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N-Glycans Mediate the Apical Sorting of a GPI-anchored, Raft-associated Protein in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney Cells
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Abstract. Glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins are preferentially transported to the apical cell surface of polarized Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. It has been assumed that the GPI anchor itself acts as an apical determinant by its interaction with sphingolipid-cholesterol rafts. We modified the rat growth hormone (rGH), an unglycosylated, unpolarized secreted protein, into a GPI-anchored protein and analyzed its surface delivery in polarized MDCK cells. The addition of a GPI anchor to rGH did not lead to an increase in apical delivery of the protein. However, addition of N-glycans to GPI-anchored rGH resulted in predominant apical delivery, suggesting that N-glycans act as apical sorting signals on GPI-anchored proteins as they do on transmembrane and secretory proteins. In contrast to the GPI-anchored rGH, a transmembrane form of rGH which was not raft-associated accumulated intracellularly. A deletion of N-glycans to this chimeric protein prevented intracellular accumulation and led to apical delivery.
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Table I. Localization and Glycosylation of Several Natural and Artificial GPI-anchored Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Glycosylation</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLAP*</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Brown et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAF*</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N/0</td>
<td>Caco-2/SK-CO15</td>
<td>Lisanti et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy-1*</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Powell et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S'-Nucleotidase</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Kenworthy and Edidin, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hGH-DAF</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Lisanti et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gD1-DAF</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Lisanti et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glypican</td>
<td>Bl</td>
<td>Heparan sulfate</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Mertens et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGE'-Thy1*</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>Yes|</td>
<td>MDCK/CaCo-2</td>
<td>Soole et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP-2</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK II/G</td>
<td>Mays et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glypican</td>
<td>Api</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>Powell et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glypican</td>
<td>Bl</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>FRT</td>
<td>Zurrolo et al., 1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Protein moiety is secreted apically. **hGH is nonglycosylated but is secreted apically (65%) from polarized MDCK cells. §Endoglucanase 1 from Clostridium thermocellum. \|Glycosylation not specified.

Api, apical; Bl, basolateral.

Information could be contained in the ectodomains of GPI-anchored proteins, it is important to note that N-glycans on secretory (Scheiffele et al., 1995) and N- and/or O-glycans on membrane proteins act as apical targeting signals (Yamamoto et al., 1997; Gut et al., 1998). Because most GPI-anchored proteins that have been studied so far are N-glycosylated (Table I), it is possible that N-glycans are involved in the apical delivery of GPI-anchored proteins. This possibility is supported by the finding that in a Con A-resistant MDCK cell line which has an unknown defect in glycosylation, some endogenous GPI-anchored proteins are distributed in an unpolarized fashion (Lisanti et al., 1990).

To analyze the sorting information contained in a GPI anchor and thereby also the consequence of raft association for polarized sorting we expressed the nonglycosylated rat growth hormone (rGH0) linked to the GPI anchor signal of DAF (rGH0-DAF) in MDCK cells. rGH0 is secreted 40% apically and 60% basolaterally from MDCK cells (Gottlieb et al., 1986; Scheiffele et al., 1995). Comparable ratios have been found for the secretion of the unglycosylated secretory protein lysozyme (Kondor-K och et al., 1985). Thus, the well-characterized unpolarized secretion of rGH0 makes it a suitable protein moiety for the analysis of the sorting information of a GPI anchor. Furthermore, we compared the surface delivery of rGH0-DAF to the sorting of rGH12-DAF, engineered to contain two N-glycans which act as apical targeting signals on the secretory form of rGH12 (Scheiffele et al., 1995), to analyze whether N-glycans can act as apical targeting signal on GPI-anchored proteins as well. In addition, we expressed rGH0 and rGH12 fused to the transmembrane domain of the human low density lipoprotein receptor followed by 12 amino acids of its cytoplasmic tail (rGH0-LDL-R), but lacking the basolateral targeting signals of the human LDL-R (Matter et al., 1992). The LDL-R does not associate with lipid rafts (Harder et al., 1998). Therefore, the fusion proteins rGH0-LDL-R and rGH12-LDL-R allow us to compare the surface delivery of a non-raft-associated protein in the absence and presence of known sorting signals.

We show that the GPI-anchored protein rGH0-DAF is delivered in an unpolarized fashion to the cell surface and that upon N-glycosylation rGH12-DAF is transported apically in MDCK cells. The non-raft-associated protein rGH0-LDL-R is transported inefficiently to the cell surface and accumulates intracellularly. The intracellular accumulation of rGH0-LDL-R can be prevented by the addition of N-glycans to the protein that act as apical sorting signals on both GPI-anchored and transmembrane proteins.

Material and Methods

Cell Lines and Cell Culture

MDCK cells strain II were grown in MEM (GIBCO BRL) containing 10% FCS, supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and 2 mM glutamine (GIBCO BRL). A MDCK cell line stably expressing human PLAP was obtained from D. Brown (State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY) (Brown et al., 1989). MDCK cells were grown on Transwell polycarbonate filters (Costar Corp.) as described previously (Pimplikar et al., 1994) for 3.5 d.

Antibodies

A rabbit polyclonal anti-PLAP antibody was from Dako, a rabbit polyclonal antibody against rGH was purchased from Biogenes, and a rabbit anti-caveolin-1 antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The rabbit anti-gp80 was described previously (Urban et al., 1987). Preabsorbed secondary rhodamine-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-mouse antibodies were from Dianova.

Recombinant Adenoviruses and Expression Constructs

The DNA construct pR-CMV/rGH0-DAF-F (Friedrichsen and Kurzchalia, 1998) coding for a GPI-anchored rGH0 was kindly provided by Dr. T. Kurzchalia (MDC, Berlin, Germany). The cDNA was cut with HinDIII and subcloned into pAdTrack-CMV linearized with HinDIII to yield pAdTrack-CMV/rGH0-DAF. The construct pBK-CMV/rGH12-DAF coding for a doubly N-glycosylated rGH-Daf (rGH12) fusion protein was generated by ligating the NH2-terminal fragment of rGH12 as an EcoRI-Acc65I fragment from pRc-CMV/rGH0-DAF and the COOH-terminal fragment from pRc-CMV/rGH0-DAF as an Acc65I-HindIII fragment into the vector pBK-CMV digested with EcoRI and HindIII. The fusion protein was cut out with SalI and NotI and cloned into pAdTrack-CMV to generate pAdTrack-CMV/rGH0-DAF. R recombinant of the shuttle vectors with the adenoviral backbone plasmid pAdEasy-1 were done in the Escherichia coli strain B) S183 to generate pAdEasy-GFP/rGH0-DAF and pAdEasy/rGH12-DAF. Transfections and virus production were done as described in He et al. (1998). The expression constructs pAdDNA-3pGH0-LDL-R and pR-CMV/rGH12-LDL-R coding for rGH0 and rGH12 fused to the transmembrane domain (TMD) and a truncated cytosolic tail (CT12 deletion) of human LDL-R (Matter et al., 1992) were generated as follows. The cytosolic tail (CT12) of the human LDL-R was amplified by PCR using the oligonucleotides 5’-TTGGCAGCTCGCCAGINAAGTACAGTGAGGGGCTCTG3’ and 5’-CGCTCTAATTACATCAGTTGAGTTGTAC3’ and a
CDNA coding for human LDL-R as a template introducing a 5’ BsalII and a 3’ Xbal cleavage site, respectively. The PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T-vector, sequenced, and ligated as a BsalX-biotin fragment with rGH (HindII-BsalII fragment from pR-cMV/rGH-D-DAF) or rGH12 (EcorI-BsalII fragment from pBK-CMV/rGH12-DAF) into pCDNA-3.

Transfection and Viral Infections of M DCK Cells

MDCK II cells were transfected with the expression constructs pcDNA-3/ rGH0-LDL-R and rGH12-LDL-R by electroporation. Stably transfected cells were selected by treatment with 0.5 mg/ml G-418 (GIBCO BRL) for 18–20 h after viral infection filter-grown MDCK II cells were labeled with [35S]methionine (2.5 mCi/ml) in methionine-free medium for 15 min and chased in the presence of cycloheximide (10 μg/ml) and excess methionine for 0–40 min. Subsequently, the cells were cooled to 4°C and washed three times for 10 min with ice-cold PBS* and 0.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2% BSA and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Next, the cells were incubated for 45 min at 37°C with the anti-rGH antibody diluted 1:100 in PBS/0.2% BSA. Excess antibody was removed by four washes with PBS/0.2% BSA. Primary antibodies were detected with TRITC-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:100 in PBS/0.2% BSA for 45 min at 37°C. Finally, the cells were washed five times for 5 min with PBS under vigorous shaking and mounted in 90% glycerol in PBS containing 4% pyrogallol as an antifading reagent. Confocal microscopy was done on a LSM 510 Zeiss confocal microscope.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

MDCK cells, either filter-grown or grown on coverslips, were washed once in PBS containing 0.9 mM CaCl2 and 0.5 mM MgCl2 (PBS*) and fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed with PBS*, and quenched for 15 min with 10 mM NH4Cl in PBS containing 0.1% TX-100 to permeabilize cells. Subsequently, the cells were washed twice in PBS* with 0.2% BSA and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Next, the cells were incubated for 45 min at 37°C with the anti-gp80 antibody diluted 1:200 in PBS/0.2% BSA. Excess antibody was removed by four washes with PBS/0.2% BSA. Primary antibodies were detected with TRITC-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 in PBS/0.2% BSA for 45 min at 37°C. Finally, the cells were washed five times for 5 min with PBS under vigorous shaking and mounted in 90% glycerol in PBS containing 4% pyrogallol as an antifading reagent. Confocal microscopy was done on an LSM 510 Zeiss confocal microscope.

Floatation of DIGs

Cells grown on a 3-cm dish or on a 12-mm Transwell filter were scraped thoroughly in PBS and pelleted. Detergent extractions were done on ice with prechilled solutions. Cells were resuspended in 100 μl of infection medium (MEM with 0.2% BSA, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3). Infection with recombinant adenoviruses was done from the apical side in a total volume of 125 μl of infection medium for 90 min. The cells were then washed once with medium, cultured for 18-20 h and subsequently used either for surface transport assays or immunofluorescence microscopy.

Surface Proteins

washed three times for 10 min with ice-cold PBS*. Surface biotinylation was performed as described above. rGH-GPI was immunoprecipitated from the lysate with 2 μl of the anti-rGH antibody and PLAP with 3 μl of the anti-PLAP antibody and with 25 μl of protein A-Sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia) overnight. Beads were washed twice in buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% TX-100), three times in buffer A with 500 mM NaCl, and once in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. Proteins were eluted from the beads by boiling the sample twice for 5 min in 150 μl 0.6% SDS in TNE and the supernatant (300 μl) was mixed with 600 μl TNE containing 1.5% TX-100. Biotinylated proteins were precipitated with 10 μl streptavidin-agarose for 4 h at 4°C. Finally, the beads were washed twice in buffer A containing 500 mM NaCl, once in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and bound proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. Gp80 was immunoprecipitated from the apical and basolateral medium with 1 μl of the anti-gp80 antibody.

Results

N-Glycans as Apical Sorting Signals on GPI-anchored rGH

The expression of GPI-anchored forms of wild-type and doubly glycosylated rGH (rGH0 and rGH12) allowed us to analyze the targeting information contained in the GPI anchor in the presence or absence of additional sorting information in the protein. We used recombinant adenoviruses to express rGH 0-DAF and rGH 12-DAF (Fig. 1) in MDCK cells. The steady-state distribution of the fusion proteins in filter-grown MDCK cells was analyzed by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy. A’s can be seen in Fig. 2, rGH 0-DAF was detectable at both the apical (Fig. 2 A) and the basolateral (Fig. 2 B) surface. The N-glycosylated rGH 12-DAF showed a predominant apical distribution (Fig. 2 C) and was hardly detectable on the basolateral side (Fig. 2 D). Both GPI-anchored proteins were almost exclusively detected at the cell surface, and the presence of a significant intracellular pool was not observed. We further analyzed the steady-state distribution of the proteins in filter-grown MDCK cells by selective biotinylation of the apical or basolateral cell surface 18 h after adenoviral infection. A’s can be seen in Fig. 3 A, rGH 0-DAF can be detected as expected on a Western blot as a single band of 29 kD (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998), whereas the expression of rGH 12-DAF results in two products of higher molecular weight representing the mono- and doubly glycosylated form of rGH, the produc-
DAF is predominantly found at the apical surface. Bar, 10 μm. Apical and the basolateral side of MDCK cells, whereas rGH12-DAF (C and D) are shown. Note that rGH0-DAF localizes to the apical and basolateral optical sections (11 μm above the filter in A and C) and basolateral optical sections (5 μm above the filter in B and D) are shown. Note that rGH0-DAF localizes to the apical and basolateral side of MDCK cells, whereas rGH12-DAF is predominantly found at the apical surface. Bar, 10 μm.
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reduced cell line stably expressing rGH0-DAF.

Our data demonstrate that the attachment of a GPI anchor to a protein is sufficient for raft association but not sufficient for predominant apical delivery. Furthermore, the experiments provide evidence that N-glycans can act as apical targeting signals on GPI-anchored proteins.

**Reduced Cell Surface Transport of a Non–Raft-associated, Nonglycosylated Membrane Protein**

To address the question of how a non–raft-associated, nonglycosylated protein is transported in MDCK cells, we constructed chimeric transmembrane proteins consisting of rGH0 or rGH12 as the ectodomain, and the TMD and the CT12 truncation of the cytoplasmic tail of the human LDL-R (Fig. 1). The CT12 mutation of the human LDL-R (Matter et al., 1992) comprises the first 12 amino acids of the cytoplasmic tail and lacks all basolateral sorting information. As a consequence, the LDL-R CT12 mutation is transported to the apical cell surface presumably due to the N- and O-glycans in its ectodomain. Thus, the chimeric rGH0-LDL-R can be considered as a non–raft-associated protein which lacks known sorting information in the ectodomain, the TMD, and the cytosolic tail. In parallel, we included the N-glycosylated rGH12-LDL-R fusion protein to analyze the role of N-glycans for the polarized sorting of membrane proteins. We generated stable MDCK cell lines expressing rGH0-LDL-R or rGH12-LDL-R. To confirm that the fusion proteins were not raft-associated, as predicted, the cell lines were extracted with TX-100 and the detergent-resistant membranes were floated in an Opti-prep gradient centrifugation (Fig. 5). Fractions were collected from the gradient as described in Fig. 3 B. rGH0- and rGH12-LDL-R were detected exclusively in the bottom fraction containing the solubilized material (Fig. 5, lanes 1 and 3). A’s a marker protein for rafts we analyzed the distribution of caveolin-1 in this gradient. The majority of caveolin-1 is detectable in the DIG fraction (Fig. 5, lanes 2 and 4), showing that during extraction the nonraft membrane fusion proteins were efficiently solubilized whereas raft-associated proteins were not.

The distribution of the fusion proteins was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy in unpolarized MDCK cells. A’s as can be seen in Fig. 6 A, rGH0-LDL-R was detected at steady state in the perinuclear region, resembling the Golgi complex, and to a lower extent at the plasma membrane. In contrast, the glycosylated rGH12-LDL-R shows a clear cell surface staining and only a minor fraction is visible in internal structures (Fig. 6 B).

The strong internal staining of rGH0-LDL-R (Fig. 6 A) prompted us to compare the amount of the protein present on the cell surface with the amount of protein that accumulated within the cells at steady state. Polarized filter-grown cells were surface-biotinylated simultaneously from the apical and basolateral sides. The biotinylated surface proteins were precipitated from the cell lysate with streptavidin-agarose. The unbound non-biotinylated proteins in the depleted supernatant were precipitated with streptavidin-agarose. The unbound non-biotinylated proteins in the depleted supernatant were precipitated with TCA. The presence of the fusion proteins was analyzed in both fractions on Western blots and quantified using NIH Image software. Only 30 ± 6% (n = 4) of the total rGH0-LDL-R were precipitated by streptavidin-agarose (Fig. 7 A, lane 1), whereas 70 ± 6% of the molecules were left in the supernatant (Fig. 7 A, lane 2) and therefore are considered as being accumulated within the cells. Thus, the nonglycosylated, non-raft membrane protein rGH0-LDL-R accumulated intracellularly and was transported inefficiently to the cell surface. In contrast, the major rGH12-LDL-R (82 ± 4%, n = 3) was biotinylated and precipitated by streptavidin-agarose. We did not find a significant difference between the efficiency of surface transport of mono- and doubly glycosylated rGH-LDL-R.

Finally, we analyzed the surface distribution of rGH12-LDL-R by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy in
Fig. 6. Localization of rGH0-LDL-R and rGH12-LDL-R in unpolarized MDCK cells by immunofluorescence microscopy (A and B). rGH0-LDL-R is detected mainly in internal perinuclear structures (A) whereas rGH12-LDL-R shows a clear surface staining (B). Confocal immunofluorescence microscopy on filter-grown MDCK cells expressing rGH12-LDL-R (C and D). A n apical confocal section 11.5 μm above the filter (C) and a basolateral section (D, 6.5 μm above the filter) are shown. Note that rGH12-LDL-R was almost exclusively detected at the apical cell surface. Bar, 10 μm.

Polarized MDCK cells and found that the glycosylated fusion protein was almost exclusively localized at the apical surface (Fig. 6 C), and only little basolateral staining was detectable (Fig. 6 D). The steady-state distribution of both fusion proteins was further analyzed by surface biotinylation of filter-grown cells. We found that the majority of the cell surface fraction of rGH0-LDL-R was at the basolateral cell surface (Fig. 7 B, lane 2), whereas the glycosylated rGH12-LDL-R was predominantly detected at the apical side (Fig. 7 B, lane 3). This shows that N-glycans can act as apical sorting signals on non-raft proteins.

Discussion

In this paper, we have analyzed whether association of proteins to lipid rafts by a GPI anchor leads to predominant apical delivery from the TGN in MDCK cells. Here, we show that when rGH0 is GPI-anchored the basolateral and the apical surface delivery is 60% and 40% respectively. Previous studies in our lab showed that the secretory form of rGH0 is secreted 60% basolaterally and 40% apically in MDCK cells (Scheiffele et al., 1995). These data demonstrate that for rGH GPI anchoring is not sufficient for preferential apical delivery. Our results are in agreement with the finding that free GPI anchors are delivered unpolarized to the cell surface in MDCK cells (van’t Hof et al., 1995). Preferential apical delivery of GPI-anchored rGH was obtained after addition of N-glycans to the protein, suggesting that N-glycans act as an apical sorting signal on GPI-anchored proteins. We assume that the presence of glycans on GPI-anchored proteins accounts for the predominant apical delivery in epithelial cells (Table I), as they do on secretory proteins, on rGH12-LDL-R, and on glycosylated transmembrane proteins which lack basolateral sorting signals (Yeevan et al., 1997; Gut et al., 1998).

Nevertheless, mechanisms different from glycans that mediate sorting of GPI-anchored proteins may also lead to preferential apical delivery of secretory and GPI-anchored proteins. Lisanti and coworkers found that GPI-anchored non-glycosylated human growth hormone was apically localized in MDCK cells at steady state (Lisanti et al., 1989). However, the non-glycosylated human GH was secreted in a polarized fashion, on average 65% apically (Lisanti et al., 1989), and we assume that the protein contains apical sorting information different from glycans. Interestingly, the heparan-sulfated GPI-anchored glypicans is delivered predominantly to the basolateral surface of MDCK cells indicating that sulfated glycosaminoglycans act as basolateral sorting signals on GPI-anchored proteins (Mertens et al., 1996). This supports our finding that sorting signals on the protein influence the surface delivery of GPI-anchored proteins to the apical and basolateral cell surface of polarized cells.

Apical Delivery of Glycosylated Proteins

One interesting observation presented in this paper is the intracellular accumulation of the non-raft-associated rGH0-LDL-R which can be overcome by the addition of N-glycans. The same phenomenon was seen previously by Gut et al. (1998) who demonstrated that occludin lacking its basolateral determinants accumulated in the Golgi complex. Several other reports have suggested that mutant membrane proteins not being included in DIGs and lacking glycans are arrested intracellularly (Guan and Rose, 1984; Alonso et al., 1997; Gut et al., 1998). A addition of N-glycans to these proteins led to their delivery to the apical cell surface.

Fig. 7. Intracellular accumulation of rGH0-LDL-R (A) and apical localization of rGH12-LDL-R at steady state (B). (A) The majority of rGH0-LDL-R accumulates intracellularly, whereas rGH12-LDL-R is mainly at the cell surface. Total surface biotinylation of filter-grown MDCK cell lines expressing rGH0-LDL-R (lanes 1 and 2) or rGH12-LDL-R (lanes 3 and 4). Biotinylated surface proteins were precipitated with streptavidin-agarose and are loaded in lanes 1 and 3. Nonbiotinylated (intracellular) proteins left in the supernatant after depletion of biotinylated proteins are shown in lanes 2 and 4. The presence of the rGH-fusion proteins in the fractions was analyzed on a Western blot. (B) Steady-state distribution of rGH0-LDL-R and rGH12-LDL-R. Surface biotinylation of filter-grown MDCK cell lines expressing rGH0-LDL-R (lanes 1 and 2) or rGH12-LDL-R (lanes 3 and 4) from the apical (a) or basolateral side (bl). Biotinylated proteins were precipitated with streptavidin-agarose. The presence of precipitated rGH0-LDL-R and rGH12-LDL-R was analyzed on a Western blot.
eral examples of proteins that are neither glycosylated nor lectural. As already mentioned above, there are also several layers of interactions with raft platforms can be envisaged that lead to efficient surface-specific delivery of rafts and their associated proteins.

VIP36 was a candidate for a lectin involved in apical sorting (Fiedler and Simons, 1996). However, recent results from our lab have shown that VIP36 does not move beyond the Golgi complex and cycles in the early secretory pathway (Füllkrug et al., 1999). The existence of a raft-associated lectin in the apical raft pathway was postulated (Fiedler et al., 1994; Simons and Ikonen, 1997). This hypothesis will remain speculative until such lectins have been identified to perform the postulated functions. A corollary of this hypothesis is that N-glycosylated proteins having basolateral sorting determinants would associate preferentially with the basolateral sorting machinery and therefore escape apical delivery mediated by association to raft lectins. These putative lectins would cluster glycosylated proteins lacking basolateral sorting signals as well as raft-associated glycoproteins. Thus, clusters of rafts would be formed on the luminal side of the Golgi complex which then bud out to form the apical transport containers. This raft clustering would be further facilitated by the apical transport machinery which links up with the putative lectin and potentially involves annexin X111b (Fiedler et al., 1995; Lafont et al., 1998), VIP21/caveolin-1 homo-oligomers (Scheiffele et al., 1998), and VIP17/MA1 (Cheong et al., 1999). Previous investigations on apical cargo molecules have shown that diminishing drastically the levels of sphingolipids and cholesterol in MDCK cells leads to a decrease in the apical delivery of raft-associated proteins such as GPI-anchored proteins and influenza virus hemagglutinin but also of the N-glycosylated secretory protein gp80 (Mays et al., 1995; Keller and Simons, 1998). These reductions in cellular sphingolipid and cholesterol also decreased the association of these apical proteins with lipid rafts as measured by the DIG criterion, i.e., TX-100 insolubility and floatation to low density in gradient centrifugation (Scheiffele et al., 1997). In addition, depletion of only 25% of the cellular cholesterol led to intracellular accumulation of GPI-anchored proteins (Hannan and Edidin, 1996). These data strongly indicate that the apical pathway is dependent on rafts. Support for such a model for apical delivery comes from the finding that apically sorted GPI-anchored proteins, in this case dG1-DAF in MDCK cells, were found to be relatively immobile upon arrival at the apical cell surface, whereas GPI-anchored proteins missorted to the basolateral side dispersed more rapidly (Hannan et al., 1993).

A corollary of this hypothesis is that N-glycosylated proteins lacking basolateral sorting signals as well as raft-associated glycoproteins. Thus, clusters of rafts would be formed on the luminal side of the Golgi complex which then bud out to form the apical transport containers. This raft clustering would be further facilitated by the apical transport machinery which links up with the putative lectin and potentially involves annexin X111b (Fiedler et al., 1995; Lafont et al., 1998), VIP21/caveolin-1 homo-oligomers (Scheiffele et al., 1998), and VIP17/MA1 (Cheong et al., 1999). Previous investigations on apical cargo molecules have shown that diminishing drastically the levels of sphingolipids and cholesterol in MDCK cells leads to a decrease in the apical delivery of raft-associated proteins such as GPI-anchored proteins and influenza virus hemagglutinin but also of the N-glycosylated secretory protein gp80 (Mays et al., 1995; Keller and Simons, 1998). These reductions in cellular sphingolipid and cholesterol also decreased the association of these apical proteins with lipid rafts as measured by the DIG criterion, i.e., TX-100 insolubility and floatation to low density in gradient centrifugation (Scheiffele et al., 1997). In addition, depletion of only 25% of the cellular cholesterol led to intracellular accumulation of GPI-anchored proteins (Hannan and Edidin, 1996). These data strongly indicate that the apical pathway is dependent on rafts. Support for such a model for apical delivery comes from the finding that apically sorted GPI-anchored proteins, in this case dG1-DAF in MDCK cells, were found to be relatively immobile upon arrival at the apical cell surface, whereas GPI-anchored proteins missorted to the basolateral side dispersed more rapidly (Hannan et al., 1993).

An alternative model for the role of glycans in apical delivery have also been forwarded based on glycans affecting the folding of proteins and stabilizing a transport-permissive conformation (Rodriguez-Boulan and Gonzalez, 1999).

VIP36 was a candidate for a lectin involved in apical sorting (Fiedler and Simons, 1996). However, recent results from our lab have shown that VIP36 does not move beyond the Golgi complex and cycles in the early secretory pathway (Füllkrug et al., 1999). The existence of a raft-associated lectin in the apical pathway is purely conjectural. As already mentioned above, there are also several examples of proteins that are neither glycosylated nor associated with DIGs and these proteins are nevertheless delivered preferentially to the apical membrane (Alonso et al., 1997; M Arzolo et al., 1997). These proteins could be linked by other proteins to the apical raft machinery or, alternatively, use an apical pathway not using sphingolipid-cholesterol rafts as sorting platforms.

How Are Lipid Rafts Transported Basolaterally?

We found that 60% of rGH0-DAF is delivered to the basolateral surface of MDCK cells. This shows that rafts are not restricted to the apical pathway. Clearly, the basolateral plasma membrane contains raft lipids, but in lower concentrations than in the apical membrane (Simons and van Meer, 1988), and raft-associated proteins. For example, mutant influenza virus hemagglutinin containing a tyrosine-based basolateral sorting signal in its cytoplasmic tail and CD44 are transported to the basolateral surface of MDCK cells and are raft-associated (Naim et al., 1995; Neame et al., 1995). In addition, caveolae, invaginated raft domains containing caveolin-1/-2 hetero-oligomers (Scheiffele et al., 1998), are enriched on the basolateral cell surface of MDCK cells. Two recent insights into the behavior of rafts also have to be considered. First, lipid rafts are small, <70 nm in diameter, and thus below the resolution of the light microscope (Varma and Mayor, 1998), and second, cross-linking of raft components, e.g., by raft-associated lectins, is a dynamic process in which cross-linked and non-cross-linked raft-associated proteins can separate from each other. When raft proteins, e.g., a GPI-anchored protein, are patched with a cross-linking antibody on the surface of a fibroblast, a second non-cross-linked raft protein, e.g., influenza virus hemagglutinin, is predominantly excluded from the cross-linked patches (Varma et al., 1998). However, if the two proteins are both patched by simultaneous application of antibodies, they co-cluster. Therefore, we assume that nonglycosylated GPI-anchored rGH is mostly excluded from the clustered rafts that form the apical containers and is available for transport elsewhere. Possibly, rGH0-DAF could be delivered together with rafts containing proteins with basolateral targeting determinants to the basolateral plasma membrane.

One important conclusion is that raft-based sorting is not an all or none phenomenon, demonstrated in this paper by the fact that raft association via a GPI anchor is not sufficient for predominant apical delivery. Several layers of interactions with raft platforms can be envisaged that lead to efficient surface-specific delivery of rafts and their associated proteins.
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