The role of the lissencephaly protein Pac1 during nuclear migration in budding yeast
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During mitosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the mitotic spindle moves into the mother–bud neck via dynein-dependent sliding of cytoplasmic microtubules along the cortex of the bud. Here we show that Pac1, the yeast homologue of the human lissencephaly protein LIS1, plays a key role in this process. First, genetic interactions placed Pac1 in the dynein/dynactin pathway. Second, cells lacking Pac1 failed to display microtubule sliding in the bud, resulting in defective mitotic spindle movement and nuclear segregation. Third, Pac1 localized to the plus ends (distal tips) of cytoplasmic microtubules in the bud. This localization did not depend on the dynein heavy chain Dyn1. Moreover, the Pac1 fluorescence intensity at the microtubule end was enhanced in cells lacking dynactin or the cortical attachment molecule Num1. Fourth, dynein heavy chain Dyn1 also localized to the tips of cytoplasmic microtubules in wild-type cells. Dynactin localization required Pac1 and, like Pac1, was enhanced in cells lacking the dynactin component Arp1 or the cortical attachment molecule Nip100. Our results suggest that Pac1 targets dynein to microtubule tips, which is necessary for sliding of microtubules along the bud cortex. Dynein must remain inactive until microtubule ends interact with the bud cortex, at which time dynein and Pac1 appear to be offloaded from the microtubule to the cortex.

Introduction

To achieve faithful segregation of duplicated chromosomes, cells coordinate the position of the mitotic spindle with the site of cytokinesis. In many types of cells, the site of cytokinesis is determined by the position of the mitotic spindle. Budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, however, select the site of cell division at the outset of the cell cycle by choosing the site of bud formation. Cytokinesis occurs at the narrow neck between the mother and bud. During the process of budding, the mitotic spindle must therefore move into the neck. The nuclear envelope does not break down, so this process is often termed “nuclear migration.” This movement of the nucleus depends on the interaction of cytoplasmic microtubules with the cell cortex (Stearns, 1997). The mechanism for this movement may be conserved across phyla, because interactions of microtubules with the plasma membrane appear to mediate spindle-positioning processes in higher organisms (Skop and White, 1998; O’Connell and Wang, 2000).

In yeast, nuclear migration and spindle movement occur predominantly in two steps: (1) movement of the nucleus to a position adjacent to the neck, followed by (2) movement of the nucleus into the neck. The first step of nuclear movement involves cytoplasmic microtubules, the kinesin-related protein Kip3, the cortical protein Kar9, and other proteins (Bim1, Bni1, Bud6, Myo2, and actin) that control Kar9 localization or its interaction with microtubules (Cottingham and Hoyt, 1997; DeZwaan et al., 1997; Miller and Rose, 1998; Lee et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1999; Beach et al., 2000; Yin et al., 2000). Early in the cell cycle, a cortical attachment site composed of Kar9 and associated proteins forms at the emerging bud tip. If a growing cytoplasmic microtubule encounters this site, it can be captured. Subsequent shrinkage of the captured microtubule pulls the nucleus toward the nascent bud and orients the preanaphase spindle along the mother–bud axis.

The second step of nuclear movement moves the mitotic spindle into the neck. Cytoplasmic microtubules from the spindle pole body (SPB)* associate laterally with and slide along the bud cortex, pulling the nucleus and the elongating spindle into the neck (Adames and Cooper, 2000). Microtubule sliding depends on the heavy chain of the microtubule-based motor dynein Dyn1, its regulator dynactin complex (which consists of Arp1, Jnm1, and Nip100), and the cortical

*Abbreviations used in this paper: DIC, differential interference contrast; SPB, spindle pole body.
attachment protein Num1 (Adames and Cooper, 2000; Heil-Chapdelaine et al., 2000). The mechanism of microtubule sliding is poorly understood, but a favored hypothesis is that dynein is anchored in the bud cortex and pulls on the microtubules by walking in the minus end direction toward the SPB (Carminati and Stearns, 1997).

Several known genes of the dynein/dynactin pathway (DNM1, JNM1, NIP100, and NUM1) were isolated in a synthetic lethal screen with the kinesin motor gene cin8; they are called pac (perish in the absence of Cin8) mutants (Geiser et al., 1997). The screen identified four additional genes (PAC1, PAC10, PAC11, and PAC14/BIK1) hypothesized to perform dynein-related functions, based on phenotypic similarity of the mutants with dyn1 mutant.

In this study, we evaluate the function of Pac1 with respect to dynein-mediated nuclear migration. Movies of living individual pac1Δ cells revealed defects in moving the mitotic spindle into the mother–bud neck and microtubule sliding along the bud cortex. We found that Pac1 recruits dynein Dyn1 to the dynamic plus end of microtubules. Analysis of Pac1 and dynein localization in cells lacking other components of the dynein/dynactin pathway revealed novel aspects of the mechanism for how microtubules slide along the bud cortex and move the nucleus.

Results

Pac1 functions in the dynein pathway for nuclear migration

The two processes for nuclear migration in yeast described in the Introduction are genetically redundant. Mutants defective in one process are viable. However, mutations of genes involved in the first process are lethal when combined with mutations of genes in the second process (Cottingham and Hoyt, 1997; DeZwaan et al., 1997; Miller and Rose, 1998; Lee et al., 1999). To identify the process in which Pac1 functions, we crossed a pac1Δ strain with strains carrying deletions of genes of the two processes, followed by sporulation and tetrad dissection (Table I). When pac1Δ was crossed with bim1Δ or kar9Δ, all predicted double mutants (19 of pac1Δ bim1Δ and 17 of pac1Δ kar9Δ) were inviable. The double mutants formed microcolonies consisting of large, swollen, and aberrantly shaped cells that terminated growth at ≤200 cells after 3–4 d incubation at 30°C on rich media. The pac1Δ kip3Δ, pac1Δ bud6Δ, and pac1Δ bni1Δ double mutants were viable and formed colonies that were indistinguishable from those of wild type or parental single mutants. However, these double mutants did exhibit a temperature-sensitive growth defect (see Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1), which was not observed in the parental single mutants, suggesting a weak synthetic interaction of pac1Δ with kip3Δ, bud6Δ, and bni1Δ. No synthetic effect was observed in double mutants of pac1Δ with arp1Δ, dyn1Δ, nip100Δ, jnm1Δ, num1Δ, bik1Δ, or pac1Δ (Table I). These results indicate that Pac1 functions in the dynein-dependent second process.

Pac1 is required for microtubule sliding along the bud cortex

Movement of the nucleus, and hence the mitotic spindle, into the mother–bud neck appears to be mediated by pulling forces produced by the dynein motor acting on cytoplasmic microtubules in the bud. These pulling forces appear to be located at the cortex because cytoplasmic microtubules associate laterally ("plaster") and slide along the bud cortex (Adames and Cooper, 2000). Free cytoplasmic microtubules not attached to an SPB also slide along the cortex (Adames and Cooper, 2000), further suggesting a cortical location for the pulling force.

To test whether Pac1 is required for microtubule plastering and sliding, we examined cytoplasmic microtubule behavior during movement of the mitotic spindle into the neck in wild type and pac1Δ mutants expressing GFP–tubulin (GFP–Tub1). Movies of living cells were viewed by two independent blinded observers, who evaluated cells in which cytoplasmic microtubules were observed during penetration of the spindle into the neck. In 10 of 30 wild-type cells, microtubules slid along the bud cortex (Fig. 1 A; Video 1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). This frequency is consistent with previous published data (Adames and Cooper, 2000). In 27 pac1Δ cells, no cases of microtubule sliding along the bud cortex were observed (Fig. 1 B; Videos 2 and 3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). Instead, microtubules in pac1Δ cells swept laterally in the bud, rotating about the SPB. The distal ends of the microtubules...
bules occasionally encountered the cortex and appeared attached, but only for a short time (11 ± 6 s; n = 11 events in eight cells). These microtubules then bent, grew, or shrunk, but did not slide, as observed for dynein and dynactin mutants (Adames and Cooper, 2000). Microtubule growth and shrinkage rates were similar in pac1Δ and wild-type mitotic cells. Growth rates were 4.92 μm/min (n = 11) and 4.67 μm/min (n = 13) for pac1Δ and wild-type cells, respectively; and shrinkage rates were 5.59 μm/min (n = 13) and 4.91 μm/min (n = 16). No qualitative differences were observed in the frequency of microtubule catastrophe and rescue for pac1Δ versus wild-type cells. Interestingly, cytoplasmic microtubules laterally plastered along the bud cortex in a few pac1Δ cells. These microtubules did not slide but dissociated from the bud cortex after a short time (10 s; n = 2).

Spindle elongation appeared to contribute to movement of the spindle into the neck in pac1Δ cells. Upon anaphase onset in pac1Δ cells, well-aligned short spindles near the neck frequently elongated. The elongation was mainly in the direction of the mother. Ultimately, the spindle encountered the cortex of the mother, and further elongation was associated with the other end of the spindle penetrating the neck. At the end of this elongation, the spindle was asymmetrically positioned, with 83 ± 7% (n = 24) of the spindle length located on the mother side of the neck (Videos 2 and 3). We conclude that Pac1 is required for dynein in the bud to pull the spindle into the neck.

**PAC1–3GFP and DYN1–3GFP are functional fusion genes**

To understand how dynein and Pac1 move the spindle into the neck, we determined their cellular localizations. In previous studies, dynein was found at the SPB and along cytoplasmic microtubules (Shaw et al., 1997), but these studies used truncated and overexpressed tagged versions of dynein heavy chain, so they may not reflect the physiological location of dynein. We designed a tagging vector to integrate three tandem copies of GFP at the 3′ end of the endogenous chromosomal locus of the dynein heavy chain gene DYN1 and PAC1. Multiple copies of GFP were necessary to detect the fusion proteins at endogenous levels. We included a Gly-
AlaGlyAlaGlyAla linker between the tagged gene and the triple GFP moiety. We performed three assays to evaluate the function of \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) and \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \).

First, we assayed nuclear segregation in \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) and \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) strains (YJC2772 and YJC2770). Loss of \( \text{DYN}1 \) or \( \text{PAC}1 \) function causes accumulation of cells with two nuclei in the mother (binucleate cells), more so at lower temperatures (Eshel et al., 1993; Li et al., 1993; Geiser et al., 1997). At 12°C, \( \text{pac}1\Delta \) and \( \text{dyn}1\Delta \) strains in mid-log phase had elevated levels of binucleate cells (Fig. 2). In contrast, strains carrying \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) or \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) as their sole source of Pac1 or dynein, respectively, had a level of binucleate cells similar to that of wild type (Fig. 2). Second, in a more stringent test, \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) and \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) rescued the phenotype of synthetic lethality with \( \text{bim}1\Delta \) (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). Tetrad dissection produced viable \( \text{bim}1\Delta \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) haploids (7 from 7 tetratypes) and \( \text{bim}1\Delta \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) haploids (12 from 10 tetratypes and 1 nonparental ditype). \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) also rescued synthetic lethality with \( \text{kar}9\Delta \) in a similar analysis (unpublished data). Third, in liquid rich media (YPD) at 30°C, \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \) (YJC2770) and \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \) (YJC2772) strains grew with doubling times identical to that of the parental wild-type strain (YJC2296): 106.6 min \((n=2)\) for \( \text{PAC}1–3\text{GFP} \), 106.5 min \((n=3)\) for \( \text{DYN}1–3\text{GFP} \), and 106.7 min \((n=3)\) for parental wild type. These results show that the triple GFP tag did not interfere with Pac1 or Dyn1 function.

**Localization of \( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \)**

\( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) was found in several locations in wild-type cells from asynchronous log-phase cultures. First, \( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) localized as dots in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3). Most cells contained one to two dots; the range was zero to four. The dots moved rapidly (3.9 ± 0.7 μm/min, \( n=7 \)) and sometimes formed linear streaks (Fig. 3 A, arrows; Videos 4 and 5, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). In budded cells, \( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) dots moved toward and away from the bud cortex. Once at the cortex, \( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) dots were never observed to be stationary for more than 10 s, which was the interval between image acquisitions in the time-lapse movie.

\( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) dots colocalized with the distal ends of cytoplasmic microtubules (Fig. 4). Imaging of live cells expressing CFP–tubulin and \( \text{Pac}1–3\text{GFP} \) revealed that ~49% of cytoplasmic microtubules had a \( \text{Pac}1 \) dot at their distal end, ~8% had \( \text{Pac}1 \) along the distal portion of the microtubule,
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Localization of Dyn1–3GFP

Dynemin Dyn1–3GFP also localized to the distal ends of cytoplasmic microtubules (Fig. 6 B). In wild-type cells, Dyn1–3GFP was observed as dots that moved rapidly in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6 A; Videos 10 and 11, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). Dyn1–3GFP dots moved at a rate of 3.8 ± 1.6 μm/min (n = 12) and sometimes formed linear streaks, as seen for Pac1–3GFP. Imaging of live cells expressing CFP–tubulin and Dyn1–3GFP revealed that ~47% of all observed cytoplasmic microtubules had a Dyn1 dot at their distal end, ~10% had Dyn1 along the distal portion of the microtubule, and ~43% had no Dyn1–3GFP (n = 127 microtubules counted). As seen for the case of Pac1–3GFP, the microtubules and Dyn1–3GFP dots were moving on a relatively rapid time scale during image collection. Thus, these measurements represent the lower limit for colocalization of Dyn1–3GFP with microtubule ends. In striking contrast to Pac1–3GFP, some dots of Dyn1–3GFP did not move (>6 min). These stationary dynemin dots were found only at the cortex, and only in unbudded cells or the mother of budded cells (Video 11, middle cell).

We asked whether Dyn1 localization to the distal ends of microtubules depends on other components of the dynemin complex.
pathway. We examined Dyn1–3GFP localization in isogenic mutant cells. In arp1Δ and num1Δ cells, Dyn1–3GFP was seen as dots in the cytoplasm, which moved rapidly and sometimes formed linear streaks, similar to what was observed in wild-type cells. Furthermore, the fluorescence intensity of the dots was increased relative to wild type, by an amount slightly greater than that seen for Pac1–3GFP dots in these mutants (Fig. 7; compare Video 11 [wild type] with Videos 12 [arp1Δ] and 13 [num1Δ], available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1).

In contrast, in pac1Δ cells, no localization of Dyn1–3GFP to motile dots in the cytoplasm was observed (Fig. 7 A; Videos 14 and 15, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). Immunoblot analysis of Dyn1–3GFP protein in pac1Δ and wild-type cell lysates revealed that the level of Dyn1–3GFP was the same in both strains (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1); thus, the loss of Dyn1–3GFP from the distal ends of microtubules was due to a defect in protein targeting, not protein stability.

Stationary dots of Dyn1–3GFP were observed at the mother cortex in some pac1Δ cells; however, the intensity was quite low (unpublished data). These stationary dots were not observed in num1Δ or arp1Δ cells. The origin and function of stationary dynein dots at the mother cortex remain unclear at this point.

Discussion

In these studies, we found that Pac1 functions in the dynein/dynactin pathway for nuclear migration in budding yeast. In pac1Δ cells, efficient movement of the mitotic spindle into the bud neck is defective due to lack of microtubule sliding along the bud cortex, as seen in dynein- and dynactin-null mutants. We also found that dynein heavy chain, Dyn1, and Pac1 are targeted to the distal ends of microtubules. Pac1 is necessary for targeting dynein, but not vice versa. Neither dynein nor Pac1 require dynactin or the corti-

Figure 5. Localization of Pac1–3GFP in living dyn1Δ, num1Δ, and nip100Δ cells. (A) DIC and a frame from movies of Pac1–3GFP fluorescence in isogenic wild-type and mutant cells. The video camera and microscope settings were the same, allowing one to compare the intensity of fluorescence in the different strains. num1Δ and nip100Δ cells showed increased intensity of Pac1–3GFP dots in the bud. See Videos 6–9 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). (B) Relative fluorescence intensity of motile Pac1–3GFP dots in wild-type and mutant cells. The average corrected fluorescence per dot is plotted; n = 25 dots for wild type, 75 dots for dyn1Δ, 170 dots for num1Δ, 171 dots for nip100Δ. Error bars represent standard error. Strains: PAC1–3GFP, YJC2770; PAC1–3GFP dyn1Δ, YJC2907; PAC1–3GFP num1Δ, YJC2905; PAC1–3GFP nip100Δ, YJC2904.

Figure 6. Localization of Dyn1–3GFP in living wild-type cells. (A) DIC and movie frames of Dyn1–3GFP fluorescence in a wild-type cell (YJC2772). Each fluorescence image is a two-dimensional projection of a 4-μm Z-stack of confocal images. The time elapsed in seconds is indicated. Dyn1–3GFP is observed as a dot that moves away from and toward the bud (arrows). Dyn1–3GFP sometimes appears as a linear streak (t = 28, 84, and 147 s). Dyn1–3GFP is also observed as stationary cortical dots, but only in the mother (see Videos 10 and 11, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). (B) Dyn1–3GFP colocalizes with the distal ends of cytoplasmic microtubules. DIC, Dyn1–3GFP, and CFP–Tub1 wide-field fluorescence images of wild-type cells (YJC2914) at G1 (top), preanaphase (middle), and anaphase (bottom). The merged images show cytoplasmic Dyn1–3GFP dots (red) at the distal ends of microtubules (blue).
the microtubule end. Pac1 can bind the microtubule directly or indirectly bind the microtubule and dynein Dyn1 at microtubule ends. Pac1 binds directly to dynein heavy chain (Sasaki et al., 2000; Hoffmann et al., 2001; Tai et al., 2002), so Pac1 may simultaneously bind to other systems, Pac1/LIS1 homologues have been found to bind to dynein heavy chain (Sasaki et al., 2000; Hoffmann et al., 2001; Tai et al., 2002), so Pac1 may simultaneously bind to other systems, Pac1/LIS1 homologues have been found to bind directly to dynein heavy chain (Sasaki et al., 2000; Hoffmann et al., 2001; Tai et al., 2002), so Pac1 may simultaneously bind to microtubule ends. Pac1 can bind the microtubule directly or in a productive interaction of the microtubule end with the bud cortex, was observed, as expected given the relatively mild defects in nuclear migration and Kar9 localization in bud6Δ cells (Miller et al., 1999). Previous studies also found the dyn1Δ bud6Δ double mutant to be viable with a mild growth defect (Miller et al., 1999), as seen here for the pac1Δ bud6Δ mutant. On the other hand, the weak synthetic interactions that we observed for pac1Δ with bni1Δ and kip3Δ (two more components of the microtubule capture/shrinkage pathway) were unexpected, as dyn1Δ bni1Δ and dyn1Δ kip3Δ double mutants were lethal in previous studies (Cottingham and Hoyt, 1997; DeZwaan et al., 1997; Miller and Rose, 1998; Miller et al., 1999). Perhaps a lower level of residual dynein function is sufficient for viability in pac1Δ bni1Δ and pac1Δ kip3Δ cells. Alternatively, differences in genetic backgrounds of the strains used for the respective studies may account for the different level of synthetic interaction.

Mechanism of dynein-mediated microtubule sliding

To move the mitotic spindle into the neck, dynein appears to generate force between cytoplasmic microtubules and the bud cortex. As a motor, dynein presumably moves with respect to the microtubule; therefore, we expect that dynein is anchored at the bud cortex as the microtubule slides. We anticipated that dynein and Pac1 might be localized to the bud cortex before cytoplasmic microtubules platter and slide along the cortex. Instead, we found that Pac1 and dynein localize to the distal ends of microtubules, which appear to grow and shrink in search of attachment sites on the bud cortex. The lack of stationary Pac1–3GFP and Dyn1–3GFP dots on the bud suggests that anchoring of dynein to the bud cortex may be transient or at a low level.

We hypothesize that microtubule sliding along the bud cortex occurs in the following steps (Fig. 8; Video 16, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1). First, dynein and Pac1 are targeted to plus ends of microtubules. Second, plus ends of microtubules are captured by cortical attachment sites, which contain Num1 and probably other components. Third, dynein and Pac1 are off-loaded from the end of the microtubule to the cortex and anchored there. Fourth, the motor activity of dynein is activated, causing it to walk toward the minus end of the microtubule at the SPB. Because dynein is anchored, the microtubule slides, and the spindle is pulled into the bud neck. One intriguing observation in support of this model is that the levels of Pac1 and Dyn1 are higher at the plus ends of microtubules in cells lacking Num1. This observation suggests that a productive interaction of the microtubule end with the bud cortex is required to offload dynein and Pac1 from the microtubule end.

Pac1 is required to target dynein to microtubule ends. In other systems, Pac1/LIS1 homologues have been found to bind directly to dynein heavy chain (Sasaki et al., 2000; Hoffmann et al., 2001; Tai et al., 2002), so Pac1 may simultaneously bind the microtubule and dynein Dyn1 at microtubule ends. Pac1 can bind the microtubule directly or indi-
rectly via a microtubule binding protein. We tested whether Pac1 localization depends on Bim1, the yeast EB1 homologue that also localizes to plus ends of microtubules. We observed no qualitative differences in the dynamic and intensity of Pac1 dots in \textit{bim1} \textit{H9004} versus wild-type cells (unpublished data). Dynein targeted to microtubule ends can also bind directly to the microtubule, which may enhance targeting. Note that active dynein would move away from the plus end, toward the SPB, so something must be inhibiting dynein or counteracting its activity, along with targeting it to the plus end. Pac1 may have one or more of these roles. 

Our results here with dynactin mutants provide insight into the function of dynactin. Dynein and Pac1 accumulate at the plus ends of microtubules in cells lacking dynactin. Therefore, dynactin is not required for targeting of dynein to plus ends. Instead, dynactin appears to function later, in microtubule capture or offloading, anchoring, or activating dynein at the bud cortex. In studies in other systems, dynactin promotes dynein-based movements along microtubules in vitro by increasing the processivity of the motor (King and Schroer, 2000). The NH$_2$-terminal CAP-Gly domain of the dynactin p150$^{\text{Glu}}$ subunit binds microtubules, which may tether the cargo to the microtubule during the mechanochemical cycle of dynein, preventing diffusional loss of the cargo (King and Schroer, 2000). The presence of the conserved CAP-Gly domain in the NH$_2$-terminal region of Nip100, the yeast p150$^{\text{Glu}}$ homologue, favors this hypothesis (Kahana et al., 1998). Nip100 has been localized at overexpressed, but not endogenous, levels, so its site of action remains somewhat uncertain.

**Comparison of Pac1 with \textit{Aspergillus} NUDF and vertebrate LIS1**

Pac1 belongs to the conserved family of LIS1 lissencephaly proteins consisting of members from various organisms, including \textit{Schizosaccharomyces pombe}, \textit{Aspergillus nidulans}, \textit{Drosophila melanogaster}, \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans}, and human (see phylogenetic analysis in Fig. S4, available at \textit{http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1}). LIS1 proteins have a predicted coiled-coil region in the NH$_2$ terminus and seven tandem WD40 repeats in the COOH-terminal two thirds of the molecule (Fig. S5, available at \textit{http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209022/DC1}). LIS1 proteins are found at the plus ends of cytoplasmic microtubules in several organisms (Han et al., 2001; Coquelle et al., 2002).

The role of LIS1 proteins in dynein targeting appears to differ from organism to organism. In \textit{Aspergillus}, deletion of the LIS1 homologue NUDF did not affect the localization of a GFP fusion of NUDA, the dynein heavy chain homologue, to the distal ends of cytoplasmic microtubules at hyphal tips (Zhang et al., 2002). In cultured mouse fibroblasts, reduction of LIS1 expression appeared to cause redistribution of dynein heavy chain to regions around the nucleus (Sasaki et al., 2000). It is not clear, however, whether this redistribution was due to mislocalization of dynein to microtubule ends or a result of enrichment of microtubules near the nucleus in these LIS1 heterozygous null cells (Smith et al., 2000). We found here, in budding yeast, that \textit{pac1} \textit{A} mutants show loss of dynein Dyn1 localization to the distal ends of cytoplasmic microtubules, which probably leads to loss of dynein delivery to cortical attachment sites and an inability to carry out productive microtubule–cortex interactions. These results may reflect differences in the approaches used for the respective studies in different organisms. They may also reflect a loss or gain of particular LIS1–ligand interactions subsequent to the divergence of these organisms.
as suggested by the weak sequence similarity between vertebrate and yeast dynein/dynactin components (McMillan and Tatchell, 1994; Geiser et al., 1997; Kahana et al., 1998).

Materials and methods

Strains, genetic manipulation, and transformation

Yeast culture, media, and genetic manipulations were performed by standard methods (Kaiser et al., 1994). Lithium acetate transformation was performed as previously described (Knop et al., 1999). Table II lists the S. cerevisiae strains used in this study. Strain YCJ1629 (pac1Δ) was crossed to strains from the deletion consortium (Research Genetics genomic resources; bim1Δ, kar9Δ, apr1Δ, num1Δ, dyn1Δ, nip100Δ, jnm1Δ, bik1Δ, kp3Δ, pac1Δ, bud3Δ, or bni1Δ) to construct heterozygous diploid double mutants. From each cross, two independent heterozygous diploids were sporulated and tetrad dissected. Progeny were examined for germination and colony formation in the microscope. Markers were analyzed by replica plating to selective media.

Construction of PAC1–3GFP and DYN1–3GFP strains

We constructed tagging vectors designed to integrate three tandem copies of GFP at the 3’ end of the PAC1 or DYN1 locus. We first built a plasmid containing three tandemly fused GFP genes and the TRP1 marker. In brief, an engineered BamHⅰ–BglII fragment containing GFP (with S65G and S72A mutations for brighter expression in yeast) without a stop codon was amplified, verified by sequencing, and cloned into the BglII site of pBluescript-based cloning vector. The resulting plasmid is pBS-1xGFP. We verified the sequence of GFP, excised it with BamHI and BglII, and re-cloned into BamHⅰ- and BglII-digested pBS1479 (Rigaut et al., 1999), a pBS-2xGFP, yielding pBS-3xGFP. We next cloned a BamHⅰ–KpNI fragment containing the TRP1 marker into BglII- and KpNI-digested pBS-3xGFP, creating pBS-3xGFP–TRP1. To target the 3xGFP–TRP1 cassette into the PAC1 or DYN1 locus, an engineered BglII–BamHⅰ fragment containing the 3’ coding sequence of PAC1 (575–1482 bp) or DYN1 (11724–12276 bp) and a GlyAlaGlyAlaGlyAla linker was cloned into BamHⅰ-digested pBS-3xGFP–TRP1. The resulting plasmids contain a fragment of PAC1 or DYN1 sequence fused in frame to the triple GlyAla linker and triple GFP. Wild-type haploid cells (YC2296) were transformed with these integrating vectors linearized by Clal in the middle of the PAC1 or DYN1 sequence. Stable Trp+ transformants were selected and then screened for proper targeting by PCR.

We deleted various genes in the PAC1–3GFP or DYN1–3GFP strains by oligonucleotide-mediated disruption (Baudin et al., 1993). Stable transformants were tested for appropriate disruption by PCR from genomic DNA. Two independent transformants were chosen for each disruption for subsequent localization studies.

Complementation analysis

We tested triple GFP-tagged Pac1 and Dyn1 for function by assaying nuclear segregation and synthetic lethality with bim1Δ and kar9Δ. To assay for nuclear segregation, mid-log cells grown in YPD at 12°C were harvested, fixed in 70% ethanol, and stained with DAPI. Images of random fields (>80) of cells were collected on an IX70 Olympus fluorescence microscope with a cooled CCD camera (CCD-300T, Dage-MTI). The fraction of mitotic cells with two nuclei in the mother was plotted for each strain. To test for rescue of synthetic lethality, we crossed YC2770 (PAC1–3GFP or YC2272 (DYN1–3GFP) to YC1550 (bim1Δ) or YC2225 (kar9Δ). Tetrad analysis of two independent heterozygous diploids from each cross was performed as above.

Fluorescence microscopy

We used GFP–TUB1 (pAFS92, a gift from A. Straight and A. Murray (University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; Straight et al., 1997) and GFP–TUB1 (pAFS125C, a gift from D. Beach and K. Bloom, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC) to visualize microtubules. For analysis of microtubule sliding events, cells expressing GFP–TUB1 were observed with a 100× objective on a BX60 Olympus fluorescence microscope, and images were collected with NIH Image software at two frames per second at a single focal plane using an intensified video camera with a digital image processor (ISiT66 and DSP2000; Dage-MTI) (Hei-Chapdelaine et al., 2000). Living cells were imaged directly on a agarose pad containing nonfluorescent media (Hei-Chapdelaine et al., 2000). Movies of Pac1–3GFP or Dyn1–3GFP were made using QED software (QED Imaging Inc.) by collecting five 1-μm slices at 10-s intervals with an intensified video camera (Dage ISiT66) or an intensified CCD camera (XR-Mega10; Stanford Photonics, Inc.). The fluorescence of Pac1–3GFP and Dyn1–3GFP cytoplasmic dots was measured (NIH image software) and corrected for the background fluorescence from an adjacent region next to each dot.

Cell lysis and immunoblotting

Yeast cultures were grown to mid-log phase in 5 ml YPD or selective media and harvested. Cell pellets were resuspended in 0.5 ml of ice cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, plus protease inhibitor cocktail tablet [Roche Applied

Table II. Strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YC1550</td>
<td>bim1::HIS3 ade2 ade3 ura3 leu2 trp1 lys2</td>
<td>Muhua et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC1629</td>
<td>leu2 ura3 his3–Δ200 pac1::HIS3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2007</td>
<td>leu2 ura3 his3–Δ200 dyn1::HIS3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2225</td>
<td>kar9::KanΔ ade2 ade3 leu2 ura3 trp1 lys2 [pAFS92 GFP–TUB1::URA3]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2296</td>
<td>ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>YEF4738 (Amberg et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2350</td>
<td>lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63 ura3–52 [pAFS92 GFP–TUB1::URA3]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2501</td>
<td>lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63 ura3–52 pac1::HIS3 [pAFS92 GFP–TUB1::URA3]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2770</td>
<td>PAC1–3GFP::TRP1 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2772</td>
<td>DYN1–3GFP::TRP1 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2814</td>
<td>PAC1–3GFP::TRP1 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63 [pAFS125C GFP– TUB1::URA3]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2904</td>
<td>PAC1–3GFP::TRP1 nip100::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2905</td>
<td>PAC1–3GFP::TRP1 num1::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2907</td>
<td>PAC1–3GFP::TRP1 dyn1::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2908</td>
<td>DYN1–3GFP::TRP1 arp1::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2910</td>
<td>DYN1–3GFP::TRP1 num1::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2912</td>
<td>DYN1–3GFP::TRP1 pac1::HIS3 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC2914</td>
<td>DYN1–3GFP::TRP1 ura3–52 lys–801 leu2–Δ1 his3–Δ200 trp1–Δ63 [pAFS125C GFP– TUB1::URA3]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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