Assembly of 5S Ribosomal RNA Is Required at a Specific Step of the Pre-rRNA Processing Pathway
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Abstract. A collection of yeast strains surviving with mutant 5S RNA has been constructed. The mutant strains presented alterations of the nucleolar structure, with less granular component, and a delocalization of the 25S rRNA throughout the nucleoplasm. The 5S RNA mutations affected helix I and resulted in decreased amounts of stable 5S RNA and of the ribosomal 60S subunits. The shortage of 60S subunits was due to a specific defect in the processing of the 27SB pre-rRNA that gives rise to the mature 25S and 5.8S rRNA. The processing rate of the 27SB pre-rRNA was specifically delayed, whereas the 27SA and 20S pre-rRNA were processed at a normal rate. The defect was partially corrected by increasing the amount of mutant 5S RNA. We propose that the 5S RNA is recruited by the pre-60S particle and that its recruitment is necessary for the efficient processing of the 27SB rRNA precursor. Such a mechanism could ensure that all newly formed mature 60S subunits contain stoichiometric amounts of the three rRNA components.
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Eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis requires the coordinate assembly of 70–80 ribosomal proteins with four ribosomal RNA s to yield the two mature ribosomal subunits. It takes place in the nucleolus where the large 35S rRNA precursor encoding the 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNA s (the sizes are from Saccharomyces cerevisiae) is synthesized by RNA polymerase I (for review see Woolford and Warner, 1991). The fourth RNA , 5S RNA , is encoded by independent genes transcribed by RNA polymerase III. In most eukaryotic organisms the 5S RNA genes are located outside the nucleolus (Hadjiolov, 1985), with the exception of S. cerevisiae in which the 5S RNA genes are located in the nucleolus between the large ribosomal transcription units and transcribed in the opposite orientation (Warner, 1989). The synthesis of the 5S ribosomal RNA by a distinct form of RNA polymerase raises the question of its coordinated production with the other rRNA components. As yet, there is no indication that coregulation exists at the level of transcription, even in S. cerevisiae with its special form of ribosomal gene organization.

5S ribosomal RNA , before its incorporation into the ribosome, can bind to different proteins and be translocated in different cellular compartments. In eukaryotes, newly synthesized 5S RNA interacts transiently with the protein La before its binding to the ribosomal protein rpl5 (Steitz et al., 1988; Guddat et al., 1990; Yoo and Wolin, 1994). In Xenopus oocytes, because of the extraordinary demand for ribosome production, 5S RNA is produced in large quantities and is complexed, in addition to rpl5, with other proteins including the transcription factor TFIIIA (Picard and Wegnez, 1979; Picard et al., 1980). The 5S/RNP particles are exported to the cytoplasm where they are stored. During vitellogenesis, rpl5 replaces TFIIIA in association with 5S RNA , which migrates back to the nucleus where it is directed to the nucleolus for incorporation into ribosomes (Rudt and Pieler, 1996). The transit of the 5S RNA through the cytoplasm is likely due to the necessity to store large amounts of 5S RNA during the early stages of the Xenopus development. In somatic cells, the nuclear rpl5–5S particle is probably directed immediately to the nucleolus and does not transit through the cytoplasm (Allison et al., 1995; Michael and Dreyfuss, 1996). Similarly, in S. cerevisiae, where 5S RNA is synthesized in the nucleolus, the RNA is probably directly incorporated into ribosomes and does not transit through the cytoplasm, as suggested by the fact that the rpl5–5S complex is found mostly in the nucleus upon the disruption of the large ribosomal subunit assembly in rpl16 mutants (Deshmukh et al., 1993).

Ribosome assembly occurs simultaneously with the maturation of the 35S precursor rRNA that includes methyla-
tion, pseudouridylation, and cleavage reactions. The cleavage steps of the rRNA have been particularly well studied in S. cerevisiae and serve as landmarks to describe the whole pathway, but the step at which the 5S–rpl5 particle is incorporated to the ribosome is not well known (Venema and Tollervey, 1995). In HeLa cells, 5S RNA can be found in ribosomal precursor particles that have not yet undergone the processing step that makes 5.8S rRNA (Warner and Soeiro, 1967). Similarly, indirect methods using yeast-labeled cells have suggested that 5S RNA is present in pre-60S particles, precursors of the large subunits, and may already be present in the less matured pre-60S particles that contain 35S pre-rRNA (Trapman et al., 1976). These two studies suggest that 5S RNA incorporation is an early event of the ribosome assembly/maturation pathway. On the other hand, the fact that a 5S–rpL5 complex could be easily dissociated from the large 60S ribosomal subunit without disrupting it, suggested that the 5S–rpL5 complex may already be present in the less matured pre-60S particles, precursors of the large subunit. Cross-linking experiments suggested that the 5S RNA could be incorporated at any step, but was, nevertheless, necessary at a late step to form an active ribosomal particle (Dohme and Nierhaus, 1976). The location of 5S RNA in mature ribosomal particles has been the subject of intensive studies in E. coli (Bogdanov et al., 1995). The 5S RNA is located in the central protuberance of the large subunit, in close proximity to the small ribosomal subunit. Cross-linking experiments suggest a direct interaction between residues in the D-loop of the 5S RNA and at least two regions of 23S rRNA that are of major functional importance: the GTPase-associated region, involved in EF-G binding, and the peptidyltransferase center (Donskova et al., 1994; Sergiev et al., 1998).

In the present study, by using mutant 5S RNA we show that 5S RNA is incorporated at a late step of preribosomal RNA maturation and that the recruitment of the 5S RNA is necessary for the efficient processing of the 275S prerRNA. The participation of 5S RNA in the pre-rRNA processing pathway would ensure that stoichiometric amounts of the three rRNA species of the large subunit are produced. In addition, we found that alterations of the 60S subunit formation are accompanied by modifications of the nuclear localization of these subunits and of the nucleolar granular compartment.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains, Plasmids, and Media**

Yeast genetic techniques and media were as described by A usubel et al. (1987). The wild-type strain Y R W1 was M A Tα can1-100 his3-11 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 ade2-1 trc2-1 leu2-2 p A A 230 (URA3, TFC2, and CEN3) (A rchambaut et al., 1992). The Y S C14 strain was derived from Y R W1; it survived without the TFI11A factor because of the presence of a multicopy plasmid (pRS3) containing a 5S RNA gene under the control of the RNA polymerase III RPR1 promoter as described in Camier et al. (1995). The RPR1-5S gene was mutagenized as described below to create a collection of pOK plasmids. Mutant cells carrying these new plasmids were obtained by transforming Y R W1 and selecting the cells that survived without p A A 230. The mutant strains contained the following plasmids: Y O K 69 contained pO K1 plasmid; Y O K 71 (pO K3); Y O K 72 (pO K4); Y O K 73 (pO K5); Y O K 74 (pO K6); Y O K 76 (pO K7); and Y O K 77 (pO K8).

**Mutagenesis of the RPR1-5S Gene**

The four nucleotides (GGCA) at the 5’ end of the mature 5S RNA in Y S C14 strain were mutated according to the two-step PCR method described by Higuchi et al. (1988). The sequence at the junction between the RPR1 promoter and the 5S RNA DNA was GA T T G G C A G G T T; the italic sequence corresponds to the 5’ end of the wild-type 5S RNA and the underlined sequence corresponds to the sequence that was mutagenized. Two overlapping PCR fragments, one corresponding to the 5’ part of the RPR1-5S (from the 5’ end of the gene to downstream of the mutagenesis site) and the other to the 3’ part of the RPR1-5S (from upstream of the mutagenesis site to the 3’ end of the gene) were synthesized. These two PCR products were obtained using mutagenic primers and 5’ and 3’ primers containing a BamHI restriction site. Mutagenic PCR products were used as templates for a third PCR reaction to synthesize a complete RPR1-5S gene with mutations on both strands. The mutagenic primers for the synthesis of the 3’ PCR fragments, the primers were d(GC A A C C A G (A/G) A (G/A) T C G C A G C T C C C T C C C) for pO K1, 4, 5, and 6, or d(GC A A C C A A T C G C A G C T C C C) for the preparation of pO K7. The third PCR combined 1 pmol of each 5’ and 3’ PCR products and the 5’ and 3’ primers containing the BamHI restriction site. The resulting PCR fragments were digested with BamHI. The pOK plasmids derived from the pRS3 plasmid by exchange of the BamHI fragment containing the wild-type RPR1-5S gene with the RPR1-5S fragment containing a mutated RPR1-5S gene. The nature of the mutations was determined by sequencing. The pO K 3 and pO K 8 plasmids contained two repeats of the BamHI fragment from pO K 4 and pO K 1, respectively.

**RNA Analysis**

RNA s were extracted as described by Schmitt et al. (1990) with some modifications. Cells corresponding to 10 ml of culture at an OD 600nm 0.4–0.6 were resuspended in 250 μl of 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.3, 10 mM EDTA, plus 25 μl of 30% SDS, mixed with 150 μl of acid-washed glass beads and 250 μl of phenol, vortexed vigorously, and incubated for 5 min at 65°C with intermittent vortexing. RNAs were precipitated with 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.3, and 3 vol of ethanol at –20°C overnight. Small RNAs were separated on a denaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

**Quantification of Small RNA.**

Small RNA species ranging from 1 to 5 μg were analyzed on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The gel picture was registered with an enhanced analysis system (E. A. S. Y.; Herolab) and the amount of each species was measured by densitometry using the NIH Image program. The curves for each RNA species were used to measure the average ratio between the amount of two RNA species (5S RNA and tRNA and 5.8S rRNA and 5S RNA). The experiments were repeated at least three times for each strain.

**Analysis of High Molecular Weight rRNAs.**

High molecular weight rRNA s were analyzed on 12% agarose gels after denaturation with glyoxal and dimethylsulfoxide as described in A usubel et al. (1987). A filter of a 14 h at 55°C in 10 mM NaHPO4, pH 7.0, RNA s were transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane (Boehringer Mannheim) and cross-linked with UV. RNA s were visualized by staining the membrane with 0.04% methylene blue in 0.5 M sodium acetate and 3H-labeled RNA s were detected by spraying the membrane with ENHANCE® (DuPont) and autoradiographed at ~70°C.

**Analysis of the 5’ and 3’ Ends of 5S RNA.**

The 5’ end of 5S RNA was identified by primer extension using the MMLV reverse transcriptase and the internal 5S RNA oligonucleotide GTC A G G C T T A C G A G C T - T A A C as described in J acquet et al. (1989). The identification of the 3’ end of the mutant 5S RNA s was carried out by sequencing using either chemical modifications or ribonuclease digestions as described below. The mutant 5S RNA s were isolated from denaturing gels and 3’ end was labeled with [32P]dCTP using T4 RNA ligase. The two labeled bands were separated on an 8% sequencing polyacrylamide gel, isolated, and analyzed separately. The chemical sequence was carried out using standard reactions as described in England et al. (1980) using diethylpyrocarbonate for the modification of A, dimethylsulfate for G, aqueous hydrazine for U, and 3 M NaCl in anhydrous hydrazine for C. A filter the modifications of the bases, the RNA s were digested with aniline and separated on a sequencing gel. The ribonuclease sequencing of the 3’ end-labeled 5S RNA s was performed as described in Donis-Keller et al. (1977) with mod-
ifictions: 5S RNA s were partially digested with RNase T1 (that cleaves next to G residues) or RNase A (that cleaves after C and U). The products resulting from the two digestions were analyzed on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. The results obtained with the two methods were identical.

Analysis of RNA Extremities Resulting from Processing in ITS2. The 5’ end of the 25S rRNA was analyzed by primer extension and the 3’ end of the 18S rRNA precursor was analyzed by an RNase protection assay. Primer extension analysis was done according to the method described by Haraguchi et al. (1997). The 5’ end 32P-labeled oligonucleotide for the analysis of the 5’ end of the 25S rRNA (cleavage at site C1) was TA CTA G- GCA AT TCCC GGTTGG (Haraguchi et al., 1997). The RNase protection assay was carried out using a 32P-labeled transcript complementary to the ITS2 sequence, prepared with T7 RNA polymerase. The T7 template corresponds to a DNA fragment encoding the major part of the ITS2 sequence (nucleotides 1–215), amplified by PCR, using oligonucleotides C TCTT- CAAA A C AT TCC GTT GTG TAG and GCC TGA CG CTCT CTT CTTTA as primers and cloned under a T7 RNA polymerase promoter. Total yeast RNA was hybridized with T7 RNA transcripts and the resulting RNA duplexes were digested with a mixture of RNase A and RNase T1 and analyzed on a denaturing agarose gel (Ausubel et al., 1987).

Ribosome Analysis

Yeast polyribosomes were fractionated by sucrose gradients (Baird et al., 1985). Cells were grown in 100 ml of yeast extract/peptone/glucose (YPD) medium at 30°C. 30°C cells were collected by centrifugation and washed with lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.6, 50 mM NH4Cl, 50 μM MgCl2, 50 μM of cycloheximide, and 200 μg/ml of heparin. They were resuspended in two volumes of lysate buffer and one volume of acid-washed glass beads. The cells were disrupted by vortexing the suspension eight times for 15 s with a 30 s period of cooling on ice after each vortexing step. The samples were spun at 5,000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatants were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. A portion of the supernatant corresponding to 10 OD units at 5,000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatants were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. A portion of the supernatant corresponding to 10 OD units at 260 nm was layered over an 11-mL linear sucrose gradient (7–47% wt/vol) containing 50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.6, 50 mM NH4Cl, 12 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM EDTA. The sucrose gradients were centrifuged at 39,000 rpm for 2 h. The pellets thus obtained were centrifuged at 30,000 rpm for 1 h.

Pulse-Chase Experiments

For pulse-chase labeling of pre-rRNA, 10 ml of cells growing in a synthetic medium without methionine at an OD600nm of 0.2–0.5 was incubated. The cells were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant corresponding to 10 OD units was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. A portion of the supernatant corresponding to 10 OD units was immediately frozen in a dry ice/ethanol bath and stored at −20°C until RNA extraction and analysis as described above. The time of harvesting and freezing of the cells (1 min) was included in the chase times.

Overexpression of RPL5 and LHP1 Genes

Overexpression of the rpl5 and lhp1 genes was obtained by transforming the wild-type and mutant strains with the multicopy plasmid pFL44L (provided by Dr. J.L. Woolford (Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA), and it corresponds to a DNA fragment encoding the major part of the ITS2 sequence (nucleotides 1–215), amplified by PCR, using oligonucleotides C TCTT- CAAA A C AT TCC GTT GTG TAG and GCC TGA CG CTCT CTT CTTTA as primers and cloned under a T7 RNA polymerase promoter. Total yeast RNA was hybridized with T7 RNA transcripts and the resulting RNA duplexes were digested with a mixture of RNase A and RNase T1 and analyzed on a denaturing agarose gel (Ausubel et al., 1987).

Strains were grown under selective conditions in a synthetic medium without uracil (−Ura) at an OD600nm of 0.2, diluted 10–100-fold and spotted on −Ura plates. The plates were incubated at 30°C or 37°C for various periods of time as indicated in the figure legend. Photographs were scanned and arranged with Adobe Photoshop to have a homogenous black background.

Electron Microscopy

The preparation of cells for electron microscopy and freeze electron sub- stitution was as described by Leger-Silvestre et al. (1997). For all observa- tions, the grids were contrasted with saturated aqueous uranyl acetate alone or combined with lead citrate (freeze-substitution grids) and imaged in a JEOL 1200EX electron microscope operating at 80 kV.

The grids for immunolocalization microscopy were pretreated for 15 min with PBS buffer, pH 7.6, containing 2% BSA and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with anti-Nop1 mAb bs (1:100) provided by Dr. J. Aris (University of Florida, Gainesville, FL). The grids were washed for 30 min with PBS buffer containing 1% BSA and transferred for 1 h to colloidal gold-conjugate goat anti–mouse diluted 1:80 in the same buffer. A further incubation, the grids were washed for 20 min in PBS buffer and 10 min in water, and air-dried. Controls were performed using gold-labeled antiserum alone. No labeling was detected on these grids.

For in situ detection of ribosomal RNA s, a 35S pre-rRNA probe was synthesized by nick-translation in the presence of digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Boehringer Mannheim) from a plasmid containing an rRNA unit. The probe was added to a final concentration of 50 μM and incubation was continued for various periods of time as indicated in the figures. Samples (2 ml) were centrifuged at room temperature. Nonspecific immunological sites were blocked for 30 min with 5% BSA in 4X SSC.

Results

5S Mutations Result in Less Stable 5S RNA and Decreased Growth Rate

A collection of yeast strains surviving with mutated 5S RNA has been constructed using a genetic system described previously (Camier et al., 1995). In these strains, transcription of the endogenous 5S RNA genes was abol- ished by inactivating the gene coding for the transcription factor TFIII A. The cells survive with S S RNA expressed from a chimeric gene that does not require TFIII A. The RPR1 promoter fused to the 5S RNA gene is transcribed by RNA polymerase III to give an RPR1-5S RNA precursor that is processed into 5S RNA molecules with extended 5’ and 3’ ends. The 5’ extremity contains four additional 5’ nucleotides G-GCA (Table I, strain Y S C) and the 3’ extremity is heterogeneous, with 1-3 additional residues (data not shown). In wild-type 5S RNA, the 5’ and 3’ ends of the molecules are paired in a stem called helix I; the additional nucleotides could be part of an extended helix I and protected from degradation during RNA processing (M oore, 1996). To remove the extra nucleotides, mutations, which could potentially decrease interactions between the 5’ and 3’ ends of the 5S RNA, were intro-
duced in the sequence upstream of the 5S rRNA gene (Table I, strains YOK69, YOK73, YOK72, and YOK74). A deletion mutant was also constructed that removed the last four nucleotides of the RPR1 sequence at the junction with the 5S RNA gene (Table I, strain YOK76). All the mutations gave rise to functional 5S RNA, since the cells could grow in the absence of TFIIIA, at 30°C (Table I). Small RNA's were prepared from all mutants and analyzed on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 1). No mutation restored the normal size of the 5S RNA. The 5S RNA of all mutants migrated as two RNA bands of 125- and 127-nt long. The analysis of their 5S RNA showed that the growth rate at 30°C, and that the cell growth rate at 30°C reflected in part the amount of stable 5S RNA in the cell. The ratio between the amounts of tRNA and 5S RNA was determined by densitometry and found to be 2.2, suggesting that the increase in size was due to the presence of extra nucleotides adjacent to that helix could perturb the binding of rpL5 and, therefore, result in decreased amount of stable 5S RNA. We found that in the slow growing mutants (YOK74, YOK76, YOK72, YOK73, and YOK71), the overexpression of the gene encoding rpL5 improved the growth rate at 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 3). The amount of 5S RNA, analyzed in the most altered mutant (YOK74), was increased upon RPL5 overexpression, which is likely the cause of the improved growth rate (Table II). Similar experiments were conducted with the LH1P1 gene encoding the yeast homologue of the La protein, known to interact with the 3' end of RNA polymerase III transcripts (Stefano, 1984). La overexpression also led to an improved growth rate and resulted in an increased amount of stable 5S RNA. We found that in the slow growing mutants (YOK74, YOK76, YOK72, YOK73, and YOK71), the overexpression of the gene encoding rpL5 improved the growth rate at 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 3). The amount of 5S RNA, analyzed in the most altered mutant (YOK74), was increased upon RPL5 overexpression, which is likely the cause of the improved growth rate (Table II).

**Table I. Characteristics of Yeast Strains Surviving with 5S rRNA Mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Number of RPR1-5S repeats</th>
<th>Doubling time at 30°C</th>
<th>Growth at 37°C</th>
<th>tRNA/5S ratio</th>
<th>5.8S/5S ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSC14†</td>
<td>GAGA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK69</td>
<td>CTCT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK73</td>
<td>TCCT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK72</td>
<td>CTCT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK76†</td>
<td>GCTT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK74</td>
<td>CTCT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK77</td>
<td>CCCT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK71</td>
<td>CTCT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequence immediately upstream of the 5S rRNA gene in the RPR1-5S construct.
†5.8S rRNA, 5S rRNA, and tRNA were separated by electrophoresis in polyacrylamide denaturing gels and their amounts were determined by scanning the gels after ethidium bromide staining (see Materials and Methods). The results are from at least three independent experiments.
‡The original 5S rRNA mutant derived from the RPR1-5S construct with nonmutagenized 5' sequence.
§Deletion mutant.

Figure 1. Analysis of the small stable RNA in 5S rRNA mutants. Wild-type and mutant strains were grown in YPD medium to an OD600 of 0.5 at 30°C. Total RNA was extracted, 5 μg were analyzed on an 8% polyacrylamide/8 M urea gel, and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. 5.8S rRNA, 5S RNA (mutant and wild-type), and tRNA are indicated by arrows or brackets. The picture corresponds to a negative exposure.
5S RNA-Lhp1p. Alternatively, the slow migrating RNA band generated by LHP1 overexpression could have a higher affinity for rpl5 than the fastest migrating band and, therefore, be better stabilized.

**Mutations in 5S RNA Result in Decreased Synthesis of the Ribosomal 60S Subunit**

When analyzing the small RNA species present in 5S mutants, we noticed that whereas the ratio between tRNA and 5S RNA increased severalfold in slow growing cells, the ratio between 5.8S rRNA and 5S RNA remained practically constant, similar to the wild-type value (Table I). This result indicated that the amount of 5.8S rRNA decreased concomitantly with the amount of 5S RNA. Since 5S RNA and 5.8S rRNA are part of the same large 60S ribosomal subunit, we analyzed the content in ribosomal subunits in the mutant strains. Polyribosomes and free ribosomal particles were separated on sucrose velocity gradients from wild-type cells and two different 5S mutants (Fig. 4).

Mutant ribosomal profiles showed marked alterations that were more accentuated in the slow growth mutant, YSC14, than in a better growing mutant, YOK77. First, the total amount of polyribosomes was significantly reduced in both mutants, suggesting a less efficient protein synthesis rate compared with wild-type cells. Second, the ratio between the amount of free 60S and free 40S ribosomal subunits was reversed in the mutants because of the accumulation of 40S subunits and a reduction in 60S particles. The imbalance between the 60S and 40S subunits was also evidenced by the presence of additional peaks sedimenting more quickly than the 80S monoribosomes or the polyribosomes. These peaks (Fig. 4, arrows) are likely to represent halfmers polyribosomes that contain mRNA associated with an integral number of ribosomes plus a stalled 48S preinitiation complex. The presence of halfmers can be an indication of a decreased amount of 60S subunits. To confirm the deficiency in 60S subunits, ribosomal profiles were run under conditions that dissociate the ribosomes. The ratio between the 60S and 40S subunits, which is ~2 for wild-type cells, decreased to 1 in YSC14 cells (data not shown). Therefore, the decreased amount of 5S RNA observed in the mutant cells is accompanied by a decrease in the total amount of 60S subunits. This decrease very likely alters the protein synthesis rate that results in decreased growth rate.

**The Level of 5S RNA Affects Pre-rRNA Processing**

The shortage in mature 60S subunits could reflect the misincorporation of the mutant 5S RNA in the pre-60S particles, which in turn would lead to the accumulation of partially assembled 60S subunits. This possibility was particularly interesting since in all the mutant profiles analyzed, we reproducibly observed an additional peak sedimenting more quickly than the 80S monoribosomes or the polyribosomes. These peaks (Fig. 4, arrows) are likely to represent halfmers polyribosomes that contain mRNA associated with an integral number of ribosomes plus a stalled 48S preinitiation complex. The presence of halfmers can be an indication of a decreased amount of 60S subunits. To confirm the deficiency in 60S subunits, ribosomal profiles were run under conditions that dissociate the ribosomes. The ratio between the 60S and 40S subunits, which is ~2 for wild-type cells, decreased to 1 in YSC14 cells (data not shown). Therefore, the decreased amount of 5S RNA observed in the mutant cells is accompanied by a decrease in the total amount of 60S subunits. This decrease very likely alters the protein synthesis rate that results in decreased growth rate.

**Table II. Overexpression of RPL5 or LHP1 Increases Both the Amount of 5S rRNA and the Growth Rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Doubling time at 30°C</th>
<th>Growth at 37°C</th>
<th>5S rRNA/5S ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YOK74 + vector</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK74 + RPL5-2µ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOK74 + LHP1-2µ</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
menting as a 35S particle, absent in the wild-type cells and whose intensity was particularly high in the YSC14 strain. However, the analysis of the RNA content of the peak showed that it did not contain any ribosomal RNA, but instead an RNA species of 2600 nt that corresponded to the 20S single-strand viral RNA (data not shown) (Wejksnora and Haber, 1978; Matsumoto et al., 1990; Rodriguez-Cousino et al., 1991). We did not identify any other peak that could represent the partially assembled 60S subunit. The analysis of the 5S RNA content in the 60S subunits showed that the different mutant 5S RNA species were all incorporated in the subunits, which shows that the decrease in 60S was not due to the selective incorporation of only some of these species.

We explored the possibility that 5S RNA could play a role in the biogenesis of the 60S subunit and analyzed the preribosomal RNA processing steps in the mutants (Fig. 5). The fate of the ribosomal precursors was followed in the WT strain and the YOK77 and YSC14 mutants by pulse-chase experiments after labeling with methyl-[3H]methionine. The methylation patterns were quantified and the efficiencies of maturation of the different species were measured (Table III). The efficiency of maturation of the 20S pre-RNA into 18S mature rRNA was similar in the mutants and the WT, ~70% after a 2.5-min chase and 90% after a 5.5-min chase (Table III, $e_{18}$). In sharp contrast, the accumulation of the mature 25S rRNA took much longer in the mutant cells compared with the WT, with a more pronounced defect in the YSC14 strain: after a 2.5-min chase no mature 25S rRNA was detected in YSC14 cells, only 15% in YOK77 cells, whereas the maturation was 70% complete in the WT cells (Table III, $e_{25}$).

The delay in the formation of the mature 25S rRNA was due to the delay of one specific step corresponding to the maturation of the 27SB precursor. The processing of the 27SA pre-RNA was not detectably altered since after a...
ence of [3H]uracil instead of [3H]methionine also showed a delay of some of the 5S mutants described here.

Similar pulse-chase experiments performed in the presence of [3H]uracil instead of [3H]methionine also showed a specific delay in the processing of the 27SB precursor, which was again more pronounced in the YSC14 strain as compared with the YOK77 strain (data not shown). Therefore, the delay observed was not due to a delay in the methylation reaction. The processing of the 27SB precursor consists in the removal of a part of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) between sites C1 and C2, through a still unknown mechanism (Venema and Tollervey, 1995). We analyzed the C1 and C2 cleavage sites by primer extension and RNase protection experiments, respectively. No difference was found between the 5S mutants and the wild-type cells (data not shown). Therefore, the 5S RNA mutations did not alter the cleavage sites in the precursor but had a strong effect on the processing reaction rate. The decreased processing rate of the RNA of the large subunit is likely at the origin of the decreased growth rate. There is indeed a good correlation between the processing rate and the growth rate, as shown for example in the YSC14 mutant, which grows four times more slowly than the WT, and processes the 27SB pre-RNA four times more slowly (half complete maturation of the 25S rRNA is observed after a 6-min chase compared with 1.5-min in the WT).

As described above, one main consequence of the 5S mutations was to decrease the amount of stable 5S RNA in the cells. If the low processing rate in the mutants origi-
When similar experiments were conducted with a probe hybridizing to the 18S rRNA of the small ribosomal subunit, its localization was exclusively nucleolar for both wild-type and 5S mutant cells (data not shown). The ribosomal RNA mislocalized in the nucleus of 5S mutants corresponded, therefore, to the RNA of the large ribosomal subunit. The nuclear localization of the small subunit RNA remained unaffected.

**Discussion**

The novelty of this paper consists in the observation that 5S RNA plays a role in the processing of the large ribosomal subunit RNA. We show that there is a direct correlation between the rate of processing of the 27SB RNA precursor and the amount of 5S RNA present in the cells. We propose that 5S RNA binds to the preparticle containing the 27SB RNA and that this binding is necessary for the processing to proceed at a normal rate and give rise to the mature rRNA of the large subunit. This mechanism could participate in a quality control process ensuring that all newly formed mature 60S ribosomal subunits contain stoichiometric amounts of the three RNA components.

We have studied a collection of yeast strains surviving with mutant 5S RNA. The 5S mutations that correspond to nucleotide extensions at the 5' and 3' ends of 5S RNA result in decreased accumulation of 5S RNA in the cells, which is possibly due to the lower affinity of the ribosomal RNA for the pre-rRNA in the nucleolus.
et al., 1993; Yeh and Lee, 1995a,b; Yeh et al., 1996). Al-
metered subunits in the presence of mutant rpL5 (Deshmukh
and collaborators who observed a decreased production of
mature 60S subunits, and of Lee
and collaborators who found that in the absence of rpL5 syn-
thesis, no mature 60S subunits were produced, and of
additional evidence came from the work of Woolford and
collaborators who observed a decrease in the production of 60S subunits.

Additional evidence came from the work of Woolford and
collaborators who found that expressing mutant 5S RNA in
otherwise wild-type cells (i.e., YOK74 + vector) led to a decrease in the production of 60S subunits. 5S RNA is one of the slowest steps of the pathway, reflecting probable levels, they probably reflected the role of 5S RNA as well since rpL5 and 5S RNA are associated in an RNP that binds to the preribosomes. In yeast cells depleted of rpL5 no specific defect of the large ribosomal RNA processing was detected, but the whole processing pathway was slowed down. The delay of all the processing steps probably does not mean that rpL5 plays a role in all these steps. It may rather be due to a feedback mechanism, as proposed for two other yeast mutants (Nip7 and Spb4) altered in the processing of 27SB pre-rRNA and that displayed a delay in the processing of 35S and 32S pre-rRNA s (Zanchin et al., 1997; de la Cruz et al., 1998b). The maturation step affected by 5S RNA corresponds to two cleavage steps in the ITS2, at site C1 (the 5' end of mature 25S RNA) and site C2 (located within ITS2) that release the mature 25S RNA and the 7S preribosome, which is further processed to yield the mature 5.8S RNA. No mutant has been isolated that presented a defect in only one of the cleavage steps, which suggests that the two cleavages are connected. The maturation of 27SB preribosome corresponds to one of the slowest steps of the pathway, reflecting probably important structural rearrangements and the need to recruit several components (Guytor et al., 1997), among which is probably the 5S-rpL5 complex. 5S RNA probably does not have a direct role in the cleavage reactions but could well be involved in the correct assembly of a maturation-competent preparticle. Other yeast mutants slowed down in the processing of 27SB prerRNA are assembly mutants altered in the ribosomal proteins rpL16 or rpL32 (Moritz et al., 1991; Vilardeil and Warner, 1997), mutants in putative RNA helicases (Ripmaster et al., 1992; de la Cruz et al., 1998a), and mutants in a methylase (Hug et al., 1997).

In parallel with the ribosomal processing defects, the 5S

Our observation that 5S RNA plays a role in the forma-
tion of the mature 60S subunits is in agreement with previous observations made by other groups. Nazar and collaborators found that expressing mutant 5S RNA in otherwise wild-type cells (i.e., also containing wild-type 5S RNA) led to a decrease in the production of 60S subunits suggesting that the 5S RNA was necessary for the formation or the stability of the subunit (Van Ryl et al., 1992). Additional evidence came from the work of Woolford and collaborators who found that in the absence of rpL5 synthesis, no mature 60S subunits were produced, and of Lee and collaborators who observed a decreased production of 60S subunits in the presence of mutant rpL5 (Dechampsme et al., 1993; Yeh and Lee, 1995a,b; Yeh et al., 1996). Although these experiments altered rpL5 levels, they probably reflected the role of 5S RNA as well since rpL5 and 5S RNA are associated in an RNP that binds to the preribosomes. In yeast cells depleted of rpL5 no specific defect of the large ribosomal RNA processing was detected, but the whole processing pathway was slowed down. The delay of all the processing steps probably does not mean that rpL5 plays a role in all these steps. It may rather be due to a feedback mechanism, as proposed for two other yeast mutants (Nip7 and Spb4) altered in the processing of 27SB pre-rRNA and that displayed a delay in the processing of 35S and 32S pre-rRNA s (Zanchin et al., 1997; de la Cruz et al., 1998b).

The maturation step affected by 5S RNA corresponds to two cleavage steps in the ITS2, at site C1 (the 5' end of mature 25S RNA) and site C2 (located within ITS2) that release the mature 25S RNA and the 7S pre-rRNA, which is further processed to yield the mature 5.8S RNA. No mutant has been isolated that presented a defect in only one of the cleavage steps, which suggests that the two cleavages are connected. The maturation of 27SB pre-rRNA is one of the slowest steps of the pathway, reflecting probably important structural rearrangements and the need to recruit several components (Guytor et al., 1997), among which is probably the 5S-rpL5 complex. 5S RNA probably does not have a direct role in the cleavage reactions but could well be involved in the correct assembly of a maturation-competent preparticle. Other yeast mutants slowed down in the processing of 27SB pre-rRNA are assembly mutants altered in the ribosomal proteins rpL16 or rpL32 (Moritz et al., 1991; Vilardeil and Warner, 1997), mutants in putative RNA helicases (Ripmaster et al., 1992; de la Cruz et al., 1998a), and mutants in a methylase (Hug et al., 1997).
RNA mutants presented alterations of the nucleolar structure. Their nucleolus appeared less dense and with a less granular component than a wild-type nucleolus. The modification of the granular compartment is expected since this compartment corresponds to a concentration of preribosomal particles in the late stages of maturation (Shaw and Jordan, 1995), and the 5S mutants are altered in one of these stages. More unexpected was the delocalization of the large ribosomal subunit RNA throughout the nucleoplasm, which shows that the transport of the preparticles from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm is altered in these mutants. There is not a general defect in the nuclear transport since the localization of the small ribosomal subunit RNA was normal. Interestingly, in yeast mutants altered in a nucleoporin and, therefore, in the transport across the nuclear pores, a similar delocalization of 35S ribosomal RNA throughout the nucleus has been observed (Gas, N., unpublished observations). We propose that mutant preparticles not properly processed are not exported to the cytoplasm, which perturbs the whole trafficking of the pre-subunits in the nucleus. Very few data are available on the mechanism of transport of the preribosomal particles across the nucleoplasm and the nuclear envelope. There are some indications that transport and nucleolar organi-

Figure 7. The nucleolus of 5S RNA mutants is partially disorganized. (A) Electron micrograph after freeze electron substitution of the wild-type and YSC14 strains grown at 30°C. Substructures similar to the components of the nucleolus of higher eukaryotes are visualized: the fibrillar center (FC), the dense fibrillar component (DFC), and the granular component (GC). The fibrillar centers are not visible on the WT section. N, nucleoplasm. (B) Immunogold localization of the nucleolar protein Nop1 in the wild-type and YSC14 strains. Nop1 was detected using anti-Nop1 mAbs revealed with a secondary gold-conjugated antibody. Gold particles colocalized with the nucleolus in the two strains. N, nucleoplasm. Bars, 500 nm.
zation could be linked, since mutants affected in proteins of the mRNA export pathway exhibit alterations of the nucleolus and defects in the preribosomal RNA processing (Kadowaki et al., 1994).
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