The Plant Vacuolar Sorting Receptor AtELP Is Involved in Transport of \( \text{NH}_2 \)-terminal Propeptide-containing Vacuolar Proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana
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Abstract. Many soluble plant vacuolar proteins are sorted away from secreted proteins into small vesicles at the trans-Golgi network by transmembrane cargo receptors. Cleavable vacuolar sorting signals include the \( \text{NH}_2 \)-terminal propeptide (NTPP) present in sweet potato sporamin (Spo) and the COOH-terminal propeptide (CTPP) present in barley lectin (BL). These two proteins have been found to be transported by different mechanisms to the vacuole. We examined the ability of the vacuolar cargo receptor AtELP to interact with the sorting signals of heterologous and endogenous plant vacuolar proteins in mediating vacuolar transport in Arabidopsis thaliana. AtELP extracted from microsomes was found to interact with the NTPPs of barley aleurain and Spo, but not with the CTPPs of BL or tobacco chitinase, in a pH-dependent and sequencespecific manner. In addition, EM studies revealed the colocalization of AtELP with NTPP-Spo at the Golgi apparatus, but not with BL-CTPP in roots of transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Further, we found that AtELP interacts in a similar manner with the NTPPs of the endogenous vacuolar protein A tA LEU (Arabidopsis thaliana A leu), a protein highly homologous to barley aleurain. We hypothesize that AtELP functions as a vacuolar sorting receptor involved in the targeting of NTPP-, but not CTPP-containing proteins in Arabidopsis.
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Introduction

In mature plant cells, the vacuole is the largest of the membrane-bound organelles of the endomembrane system. Plant vacuoles perform a diverse set of functions that are essential for the regulation and maintenance of plant growth and development (reviewed in Marty, 1999). Unlike yeast vacuoles or mammalian lysosomes, the plant vacuole often serves as both a lytic compartment for the degradation of materials and as a storage area for proteins. The stored proteins must be kept separate from degrading proteases until conditions in the plant require their mobilization. Recent evidence suggests that cells of some plant tissues have multiple vacuoles that differ in size, shape, content, and function (reviewed in Vitale and Raikhel, 1999), perhaps making possible physical separation of proteins and proteases. The proper identity and function of these different vacuoles is maintained by the transport of appropriate membrane and soluble proteins, which serve as markers for each type of vacuole.

Many soluble plant vacuolar proteins are sorted away from proteins destined for secretion at the trans-Golgi network (TGN), a process that requires the presence of positive sorting signals on the vacuolar proteins. Three types
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of sorting signals have been described for soluble vacuolar proteins in plants (reviewed in Matsuoka and Neuhaus, 1999; Vitale and Raikhel, 1999). Some proteins, such as barley aleurain (Barley A leu) and sweet potato sporamin (Spo), contain a cleavable NH$_2$-terminal propeptide (NTPP) that functions as a sorting signal; others (e.g., barley lectin [BL] and tobacco chitinase A [TobChit]) contain a cleavable COOH-terminal propeptide (CTPP). Finally, some mature proteins, such as phytohemagglutinin and legumin, contain an internal targeting determinant. These targeting signals appear to be specific to plants because plant vacuolar proteins expressed in yeast are targeted to the yeast vacuole by a mechanism that is independent of the plant sorting signals (Matsuoka and Nakamura, 1992; Chao and Etzler, 1994; Gal and Raikhel, 1994). Targeting of mammalian lysosomal proteins also differs; sorting signals are not encoded by the amino acid sequence, but rather involve posttranslationally added sugar modifications, such as phosphomannosyl residues (reviewed in Kornfeld, 1992).

NTPP signals contain an NPIR consensus amino acid motif that is necessary for targeting Spo to the vacuole (Nakamura and Matsuoka, 1993; Matsuoka et al., 1995). In contrast to the NTPP signals, no consensus sequence has yet been identified for the CTPP targeting domains. These domains are often enriched in hydrophobic amino acids and it is hypothesized that rather than sequence specificity, a common structural feature may serve as the sorting signal in the CTPPs (reviewed in Matsuoka and Neuhaus, 1999). Recent results indicate that the CTPP- and NTPP-dependent pathways are biochemically distinct (Matsuoka et al., 1995; Frigerio et al., 1998). The transport of BL by the CTPP-mediated pathway was found to be sensitive to wortmannin, an inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol (PI) 3-kinases and phospholipid synthesis in plants (Matsuoka et al., 1995). However, the transport of Spo by the NTPP-mediated pathway is not affected by wortmannin. NTPP-containing proteins are thought to be transported from the Golgi apparatus to the lytic vacuole (LV) in clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs) via the prevacuolar compartment (PVC), and CTPP-containing proteins are transported to a vacuole, distinct from the LV (reviewed in Paris et al., 1996; Vitale and Raikhel, 1999). Similar to yeast and mammalian cells, the transport of proteins to the plant vacuole is saturable, indicating the involvement of sorting receptors that might interact with these signals at the TGN (reviewed by Vitale and Raikhel, 1999).

We have isolated and characterized ATELP, a potential vacuolar sorting receptor that shares many features common to several eukaryotic sorting receptors (Ahmed et al., 1997). ATELP is not homologous to any mammalian or yeast proteins found in the database (Ahmed et al., 1997), Spo (Matsuoka et al., 1995), BL (Dombrowski et al., 1993), and AtSEC12 (Bar-Peled and Raikhel, 1997) rabbit antisera and preimmune sera have been previously described. ATELP was produced by immunizing rabbits with a fusion protein encoding six histidines, followed by amino acids 228–358 of the predicted ATELP ORF. The fusion protein was constructed in pET28a (Novagen), induced in Escherichia coli with isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside, and purified by Ni$^{2+}$-Sepharose affinity chromatography. Afinity-purified ATELP antiserum was prepared according to previously described procedures (Bassham and Raikhel, 1998) and used in both immunoblotting and EM. ATELP (Ahmed et al., 1997), Spo (Matsuoka et al., 1995), BL (Dombrowski et al., 1993), and A ICE12 (Bar-Peled and Raikhel, 1997) rabbit antisera and preimmune sera have been previously described.

### Plant Material

The full-length BL-CTPP (Wilkins et al., 1990) and NTPP-Spo (Matsuoka and Nakamura, 1991) cDNA clones were transformed into Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes RLD and Columbia plants, respectively, in the pGA643 binary vector under the transcriptional control of the CaMV 35S promoter. The transformation was carried out with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, PMP90 using vacuum infiltration as described by Bent et al. (1994). Transformants were selected on kanamycin and the presence of Spo and BL was detected in several independent lines by protein gel analyses with α-Spo or α-BL antisera (Dombrowski et al., 1993; Matsuoka et al., 1995). Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia cell suspension cultures were maintained as previously described (Ahmed et al., 1997).
Affinity Column Chromatography

The affinity column chromatography procedures used were adapted from previously described protocols (Kirsch et al., 1994, 1996). To prepare affinity columns, peptides were commercially synthesized at Research Genetics Inc. to >85% purity. For the NTPP peptides, a cysteine residue was added at the COOH-terminal end of each peptide for subsequent chemical coupling to SulfoLink agarose beads (Pierce Chemical Co.) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The BL-CTPP peptide was coupled to Affigel-15 beads (BioRad) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. For the putative NTPP signal of AtTALEU, sequences for the peptides used were designed based on the exact number of residues both upstream and downstream of the NPIR motif (amino acids 22–42), consistent with the barley probarley A-leu sorting signal.

Vacuole Purification

Vacuoles were purified from Arabidopsis cell suspension culture according to Gomez and Chrispeels (1993), with modifications. For biochemical analyses, both protoplasts and purified vacuoles were first briefly centrifuged in a microfuge. The resulting supernatant was discarded and the pelleted protoplasts and vacuoles were lysed with protein extraction buffer: 50 mM NaPO4, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Sarkosyl, 1 mM PM SF. The solubilized materials were separated by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant containing total protein from protoplasts and vacuoles was analyzed by either immuno blotting, using antisera specific to markers for different subcellular organelles, or for the presence of vacuolar-specific enzyme activities of α-mannosidase and acid phosphatase as below.

Vacuolar Enzyme Activity Assays

α-Mannosidase and acid phosphatase activities were measured using 4-methylumbelliferyl-linked substrates with modifications of previously described procedures (Reilly et al., 1996; Vazquez-Raya et al., 1999). Reactions were carried out at 37°C for 1 h and quenched with 1.5 ml of 0.25 M Na2CO3. Fluorescence was measured on a Hitachi F-2000 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer using an excitation wavelength of 365 nm, detecting the emission at 455 nm. The activities were calculated in mol/liter of medium released per hour per microgram of protein. The ratios of the activity for each enzyme in vacuoles with respect to protoplasts were compared (with protoplasts = 1).

Electron Microscopy

The procedures used for immunogold EM of ultrathin plastic sections were as previously described (Zeng et al., 1999), with some minor modifications. In the quantitative analysis, all membrane structures that were found within 50–100 nm of the Golgi apparatus or the vacuole were considered. In total, ~180–220 gold particles were counted for each of the labeled antibodies over 25–30 independent Golgi apparatuses that were analyzed in three independent experiments. Finally, the percentage of total gold particles that were found over a specific compartment was calculated, together with the percentage of colocalization of ATEL P with either Spo or BL at the Golgi apparatus or other structures near the vacuole (Tables I, II, and III). Ultrastructural cryosections of Arabidopsis roots were prepared and immunogold-labeling experiments were carried out using previously described procedures (Sanderfoot et al., 1998).

Online Supplemental Material

Detailed methods for preparation of microsomes, affinity chromatography, vacuole preparation, vacuolar enzyme assays, and EM. Online supplemental materials are available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/149/7/1335/D1.C1.

Supplemental Figure S1. Immunogold-labeling of transgenic Arabidopsis root tissue using preimmune serum for BL and Spo.

Supplemental Figure S2. Immunogold-labeling of AtTALEU and Spo in Arabidopsis root tissues.

Results

ATELP Interacts with the Vacuolar Targeting Signals of Barley Aleu and Spo in a pH-dependent Manner

We have reported the biochemical characterization of a sorting receptor-like protein from Arabidopsis, ATEL P, that is associated with CCVs and resides on the TGN and on a PVC (Ahmed et al., 1997; Sanderfoot et al., 1998). We proposed a potential role for ATEL P in protein transport along the vacuolar pathway in Arabidopsis. Here, we investigated the ability of ATEL P to interact with peptides representing the targeting determinants of several plant vacuolar proteins (Table I), in an in vitro binding assay. ATEL P, present in a detergent extract prepared from microsomes of Arabidopsis cells, was retained on the peptide affinity columns containing the wild-type (WT)-NTPP-barley Aleu and WT-NTPP-Spo vacuolar sorting signals at neutral pH and were subsequently eluted with an acidic buffer (Fig. 1 A). These peptides contain a consensus NPIR motif that is an important component of the NTPP sorting signals of barley Aleu and Spo. In vivo, either the deletion of the NPIR sequence or the substitution of Gly for Ile in the proSpo vacuolar sorting signal results in 90% secretion of Spo to the culture media (Nakamura and Matsuo, 1993). In probarley Aleu, sequences in addition to

<p>| Table I. NTPP- and CTPP-containing Plant Vacuolar Sorting Signals |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propeptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Sufficient*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley Aleu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-NTPP-barley Aleu</td>
<td>SSSFADSNPIRPVTDRAASTYC ‡</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Holwerda et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt-NTPP-barley Aleu</td>
<td>SSSFADSNPIRPVTDRAASTYC ‡</td>
<td>N/T †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-NTPP-Spo</td>
<td>SRNPRLPTC ‡</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Matsuoka et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt-NTPP-Spo</td>
<td>SRNPRLPTC ‡</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Matsuoka et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-BL-CTPP</td>
<td>VFAEAIAANSTLVAE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Vitale and Raikhel, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TobChit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-TobChit-CTPP</td>
<td>GLLVDTM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Neuhaus and Rogers, 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates whether the propeptide can redirect a reporter or secreted protein to the vacuole.
† A cysteine residue was added to each of the indicated peptides at the COOH-terminal end for coupling to the affinity matrix.
‡ The effect of the Gly to Ile substitution has not been tested for the barley Aleu peptide.
The NPIR sequence contribute to the maximum level of vacuolar transport of barley A leu in vivo (Holwerda et al., 1992). Accordingly, A tELP was not retained by the mutant (M t)-NTTP-barley A leu or Mt-NTTP Spo affinity column, where the Ile in the NPIR motif present in the barley A leu- and Spo-targeting sequences was changed to a Gly (Fig. 1 A ). An affinity column representing the BL-CTPP retained no detectable amounts of A tELP from the detergent extract, suggesting that A tELP does not interact with the CTPP-sorting signal of BL. In immunoblot analysis of our binding experiments, using A tELP antibodies, we consistently detected three closely migrating polyepitides that bound to the WT-NTTP-barley A leu and WT-NTTP Spo affinity columns. These three polyepitides demonstrated equal affinity for the two different NTTPs in the competition assays described below. They could represent different posttranslational modifications of A tELP, or different isoforms of A tELP that are immunologically related proteins having biochemical properties similar to A tELP. Moreover, Ahmed et al. (1997) showed that these three polyepitides have identical tissue and subcellular distribution.

**Sequence Specificity of AtELP Binding**

To further investigate the specificity of A tELP’s interaction with barley A leu and Spo NTTPs, we carried out competition studies using six different peptides (Fig. 1 B). In these experiments, the WT-NTTP-barley A leu and WT-NTTP Spo peptides successfully competed for the binding of A tELP to the corresponding WT-NTTP-barley A leu or WT-NTTP Spo peptide columns at ~100 μM concentration. In addition, the WT-NTTP-barley A leu peptide competed for binding to the WT-NTTP Spo peptide. However, A tELP appeared to have an approximate tenfold higher affinity for the WT-NTTP-barley A leu peptide than for the WT-NTTP Spo peptide. In the presence of a 10-μM concentration of the WT-NTTP-barley A leu peptide, the majority of A tELP retained on the WT-NTTP Spo affinity column was eluted from the column. In contrast, a tenfold higher concentration (100 μM) of the WT-NTTP Spo peptide was required to elute a similar amount of A tELP retained on the WT-NTTP-barley A leu affinity column. This difference in the affinity of A tELP for the WT-NTTP-barley A leu may reflect the involvement of additional sorting determinants in the NTTP (see Discussion). The Mt-NTTP-barley A leu or the Mt-NTTP Spo peptides did not compete for binding. As opposed to the Spo and barley A leu NTTPs, peptides corresponding to the vacuolar targeting sequences of the BL-CTPP or TobChit-CTPP did not compete for binding, at concentrations up to 1,000 μM (Fig. 1 B). Our results indicate that A tELP interacts in vitro, with the two NTTP-, but not with the CTPP-containing sorting signals in a pH-dependent manner. In addition, its interactions with these signals are dependent on the NPIR motif present in the peptides, which is necessary in vivo for their proper targeting to the plant vacuole.

**AtELP Colocalizes with NTTP-Spo, but Not BL-CTPP, Vacuolar Cargo Protein in Transgenic Arabidopsis Roots**

We have demonstrated that the vacuolar reporter proteins Spo and BL are transported to the vacuole by distinct pathways based on sensitivity to wortmannin (Matsouka et al., 1995). In transgenic tobacco cells, vacuolar transport of BL-CTPP is inhibited by wortmannin, but the transport of NTTP Spo is not. In this study, we have therefore used Spo and BL as reporters for the NTTP- and CTPP-mediated vacuolar sorting pathways in Arabidopsis, respectively.
To use NTPP-Spo and BL-CTPP as vacuolar reporters in Arabidopsis, we obtained separate lines of transgenic plants expressing either Spo or BL as described in Materials and Methods. Expression of the reporter proteins was first analyzed by Western blot using α-Spo or α-BL antiserum (Fig. 2). Both antisera detected polypeptides with an apparent molecular mass of 18 and 27 kD, corresponding to mature BL and Spo, respectively, as previously reported in tobacco (Schroeder et al., 1993). To determine whether both Spo and BL are transported to the vacuole, we examined ultrathin sections of roots by EM and immunocytochemical analysis. Electron-dense protein aggregates stained with either α-BL (Fig. 3 A) or α-Spo (Fig. 3 B) antiserum were seen predominantly in the vacuole. Both antisera showed some staining in the Golgi apparatus and structures near the vacuole, consistent with the pathways followed by the two reporters en route to the vacuole. Weak and nonspecific background labeling was detected in parallel experiments using preimmune serum for either antibody (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B). These results indicate that both Spo and BL are correctly transported to the vacuole in transgenic Arabidopsis plants.
We hypothesize that AtELP serves as a vacuolar sorting receptor in Arabidopsis for NTPP-containing proteins. To investigate this possibility in vivo, we performed double-immunogold-labeling studies with transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing the heterologous vacuolar cargo reporter proteins, NTPP-Spo or BL-CTPP. In root sections prepared from transgenic Spo or BL plants, AtELP colocalized with the vacuolar cargo protein NTPP-Spo at the trans-Golgi apparatus (Fig. 3 C), whereas the majority of NTPP-Spo antiserum labeled the vacuole (Fig. 3 D; Table II). However, no colocalization of AtELP and BL-CTPP was observed. Although AtELP and BL-CTPP labeled the same Golgi apparatus, they clearly localized to different parts of the Golgi cisternae, (Fig. 3, E and F). A gain, the majority of the BL-CTPP antiserum labeled the vacuole (Fig. 3 F; Table III). Quantitative analysis of the AtELP and Spo colocalization revealed that 74% of the AtELP-labeled gold particles colocalized with 56% of the Spo-labeled gold particles in the Golgi apparatus and structures near the vacuole (Table II). Similar analysis of the AtELP and BL-CTPP localization studies revealed virtually no colocalization of the two proteins in any of the micrographs investigated (Table III). These results, together with those obtained from the in vitro binding assays described above, strongly suggest that AtELP serves as a vacuolar sorting receptor for NTPP-Spo in Arabidopsis.

**Table II. Relative Distribution of AtELP and NTPP-Spo over Intracellular Compartments in Transgenic Arabidopsis Roots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Golgi stack</th>
<th>Vacuole</th>
<th>Other structures</th>
<th>Colocalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AtELP</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTPP-Spo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers represent the mean percentages of total gold particles found over the indicated compartments over three independent experiments. The percentage of AtELP-specific gold particles that colocalize with the Spo-specific gold particles within each Golgi stack and other structures is also shown. The majority of the labeling for Spo was found in the vacuole. Colocalization was defined as the occurrence of two or more gold particles labeled with AtELP and NTPP-Spo within a distance of 30–50 nm. Approximately 30 independent Golgi apparatuses were examined for each experiment.

**Table III. Relative Distribution of AtELP and BL-CTPP over Intracellular Compartments in Transgenic Arabidopsis Roots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Golgi stack</th>
<th>Vacuole</th>
<th>Other structures</th>
<th>Colocalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AtELP</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL-CTPP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers represent the mean percentages of total gold particles found over the indicated compartments over three independent experiments. The percentage of AtELP-specific gold particles that colocalize with the BL-specific gold particles within each Golgi stack and other structures is also shown. The majority of the labeling for BL was found in the vacuole. Colocalization was defined as the occurrence of two or more gold particles labeled with AtELP and NTPP-Spo within a distance of 30–50 nm. Approximately 30 independent Golgi apparatuses were examined for each experiment.

To investigate the role of AtELP in the transport of endogenous NTPP-containing proteins, we tested its ability to interact with the potential NTPP of AtALEU (Fig. 5 A) by affinity chromatography (see Fig. 1). In these experiments, AtELP was retained on the WT-NTPP-AtALEU affinity column and was subsequently eluted from the column with the acidic elution buffer (Fig. 5 B). In contrast, plasts prepared from Arabidopsis cell suspension culture. Vacuoles were released from protoplasts (Fig. 4 B) and purified by centrifugation on a discontinuous Ficoll gradient. To test the purity of the vacuole preparation, we determined the enzyme activity of two vacuolar-specific enzymes (α-mannosidase and acid phosphatase) in protoplasts and vacuoles. The ratio of enzyme activity of the marker was found to be 20–40-fold higher than in protoplasts (see Fig. 4 C). To investigate the expression of AtALEU for further use as an endogenous vacuolar reporter in Arabidopsis, we analyzed total protein extracts from roots and cell suspension by immunoblotting, using affinity-purified α-AtALEU antiserum (Fig. 4 D). The antiserum recognized a band of 29 kD, similar to what was previously found to cross-react with the barley A leu mAbs when tested against Arabidopsis proteins (Rogers et al., 1997). We then examined protoplast and vacuole fractions for the presence of AtALEU by immunoblot analysis, using α-AtALEU antiserum, and with antibodies against marker proteins for the Golgi apparatus (AtELP) and ER (AtSEC12; Fig. 4 E). We found that AtALEU was enriched ~100-fold in the vacuole fraction over the protoplast fraction per microgram of total protein (data not shown). However, the vacuole fraction contained very little AtELP or AtSEC12. To determine the precise subcellular location of AtALEU, we performed immunogold-labeling studies of ultrathin cryosections of Arabidopsis using the affinity-purified α-AtALEU antiserum. The majority of the α-AtALEU-associated labeling was found in large vacuoles (Fig. 4 E), whereas the preimmune serum showed almost no background labeling (data not shown). To determine whether AtALEU is targeted to the same vacuole as NTPP-Spo, we performed double-immunogold-labeling studies with α-AtALEU and α-Spo antiserum. In root sections prepared from transgenic NTPP-Spo plants, AtALEU colocalized with the vacuolar cargo protein NTPP-Spo in the same large vacuoles (Fig. 4 F). Our results indicate that AtALEU is localized in the same vacuole containing NTPP-Spo and therefore can be used as an endogenous reporter to study vacuolar sorting in Arabidopsis.
no detectable amount of AtELP was retained on the Mt-NTPP-AtALEU column. To determine whether AtELP’s interaction with the Mt-NTPP-AtALEU was sequence-specific, we eluted AtELP bound to the Mt-NTPP-AtALEU affinity column at neutral pH by adding 1-1,000 µM of the Mt-NTPP-AtALEU or Wt-NTPP-AtALEU peptides under neutral pH conditions (Fig. 5 C). The Wt-NTPP-AtALEU peptide successfully competed for the binding of AtELP to the corresponding Wt-NTPP-AtALEU peptide column at ~10 µM concentration. The Mt-NTPP-AtALEU peptide, however, did not compete for binding. In addition, the Wt-NTPP-AtALEU peptide competed for binding to the Wt-NTPP-barley Aleu peptide column, suggesting that these peptides compete for the same binding site (Fig. 5 C). Moreover, the patterns of AtELP elution from the Wt-NTPP-barley Aleu affinity column were different. A majority of AtELP bound to the Mt-NTPP-barley Aleu column could be eluted with 100 µM of the Wt-NTPP-AtALEU peptide, with no further elution ob-
served at 1,000 μM. Although a significant amount of AtELP bound to the same affinity column could be eluted with similar concentration (100 μM) of the Wt-NTPP-barley Aleu peptide, a tenfold higher concentration (1,000 μM) of the Wt-NTPP-barley Aleu peptide was required to elute completely the additional AtELP that remained bound to the column. Thus, AtELP may have a higher affinity for the putative NTPP-sorting signal of the endogenous AtALEU from Arabidopsis than the signal present on barley Aleu. We considered these results in conjunction with our other findings that: AtELP interacts with various NTPPs, but not CTPPs; and it colocalizes with NTPP-Spo, but not with BL-CTPP in transgenic Arabidopsis. We propose that AtELP is a vacuolar sorting receptor involved in the transport of NTPP-containing proteins to the vacuole in Arabidopsis.

Discussion

Compared with our understanding of the molecular machinery involved in vacuolar/lysosomal protein targeting in yeast and mammalian cells, we know very little about the plant sorting machinery. Evidence suggests that the vacuolar transport processes in plants may be more complex than their counterparts in yeast or mammalian cells. Both biochemical and microscopy data indicate that there are at least two vesicle-mediated transport pathways for the targeting of soluble proteins to two functionally different vacuoles in plants (reviewed in Vitale and Raikhel, 1999). More recent evidence has revealed the presence of intermediate compartments (e.g., the PVC) for each of the pathways (Conceição et al., 1997; Paris et al., 1997; Robinson et al., 1998).

We have characterized a sorting receptor-like protein, AtELP, from Arabidopsis whose biochemical properties and subcellular location suggest that it may be a vacuolar sorting receptor (Ahmed et al., 1997; Sanderfoot et al., 1998). In this report, we show that AtELP specifically interacts with the NTPP-sorting signals of the plant vacuolar proteins, Spo and barley Aleu, but not with the CTPP-sorting signals of BL or TobChit. Moreover, we have isolated and characterized an endogenous NTPP-containing vacuolar protein from Arabidopsis, AtALEU, whose potential NTPP was also found to interact with AtELP, in a pH-dependent, sequence-specific manner. The pH level along the vacuolar-transport pathway is known to decrease (reviewed in Neuhaus and Rogers, 1998). The low pH in the late organelle(s), such as a PVC or vacuole, appears to be important for sorting, because treatment of plant cells with ionophores (for example, monensin) or
v-A TPase inhibitors causes missorting of vacuolar precursors, including Spo (Matsuoka et al., 1995; Matsuoka et al., 1997). Thus, any potential binding of A\(\text{ELP}\) to the vacuolar sorting signals would occur at the Golgi apparatus with a neutral (or slightly acidic) condition and the interaction would be disrupted at the more acidic pH condition in the PVC or vacuole, as observed in the case of the mannose-6-phosphate receptor (M-6-PR) interaction with the M-6-P sorting signal in mammalian cells (reviewed in Dahms et al., 1989). Consistent with this, we have found that A\(\text{ELP}\) interacts with the NTPPs of sweet potato Spo and barley A\(\text{LEU}\), and with the putative NTPP-sorting signal of the endogenous Arabidopsis vacuolar protein A\(\text{T\text{A} LEU}\) at neutral pH; the bound receptor can be eluted under acidic elution conditions. In our competition experiments, the Wt-NTPP-barley A\(\text{LEU}\) peptide competed \(-10\)-fold more strongly than the Wt-NTPP-Spo peptide for binding to A\(\text{ELP}\). Similar observations were made for another potential sorting receptor, BP-80, isolated from pea (Kirsch et al., 1994). This differential competition for binding to A\(\text{ELP}\) could be attributed to the differences in the binding motifs present in the two NTPP-sorting signals tested. A through both NTPPs contain the characteristic NPIR motif, which is required for the binding of A\(\text{ELP}\), the in vivo functional importance of this motif has been demonstrated only for Spo (Matsuoka and Nakamura, 1991). In addition, recent analysis of the NTPP of Spo precursor indicates that the amino acid requirement to function as a sorting signal within the NPIR \(\text{L}\) motif is \(X_1\text{ }X_2^\text{p}-1/L\text{ }X_3\text{ }X_4\), where Asn (N) is the preferred residue. Residues at position \(X_1\) and \(X_3\) may not be an acidic amino acid, \(X_3\) may be any residue, and \(X_4\) must be a large and preferably hydrophobic residue (Matsuoka and Nakamura, 1999). Moreover, NTPP-Spo contains the sequence NPIR \(\text{L}\) (see Fig. 1A), where \(X_4\) is a hydrophobic Leu residue. In contrast, the efficient vacuolar targeting of barley A\(\text{LEU}\) requires the presence of three separate contiguous determinants within the Wt-NTPP-barley A\(\text{LEU}\) sorting signal, one of which contains the NPIR motif (Holwerda et al., 1992). The NPIR motif alone as the sorting signal is capable of targeting only 3-7% of the protein to the vacuole. Therefore, sequences surrounding this motif in NTPP-barley A\(\text{LEU}\) may play a role in its interactions with the sorting receptor. Whether these surrounding sequences interact with the receptor in a mechanism that is dependent on the NPIR motif is unknown. There may be different domains within A\(\text{ELP}\) that are capable of differentially interacting with multiple signals. In this regard, V\(\text{ps} 10\text{p}\), a sorting receptor for the yeast carboxypeptidase Y (CPY), has been shown to contain multiple sites for binding several vacuolar proteins (Jorgensen et al., 1999). Alternatively, because there appears to be multiple isoforms or homologues of A\(\text{ELP}\) in Arabidopsis (as well as of BP-80 in pea), the various NTPPs tested in this report may interact with each of the isoforms/homologues of A\(\text{ELP}\) with different affinities. Additionally, the isoforms may have developmental or tissue-specific expression. Thus, it would be interesting to understand the functional interactions between the variations of the NTPP-sorting signals and the several isoforms or homologues of A\(\text{ELP}\) in targeting vacuolar proteins.

Our binding studies show that the well-characterized CTPPs of BL (Wt-BL-CTPP) or TobChit (Wt-TobChit-CTPP) do not bind any detectable level of A\(\text{ELP}\). Moreover, no cross-reacting proteins bind to either of the CTPP peptides, suggesting that proteins with a CTPP must use a different cargo receptor. We have been unable to identify any common motif among the CTPP signals identified thus far; it is possible that a common secondary structure present within the CTPPs serves as the sorting determinant recognized by a potential receptor. This potential receptor would most likely be involved in the transport of CTPP-containing proteins by a pathway different from that used by A\(\text{ELP}\) and NTPP-containing proteins, as it has been demonstrated that wortmannin inhibits selectively the targeting of BL-CTPP, but not of NTPP-Spo in tobacco cells (Matsuoka et al., 1995). However, more direct evidence of protein sorting through these two pathways has been lacking. The experimental evidence presented in this paper indicates a role for A\(\text{ELP}\) in the sorting of NTPP-containing proteins to the vacuole in Arabidopsis. A\(\text{T\text{A} LEU}\), whose potential NTPP was also found to interact with A\(\text{ELP}\), in both a pH-dependent and sequence-specific manner. The receptor’s localization at the TGN, the PVC, and in CCVs suggests that these organelles are involved in the transport of vacuolar proteins (Sanderfoot et al., 1998). In addition, A\(\text{ELP}\) was previously found to colocalize with several components of the vesicle transport machinery at the TGN, characterized in Arabidopsis (Bassham and Raikhel, 1998; Zheng et al., 1999). Further, the biochemical characteristics of A\(\text{ELP}\), together with the preferential interaction of its cytoplasmic tail with the TGN-associated A\(\text{P}\)-1 clathrin-adaptor complex, are consistent with the selective function of the protein in the TGN with subsequent sequestration of the receptor-cargo complex into CCVs. These results strongly suggest that A\(\text{ELP}\), together with NTPP-Spo, is sorted out of the TGN via an \(\text{A}\text{P}\)-1-containing CCVs. The evidence presented in this paper indicates a role for A\(\text{ELP}\) in the sorting of NTPP-containing proteins to the vacuole in Arabidopsis. However, the nature of its endogenous cargo remains largely unknown, primarily because very few endogenous soluble vacuolar proteins have been characterized in this plant. Characterization has been difficult because many vacuolar proteins, or enzymatic activities associated with them, are found in both vacuolar and secreted forms (Vitale and Raikhel, 1999). The isolation and characterization of A\(\text{T\text{A} LEU}\) reported in this study may now help us to investigate the in vivo role of A\(\text{ELP}\)
and many other components of the transport machinery identified thus far in Arabidopsis (reviewed in Vitale and Raikhel, 1999), in the transport of NTPP-containing vacuolar proteins in this otherwise model plant system. Future work directed toward understanding the in vivo nature of the interactions between ATEL P and its related family of vacuolar sorting receptors with the endogenous vacuolar proteins in Arabidopsis is likely to reveal more important information regarding the complex nature of the vacuolar transport pathways in plants.
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