Abstract. Transcription and splicing of messenger RNAs are temporally and spatially coordinated through the recruitment by RNA polymerase II of processing factors. We questioned whether RNA polymerase I plays a role in the recruitment of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) processing machinery. During Xenopus laevis embryogenesis, recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain occurs in two steps: two types of precursor structures called prenucleolar bodies (PNBs) form independently throughout the nucleoplasm; and components of PNBs I (fibrillarin, nucleolin, and the U3 and U8 small nucleolar RNAs) fuse to the nucleolar domain before components of PNBs II (B23/NO38). This fusion process is independent of RNA polymerase I activity, as shown by actinomycin D treatment of embryos and by the lack of detectable RNA polymerase I at ribosomal gene loci during fusion. Instead, this process is concomitant with the targeting of maternally derived pre-rRNAs to the nucleolar domain. A bsence of fusion was correlated with absence of these pre-rRNAs in nuclei where RNA polymerase II and III are inhibited. Therefore, during X. laevis embryogenesis, the recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain could be dependent on the presence of pre-rRNAs, but is independent of either zygotic RNA polymerase I transcription or the presence of RNA polymerase I itself.
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Introduction

Defining the coordinating events during RNA transcription and processing is becoming increasingly important in the context of their regulatory role in gene expression and nuclear organization (for reviews see Lamond and Earnshaw, 1998; Misteli and Spector, 1998). It has been reported that messenger RNA (mRNA)\(^1\) transcription and processing is coordinated by the recruitment of processing factors to transcription sites by RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II; Jiménez-Garcia and Spector, 1993; Misteli et al., 1997; Bentley, 1999; Misteli and Spector, 1999). Remarkably, the activation of ribosomal gene (rDNA) transcription at the end of mitosis is also accompanied by the recruitment of processing complexes (Scheer and Benavente, 1990; Thiry and Gossens, 1996). This therefore raises the issue of whether there is a link between active transcription and processing for ribosomal RNA (rRNA).

Processing of rRNAs involves cleavage, methylation, and pseudouridylation of the primary rRNAs (Hadjiolov, 1985; Smith and Steitz, 1997). Cleavage is controlled by several ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes that act in an ordered manner to remove the external transcribed spacers (5'ETS and 3'ETS) and the internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2). Fibrillarin (Ochs et al., 1985b) and nucleolin (Ginisty et al., 1998) associated with several small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), including U3, could play a role during the first steps of rRNA processing (for a review see Tollervey, 1996). Subsequent cleavages involve endoribonuclease activities such as the MRP RNase complex (Legerou et al., 1996a,b; Dichtl and Tollervey, 1997; Pluk et al., 1999; Van den Ennena et al., 1999) for the ITS1, and protein B23 (Savkur and Olson, 1998) and U8 (Michot et al., 1999) for the ITS2. In Xenopus laevis, U8 was shown to be involved both in early 3'ETS and late ITS2 cleavages.

---

\(^{1}\)Abbreviations used in this paper: Br-UTP, 5-bromouridine-5'-triphosphate; DAPI, 4'-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride; ETS, external transcribed spacers; FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization; ITS, internal transcribed spacers; MBT, midblastula transition; mRNA, messenger RNA; PNB, prenucleolar bodies; rDNA, ribosomal gene; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; RNA pol I, RNA polymerase I; snoRNA, small nucleolar RNA.
(Peculis and Steitz, 1993). Exoribonuclease activity is required for complete processing of 5.8 S rRNA s by the exosome complex (Mitchell et al., 1997; Briggs et al., 1998; A Ilmang et al., 1999).

Several proteins of the rRNA processing machinery are detected in prenucleolar bodies (PNBs; Ochs et al., 1985a), which are scattered throughout the nucleus in early G1 (Benavente et al., 1987; Jiménez-García et al., 1989; Ochs and Smetana, 1991; A zum-Gélade et al., 1994; Scheer and Weisenberger, 1994; B even et al., 1996; D undr et al., 1997; Zatsepina et al., 1997). Fibrillarin, nucleolin, Nop52, PM-Scl, and protein B23 are found within these PNBs, as are the U3 and U14 snoRNAs (A zum-Gélade et al., 1994; Gautier et al., 1994; Jiménez-García et al., 1994; B even et al., 1996; Mitchell et al., 1997; Fomproix and Hernández-Verdun, 1999; Savino et al., 1999). Therefore, the PNBs appear to contain preassembled nucleolar complexes mainly involved in processing steps of the pre-rRNA s (Scheer and Weisenberger, 1994). Distinct PNBs are involved in the delivery of specific processing complexes to the nucleolar domain (Fomproix and Hernández-Verdun, 1999; Savino et al., 1999). Since the delivery of the different PNBs follows a temporal order, it has been proposed that reassembly into nucleoli could proceed by a stepwise mechanism that reflects the role of these complexes (Savino et al., 1999). The temporally regulated targeting of PNBs during the cell cycle thus appears dependent on the constituents of these PNBs. However, the involvement of the transcription and/or the transcripts in this process is unknown.

The PNBs fuse at the nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) at the end of mitosis, when rRNA synthesis resumes (Jiménez-García et al., 1994; Fomproix et al., 1998). This fusion is inhibited by microinjection into mitotic cells of antibodies directed against RNA polymerase I (RNA pol I; Benavente et al., 1987) and against DNA topoisomerase I (Weisenberger et al., 1993). Therefore, it has been proposed that fusion of PNBs into nucleoli is dependent on the resumption of rDNA transcription (Scheer et al., 1993). Remarkably, during early X. laevis embryogenesis, a unique situation was revealed in which regroupment of fibrillarin and nucleolin around the rDNA occurred before the apparent activation of rRNA pol I-dependent transcription (Verheggen et al., 1998). The first cell cycles of X. laevis embryogenesis provide an interesting biological situation since transcription is established de novo after 12 synchronized cell cycles devoid of transcription (Brown and Littna, 1964; Newport and Kirschner, 1982). At the midblastula transition (MBT), RNA pol II and III-dependent transcription is activated, whereas RNA pol I transcription is initiated later (Shiokawa et al., 1981a,b; Newport and Kirschner, 1982). This biological situation makes it possible to study PNB assembly and delivery in the context of active or inactive RNA pol I transcription. Before MBT, scattered PNBs containing fibrillarin exhibit similar ultrastructural features to postmitotic PNBs and at MBT fibrillarin regroups around the rDNA with maternal pre-rRNA s (Verheggen et al., 1998). At MBT, the association of RNA s with UBF was demonstrated (Bell et al., 1997; Verheggen et al., 1998), but the presence of other partners of the transcription machinery and, in particular, the RNA pol I complex is not yet established. Indeed, at MBT it was reported that RNA pol I accumulated in nucleoplasmic structures different from PNBs (Bell and Scheer, 1999), without information on its association with rDNA.

Nuclei assembled in X. laevis egg extracts contain PNB-like structures with fibrillarin, nucleolin, Nop52, Protein B23 (NO38 in X. laevis), U3, and U8 (Bell et al., 1992; Bauer et al., 1994; Bell and Scheer, 1997). Since these PNBs assembled in vitro were not observed to fuse into a nucleolus, a reasonable hypothesis was proposed that this lack of nucleolar assembly is due to the absence of transcription in this system (Bell et al., 1992).

In the present study, we demonstrate that during both X. laevis embryogenesis and in nuclei assembled in vitro, two types of PNBs containing components of the rRNA processing machinery exist. During X. laevis embryogenesis, the recruitment of both types of preassembled complexes to the nucleolar domain occurs at a time when the RNA pol I complex is not detected in the nucleolar domain. Furthermore, this recruitment is not dependent on RNA pol I activity, but correlates precisely with the presence of pre-rRNA s of maternal origin. Pre-rRNA s are absent from nuclei in which RNA pol II and III transcription was inactive and, in this case, recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery does not occur.

Materials and Methods

Primary Antibodies and Probes

Antibodies with the following specificities were used: a human autoimmune serum directed against fibrillarin (Gautier et al., 1994); a rabbit polyclonal serum directed against human nucleolin (a kind gift of C. Faucher, LBME, CNRS, Toulouse, France); a monoclonal culture supernatant recognizing the X. laevis nucleolar protein NO38, a homologue of the mammalian nucleolar protein B23 (No-63; Schmidt-Zachmann et al., 1987); and a mouse monoclonal ascites fluid recognizing the X. laevis RNA pol I complex (a kind gift of M. Schmidt-Zachmann, DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany).

The rDNA probe for rRNA detection corresponds to the entire X. laevis ribosomal transcription unit inserted into pBR 322 (clone pXc7, kindly provided by F. A maldi, Université Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy). Double-stranded DNA probes were labeled with the nick-translation kit (GIBCO BRL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using biotin-14-dCTP.

Probes for the detection of U 3 and U8 are oligonucleotides complementary to three regions (positions 9-31; 63-85; and 101-122 for U3; and 33-60; 72-93; and 107-136 for U8). They were labeled with biotin-14-dCTP using a terminal transferase (Boehringer Mannheim).

Assembly of Nuclei in X. laevis Egg Extract

Eggs were obtained from female X. laevis and interphasic low-speed egg extracts were prepared as previously described (A Imouzni, 1998). This crude extract can be maintained on ice for 4 h without appreciable decay of nuclear assembly activity. Demembranated X. laevis sperm nuclei were prepared and permeabilized with lysolecithin (A Imouzni, 1998). For nuclear assembly, 105 sperm heads were added to 100 μl of egg extract and maintained at 23°C. A fter 45 min, when maximal decondensation of the nuclei occurred, nuclei were processed for photonic and electron microscopies.

Preparation of Embryonic Nuclei from X. laevis

Embryos were produced by in vitro fertilization (A Imouzni and W olffe, 1995) and allowed to develop at 23°C in 0.1% modified Barth solution (Gurdon and Wic kens, 1983) for different times after fertilization. At this temperature, embryos were collected at the following stages: early blastula, 6 h after fertilization (stage 8); midblastula, 7 h after fertilization (stage 8.5); late blastula, 9 h after fertilization (stage 9); early gastrula, 10 h after fertilization (stage 10); gastrula, 11 h after fertilization (stage 10.5); and late gastrula, 13 h after fertilization (stage 12); as specified by Nieuwkoop and Faber (1944). In some cases, actinomycin D was added to inhibit the onset of transcription during development. A dissociation of the
blastomerses was required for drug accessibility, embryos 3 h 30 min after fertilization were transferred to Ca\(^{2+}\)- and Mg\(^{2+}\)-free medium containing 88 mM NaCl, 1.3 mM KCl, 2.4 mM Na\(^2\)H\(^{14}\)CO\(_3\), and 7.5 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.6, as previously described (Duval et al., 1990). A clonogenic D (Sigma Chemical Co.) was added to the incubation medium at the desired concentration from a stock solution at 5 mg/ml. A as a control, embryos were incubated in Ca\(^{2+}\)- and Mg\(^{2+}\)-free medium without actinomycin D. Other drugs, such as α-amamin and 5,6-dichloro-2-6ribofuranosylbenzimidazole (DRB; Sigma Chemical Co.) could not be used as inhibitors of transcription in X. laevis embryos. When α-amamin was added to the incubation medium, it did not penetrate into the dissociated blastomeres and DRB had a deleterious effect on the division of the embryos. Suspensions of embryonic nuclei were prepared from embryos taken at precise times after fertilization as described (Verheggen et al., 1998).

**Immunofluorescence and In Situ Hybridization**

In vitro reconstituted nuclei and suspensions of embryonic nuclei were fixed with 1 vol 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The suspensions of fixed nuclei could be stored several weeks at 4°C. For immunofluorescence and in situ hybridization studies, nuclei were centrifuged onto a coverslip. After washing, the different coverslips were postfixed in methanol, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 (IBI) in PBS, and rinsed.

For immunofluorescence labeling, the coverslips were incubated with primary antibodies, followed by FITC- or TRITC-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-human, anti-mouse, or anti-rabbit IgG; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) or cy3-conjugated anti-mouse antibodies (Sigma Chemical Co.), rinsed, counterstained with DAPI (4′-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride; Polysciences, Inc.) and mounted with an anti-fading mounting solution (Citifluor). In situ hybridization of rRNAs was performed after immunolabeling as previously described (Verheggen et al., 1998). The rRNA hybridization mixture contained 40% formamide (GIBCO BRL), 10% (wt/vol) dextran previously described (Verheggen et al., 1998). The rRNA hybridization fading solution (Citifluor).

**Electron Microscopy**

In vitro reconstituted nuclei were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, at 4°C. They were washed in cacodylate buffer, postfixed in 1% O\(_3\)SO\(_4\) for 1 h at 4°C, dehydrated in alcohol, and embedded in Epon 812. Utratherin sections were contrasted with uranyl acetate for 1 h and lead citrate for 2 min, and examined in a Philips EM 412 electron microscope.

**Optical Microscopy**

Images were taken with a Leica epifluorescence microscope equipped with a thermoelectronically cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Leica). Grayscale images were collected separately with filter sets for FITC and rhodamine/TRITC using an oil immersion lens (63×, NA 1.4 plan A pochomat). Grayscale images were pseudocolored and merged using the Adobe Photoshop 5.0 software.

**Results**

Components of the rRNA Processing Machinery Are Located in Two Types of PNBs in Early Embryonic and Reconstituted Nuclei

During the building process of the nucleolus in X. laevis embryonic nuclei, components of rRNA processing machinery are associated in bodies called PNBs dispersed in the nucleoplasm. A first class of PNBs (PNBs I) containing fibrillarin and nucleolin, is observed 6 h after fertilization. A maternal pool of U3 is maintained during early development of X. laevis (Xia et al., 1995) and we compared its distribution to fibrillarin in embryonic nuclei 6 h after fertilization. The rRNA hybridization was performed after immunolabeling of fibrillarin. U3 snoRNA was colocalized with fibrillarin in all PNBs I and were also present on some other dots in the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1, a–d). Moreover, U8 snoRNA was colocalized with fibrillarin in all PNBs I and were also present on some other dots in the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1, e–h). Instead, B23/NO38 appeared diffuse in the nucleoplasm and some proteins were distributed in numerous small dots throughout the nucleoplasm, and were termed PNBs II. This could be an intermediate step in the recruitment of B23/NO38 to PNBs II since later, B23/NO38 becomes distributed in PNBs II of large size (see Fig. 4). Consequently, the formation of PNBs II appears to be delayed, compared with PNBs I.

The recruitment of nucleolar proteins and snoRNAs of the rRNA processing machinery to fibrillar PNB-like structures has been described using in vitro reconstituted nuclei (Bell et al., 1992; Baurer et al., 1994). We performed double immunolabeling experiments on reconstituted nuclei to see whether the components of the processing machinery were in the same structures or in different classes of PNBs as in embryonic nuclei. Permeabilized sperm nuclei incubated in a low-speed X. laevis egg extract formed largely decondensed nuclei. A fnr immunolabeling of fibrillarin, U3 and U8 snoRNAs were detected by FISH in these reconstituted nuclei. U3 was colocalized with fibrillarin in large round-shaped structures (Fig. 1, i–l). U8 displayed a similar distribution (not shown). B23/NO38 was distributed in fibrillar PNBs II of large size (see Fig. 4). Consequently, the formation of PNBs II appears to be delayed, compared with PNBs I.
and number, we postulated that these nuclear bodies might correspond to PNBs II. Small nuclear bodies with low contrast were also observed in embryonic nuclei (data not shown). Immunolabeling using B23/NO38 antibody was attempted, but without success, most probably because for EM, the antibody has too low a titer. Thus, morphologically different nuclear bodies were formed in reconstituted nuclei. We propose that they correspond to PNBs I and PNBs II.

**PNBs I and PNBs II Are Targeted to the Nucleolar Domain with Different Kinetics during Development**

We next defined the kinetics of recruitment of PNBs I and PNBs II to the nucleolar domain. Embryonic nuclei were isolated at various times during development, between 7 and 9 h after fertilization. Labeling of PNB I components (fibrillarin, nucleolin, U3, and U8) revealed a progression towards regrouping in nucleolar domain. PNBs I were first seen gathered in two restricted areas of the nucleoplasm in some nuclei 7 h after fertilization (Fig. 3, a–c). By 9 h after fertilization, they had fused in two large nucleolar domains in most nuclei (Fig. 3, d–f). Intermediate structures larger than PNBs I and smaller than the nucleolar domain were formed (Fig. 4 b) and were visible by phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 4 d) in nuclei between 7 and 9 h after fertilization. B23/NO38 colocalized with fibrillarin in these structures (Fig. 4 c). Thus, fusion of the PNB I and PNB II components to the nucleolar domain was initiated nearly...
at the same time. In contrast, large amounts of B23/NO38 remained in PNBs II in the nucleoplasm, even when all PNBs I had completely fused (Fig. 4 g). PNBs II in nuclei 7 h after fertilization were larger than in nuclei 6 h after fertilization (Fig. 1). Complete targeting of B23/NO38 to the nucleolar domain was only observed in nuclei 11 h after fertilization (Fig. 4 i). Targeting of B23/NO38 to the nucleolar domain was thus achieved at a later time, compared with the other rRNA processing components found in PNBs I. Similar delay between B23 and fibrillarin targeting was also observed in early G1 X. laevis A6 cells (data not shown).

Fusion of PNB components to the nucleolar domain does not occur in vitro reconstituted nuclei after several hours of incubation in the egg extract. B23/NO38 distribution remained diffuse and in small PNBs, as in embryonic nuclei 6 h after fertilization. Therefore, we attempted to identify the events that occur in embryonic nuclei and not in reconstituted nuclei that could be responsible for targeting of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain.

**RNA Polymerase I Was Excluded from the Nucleolar Domain during the Recruitment of Maternally Derived Pre-rRNAs and their Processing Machinery**

It is generally accepted that the onset of rDNA transcription in cultured cells at the end of mitosis is an event re-

---

**Figure 2.** In vitro reconstituted nuclei observed by EM. a, General view of the nucleus surrounded by the nuclear envelope. The chromatin is decondensed and two types of nuclear bodies are visible: a dense body (arrow) and several gray bodies (arrow heads). Bar, 1 μm. b, High magnification of a dense nuclear body. This body corresponds to PNB I. Granules are densely packed inside. Bar, 0.1 μm. c, High magnification of two gray bodies exhibiting a fibrillar feature. These bodies correspond to PNB II. Bar, 0.1 μm.

---

**Figure 3.** Targeting of PNB I components to the nucleolar domain. Embryonic nuclei isolated 7 and 9 h after fertilization were labeled with antibodies to fibrillarin (b and e) before performing in situ hybridization of U8 (a and d). In nuclei 7 h after fertilization, U8 (a) and fibrillarin (b) were colocalized on PNBs I and gathered in two areas of the nucleoplasm as a first step through the recruitment process. In most nuclei isolated 9 h after fertilization, fibrillarin (e) and U 8 (d) were colocalized in two nucleolar domains. The corresponding DNA staining with DAPI is shown (c and f). Bars, 10 μm.

---
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required for relocalization of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain (Scheer et al., 1993). RNA pol I could play a role in this recruitment process. This prompted us to investigate the distribution of RNA pol I at the time of nucleolar assembly in X. laevis embryos.

Immunolabeling of nuclei between 7 h and 11 h after fertilization with an mAb that immunoprecipitates the X. laevis RNA pol I complex (M. Schmidt-Zachmann, personal communication) revealed a speckled distribution (Fig. 5), as recently described (Bell and Scheer, 1999). rRNAs were previously detected in the nucleolar domain at the time of PNB gathering and were identified as 40S transcripts, probably derived from a maternal pool (Verheggen et al., 1998). We performed in situ hybridization of rRNA s on nuclei previously labeled with the antibody against RNA pol I, to simultaneously visualize the RNA pol I complex and the pre-rRNA s on the nucleolar domain in nuclei isolated between 7 (Fig. 5, a–c) and 9 h after fertilization (Fig. 5, d–f). Speckle-like structures containing RNA pol I were far from nucleolar domains and consequently from rDNA s. This indicated that pre-rRNA s were maternally derived. A fraction of RNA pol I was found associated with the nucleolar domain only in nuclei 11 h after fertilization (Fig. 5, g–i). This corresponded precisely with the time when RNA pol I transcription began to be observed by the in situ transcription assay (see below).

Zygotic Pre-rRNA Is Not Required for the Recruitment of the Maternal Pre-rRNAs and Processing Machinery to the Nucleolar Domain

To confirm that zygotic nascent pre-rRNA s, even in undetectable amounts, were not present in the newly formed nucleolar domain between 7 h and 9 h after fertilization, embryos were treated with low doses of actinomycin D to inhibit RNA pol I transcription. To allow the drug to diffuse in all the embryonic cells, actinomycin D was diluted in Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free medium, conditions that dissociate
blastomeres while they remain within the vitellin membrane (Duval et al., 1990). It is of note that neither the dissociation of blastomeres nor the presence of actinomycin D appeared to block cell division. Nuclei, isolated from dissociated embryos that were developed in 0.2 and 10 μg/ml of actinomycin D, were comparable in size to nuclei from control embryos. Embryos were maintained at 23°C until 13 h after fertilization. At this time, divisions still occurred, but gastrulation movements were abolished.

It was first necessary to check the ability of actinomycin D when applied to whole embryos to selectively block RNA pol I transcription. This prompted us to use embryos 12 h after fertilization and in situ transcription assays in conditions favoring the detection of RNA pol I transcription (see Materials and Methods). Transcription, revealed by Br-UTP incorporation in isolated nuclei from control and actinomycin D–treated embryos, was characterized by its sensitivity to α-amanitin. In control embryos, the transcription detected in nuclei isolated 12 h after fertilization (Fig. 6 a) was RNA pol I–dependent (Fig 6 c). Indeed, transcription was not sensitive to 100 μg/ml of α-amanitin and consequently was not RNA pol II- and III–dependent. Accordingly, with RNA pol I transcription the transcripts were colocalized with fibrillarin (Fig. 6 b and d). In contrast, RNA pol I transcription was not detectable in 95% of the nuclei isolated from embryos treated with 0.5 μg/ml of actinomycin D and collected 12 h after fertilization (Fig. 6 e). This demonstrates inhibition of RNA pol I in actinomycin D–treated (0.5 μg/ml) whole embryos. Interestingly, the recruitment of fibrillarin occurred even when onset of RNA pol I transcription was abolished (Fig. 6 f).

Thus, the treatment of whole embryos by low doses of actinomycin D provides a means to follow the changes in the distribution of the rRNA processing components in embryos without activation of RNA pol I transcription. From the same group of actinomycin D–treated (0.5 μg/ml) embryos, the nuclei were isolated 9 h after fertilization. Fibrillarin was already recruited to the nucleolar domain at this time (Fig. 7 e). Maternally derived pre-rRNA s were also detected by FISH in the nucleolar domain (Fig. 7 d). Indeed, formation of the nucleolar domain was initiated normally at the MBT, even if the onset of RNA pol I transcription was blocked. Based on these results, it appears that neither zygotic RNA pol I transcription nor the catalytic enzyme RNA polymerase I itself, are responsible for the recruitment of processing machinery.

**Figure 5.** RNA polymerase I is not associated with the newly formed nucleolar domain. Embryonic nuclei isolated 7, 9, and 11 h after fertilization were labeled with an antibody to RNA polymerase I (a, d, and g) before performing in situ hybridization of the rRNAs (b, e, and h). When the nucleolar domain began to assemble in nuclei 7 h after fertilization, maternally derived rRNAs were detected (b). No RNA polymerase I was seen colocalized to the FISH signal at this time (a; arrowheads). Instead, RNA polymerase I appeared as speckles dispersed in the nucleoplasm. This distribution was maintained in nuclei 9 h after fertilization (d). RNA polymerase I was not yet colocalized with rRNA (e). Only after 11 h of development, RNA polymerase I (g) was seen partially colocalized with rRNAs (h). The corresponding DNA staining with DAPI is shown (c, f, and i). Bars, 10 μm.

**Recruitment of the rRNA Processing Machinery Does Not Occur in the Absence of Maternal Pre-rRNAs in the Nucleolar Domain**

A s maternally derived pre-rRNA s were the only pre-rRNA s in embryonic nuclei at MBT, we wondered if they could play a role in recruiting the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain. A correlation could be established between the presence of pre-rRNA s in the nucleolar domain and the ability of the rRNA processing machinery to be recruited to this domain. Two types of nuclei were experimentally induced in which pre-rRNA s were not detected by FISH, and in both cases the rRNA processing machinery was maintained in large PNBs. The first type of nuclei was isolated from embryos treated with 2 μg/ml of actinomycin D and collected 9 h after fertilization (Fig. 7, g–i). At this time, Br-UTP was incorporated into large foci (Fig. 8 a) not colocalized with fibrillarin (Fig. 8 a, b arrows). These foci were not further detected, probably due to changes in the transcription pattern during development. Transcription observed 9 h after fertilization was inhibited by α-amanitin, indicating that it corresponds to RNA pol II and/or III activities (Fig. 8 d). RNA pol II and/or III activities were also detected in most nuclei isolated from embryos treated with 0.5 μg/ml of actinomycin D (Fig. 8 g). In contrast, the onset of RNA pol II and III activities was inhibited in 86% of nuclei isolated from embryos treated with 2 μg/ml of actinomycin D (Fig. 8 j). In the latter nuclei, fibrillarin was maintained in large PNBs (Fig. 7 h and 8 k) and no pre-rRNA was detected by in situ hybridization (Fig. 7 g). In a few nuclei only, fibrillarin and pre-rRNA s were regrouped in the nucleolar domain (Fig. 7, g and h, arrowheads). These nuclei most likely escaped inhibition of RNA pol II and III activities by actinomycin D, as indicated by the detection of fibrillarin clustered to nucleolar domain in the few nuclei in which RNA pol II and III ac-
activities were detected (data not shown). The PNBs that remained in the transcriptionally quiescent nuclei (Figs. 7 h and 8 k) were larger than the PNBs I observed in nuclei 6 h after fertilization (Fig. 1 b). Nucleolin, U3, and U8 were also found in these large structures (Fig. 9, d and e). B23/NO38 was maintained in small diffuse PNBs similar to those observed in nuclei isolated 6 h after fertilization (data not shown). A second type of nuclei were in vitro reconstituted sperm nuclei. Again, the absence of nucleolar domain formation was observed (Fig. 9, g–i). This is consistent with the transcriptional inactive state of these nuclei, which was previously reported (Bell et al., 1992).

Discussion

During early X. laevis embryogenesis, components of the rRNA processing machinery are first associated in bodies, target nucleolar domain even in the absence of zygotic RNA pol I transcription. The fluorescent in situ transcription assay by immunolabeling of incorporated Br-UTP coupled to immunolabeling of fibrillarin was performed on nuclei isolated 12 h after fertilization from control embryos (A) and embryos treated with 0.5 μg/ml of actinomycin D (B). A, Sites of transcription were labeled in nuclei isolated from control embryos (a) and colocalized with fibrillarin in the nucleolar domain (b). This transcription was RNA pol I transcription because it was not inhibited by incubation of nuclei in 100 μg/ml of α-amanitin before and during incorporation of Br-UTP (c). B, When whole embryos were treated with 0.5 μg/ml of actinomycin D during development, no RNA pol I transcription was detected (e). Although RNA pol I activity was inhibited, fibrillarin was clustered in the nucleolar domain (f) as in control nuclei. Bars, 10 μm.
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Figure 7. Presence of maternally derived pre-rRNAs for the recruitment of fibrillarin to the nucleolar domain. In situ hybridization of rRNA was performed after immunolabeling of fibrillarin on nuclei isolated 9 h after fertilization from control embryos (a–c) and embryos treated with 0.5 μg/ml (inhibition of RNA pol I; d–f) or 2 μg/ml of actinomycin D (inhibition of RNA pol I, II, and III; g–i). The nucleolar domain was formed in control and 0.5 μg/ml actinomycin D–treated nuclei. rRNAs (a and d) were colocalized with fibrillarin (b and e) in this domain. rRNA was not detected in most nuclei from embryos treated with 2 μg/ml of actinomycin D (g). In this case, fibrillarin was not recruited to the nucleolar domain, but was maintained in large PNBs in the nucleoplasm (h). Only a few nuclei formed a nucleolar domain containing rRNA and fibrillarin (arrowheads in g and h, respectively). The corresponding DNA staining with DAPI is shown (c, f, and i). Bars, 10 μm.
known as the PNBs, which are dispersed in the nucleoplasm. These components are subsequently recruited to rDNA loci to form the nucleolar domain (Fig. 10). Both of these steps play an important part of the nucleolar assembly process. Interestingly, these phenomena also occur during nucleolar assembly at the end of mitosis (Hadjiolov, 1985; Scheer and Benavente, 1990; Thiry and Goessens, 1996). A comparison of these biological situations reveals the general features necessary for recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery.

**PNB Assembly as the First Step of Nucleologenesis**

In cultured cells, PNBs are formed at the end of mitosis when components of the rRNA processing machinery associate (Ochs et al., 1985a; Schmidt-Zachmann et al., 1987; Jiménez-Garcia et al., 1989; A zum-Gläde et al., 1994). PNB-like structures with a similar composition also form in vitro in reconstituted interphasic nuclei (Bauer et al., 1994; Bell et al., 1992; Bell and Scheer, 1997; Bauer and Gall, 1997). During X. laevis embryogenesis, PNBs assemble in early blastula embryonic nuclei and remain as discrete structures throughout interphase (Verheggen et al., 1998). In this study, we demonstrate the existence of two types of PNBs in both X. laevis embryonic nuclei and permeabilized sperm nuclei reconstituted in egg extracts. The components in PNBs I are all involved in early steps of rRNA processing, whereas the components found in PNBs II are involved in a late step of processing. Distinct PNBs for proteins involved in early and late steps of rRNA processing were also described in telophase cells (Fomproix and Hernandez-Verdun, 1999; Savino et al., 1999).

To date, the mechanisms that govern PNB assembly are poorly understood. By systematic depletion of the egg extract, it has been shown that fibrillarin, nucleolin, B23/N038, and U3 are dispensable for PNB assembly in reconstituted nuclei (Bell and Scheer, 1997). At the end of mitosis, PNB formation and the onset of transcription occur simultaneously, suggesting a common regulatory event (Fomproix et al., 1998). Nevertheless, PNB assembly occurs in the absence of transcription in both cultured cells (Marcillo et al., 1976; Benavente et al., 1987) and reconstituted nuclei (Bell et al., 1992). Nucleolus-like particles with features of PNBs were assembled in a soluble extract of nuclei (Trimbur and Walsh, 1993). PNBs were also induced in interphase cells which had been released from hypotonic...
stress granules, containing the transcription factor HSF1, formed in response to heat shock and independent of the sites of active transcription (Jolly et al., 1999a). Speckled distribution has been described for components of the mRN A processing machinery (for reviews see M oen et al., 1995; Huang and Spector, 1996a; Lamond and Earnshaw, 1998; Misteli and Spector, 1998). Speckles are prominent in cells with low transcriptional activity, whereas upon activation of transcription, factors are recruited from speckles to sites of active transcription (Jiménez-García and Spector, 1993; Huang and Spector, 1996b; Jolly et al., 1999b). In addition, coiled bodies are sites where components of the processing machinery localize, but the precise role of these bodies is not yet defined (Boudonck et al., 1999; Frey et al., 1999). Recently, a model was proposed in which the coiled body (cajal body) is the site of preassembly of RNA transcription and processing complexes before transport to the appropriate genes (Gall et al., 1999).

**PNB Recruitment to the Nucleolar Domain as the Second Step of Nucleologenesis**

Fusion of the PNB components to the nucleolar domain constitutes the second event of delivery of the processing machinery to the nucleolus. This stage was shown to be dependent on RNA pol I activity in cultured cells at the end of mitosis (Jiménez-Martin et al., 1974; Ochs et al., 1985a; Benavente et al., 1987; Scheer and Benavente, 1990). In contrast, we showed that during the de novo assembly of the nucleolus in X. laevis embryos, neither RNA pol I activity, nor the catalytic enzyme RNA pol I itself were required for this recruitment process. Formation of the nucleolar domain in the absence of RNA pol I has been observed in yeast mutant strains in which rRNA was transcribed from plasmids containing rDNA flanked by an RNA pol II-dependent promoter (Oakes et al., 1998). However, the structure and position of the nucleolar domain were abnormal, even when the plasmids were integrated in chromosomal loci. RNA pol I was therefore suggested to play a role in the organization of the nucleolar domain. However, the authors did not exclude the possibility that a transcription factor, rather than the RNA pol I itself, was involved (Oakes et al., 1998).

Recruitment of the mRNA processing machinery has been shown to be mediated by the COOH-terminal domain (CTD) of the RNA pol II (Corden and Patutto, 1997; McCracken et al., 1997; Steinmetz, 1997). Even in the presence of pre-mRNA in the transcription sites, the processing machinery is not recruited when the RNA pol II CTD is deleted (Misteli and Spector, 1999). A different recruiting mechanism is used for RNA processing machinery as RNA pol I is dispensable for nucleolar domain assembly during X. laevis embryogenesis.

Protein recruitment to a specific location can be controlled by reversible phosphorylation, which could provide an attractive mechanism for recruitment of PNB to the nucleolar domain. For example, the nuclear import of nucleolin in early blastula of X. laevis has been shown to depend on its phosphorylation (Embmer and Dreyer, 1993). The phosphorylation state also influences the subnuclear distribution of SR (Ser/Arg-rich) proteins (Misteli and Spector, 1998) and their activity in splicing of mRNA during
development of *Ascaris lumbricoides* (Sanford and Bruzik, 1999). A hypothesis could be that recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain involves changes in phosphorylation of proteins during *X. laevis* embryogenesis.

The major event for recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery is likely to be the presence of pre-rRNA s in the nucleolar domain. In cultured cells, the onset of rDNA transcription at the end of mitosis could provide pre-rRNA s required for recruitment of the rRNA processing machinery to the nucleolar domain (Scheer et al., 1993). This reassembly of PNB s is prevented if activation of rDNA transcription is inhibited (Benavente et al., 1987; Scheer and Benavente, 1990). Remarkably, during *X. laevis* embryogenesis, we observed that most of B23/NO38 was recruited later than fibrillarin and nucleolin. A comparable situation was observed for nucleolus formation in telophase cell (Fomproix and Hernandez-Verdun, 1999; Savino et al., 1999). Considering that steps for nucleolar assembly are common to both embryonic and cultured cells at the end of mitosis, it is tempting to speculate that nucleolar assembly involves common regulatory pathways in both cases. The fact that components of rRNA processing machinery involved in distinct steps of processing are delayed in their recruitment could be in itself a regulatory mechanism for their stepwise involvement in rRNA processing. This is raising the question whether alteration of the timing of the recruitment process might impair processing of rRNA.

Figure 10. Recruitment of rRNA processing machinery related to transcription during *X. laevis* development. Processing machinery components were first assembled in the nucleoplasm in two types of PNBs: PNBs I (in purple) containing fibrillarin, nucleolin, U3, and U8; and PNBs II (in red) containing B23/NO38. PNB I and PNB II components were recruited to the nucleolar domain with different kinetics. Material contained in PNBs I was regrouped in the nucleolar domain before material contained in PNBs II. At the time of the recruitment process: zygotic transcription by RNA pol II and pol III was observed (+ in orange); RNA polymerase I was found in the nucleoplasm. It was relocalized on rDNA and activated later (+ in blue); pre-rRNA s of maternal origin were detected at the time of nucleolar domain formation (+ in fuchsia). In nuclei isolated from embryos treated with 2 μg/ml of actinomycin D that inhibited RNA pol II and pol III transcriptions, pre-rRNA s were not detected by FISH and the rRNA processing machinery components were maintained in large PNBs in the nucleoplasm. A similar situation was encountered in vitro reconstituted nuclei.
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