion proteins CD33 Fc or CD33-2-Fc (1.25–10 μg/ml, 1 h, 25°C) washed (three times) to remove unbound material, and incubated with biotinylated HA (5 μg/ml) for a further 1 h. Bound HA was then detected by incubation with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated streptavidin (Sigma) and O-phenylenediamine substrate. Absorbances were measured at 490 nm in a Bio-Rad microplate reader as described above.

Sandwich Assay for Binding to Permeable HA Receptor Complexes. To measure binding of LYVE-1 to HA presented as a complex with CD44, microtiter plates were coated with bacterially expressed CD44Fc (5 μg/ml), before successive incubations with HA (250 μg/ml) and either LYVE-1 (5 μg/ml) or CD33 Fc (5 μg/ml, negative control), followed by detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti–human IgFc (see above). To measure binding of CD44 to HA presented as a complex with LYVE-1, microtiter plates were coated with either LYVE-1 Fc or CD33 Fc (negative control), before successive incubations with HA (250 μg/ml) and CD44H Fc (5 μg/ml) and detection with the peroxidase-conjugated CD44 mAb A3D8 (1 μg/ml).

Production of LYVE-1 Polyclonal Serum

For the production of polyclonal antisera, rabbits were immunized with purified LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein (100 μg) in complete Freund’s adjuvant, followed by three consecutive boosts (50 μg) in incomplete adjuvant, at intervals of 4 wk. Sera were then tested for reactivity with LYVE-1 Fc or with the irrelevant receptor-globulin controls CD33 Fc or ICAM-2 Fc, immobilized to 96-well microtiter dishes using an ELISA assay detected with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG and O-phenylenediamine. Antibodies reactive with the human IgG portion of the immunizing antigen were depleted by preabsorption on columns of human IgG Sepharose.

Immunoperoxidase and Immunofluorescent Antibody Staining of Cells and Tissues

For immunoperoxidase staining, normal human tissue samples were obtained from the Department of Histopathology, Oxford Radcliffe Hospital, as paraffin-embedded sections on silanized glass microscope slides. Slides were dewaxed and rehydrated by successive incubation in Citroclear (5 min), 100% ethanol (5 min), 70% ethanol (5 min), and water followed by microwave treatment (700 W, 8 min) and incubation (30 min, 25°C) with 1:100 diluted LYVE-1 serum in PBS, 0.1% azide. Antigen was subsequently detected by incubation (30 min, 25°C) with an anti–rabbit Ig peroxidase conjugate, and diaminobenzidine (Envision kit; DAKO), before counterstaining (30 s) with hematoxylin and eosin.

For standard single/double immunofluorescent staining, tissue sections on glass microscope slides were dewaxed and rehydrated as described above before incubation (30 min, 5°C) with 1:100 diluted LYVE-1 serum in PBS, 0.1% azide, 0.1% BSA before incubation (30 min, 5°C) with 1:25 FITC-conjugated goat anti–rabbit Ig (to detect LYVE-1), either alone or in combination with 1–50 diluted Texas red–conjugated goat anti–rabbit Ig. Samples were fixed in 2% (wt/vol) formaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4, supplemented with 1% BSA. After washing to remove unbound reagents, the sections were incubated (1 h, room temperature) with a mixture of Texas red–labeled anti–rabbit Ig and FITC-labeled avidin (Vector Laboratories, Inc.), diluted 1:30 and 1:500, respectively, in PBS, pH 7.5. Slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Inc.) for immunofluorescence microscopy.

Results

Identification of a Novel HA Receptor LYVE-1

To identify new HA receptors we searched recent databases of ESTs for cDNAs homologous to the CD44 mole-
cule, currently thought to be the primary HA receptor on mammalian cells. A homology search of the combined Human Genome Sciences/TIGR EST databases with the amino acid sequence of full-length CD44H using the BLAST program identified a number of ESTs with predicted amino acid sequences >30% similar to CD44. 59 identical ESTs were identified in libraries prepared from fetal tissues including liver, spleen, brain, and heart; adult human placenta, bone marrow, lung, and spinal cord; glioblastoma, ovarian, and bone tumor; and HUVEC. The 2,313-bp EST from HUVEC which we have termed LYVE-1 (lymphatic vessel endothelial HA receptor) was subjected to complete nucleotide sequencing. Translation of the LYVE-1 cDNA (Fig. 1 A) revealed a large (966 bp) open reading frame starting with a Kozak (24) consensus ATG initiation codon at position 91 and terminating with the TAG stop codon at position 1057. The deduced amino acid sequence encodes a 322-residue polypeptide with the features characteristic of a type I integral membrane glycoprotein. These are: a sequence of 26 largely hydrophobic residues at the NH₂ terminus most likely comprising the leader peptid e; a hydrophilic sequence of 212 amino acids containing seven cysteine residues, a serine/threonine-rich region (residues 145–216) and two motifs for N-linked glycosylation (NFT and NSS, centered on residues 54 and 131, respectively) corresponding to an extracellular domain; a sequence of 21 hydrophobic residues immediately followed by the dibasic motif KR and a highly charged stretch of 63 residues predicted to form the transmembrane anchor and cytoplasmic tail, respectively (Fig. 1 A). The position of the mature NH₂ terminus is predicted to be Ala 27 based on the −3 +1 rule proposed by Von Heijne (56).

Similarities between the Link Modules in LYVE-1 and CD44

Alignment of the LYVE-1 amino acid sequence with that of the human CD44 HA receptor (Fig. 2) illustrates the degree of homology between the two molecules which...
have an overall similarity of 41%. Closer inspection reveals the region of maximal homology (57% similarity, 38% identity) to be contained within the area encompassing the extended CD44 Link domain and the corresponding region (residues 36–139) in the LYVE-1 sequence (Fig. 2 A). The location of the putative Link module in LYVE-1 is marked by four central cysteine residues (Cys 61, 85, 106, and 128), whose spacing (C1 - X23 - C2 - X20 - C3 - X20 - C4) is almost identical in CD44, and whose intervening sequences share 57% similarity. This similarity rises to 68% (57% identity) between C2 (Cys 85) and C3 (Cys 106). These four cysteine residues are conserved in all members of the Link superfamily where they form essential disulphide bridges that stabilize the HA-binding domain, a structure comprising two α helices and two anti-parallel β sheets surrounding a large hydrophobic core (23). Interestingly the two additional cysteine residues Cys 31 and Cys 133 that uniquely flank the CD44 Link module (13, 45) are also present at appropriately conserved locations in the LYVE-1 sequence (Cys 36 and Cys 139, Fig. 2 A). Downstream of the Link module, however, the region corresponding to the membrane proximal domain of LYVE-1 shows little similarity to CD44, with the exception of a moderately high proportion of serine and threonine residues (37% within residues 145–216).

The presence of the LYVE-1 Link module is more clearly illustrated by sequence alignments with other human Link superfamily members including the cartilage matrix proteins Aggrecan, Versican, and Link protein itself, each of which contain tandem repeats of the Link homology unit (Fig. 2 B). A novel feature apparent from these alignments is that LYVE-1 and CD44 appear to define a subgroup within the Link superfamily. These findings suggest the divergence of the cell surface HA receptors as a separate branch from the HA-binding extracellular matrix proteins during evolution.

More detailed comparisons of individual amino acid residues within the putative HA-binding regions of the LYVE-1 and CD44 Link modules reveal a number of differences. For example, of the nine key residues identified by site directed mutagenesis (2, 37) as critical for HA binding within the human CD44 Link domain, Lys 38, Arg 41, Tyr 42, and Asp 100 are conserved within the LYVE-1 molecule (residues 46, 87, and 109, respectively, Fig. 2 A). Furthermore, the peripheral cluster of basic amino acids located downstream of the Link module in CD44, which includes Arg 158 and Lys 162 (Fig. 2 A) previously shown to be important for HA binding (37), is not conserved in the LYVE-1 sequence. Hence, despite overall similarities in Link module sequences, the specific residues involved in the predicted LYVE-1–carbohydrate interaction are likely to be different from those in the CD44 molecule.

**The LYVE-1 Receptor Is Expressed on the Cell Surface**

To confirm the identification of the LYVE-1 molecule as an integral membrane glycoprotein, COS 1 fibroblasts were transfected with LYVE-1 cDNA and stained with polyclonal LYVE-1 antibodies for immunofluorescence microscopy. The specificity of the LYVE-1 serum is characterized in detail below. As shown by the results in Fig. 3 A, the transfected COS cells displayed intense surface staining. Western blotting of the LYVE-1 transfected COS cells using a polyclonal serum generated against soluble LYVE-1 revealed a major band which migrated with an apparent molecular mass of 60 kD, and which was absent from mock transfected cells. The discrepancy between the experimentally observed value and the size predicted from the primary sequence (35,158 D) is largely due to glycosylation, since treatment with either N-glycanase or O-glycanase/neuraminidase reduced the molecular mass by ~20 kD (not shown).
LYVE-1 Binds Both Immobilized and Soluble HA

To confirm the presence of a functional HA-binding domain in the LYVE-1 receptor, we expressed the extracellular domain with its own NH2-terminal leader (residues 1–232) as a soluble fusion protein with the hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG1 (total length 440 residues). The purified protein migrated as a single 80-kD band on SDS-PAGE, similar to a CD44H Fc fusion protein (total length 434 residues, Fig. 4 A). The discrepancy with the 46,900-D molecular mass predicted from the mature 440 residue primary sequence again is largely due to N- and O-glycosylation of the LYVE-1 core polypeptide (see above).

Comparison of LYVE-1 Fc with CD44 Fc in a microtiter plate glycosaminoglycan binding assay (Fig. 4, B and C) revealed that LYVE-1 binds immobilized HA in a concentration-dependent manner that is similar if not identical to CD44. In contrast, neither of the two control fusion proteins CD33 Fc or ICAM-2 Fc displayed any significant binding over this range (0.25–100 μg/ml). The specificity of the LYVE-1 HA interaction was demonstrated by competition with free glycosaminoglycans, which showed that neither chondroitin-4-sulfate, chondroitin-6-sulfate, nor heparan sulfate blocked binding even at concentrations up to 200 μg/ml (Fig. 4 C). In contrast the LYVE-1 HA interaction was extremely sensitive to inhibition by free HA (IC50 ~3 μg/ml). This indicates that LYVE-1 has a much higher specificity for glycosaminoglycan binding than either CD44 or the cation-dependent liver endothelial receptors. Importantly, this also distinguishes LYVE-1 from the lymph node receptors involved in the uptake and degradation of lymph fluid HA, since these are blocked by chondroitin sulfate and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (54). LYVE-1 Fc also bound soluble high molecular mass HA in a concentration-dependent fashion (Fig. 4 D), although the binding capacity decreased dramatically with increasing levels of HA biotinylation (data not shown), a feature that was not observed with CD44. This latter result suggests there is a significant difference in the size or geometry of the HA-binding surface within these two receptors.

LYVE-1 mRNA Has a Restricted Pattern of Tissue Expression

Expression of the LYVE-1 gene in vivo was investigated by Northern blot hybridization to poly(A)+ RNAs prepared from a range of human tissues (Fig. 5). Two major bands of ~2 and 2.6 kb were abundant in spleen, lymph node, heart, lung, and fetal liver RNA and to a lesser degree in appendix, bone marrow, placenta, muscle, and adult liver RNA. These were largely if not completely absent from peripheral blood lymphocytes, thymus, brain, kidney, and pancreas RNA. In addition, minor bands of 6–7 kb were detected to a variable extent in spleen, lymph node, fetal liver, heart, lung, and muscle. The 2.6-kb band
is predicted to correspond to the LYVE-1 transcript shown in Fig. 1, whereas the 2-kb band likely represents a transcript that is polyadenylated on one or other of the consensus AATAAA motifs at positions 1819 and 1905 within the 3’ untranslated region (see Fig. 1). The minor 6–7-kb bands have not yet been characterized. Hence it is possible they represent alternatively spliced LYVE-1 transcripts.

Intriguingly, RT-PCR analyses (Fig. 6) of individual cell lines derived from lymphocytes, myeloid cells, monocytes, microvascular endothelial cells, and fibroblasts yielded no products in any cell type with the exception of late passage HUVEC, and placental tissue, used as a positive control. In contrast, each cell line yielded abundant PCR products when amplified with primers to CD44, or the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. These results suggested an unusually specific pattern of tissue expression for LYVE-1 that was clearly distinct from that of the CD44 molecule.

**The LYVE-1 Molecule Is Present on the Walls of Lymphatic Vessels**

To explore the expression pattern of the LYVE-1 protein in more detail, we generated a polyclonal serum by immunizing rabbits with purified LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein (see above). The serum was then preabsorbed on human IgG Sepharose to remove contaminating Fc-reactive antibodies, followed by affinity chromatography on a LYVE-1–Sepharose column. The specificity of the purified antiserum was established using immobilized LYVE-1 Fc fusion protein in an ELISA assay. As shown in the top panel of Fig. 7, the LYVE-1 antiserum was highly specific for LYVE-1 Fc at dilutions below 1:100 and reacted only...
weakly with the control ICAM-2 fusion protein, which in common with LYVE-1 Fc, contains the hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG1. Importantly, the LYVE-1 antiserum was unreactive with a CD44H ectodomain construct CD44158his which contains a functional Link module (residues 1–158) expressed as a histidine-tagged bacterial fusion protein (3), with CD44H Fc and with CD44-transfected COS 1 cells (not shown). Finally, the LYVE-1 antiserum (1:100 dilution) had the capacity to completely block binding of soluble bHA (Fig. 7, bottom). These data indicate the polyclonal serum is specific for LYVE-1 and does not exhibit reactivity with CD44, its closest homologue.

Immunoperoxidase staining of human tissues with the polyclonal LYVE-1 serum revealed an unusual and highly restricted expression pattern, as shown in Fig. 8 and summarized in Table I. Intriguingly the expression of LYVE-1 was mostly confined to endothelial cells lining vessels of...
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comparison of the two vessel types in sections of salivary gland (Fig. 8 g), where blood vessels that are clearly distinguishable by their erythrocyte content (visible through weak nonspecific staining with rabbit IgG) are negative for LYVE-1, while the adjacent empty lymph vessels stain intensely. This distinction was confirmed by double immunofluorescence staining of small intestine sections (Fig. 9) with antibodies to LYVE-1 and to the vascular endothelial markers CD34 (sgp90) and vWF (Factor VIII–related molecule). LYVE-1 staining was mutually exclusive with both of the other markers, and was confined to irregularly shaped vessels within the submucosae that were devoid of erythrocytes. Furthermore, double immunofluorescence staining revealed little or no CD44 expression on lymphatic vessels, indicating that LYVE-1 is likely to be the major receptor for HA on lymphatic endothelial cells (Fig. 9).

Physiological Function of the LYVE-1 HA Receptor

The lymphatic system is the main conduit for transport of HA from the tissues and degradation within lymph nodes. However, the molecules within lymphatic vessels which interact with lymph fluid HA have not been identified, and the mechanisms driving HA transport to the lymph nodes have yet to be elucidated. Therefore, we considered the possibilities that LYVE-1 might either sequester HA on

Figure 8. Localization of the LYVE-1 HA receptor in human tissues. Paraffin-embedded human tissue sections were stained with rabbit polyclonal LYVE-1 antiserum (1:100 dilution), followed by peroxidase-conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG as described in Materials and Methods. Tissues shown are colon (a and b), small intestine (c–f), salivary gland (g), skin (h and i), lymph node (j), spleen (k), and tonsil (l). m shows cultured HUVEC stained with LYVE-1 and FITC-conjugated anti–rabbit Ig (see Materials and Methods). Bars, j and l, 500 μm; a, c, and e–h, 200 μm; b, d, and k, 50 μm; and i and m, 20 μm. Black arrows depict lymphatic vessels, both empty (d–g) and containing lymphocytes (b), and red arrows depict blood vessels clearly identified by their content of weakly stained erythrocytes (g and i).
the lymph vessel wall or promote HA-mediated rolling of leukocytes present within the lymph fluid. In the first instance, we looked for an association between LYVE-1 and its ligand HA on the luminal face of tissue lymphatics by double immunofluorescent staining, using bHABC conjugated anti–rabbit antibody as described in Materials and Methods (see also Fig. 8).

Abundant quantities of HA were detected throughout the parenchyma and lining the walls of numerous vessels in all the tissues tested (data not shown). A significant amount of this HA indeed colocalized with LYVE-1 in numerous patches (visible as yellow staining), around the luminal surface of lymph vessels, particularly those in the small intestines (Fig. 9). Although relatively high levels of HA (50 μg/ml) are normally found within lymph vessels in vivo (49), we observed some variation in the amounts retained on LYVE-1 stained vessels between and within different tissues. It is not yet clear whether this reflects variation in the amount of HA, or its recovery during the preparation of different tissues, or variation in the binding affinity of LYVE-1 in different locations.

Finally, we looked for evidence that leukocytes adhere to HA presented by LYVE-1 present on the lymph vessel wall. Our initial attempts to demonstrate such adhesion using CD44 transfected Namalwa B lymphoma cells and frozen sections of gut lymphatics in Stamper-Woodruff type assays were difficult to interpret, because of the high levels of binding to HA within the surrounding parenchyma (not shown). As an alternative, we tested the ability of LYVE-1 Fc immobilized on plastic microtiter dishes to support HA-mediated binding to CD44. As shown in Fig. 10, LYVE-1 indeed supported HA-mediated binding of CD44, regardless of the order used for primary presentation or secondary HA binding. In conclusion, our results indicate that LYVE-1, in addition to binding HA within the lymphatics, may also bind HA-coated lymphocytes in transit to the lymph nodes.

### Discussion

The interaction between cells and extracellular matrix HA is clearly of fundamental importance both during embryonic limb development and in processes such as wound healing and inflammation in later adult life (19–22). Such importance predicts that many receptors must be involved in HA adhesion, and that complex mechanisms must exist to regulate both their expression and their ligand-binding affinity. Yet at present, the list of professional cell surface HA receptors is small and includes only the CD44 molecule, RHAMM, and the LEC HA receptors. Furthermore, deletion of the gene for CD44, the most abundant and widely expressed of these, has little if any deleterious effects on the embryo (43). Thus, it has become increasingly clear to many workers in the field that additional receptors for HA are likely to exist within the genome.

In this paper we have described the primary structure and biological function of one such receptor, termed LYVE-1, which is present within vessels of the lymphatic system. This new receptor is a type I integral membrane polypeptide whose extracellular domain encodes a single cartilage Link module, the prototypic HA-binding domain conserved within all members of the Link or hyaladherin superfamily (51). The central core of the LYVE-1 Link module (C2-C3) is 57% identical to that of the human CD44 HA receptor, the only other Link superfamily HA receptor to be described to date and the closest homologue of LYVE-1. Nevertheless, there are distinct differences between LYVE-1 and CD44 that suggest the two homologues differ either in the mode of HA binding or in its regulation.

Firstly, in terms of HA binding, only three of nine residues identified as essential within the CD44 Link module are conserved in LYVE-1 (Lys 38, Tyr 79, and Asp 100) and none of the downstream COOH-terminal basic residues of CD44 are present. Furthermore, unlike CD44, the affinity of LYVE-1 for bHA is drastically reduced with increasing degrees of biotinylation. These features suggest HA is orientated differently within the LYVE-1 Link module and suggest it may bind a larger HA unit than the minimal HA₆ unit bound by CD44 (18, 55). Competition experiments with defined HA fragment sizes will be re-

### Table I. Tissue Distribution of the LYVE-1 Molecule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type*</th>
<th>Staining intensity and description‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>++ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>++ Sinoidal vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsil</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBMC</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal</td>
<td>+ Zona reticularis cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>++ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>+ Exocrine cells/islets of Langerhans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta</td>
<td>+ Syncytiotrophoblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>++ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omentum</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>++ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiorespiratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>+/- Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>+ Cerebral cortex neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>++ Tubular cuboidal epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>+ Lymphatic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Paraffin-embedded human tissue sections were incubated with a polyclonal rabbit anti-human LYVE-1 protein antisera and stained with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti-rabbit antibody as described in Materials and Methods (see also Fig. 8).

†Staining intensity was assessed semiquantitatively using the following indices:

+++, strong staining; ++, moderate staining; +, weak staining; +/-, equivocal staining; −, no staining.

PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.
quired to distinguish between these possibilities. A further distinction between LYVE-1 and CD44 is their dramatic difference in substrate specificity. Unlike CD44, LYVE-1 displays exquisite specificity for binding HA and no affinity for the glycosaminoglycans chondroitin-4 sulfate, chondroitin-6 sulfate, or heparan sulfate. This remarkable ligand specificity also distinguishes LYVE-1 from the lymph node HA receptors which mediate the uptake and degradation of HA from afferent lymph, a process that is blocked by chondroitin sulfate and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (54).

Secondly, the regulation of HA binding and its functional consequences are likely to differ significantly from CD44. Excluding the Link domain and its immediate boundaries, the LYVE-1 extracellular domain, transmembrane anchor, and cytoplasmic tail bear no similarity to those of the CD44 molecule. In the CD44 ectodomain, alternative splicing of up to 10 exons within the membrane-proximal region regulates HA binding in some cell types (5, 44), and introduces new ligand-binding specificities (4, 17). Although we have detected high molecular weight LYVE-1 transcripts in some tissues by Northern blotting (see Fig. 5), we have so far not observed alternatively spliced variants by RT-PCR. In the CD44 transmembrane anchor, a central cysteine residue (30) regulates HA binding in a cell-specific manner, through the formation of lipid domains within the bilayer (35, 39). No such residue is present in the LYVE-1 transmembrane anchor. Lastly, in the CD44 molecule, the 71-residue cytoplasmic tail binds the cytoskeletal components ankyrin and ezrin (52), and is subject to serine phosphorylation that regulates cell motility on HA substrata (16, 38). Although the lack of sequence conservation does not rule out the possibility that LYVE-1 interacts with these cytoskeletal components via different residues or that the LYVE-1 cytoplasmic tail interacts with other as yet undefined molecules, it seems unlikely that the mechanisms which regulate CD44-HA interactions are duplicated for LYVE-1, its closest known homologue.

Perhaps the most striking distinction between LYVE-1...
and CD44 is in their patterns of tissue expression. Intriguingly, the LYVE-1 molecule is largely if not exclusively restricted to lymph vessel endothelial cells from which CD44 is almost completely absent. Comprehensive analyses of a large panel of human tissues by immunoperoxidase staining with specific antisera revealed LYVE-1 lining the lymphatics in virtually every tissue where these structures could be distinguished, and included vessels draining gastrointestinal tissues, skin, lymph nodes, breast, and salivary gland. The greatest concentration of LYVE-1 expression was seen in submucosal lymph vessels underlying smooth muscle in the colon, and the Lacteal vessels of intestinal villi that transport dietary lipid absorbed from the small intestine. Lymphatic vessels are morphologically distinguishable from blood vessels by their reduced or missing basement membrane and lack of erythrocyte content. There are currently no specific immunochromic markers that allow identification of lymphatics, although limited studies with endothelial markers indicate differential expression of the L-selectin ligand CD34 and the coagulation Factor VIII-related protein vWF (32, 42). Our own results using double immunofluorescence indicate that LYVE-1 defines a set of lymphatic vessels which express neither CD34 nor vWF and identify LYVE-1 as a powerful new marker for future studies of lymphatic structure and function.

The absence of LYVE-1 from vascular endothelial cells, in addition to its absence from lymphocytes, macrophages, fibroblasts, and epithelial cells, implies a rather different physiological role from that of the CD44 molecule, which is expressed abundantly in each of these locations (41) but is largely absent from lymphatic vessels. The primary role of the CD44 HA receptor appears to be the regulation of cell motility (50), as evidenced by its association with the migration of mesenchymal cells during differentiation, and with the hematogenous spread of tumor cells in experimental neoplasia (47). This is also apparent in inflammation, where CD44 expression on lymphocytes promotes migration through HA-coated vascular endothelium (7, 8), as well as the migration of lymphocytes through HA-coated reticular networks within peripheral lymph nodes (6). LYVE-1 by contrast appears to be restricted to relatively nonmotile lymphatic endothelial cells, where it seems more likely to function in the immobilization of HA in the vessel lumen, rather than in its recognition as a positional cue for migration. A prominent function of the lymphatic system is the provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes, provision of fluid drainage of immune cells and foreign antigens from the tissues to the peripheral lymph nodes. Such a role is supported by our finding that LYVE-1 and HA colocalize in the luminal face of the lymph vessels, and that LYVE-1 can support HA-mediated adhesion to CD44. We are currently performing experiments to distinguish these and other interesting possibilities.
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