Lipid raft microdomain compartmentalization of TC10 is required for insulin signaling and GLUT4 translocation
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Recent studies indicate that insulin stimulation of glucose transporter (GLUT)4 translocation requires at least two distinct insulin receptor–mediated signals: one leading to the activation of phosphatidylinositol 3 (PI-3) kinase and the other to the activation of the small GTP binding protein TC10. We now demonstrate that TC10 is processed through the secretory membrane trafficking system and localizes to caveolin-enriched lipid raft microdomains. Although insulin activated the wild-type TC10 protein and a TC10/H-Ras chimera that were targeted to lipid raft microdomains, it was unable to activate a TC10/K-Ras chimera that was directed to the non-lipid raft domains. Similarly, only the lipid raft–localized TC10/H-Ras chimera inhibited GLUT4 translocation, whereas the TC10/K-Ras chimera showed no significant inhibitory activity. Furthermore, disruption of lipid raft microdomains by expression of a dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant (Cav3/DGV) inhibited the insulin stimulation of GLUT4 translocation and TC10 lipid raft localization and activation without affecting PI-3 kinase signaling. These data demonstrate that the insulin stimulation of GLUT4 translocation in adipocytes requires the spatial separation and distinct compartmentalization of the PI-3 kinase and TC10 signaling pathways.

Introduction

The plasma membrane of most cell types contains specialized subdomains with distinct lipid and protein compositions, referred to as lipid raft microdomains (Brown and London, 1998; Kurzchalia and Parton, 1999). The selective inclusion or exclusion of key signaling molecules within lipid rafts may be one means of organizing the multitude of signals impinging on the cell surface into distinct signaling cascades (Anderson, 1998; Okamoto et al., 1998). Indeed, many members of the growth factor receptor superfamily share common intracellular signaling pathways, yet produce distinct biological responses. Spatial compartmentalization at the plasma membrane has been suggested as a possible means for preserving signaling specificity and fidelity in these cases (Smart et al., 1999; Sternberg and Schmid, 1999). One such growth factor receptor is the insulin recep-
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tosis, resulting in the accumulation of the transporter on the cell surface and a concomitant increase in glucose uptake (Rea and James, 1997; Pessin et al., 1999).

It has been well established that phosphatidylinositol 3 (PI-3) kinase activity is necessary for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation (Cheatham et al., 1994; Okada et al., 1994; Martin et al., 1996b; Sharma et al., 1998; Czech, 2000). However, a clear demonstration for a sufficient role for PI-3 kinase has not been forthcoming. Moreover, several lines of evidence suggest that one or more PI-3 kinase–independent signals may be required for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation. For example, two naturally occurring insulin receptor mutations were unable to induce GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake, yet were fully capable of activating PI-3 kinase (Krook et al., 1997). Activation of PI-3 kinase activity through the interleukin 4 receptor or engagement of integrin receptors also failed to enhance glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation (Isakov et al., 1995; Guilherme and Czech, 1998). Furthermore, a cell-permeable PI(3,4,5)P3 analogue had no effect on glucose uptake (Jiang herme and Czech, 1998). Furthermore, a cell-permeable PI-3 kinase activity through the interleukin 4 receptor or engagement of integrin receptors also failed to enhance glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation (Isakov et al., 1995; Guilherme and Czech, 1998). Together, these data suggest that additional insulin signaling pathways may exist that function independently of the pathway defined by the PI-3 kinase.

The Cbl protooncogene is specifically tyrosine phosphorylated by the insulin receptor in adipocytes through the adapter proteins Cbl-associated protein (CAP) and APS (Ribon et al., 1998; Ahmed et al., 2000). CAP directly interacts with Cbl and appears to be important in insulin signaling, since expression of a dominant-interfering CAP mutant (CAPΔSH3) markedly inhibited insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation (Baumann et al., 2000). More recently, we have observed that the CAP/Cbl pathway is necessary for the activation of TC10, a Rho family GTPase that is highly expressed in muscle and adipose tissues (Neudauer et al., 1998; Imagawa et al., 1999; Chiang et al., 2001). Here we demonstrate that TC10 localizes to caveolin-enriched lipid microdomains at the plasma membrane. Furthermore, the compartmentalization of TC10 within lipid microdomains is essential for insulin-dependent activation of TC10 and GLUT4 translocation. These data provide a molecular basis for the specificity of insulin signaling with respect to glucose transport.

**Results**

**TC10 traffics through secretory membrane compartments en route to the plasma membrane**

To examine the mechanism by which TC10 modulates insulin signaling and GLUT4 translocation, we first colabeled expressed hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged TC10 with various intracellular markers in 3T3L1 adipocytes (Fig. 1). In addition, we capitalized on the differential effects of brefeldin A (BFA) and nocodazole on endomembrane compartments to further define the TC10 localization pattern. BFA causes the Golgi stacks to collapse into the endoplasmic reticulum and the TGN to coalesce with endosomal membranes in the microtubule-organizing center (Banting and Ponnambalam, 1997; Chardin and McCormick, 1999). In contrast, the microtubule inhibitor nocodazole disrupts the Golgi apparatus into ministacks and blocks retrograde traffic between the Golgi membranes and the endoplasmic reticulum, without inhibiting anterograde traffic to the plasma membrane (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1990; Kok et al., 1992).

In control cells, the cis-Golgi marker p115 showed the characteristic perinuclear distribution (Fig. 1 A, a). The expressed TC10 protein was localized to the plasma membrane and the perinuclear region that partially overlapped with p115 (Fig. 1 A, b and c). BFA treatment resulted in a dispersed labeling pattern for p115 (Fig. 1 A, d). However, TC10 displayed a persistent, concentrated labeling pattern in the presence of BFA that no longer colocalized with p115 (Fig. 1 A, e and f). Similarly, disruption of the Golgi stacks with nocodazole resulted in a dispersed labeling pattern for p115 and the default accumulation of TC10 at the plasma membrane (Fig. 1 A, g and h). Under these conditions, there was also no colocalization of TC10 with p115, indicating that the majority of the perinuclear TC10 was not localized in the cis-Golgi (Fig. 1 A, g–i).

Syntaxin 6 is a resident protein of the TGN (Bock et al., 1996; Watson and Pessin, 2000). In contrast to the results obtained above with p115, TC10 showed substantial perinuclear overlap with syntaxin 6 in both control cells and in cells treated with BFA (Fig. 1 B, a–f). Nocodazole treatment resulted in a punctate distribution of syntaxin 6 and the accumulation of TC10 at the cell surface (Fig. 1 B, g–i). The transferrin receptor (TIR) cycles between the cell surface and endosome compartments, where it often shows strong perinuclear labeling (Fig. 1 C, a). In control and BFA-treated cells, TIR also displayed a strong overlap with TC10 (Fig. 1 C, a–f). As described above, in the presence of nocodazole TC10 was found predominantly at the plasma membrane, where it overlapped with TIR (Fig. 1 C, g–i). Together, these results indicate that TC10 is largely present in the plasma membrane and the perinuclear-recycling endosome compartment, although we can not unambiguously distinguish between recycling endosomes and the TGN in adipocytes.

Since TC10 is predicted to be both farnesylated and palmitoylated at the COOH-terminus (Fig. 2 A), TC10 most likely transits through the secretory membrane system en route to the plasma membrane, in an identical manner to that established for H-Ras and several other proteins that undergo posttranslational prenylation and palmitoylation (Choy et al., 1999; Resh, 1999; Apolloni et al., 2000; Michaelson et al., 2001). Indeed, the TC10 expression pattern was essentially indistinguishable from the subcellular distribution of H-Ras, both in control cells and cells treated with BFA or nocodazole (Fig. 1 D, a–i). Furthermore, incubation of cells with cycloheximide subsequent to transfection resulted in the rapid and identical chase (<4 h) of the entire endomembrane pool of both H-Ras and TC10 to the plasma membrane (data not shown). Thus, TC10 appears to be processed through the secretory membrane system and perinuclear–recycling endosomes during its transit to the plasma membrane in a manner similar to that described recently for H-Ras (Prior and Hancock, 2001).

**TC10 is functionally restricted by COOH-terminal targeting sequences**

Recent studies have documented that the COOH-terminal domains of the small GTP binding proteins of the Ras and
Rho families direct different intracellular trafficking routes and plasma membrane subdomain compartmentalization (Choy et al., 1999; Roy et al., 1999; Apolloni et al., 2000; Michaelson et al., 2001). In contrast to the trafficking and localization of H-Ras to caveolin-enriched plasma membrane microdomains, K-Ras is excluded from the secretory membrane system and inserts into nonlipid raft domains of the plasma membrane. Moreover, even though H-Ras and K-Ras contain identical effector domains, this microdomain localization appears to account for the different signaling properties of H-Ras compared with K-Ras (Roy et al., 1999; Walsh and Bar-Sagi, 2001). Inspection of the COOH-terminal sequences indicates that TC10 should undergo posttranslational modifications similar to H-Ras, which has cysteine residues in the appropriate contexts for both farnesylation and palmitoylation (Fig. 2 A). Therefore, to examine the role of the COOH-terminus in the localization of TC10, we generated chimeric TC10 proteins containing the COOH-terminal domains of H-Ras (TC10/H-Ras) and K-Ras (TC10/K-Ras). As typically observed, wild-type TC10 displayed both perinuclear and plasma membrane localization identical to H-Ras (Fig. 2 B, a and b). In contrast, K-Ras exclusively localized to the plasma membrane with very low levels detectable in any intracellular compartment (Fig. 2 B, c). As expected, the TC10/H-Ras chimera was distributed in a manner indistinguishable from both wild-type TC10 and H-Ras, whereas the TC10/K-Ras chimera was distributed in a manner indistinguishable from K-Ras (Fig. 2 B, d and e).
To determine whether the inhibitory property of TC10 was dependent on subdomain compartmentalization, we next cotransfected the TC10/H-Ras or TC10/K-Ras chimeras with GLUT4-EGFP. Insulin stimulation resulted in the appearance of a strong plasma membrane GLUT4-EGFP rim fluorescence, indicative of translocation (Fig. 2 C, a and b). Similar to our previous findings, coexpression of wild-type TC10 strongly inhibited GLUT4 translocation, whereas H-Ras and K-Ras were without any significant effect (Fig. 2 C, c–h). Although the TC10/H-Ras chimera potently inhibited GLUT4-EGFP translocation in a manner identical to wild-type TC10, the TC10/K-Ras chimera behaved like K-Ras and had no effect on GLUT4-EGFP translocation (Fig. 2 C, i–l). These data were quantitated by determining the number of cells displaying a continuous cell surface GLUT4-EGFP fluorescence (Fig. 2 D).

We next determined whether TC10 subdomain compartmentalization was important for its activation by insulin (Fig. 3). Using a GST-Pak1 pull down assay to precipitate the GTP-bound TC10 protein, we observed that insulin produced a time-dependent activation of the expressed wild-type TC10 protein (Fig. 3 A, lanes 1–5). Similarly, insulin activated the TC10/H-Ras chimera over the same time frame (Fig. 3 B, lanes 1–5). However, insulin was unable to activate the TC10/K-Ras chimera under the identical conditions (Fig. 3 C, lanes 1–5). In parallel, immunoblots of cell lysates demonstrated equal amounts of TC10 expression under all these conditions. Quantitation of these data demonstrated that insulin activated TC10/WT and TC10/H-Ras 1.7±0.4- and 1.7±0.3-fold, respectively. In contrast, TC10/K-Ras was not significantly activated by insulin (0.9±0.1). Thus, these data demonstrate that the upstream pathway required for insulin-dependent activation of TC10 is also con-
fined to the same specific lipid raft microdomain defined by the COOH-terminal H-Ras and TC10 targeting sequences.

**TC10 is localized to caveolae-enriched plasma membrane microdomains**

To determine whether the endogenous TC10 protein was localized to plasma membrane lipid raft microdomains, adipocytes were first colabeled with monoclonal caveolin and polyclonal TC10 antibodies. In intact cells, the endogenous TC10 protein was predominantly confined to the plasma membrane with very little detectable expression on intracellular membranes (Fig. 4, a and c). Similarly, caveolin displayed strong plasma membrane localization with lower, but still detectable, levels in the perinuclear region (Fig. 4, b and c). We also prepared plasma membrane sheets and examined the localization patterns of TC10 and caveolin. Although individual caveolae (50–80 nm) are too small to be detected by laser confocal microscopy, caveolae can form large clusters or torus-shaped structures in adipocytes (Gustavsson et al., 1999). Endogenous TC10 was found to colocalize exceptionally well with caveolin in these distinct ring-like domains at the plasma membrane (Fig. 4, d–f).

The organization of the large, caveolin-positive structures was examined at higher magnification (Fig. 5 A, a and b). These torus-shaped configurations were found to range in size from 0.5 to 1.5 μm. The assembly of individual caveolae into these ringed structures was confirmed by caveolin-immunogold electron microscopy (Fig. 5 B, a and b). At low magnification, both individual and multiple aggregates of immunopositive caveolin–labeled structures were present in the adipocyte plasma membrane (Fig. 5 B, a). At higher magnification, these aggregates of caveolin-positive structures were clearly visualized as clusters of individual immunopositive caveolae organized into ring-like shapes. Furthermore, the size distribution of these aggregates were in excellent agreement with the dimensions determined by confocal fluorescent microscopy.

The morphological effects of cholesterol extraction on these caveolin-positive structures were examined in Fig. 6. Previous studies have demonstrated that treatment of cells...
with methyl-β-cyclodextrin (β-CD) results in cholesterol depletion and disruption of plasma membrane caveolae (Chang et al., 1992; Rothberg et al., 1992; Hailstones et al., 1998; Parpal et al., 2001). Similarly, treatment of adipocytes with β-CD resulted in a time-dependent dissolution of these torus-shaped caveolin structures, which was nearly complete by 60 min (Fig. 6, a–e). In addition, treatment with β-CD resulted in a parallel disruption of TC10 organization and colocalization with caveolin (Fig. 6, f–o). Thus, these data demonstrate that the adipocyte plasma membrane has highly organized aggregates of individual caveolin-enriched lipid rafts that form large morphologically distinct torus-shaped structures.

To confirm the plasma membrane compartmentalization of the expressed TC10 and TC10/Ras chimera proteins, we next compared their colocalization with caveolin in isolated plasma membrane sheets. As reported previously (Li et al., 1996; Mineo et al., 1996; Song et al., 1996; Roy et al., 1999), H-Ras was found to colocalize with the caveolin-pos-
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Figure 6. **Cholesterol depletion with methyl-β-cyclodextrin disrupts the plasma membrane subdomain compartmentalization of caveolin and TC10.** Differentiated 3T3L1 adipocytes were incubated with 10 mM β-CD for the times indicated. Plasma membrane sheets were prepared and colabeled with a rabbit caveolin 1 antibody (a–e) and a chicken TC10 antibody (f–j). Merged images are presented in panels k–o. These are representative plasma membrane sheets from two independent determinations. Bar, 10 μM.
itive torus-shaped structures, whereas K-Ras was uniformly distributed across the plasma membrane sheets and did not specifically colocalize with caveolin (data not shown). As observed for the endogenous TC10 protein, the expressed TC10/WT protein was strongly colocalized with caveolin in the torus-shaped structures (Fig. 7, a–c). A similar plasma membrane distribution was detected for the expressed TC10/H-Ras chimera (Fig. 7, d–f). In contrast, the TC10/K-Ras chimera was found to be uniformly scattered throughout the plasma membrane (Fig. 7, g–i).

Disruption of lipid raft microdomains with a dominant-interfering caveolin mutant (Cav3/DGV) mislocalizes TC10 and inhibits GLUT4 translocation

A dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant (Cav3/DGV) has been found to sequester cholesterol away from the endoge-

Figure 7. The expressed TC10/WT and TC10/H-Ras chimeras specifically colocalize with caveolin. Differentiated 3T3L1 adipocytes were electroporated with 50 μg of HA epitope–tagged TC10 (a–c), TC10/H-Ras chimera (d–f), and TC10/K-Ras chimera (g–i) cDNAs, and plasma membrane sheets were prepared 18 h later. The plasma membrane sheets were then colabeled with a polyclonal caveolin 1 antibody (a, d, and g) and a monoclonal HA antibody (b, e, and h). Merged images are presented in panels c, f, and i. These are representative plasma membrane sheets from three independent determinations.

Figure 8. Expression of a dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant disrupts the plasma membrane subdomain compartmentalization of TC10. Differentiated 3T3L1 adipocytes were coelectroporated with 50 μg of HA-TC10 plus 200 μg of the empty vector (a–c), wild-type caveolin 3 (Cav3/WT; d–f), or the dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant (Cav3/DGV; g–i). 36 h later, the cells were fixed, plasma membrane sheets were prepared and subjected to confocal fluorescent microscopy with a polyclonal caveolin 1 antibody (a, d, and g), and a monoclonal HA antibody (b, e, and h). The merged images are shown in panels c, f, and i. These are representative field of cells from two independent determinations. Bar, 10 μM.
nous lipid raft microdomains (Roy et al., 1999; Pol et al., 2001). Furthermore, expression of the Cav3/DGV mutant partially misdirected H-Ras out of membrane microdomains and functionally inhibited H-Ras, but not K-Ras, signaling responses (Roy et al., 1999). Since TC10 localizes to caveolar structures and shows a trafficking pattern indistinguishable from H-Ras, we determined whether the Cav3/DGV mutant would also affect the plasma membrane microdomain distribution of TC10. Similar to the endogenous TC10 protein, the expressed EGFP-TC10/WT fusion protein was colocalized with endogenous plasma membrane caveolin in the torus-shaped structures (Fig. 8, a–c). Similarly, expression of Cav3/WT had no significant effect on the plasma membrane microdomain localization of either the coexpressed EGFP-TC10 protein or on endogenous caveolin (Fig. 8, d–f). In contrast, expression of Cav3/DGV resulted in a partial dispersion of caveolin concomitant with a marked reduction in the number of torus-shaped caveolin-positive structures (Fig. 8 g). More importantly, there was a near complete scattering of TC10 throughout the plasma membrane (Fig. 8, h and i). The greater effect of Cav3/DGV on TC10 compared with caveolin parallels the effect of Cav3/DGV on the plasma membrane microdomain distribution of caveolin and H-Ras reported previously for fibroblasts (Roy et al., 1999). In any case, the ability of Cav3/DGV to disrupt the plasma membrane organization of

Figure 9. Expression of a dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant inhibits insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation. (A) Differentiated 3T3L1 adipocytes were coelectroporated with 50 μg of GLUT4-EGFP plus 200 μg of the empty vector (a and b), Myc epitope-tagged wild-type caveolin 3 (Cav3/WT; c and d), or Myc epitope tagged dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant (Cav3/DGV; e and f). 36 h later, the cells were then incubated for 30 min in the absence (a, c, and e) or presence (b, d, and f) of 100 nM insulin. The cells were then fixed and the subcellular localization of GLUT4-EGFP was determined by confocal fluorescent microscopy. These are a representative field of cells from four independent determinations. (B) The subcellular distribution of expressed Cav3/WT (a and b) or the Cav3/DGV mutant (c and d) was determined by confocal fluorescent microscopy. These are a representative field of cells from four independent determinations. Bar, 10 μM.
TC10 is consistent with the effect of β-CD and further supports the specific plasma membrane microdomain compartmentalization of TC10.

Based on these results, we next examined the effect of Cav3/DGV on insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation (Fig. 9). Expression of the wild-type caveolin 3 protein (Cav3/WT) had no effect on GLUT4-EGFP translocation, which was essentially identical to the control transfected cells (Fig. 9 A, a–d). In contrast, the Cav3/DGV mutant inhibited insulin-stimulated GLUT4-EGFP translocation (Fig. 9 A, e and f). The expression patterns of the Cav3/WT and Cav3/DGV constructs were also determined (Fig. 9 B). As reported previously, Cav3/WT was predominantly localized to the plasma membrane, with a small amount also present in the perinuclear region (Fig. 9 B, a and b). In contrast, Cav3/DGV showed strong labeling in the cytoplasm, where it formed distinct vesicle structures (Fig. 9 B, c and d) similar to the cholesterol-enriched vesicles previously reported in fibroblasts (Roy et al., 1999; Pol et al., 2001). Insulin stimulation had no significant effect on the localization of either Cav3/WT or Cav3/DGV (Fig. 9 B, a–d).

Since the insulin activation of TC10 requires the appropriate COOH-terminal domain targeting sequences (Fig. 3), we next determined the effect of Cav3/DGV on insulin-stimulated TC10 activation (Fig. 10). Coexpression of HA-T10/WT with Cav3/WT had no significant effect on the insulin stimulation of TC10 activation (Fig. 10 A, lanes 1–5). In contrast, expression of Cav3/DGV resulted in marked inhibition in the time and extent of insulin-stimulated TC10 activation (Fig. 10 B, lanes 1–5). Quantitation of these data demonstrated that the maximum insulin-stimulated activation of TC10/WT in the Cav3/WT expressing cells was 1.6 ± 0.3-fold. However, in the presence of Cav3/DGV insulin was only able to activate TC10 1.0 ± 0.1-fold. The effect of Cav3/DGV was specific for TC10 activation, as there was no detectable effect on insulin-stimulated insulin receptor auto-phosphorylation, IRS tyrosine phosphorylation, PI(3,4,5)P3 production, or PKB/Akt activation (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Emerging evidence has begun to elucidate a novel insulin receptor–signaling cascade that functions in concert with, but independent of, the PI-3 kinase pathway mediating the translocation of intracellular GLUT4 storage compartments to the cell surface. In adipocytes, this pathway involves the insulin receptor–dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of Cbl and its recruitment to lipid raft domains through the adapter proteins CAP, APS, and flotillin (Moodie et al., 1999; Ahmed et al., 2000; Baumann et al., 2000). In turn, the tyrosine-phosphorylated Cbl protein recruits the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) C3G through the small adapter protein CrkII (Baumann et al., 2000; Chiang et al., 2001). Importantly, C3G can function as a GEF for the small GTP-binding protein TC10, and insulin stimulation converts TC10 from the inactive GDP-bound to the active GTP-bound state. Furthermore, overexpression of TC10 specifically inhibits insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation without any effect on other insulin-responsive vesicular compartments. Thus, we speculated that the apparent function of the insulin-dependent assembly and plasma membrane lipid raft microdomain targeting of the CAP–Cbl–CrkII–C3G signaling complex was to direct C3G to the appropriate location for efficient activation of TC10.

Although the Switch I and Switch II domains of TC10 have a high degree of sequence similarity with Rac, Rho, and Cdc42, in other respects TC10 is an unusual member of the Rho protein family. In particular, most Rho family members contain a single COOH cysteine residue in the appropriate sequence context for geranylgeranylation and interact with guanyl-nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (Nobes and Hall, 1995; Bar-Sagi and Hall, 2000). In contrast, the COOH-terminal region of TC10 contains sequences similar to that of H-Ras, encoding for both farnesylation and palmitoylation (Hancock et al., 1989; Seabra, 1998). Recent studies have established that these COOH-terminal posttranslational modifications provide key trafficking determinants that may underlie signalling specificity (Sternberg and Schmid, 1999). For example, after farnesylation, proteolytic trimming, and carboxymethylation, the subsequent palmitoylation of H-Ras allows for its insertion and trafficking through the secretory membrane system (Choy et al., 1999; Apolloni et al., 2000). This trafficking pattern ultimately results in the specific targeting of H-Ras to the caveolin-enriched plasma membrane microdomains (Dubre et al., 1993; Li et al., 1996; Song et al., 1996). In contrast, COOH-terminal K-Ras sequence does not contain any upstream palmitoylatable cysteines, but instead has a polybasic motif that prevents its interaction with the Golgi apparatus and directs its association with the noncaveolin regions of the plasma membrane (Hancock et al., 1990; Choy et al., 1999; Roy et al., 1999; Apolloni et al., 2000).

The data presented here demonstrate that TC10 traffics in a manner analogous to that of H-Ras. Like H-Ras, TC10...
appears to transiently associate with the secretory membrane system while en route to the plasma membrane and cycle through the perinuclear-recycling endosome compartment. This was based on the ability of cycloheximide to efficiently chase TC10 out of the endomembrane system, the strong colocalization of TC10 with the transferrin receptor, and the complete loss of perinuclear localization in the presence of nocodazole. It should be noted that the apparent absence of TC10 in the perinuclear region after nocodazole treatment could reflect either a rapid exit out of the Golgi apparatus or the presence of an alternative trafficking pathway to the plasma membrane, as has been reported for Ras2 in yeast (Bartels et al., 1999). Nevertheless, in adipocytes, TC10 and H-Ras displayed indistinguishable intracellular distributions and trafficking patterns that are similar to that recently reported for TC10 in fibroblasts (Michaelson et al., 2001).

At present, the downstream effector proteins that link activated TC10 with GLUT4 translocation remain undefined. Nevertheless, the data presented here clearly demonstrate that the specific COOH-terminal targeting sequences of TC10 are essential for the insulin-dependent activation of TC10 and regulation of GLUT4 translocation. Indeed, chimeric proteins in which the 19 COOH-terminal residues of TC10 were replaced with the corresponding amino acids from H-Ras (TC10/H-Ras) resulted in functional targeting in terms of insulin-stimulated TC10 activation and inhibition of GLUT4 translocation. In contrast, the corresponding TC10/K-Ras chimera was completely refractory to insulin stimulation and had no significant inhibitory effect on GLUT4 translocation. Although we cannot completely rule out the possibility that TC10 may function within endomembrane structures, the ability of the TC10/H-Ras chimera to recapitulate wild-type TC10 function is more consistent with a model wherein TC10 operates within lipid microdomain compartments at the plasma membrane. This conclusion is further supported by the strong colocalization of TC10 and the TC10/H-Ras chimera with plasma membrane caveolae and the fact that the TC10/K-Ras chimera was specifically excluded from this plasma membrane subdomain compartment. In addition, we have demonstrated previously that the insulin-stimulated assembly of the signaling complex that activates TC10 is also localized to plasma membrane lipid raft microdomains (Chiang et al., 2001).

One interesting morphological feature of the adipocyte plasma membrane is the presence of both multiple individual caveolae and clusters of caveolae organized into large ring-shaped structures that are visualized at both the electron microscopic and light microscopy levels (Chlapowski et al., 1983; Severs, 1988; Voldstedlund et al., 1993; Gustavsson et al., 1999). Previous studies have observed that insulin-stimulated glucose uptake is inhibited by β-CD (Gustavsson et al., 1999; Parpal et al., 2001) and our data demonstrate clearly that these higher-order caveolin and TC10 aggregates are sensitive to cholesterol extraction. Consistent with a necessary role for lipid raft microdomains, expression of the dominant-interfering caveolin 3 mutant (Cav3/DGV) inhibited insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation and activation of TC10. Thus, these data demonstrate that lipid raft compartmentalization is necessary for TC10 function with respect to insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation. Importantly, these data also demonstrate that the compartmentalized insulin signals leading to TC10 activation are independent from those regulating activation of the PI-3 kinase pathway.

One issue inherent to our understanding of intracellular signaling is the mechanism by which distinct cellular responses can result from the engagement and activation of similar arrays of downstream effector proteins. Numerous models have been proposed to account for this phenomenon, including the strength of signal generation, combinatorial diversity of different effector subsets, and/or differences in the temporal and spatial activation of downstream targets. In the case of insulin action, this problem has been complicated by numerous studies demonstrating a variety of signals capable of activating the PI-3 kinase pathway, yet unable to stimulate GLUT4 translocation or glucose transport (Weise et al., 1995; Krook et al., 1997; Guillemot and Czech, 1998; Jiang et al., 1998). In contrast, the activation of TC10 by insulin in adipocytes is not reproduced by other growth factors and requires the assembly of established signaling components in a spatially restricted subcompartment of the plasma membrane. Thus, insulin receptor signaling specificity, at least for GLUT4 translocation, appears to result from a combination of both general activation of a PI-3 kinase signal in conjunction with a spatially restricted and cell type-specific effector activation.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

The syntaxin 6, caveolin 1, caveolin 2, and p115 antibodies were purchased from Transduction Laboratories. The transferrin receptor, phospho-PKB (Ser473), and HA epitope tag antibodies were obtained from Leinco Technologies, Cell Signaling Technology, and Covance Research Products, respectively. A rabbit TC10 polyclonal antibody was prepared against the PASHYNHQEEVWPELDCMP peptide sequence, which also cross-reacts with TCI1 (TC10-Like), a newly characterized isoform (Vignali et al., 2000). We also generated a chicken TC10 polyclonal antibody directed against the CZKEWVPELKEYAP peptide sequence. Antibodies were affinity-purified using the amino-link plus immobilization kit (Pierce Chemical Co.).

Cell culture and transient transfection of 3T3L1 adipocytes

Murine 3T3L1 preadipocytes were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Cells were cultured in DME supplemented with 25 mM glucose and 10% calf serum at 37°C. Cells were differentiated and transfected by electroporation as described previously (Watson and Pessin, 2000). The cells were then plated on glass coverslips and stimulated with 100 nM insulin for 30 min.

Immunofluorescence and image analysis

Transfected adipocytes were washed in PBS and fixed for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde containing 0.2% Triton X-100, washed in PBS, and blocked in 5% donkey serum plus 1% BSA (both from Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h. Primary and secondary antibodies were used at 1:100 dilutions (unless otherwise indicated) in blocking solution and samples were mounted on glass slides with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Cells were imaged using confocal fluorescence microscopy. Images were then imported into Adobe Photoshop® (Adobe Systems, Inc.) for processing and composite files were generated.

Preparation and processing of plasma membrane sheets

Adipocyte plasma membrane sheets were prepared as described previously (Robinson et al., 1992). The membrane sheets were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min and blocked with 5% donkey serum. Membrane sheets were incubated with rabbit polyclonal TC10 antibody (10 μg/ml) and caveolin 2 monoclonal antibody (1:10) for 90 min at 37°C. Primary antibodies were detected with Texas red dye–conjugated donkey anti–mouse antibody and FITC-conjugated donkey anti–
rabbit antibody for 2 h at room temperature. To detect expressed TC10 and TC10/Ras chimeras in plasma membrane sheets, the electroporated cells were plated on collagen-coated cover slips and allowed to recover for 18 h in complete media. Cells were then osmotically swelled in hypotonic buffer and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde/ PBS for 10 min before sonication. Membrane sheets were then processed as described above and the expressed TC10 constructs were detected with an HA antibody (1:100) and a caveolin 1 antibody (1:250).

Cholesterol extraction
Methyl-b-cyclodextrin was added directly to serum-free DME at a final concentration of 10 mM and the cells were incubated at 37°C for various times. Plasma membrane sheets were prepared as described above.

Electron microscopy
Plasma membrane sheets were prepared as described above except that they were fixed with a combination of 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.05% glutaraldehyde for 30 min. The samples were then incubated with a monoclonal caveolin 1 antibody followed by a 10-nm gold-conjugated rabbit anti–mouse antibody. The sheets were then extensively washed and fixed a second time with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.2, followed by staining with 1% osmium tetroxide, 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide, and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.2. The plasma membrane sheets were dehydrated by serial extracts with ethanol, embedded in eponate-12, sliced on a microtome, and visualized by transmission electron microscopy.

TC10/Ras chimeric constructs and DNA cloning
The TC10/H-Ras and TC10/K-Ras chimeras were generated using the PCR-based overlap extension method as described (Horton et al., 1993). In brief, the COOH-terminal 19 amino acids of TC10 (KHTVKKGSGCINC-CLIT) were replaced with either the final 19 amino acids of H-Ras (LNPPE-DESPPGCMSCKVL) or the final 19 amino acids of K-Ras (MSKDGGKKK-R65TKCVM). The mouse caveolin-3 cDNA was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and subcloned into the pcDNA3.1-Myc-His vector (Invitrogen). To generate the Cav3/DGV mutant, PCR was main fusion protein was performed as described previously (Baumann et al., 1999).

Affinity precipitation of TC10 using GST-Pak1/CIRIB domain
Precipitation of activated (GTP-bound) TC10 using the GST-Pak1/CIRIB domain fusion protein was performed as described previously (Baumann et al., 2000). In brief, cell lysates in a volume of 100 μl were incubated for 1 h at 4°C with 200 μl of binding buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 30 mM MgCl2, 40 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) in the presence of 8 μg of GST-Pak1/CIRIB coupled to glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads. The beads were then washed three times with 25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 30 mM MgCl2, 40 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40 and once with the same buffer without NP-40. The beads were suspended in 20 μl Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were separated by 4–20% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and blotted with a HA monoclonal antibody, and detected by chemiluminescence (New England Nuclear).
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