Chromosome order in HeLa cells changes during mitosis and early G1, but is stably maintained during subsequent interphase stages
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Wether chromosomes maintain their nuclear positions during interphase and from one cell cycle to the next has been controversially discussed. To address this question, we performed long-term live-cell studies using a HeLa cell line with GFP-tagged chromatin. Positional changes of the intensity gravity centers of fluorescently labeled chromosome territories (CTs) on the order of several μm were observed in early G1, suggesting a role of CT mobility in establishing interphase nuclear architecture. Thereafter, the positions were highly constrained within a range of ~1 μm until the end of G2. To analyze possible changes of chromosome arrangements from one cell cycle to the next, nuclei were photobleached in G2 maintaining a contiguous zone of unbleached chromatin at one nuclear pole. This zone was stably preserved until the onset of prophase, whereas the contiguity of unbleached chromosome segments was lost to a variable extent, when the metaphase plate was formed. Accordingly, chromatin patterns observed in daughter nuclei differed significantly from the mother cell nucleus. We conclude that CT arrangements were stably maintained from mid G1 to late G2/early prophase, whereas major changes of CT neighborhoods occurred from one cell cycle to the next. The variability of CT neighborhoods during clonal growth was further confirmed by chromosome painting experiments.

Introduction

Chromosome order during cell cycle and cell differentiation has become a focus of research to analyze the nuclear architecture and its functional implications (for reviews see Lamond and Earnshaw, 1998; Cremer et al., 2000; Leitch, 2000; Cremer and Cremer, 2001; Parada and Misteli, 2002). Early attempts to study higher order interphase chromosome arrangements were undertaken in the 19th century (Rabl, 1885). In 1909, based on studies of blastomere nuclei in the nematode *Parascaris equorum*, Theodor Boveri developed the following hypothesis (Fig. 1; Boveri, 1909): (1) chromosomes occupy distinct chromosome territories (CTs)* in the cell nucleus; (2) CT order is stably maintained during interphase; (3) changes of chromosome neighborhoods occur during mitosis, in particular during prometaphase, when chromosomes become attached to the spindle and move toward the metaphase plate. Accordingly, chromosome order in the metaphase plate, and hence, CT order during interphase can profoundly vary from one cell cycle to the next; and (4) chromosomal neighborhoods established in the metaphase plate are maintained during anaphase and telophase in the two separated sets of chromatids. This results in a rather symmetrical CT arrangement in the two daughter nuclei.

During the last decade, numerous studies provided conclusive evidence for Boveri's first assumption in various animal and plant species. The chromatin masses of individual interphase chromosomes are arranged within distinct territories (for reviews see Cremer et al., 1993; Leitch, 2000; Cremer and Cremer, 2001). With regard to Boveri's second assumption, the evidence is less clear. A number of reports describe a high degree of stability of large-scale chromatin arrangements in mammalian cell nuclei (Shelby et al., 1996; Abney et al., 1997; Zink and Cremer, 1998; Bornfleth et al., 2002; Lucas and Cervantes, 2002), but considerable movements of chromosomal subregions, such as centromeres, were observed during the cell cycle or terminal differentiation (Martou and De Boni, 2000; Cremer et al., 2003). Extensive,
rapid movements of chromatin were reported for nuclei of budding yeast and Drosophila (for review see Gasser, 2002; Marshall, 2002). Boveri’s third assumption was challenged by Nagele et al. (1995), who noted a precise spatial positioning of chromosomes in prometaphase chromosome rosettes from human fibroblasts and HeLa cells, and suggested permanent associations among adjacent chromosomes due to hypothetical centromere interconnections. Such connections could also provide a mechanism for ordered arrangements of CTs during interphase (Koss, 1998; Nagele et al., 1999). However, other groups reported highly variable neighborhoods of both mitotic chromosomes and CTs (Lesko et al., 1995; Allison and Nestor, 1999; Cremer et al., 2001; Habermann et al., 2001). Several studies provided evidence for a nonrandom radial position of specific CTs in the nuclear interior or periphery (Skalnikova et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2000; Boyle et al., 2001; Cremer et al., 2001).

Notably, such a radial order is fully compatible with a highly variable neighborhood of chromosomes (Cornforth et al., 2002). For Boveri’s fourth assumption, anecdotal evidence has been reported, but a quantitative, high resolution analysis has not yet been provided. Early studies indicated rather symmetrical locations of nucleoli as well as chromocenters in daughter nuclei from a variety of plant species (Heitz, 1932). FISH experiments suggested a considerable degree of symmetry in the arrangement of centromeres and whole CTs in daughter nuclei (Sun and Yokota, 1999; Habermann et al., 2001).

Considering the conflicting evidence for and against Boveri’s assumptions, we decided to test his hypothesis in HeLa cells using state of the art live-cell and 3-D FISH approaches. We chose this cancer cell line for two reasons. First, long-term fluorescence observations of living cells at high resolution carry a risk of phototoxic damage that can result in a stop of the cell cycle and trigger apoptosis. This possibility is reduced in cancer cells, such as HeLa, in which cell cycle checkpoints and apoptotic mechanisms are less effective than in diploid cells. Second, the HeLa cell line used in the present studies expresses GFP-tagged histone H2B (Kanda et al., 1998). This allowed us to perform chromatin bleaching experiments; Criss-cross stripes of photobleached chromatin were produced in nuclei at different stages of interphase, and were followed until fluorescence recovered. In case of large-scale CT movements during the recovery period, we expected the destruction of the stripe pattern, whereas its maintenance would suggest a large-scale stability of CT positions. To analyze possible changes of chromosome arrangements during mitosis, we partially bleached nuclear chromatin in mother cell nuclei during G2 and followed unbleached chromatin from mother nuclei to their daughter nuclei. In case chromosome positions were globally inherited through mitosis, we expected the faithful restoration of the nuclear topology of GFP-labeled chromatin.

Fluorescence recovery of bleached chromatin within a time window of a few hours (Kimura and Cook, 2001) does not allow the detection of very slow, long-range interphase movements of CTs. For a study of long-range movements, we performed fluorescence labeling of a small number of CTs in nuclei of living cells (Schermelleh et al., 2001) and studied their arrangements at all cell cycle stages. 3-D FISH experiments with chromosome paint probes in HeLa cell clones at the two- and four-cell stage allowed us to assess a potential symmetry in daughter cell nuclei, as well as major differences of chromosome arrangements already recognizable at the four-cell stage. The results of these experiments provide evidence that Boveri’s hypothesis is valid for HeLa cells.
Results

CT order is stably maintained during interphase

To detect or exclude large-scale CT movements, we produced criss-cross stripes of photobleached chromatin in HeLa cell nuclei with GFP-tagged histone H2B at different stages of interphase (Fig. 2). In the case of large-scale movements, the stripe pattern should become destroyed. In spite of translational and rotational nuclear movements, the stripe pattern was maintained until it became invisible after 1–2 h due to the replacement of bleached H2B-GFP by unbleached H2B-GFP (Fig. 2 C). This experiment provided evidence for the stability of large-scale CT arrangements during this period.

To study the question of whether major changes of CT positions in interphase nuclei may occur during more extended time periods, we made use of a scratch-replication labeling protocol with Cy3-dUTP (Schermelleh et al., 2001). The fluorescent nucleotides, which enter the cell during S-phase, are incorporated into newly synthesized DNA for roughly 1 h. Accordingly, each CT was represented only by a fraction of chromat in foci, called ~1-Mb chromatin domains (Cremer and Cremer, 2001), that were replicated during the labeling period. After labeling, cells were grown for 5–8 additional cell cycles. During the second and subsequent post-labeling mitoses, Cy3-labeled and unlabeled chromatids were segregated, resulting in nuclei with a steadily decreasing number of labeled CTs. Clusters of domains were assigned to represent a single CT, and the 3-D coordinates of the common intensity gravity center were calculated to represent its nuclear location. It is possible that a larger signal cluster was occasionally assigned to one CT, although it represented several neighboring CTs. Small signal
clusters, which consisted of few \( \sim 1 \)-Mb chromatin domains, possibly resulted from sister chromatid exchanges, and therefore represented subchromosomal fragments. In spite of these limitations, the calculated 3-D coordinates served our purpose, namely to distinguish whether individual CTs in HeLa cell nuclei can move to remote nuclear locations during interphase. The 3-D intensity gravity center of the GFP-tagged nuclear chromatin served as the 3-D center of the nucleus (CN). 3-D CT-CN distances, as well as 3-D CT-CT distances were measured at different time points of the total observation period.

24 cells exhibiting nuclei with 1–4 labeled CTs (Table I) were chosen for the analysis of CT movements by time-lapse confocal microscopy with observation periods covering major parts up to a complete HeLa cell cycle of 18–20 h. Evaluation periods in Table I represent the part of the total observation period used for a quantitative analysis. Light-optical nuclear image stacks were sampled at high resolution with intervals between 6 and 30 min. The simultaneous recording of H2B-GFP–tagged chromatin allowed us to monitor translational and rotational nuclear movements as well as changes in nuclear morphology, and to measure the increase of the nuclear volume during interphase. In 12 cells, the observation of a mitotic event preceded an evaluation period that covered G1 to mid-S-phase. In 8 cells, a mitotic event resulting in two inconspicuous daughter nuclei was observed after the end of the evaluation period. In these cases, the end of telophase or the beginning of prophase was used as an indicator to estimate the interphase stages, where CT movements were analyzed (Table I), taking into account the approximate duration of each cell cycle stage determined for HeLa cells (see Materials and methods). In two cases (Table I, nucleus 10 and 11), the total observation time encompassed two mitotic events. Two cells (Table I, nucleus 15 and 16) did not undergo mitosis during an observation period of 10 h, but the two example nuclei. Movements of labeled CTs were studied in nuclei with Cyan3-labeled CTs and its daughters recorded for a total observation period of 18 h. CT movements in the mother cell nucleus were analyzed from late G1 to late S (13-h evaluation period). Time point 0:00 indicates the start of evaluation.

For a typical example, Fig. 3 (A–D) shows the results obtained from nucleus 3\textsubscript{m} (Table I), which was evaluated from late G1 to late G2 over a time period of 13 h. Optical image stacks from the Cy3 and GFP channels were simultaneously recorded every 15 min. 13.5 h after the start of observation, the cell went into mitosis, yielding two inconspicuous daughter cells. During the evaluation period, the nuclear volume increased from 1,200 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \) to 1,780 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \) (Fig. 3, A and B). From a total of 52 3-D image stacks which were recorded during this time, 27 time-image stacks with intervals of 30 min were used for a quantitative analysis of CT-CN and CT-CT distances. The results of these measurements are shown in Fig. 3, C and D. The differences between the maximum and minimum distances, \( \Delta (\text{Max-Min}) \), were taken as a measure of motility. Absolute distances fluctuated and increased slightly over time for distantly located CTs (Fig. 3 C). This increase was likely a passive effect caused by the increase in nuclear volumes because it was no longer noticeable after correction for the nuclear diameter (Fig. 3 D; see Materials and methods). Accordingly, the corrected \( \Delta (\text{Max-Min}) \) values were smaller than the absolute values (compare Fig. 3 C with Fig. 3 D). Fig. 3 D demonstrates that the relative positions of CTs were stably maintained during the entire evaluation period.

Fig. 3 (E–H) shows nucleus 4 (Table I), which exemplifies the analysis of CT movements covering a period of 8 h from telophase into S-phase. From a total of 80 3-D image stacks recorded at intervals of 6 min, 25 image stacks with intervals of 12 min during early G1 and of 30 min from mid G1 to early S-phase were taken for a quantitative evaluation. Fig. 3 (G and H) provides absolute and corrected CT-CN and CT-CT distance measurements. \( \Delta (\text{Max-Min}) \) values measured during early G1 were higher than the values measured from mid G1 to early S. The \( \Delta (\text{Max-Min}) \) values determined for nucleus 4 during mid G1 to early S were similar to the values noted for nucleus 3\textsubscript{m} from mid G1 to late G2 (Fig. 3, C and D). Accordingly, more extensive CT movements can occur during early G1. Table II summarizes the results from 3-D distance analyses of 24 cells and confirms the findings described above for the two example nuclei. Movements of labeled CTs were locally constrained during interphase. However, \( \Delta (\text{Max-Min}) \) values, which express the variability of the measured CT-CN and CT-CT distances, were significantly larger during early G1 (ranging from 0.47 to 4.44 \( \mu \text{m} \) for corrected CT-CT distances; 7/19 (37%) of the values were \( >2 \mu \text{m} \)), compared with subsequent interphase stages (0.25–2.11 \( \mu \text{m} \) for corrected CT-CT distances; only 1/45 (2%)
of these values were >2 μm). Notably, the mobility of individual CTS during early G1 varied largely (Table S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200211103/DC1). From mid G1 to late G2, absolute distances increased slightly over time, reflecting the increase in nuclear volume. During early G1, a significantly larger variability was noticed for both absolute and volume-corrected distances. Furthermore, in spite of the increase in nuclear volume, we observed occasional CT-CT and CT-CN distances that became even smaller during early G1 (Fig. 3, G and H). These findings indicate that the more pronounced CT movements during early G1 do not simply reflect the rapid increase of nuclear volume after telophase, but rather the movements of CTs to their final nuclear locations, which are then maintained within a range of 1 μm (maximum ~2 μm) from mid G1 to late G2. This corresponds to a radius of confinement of 0.5–1 μm.

In a further experiment, a two-color scratch-replication labeling approach was performed. During the first S-phase, mother nuclei were labeled with Cy3-dUTP. During the next S-phase, daughter nuclei were labeled with Cy5-dUTP. Segregation of labeled and unlabeled chromatids during a post-labeling growth of cells for 4 d resulted in nuclei with a subset of CTs stained in different colors (Fig. 4; Video 2). During observation periods of several hours neighboring, differently colored CTs moved repeatedly closer and further apart, confirming locally constrained CT movements in the order of 1 μm. We observed only very little color mixing, indicating the separation of the bulk DNA from different CTs. However, the sensitivity of this approach was not sufficient to exclude intermingling of a fraction of chromatin loops.

### Changes of chromosome neighborhoods occur during mitosis

To find out whether higher order chromatin arrangements change from one cell cycle to the next, we synchronized HeLa cells in early S-phase. 5–6 h after release from the block, when most of the cells were in late S-phase or had en-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># nuclei</th>
<th>Δ(Max-Min) CT-CN</th>
<th>Δ(Max-Min) CT-CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>Corrected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μm</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09 (0.07–0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telophase–early G1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.34 (0.30–2.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid G1–late G2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.06 (0.32–1.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each nucleus, distances between intensity gravity centers of CT pairs (CT-CT) as well as distances between CTs and center of nucleus (CT-CN) were measured. Δ(Max-Min) values are provided as a measure of CT motilities and represent the difference between the maximum and minimum CT-CN or CT-CT distances measured for each CT or CT pair during the indicated cell cycle phases. In addition to Δ(Max-Min) values calculated for absolute distances, the respective values for distances corrected for changes in total nuclear volume are presented. Displayed are the median values of Δ(Max-Min) from all CTS and the smallest and largest Δ(Max-Min) value (range) for a fixed control nucleus, for 12 nuclei in telophase–early G1, and for 20 nuclei in mid G1–late G2. The number of Δ(Max-Min) values exceeding 2 μm, N(Δ > 2 μm), and the total number of measured Δ(Max-Min) values (N total) are shown. P-values indicate the degree of significance of the differences in median and N(Δ > 2 μm) between nuclei in telophase–early G1 and nuclei in mid G1–late G2. The Δ(Max-Min) values obtained for a fixed control nucleus are within one magnitude of order smaller and indicate a minor contribution of mechanical instability in the microscope system. An additional table with all Δ(Max-Min) values is presented as online supplemental material.
tered G2 (Fig. 5, column I), we bleached the GFP-tagged chromatin, leaving a single, contiguous zone of unbleached chromatin at one nuclear pole. Cells were then followed through the remaining part of interphase and through mitosis until the formation of daughter nuclei. All images are maximum intensity projections of confocal image stacks. The degree of the redistribution of unbleached chromatin patches in G1 daughter cells (column VI, arrowheads) can vary from “clustered” (A) to “partially clustered” (B) to “scattered” (C). Column I, GFP pattern of HeLa nuclei before bleaching; column II, the same nuclei after partial bleaching; column III, last frame recorded before the formation of the metaphase plate; column IV, metaphase plate. Arrowheads in B and C point to unbleached chromosomal fragments that are remote from the bulk of unbleached chromatin. Columns V and VI, daughter nuclei in anaphase (column V, C), telophase, or very early G1 (column V, A and B) and in early G1 (column VI). Bars, 10 μm.

Figure 5. Large-scale CT arrangements in HeLa cell nuclei change from one cell cycle to the next. A–C show examples of live-cell confocal image series from three HeLa cells (for movie sequences, see Video 3). After bleaching of GFP-labeled chromatin, except for a contiguous unbleached region at one nuclear pole, cells were followed through the remaining part of interphase and through mitosis until the formation of daughter nuclei. All images are maximum intensity projections of confocal image stacks. The degree of the redistribution of unbleached chromatin patches in G1 daughter cells (column VI, arrowheads) can vary from “clustered” (A) to “partially clustered” (B) to “scattered” (C). Column I, GFP pattern of HeLa nuclei before bleaching; column II, the same nuclei after partial bleaching; column III, last frame recorded before the formation of the metaphase plate; column IV, metaphase plate. Arrowheads in B and C point to unbleached chromosomal fragments that are remote from the bulk of unbleached chromatin. Columns V and VI, daughter nuclei in anaphase (column V, C), telophase, or very early G1 (column V, A and B) and in early G1 (column VI). Bars, 10 μm.
unbleached chromatin were determined and normalized to the size of the nucleus (relative distance \( d \), see Materials and methods). Distance values were grouped into intervals of increasing relative distances. As a measure of the frequencies of pairs of pixels belonging to each interval, the radial autocorrelation function (RAC) was established (see Materials and methods and Fig. S1). Fig. 6 (B and C) shows the average RAC calculated for both pixel fractions for the 24 mother nuclei and 48 daughter nuclei. Compared with the curve found for mother nuclei, the curve for daughter nuclei shows a decrease of smaller relative distances combined with an increase of larger relative distance values. For a nonparametrical, statistical test (see Materials and methods), median values were calculated from the mean relative distances \( <d> \) obtained for each of the 24 mother nuclei immediately after bleaching and before the onset of prophase, and for the 48 daughter nuclei. The comparison of the median values obtained for mother nuclei immediately after bleaching and before the onset of prophase showed a slight increase (4–7%). This increase was significant for the 2% highest intensity pixel fraction (\( P = 0.03 \)) and suggests minor chromatin movements (Table III). In contrast, the comparison of the median values from mother and daughter nuclei showed a marked (70–95%) and highly significant (\( P < 0.001 \)) increase. Because daughter nuclei with scattered unbleached chromatin patches might have contributed decisively to this significance level, we retested the 20 daughter nuclei showing a single cluster of unbleached chromatin patches. Still, a marked (45–60%) and highly significant difference (\( P < 0.001 \)) was obtained. Our RAC analysis fully confirmed the conclusion derived from the visual inspection; the positions of unbleached chromosome segments studied in daughter nuclei differed significantly from the positions in the mother cell nuclei.

In conclusion, the experiments described in this section support Boveri’s hypothesis that changes of chromosome neighborhoods occur when cells pass through mitosis. In the majority of cases (18 of 24), both daughter nuclei showed the same pattern of unbleached patches, suggesting that anaphase–telophase chromosome movements retain a certain level of symmetry. However, in six cases, scattering of unbleached chromatin patches was much more pronounced in one daughter nucleus than in the other (unpublished data). We suggest that different movements of unbleached sister chromatid segments during anaphase–telophase or in early G1 nuclei contributed to this apparent loss of symmetry.

### Changes of CT arrangements during clonal growth

To study the variability of arrangements of specific CTS in two-cell clones from independent mitotic events, as well as between the two pairs of daughter nuclei in four-cell clones, we performed two-color 3-D FISH experiments on HeLa

### Table III. Mean relative distances of high intensity chromatin fractions in partially bleached nuclei

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median value of (&lt;d&gt;) (range of (&lt;d&gt;))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% highest intensity pixel fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 mother nuclei after bleaching</td>
<td>0.179 (0.161–0.209)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 mother nuclei before prophase</td>
<td>0.187 (0.161–0.219)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 daughter nuclei (total)</td>
<td>0.321 (0.183–0.612)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 daughter nuclei with a single cluster of unbleached chromatin patches</td>
<td>0.274 (0.183–0.376)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median values and ranges of the mean relative distances for the 10 and 2% high intensity pixel fractions from 24 mother and 48 daughter nuclei. 20 daughter nuclei exhibiting a single cluster of unbleached chromatin patches.
The present work demonstrates that the location of CTs is stably maintained in HeLa cell nuclei from mid G1 to late G2, but subject to major changes from one cell cycle to the next. Our findings confirm Theodor Boveri’s early hypothesis for HeLa cells. In addition, they show that CT movements during early G1 play a role in the final placement of CTs in interphase nuclei.

Chromatin dynamics in interphase nuclei

In the present work, we demonstrate the stability of large-scale interphase chromatin arrangements in HeLa cells using several live-cell approaches. First, bleached cross-stripe patterns produced in histone H2B-GFP–tagged chromatin at different interphase stages were maintained during fluorescence recovery (1–2 h). Zones of unbleached chromatin produced at nuclear poles of late S or G2 nuclei retained their location and shape until the onset of mitosis. These results are consistent with results from Abney et al. (1997), who demonstrated the local persistence of bleached spots in dihydroethidium-stained chromatin of mammalian cells over at least 1 h. Second, our long-term in vivo study of Cy3- or Cy5-labeled CTs showed that CTs were confined within a radius of $\sim 0.5–1 \mu m$ from mid G1 to late G2, whereas more extended positional changes (occasionally exceeding 4 $\mu m$) were observed during the first 2–3 h after mitosis. Csink and Henikoff (1998) described heterochromatic associations involving large-scale reorganization of chromosomes during G1 in Drosophila larval nuclei. In a study of painted CTs in fixed human diploid fibroblast nuclei, Bridger et al. (2000) found that the final locations of CT #18 were established during the first 2–4 h of G1. Evidence for an increased mobility during early G1 compared with later interphase stages was presented for GFP-tagged centromeres in living HeLa cells and of other chromosomal sites in CHO cells (Shelby et al., 1996; Tumbar and Belmont, 2001). Together, these results support the idea of a time window of increased chromatin mobility during early G1 until structural compo-
nents, essential for the stability of CT arrangements, are fully established. More extensive movements during early G1 may also reflect a necessity of CTs to home in to their final nuclear locations and to establish a "polarized" orientation (Sadoni et al., 1999). In all normal and malignant mammalian cell types studied so far, gene-poor chromatin, which replicates in mid S, is preferentially arranged in the nuclear periphery and around the nucleoli, whereas gene-dense, transcriptionally active early replicating chromatin is located in a zone that expands between these two transcriptionally silent compartments (Dimitrova and Berezney, 2002).

A comparison of published studies of chromatin movements in nuclei of living cells should be performed with caution. Long-term measurements of constrained movements of whole CTs performed in the present work with sampling intervals between 6 and 30 min for total observation periods of many hours cannot be directly compared with movements of small chromatin sites analyzed in other cell systems using intervals of minutes or seconds and for much shorter evaluation periods. For lac-operator repeats integrated into different chromosomal sites of human HT-1080 cells, Chubb et al. (2002) found that the maximum range of movements depended on the subnuclear localization with 0.9 µm for a site located in the nuclear periphery and 1.5 µm for a nucleoplasmic site. Diffusion coefficients were in the range of $10^{-4}$ µm$^2$/s or lower. In HeLa cell nuclei, initial evaluations of diffusion coefficients for $\sim$1-Mb chromatin domains and entire CTs recorded with sampling intervals of 20 min hint to values in the order of $10^{-5}$ µm$^2$/s (Bornfleth et al., 1999; Edelmann et al., 2001). A higher mobility with diffusion coefficients in the order of $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$ µm$^2$/s was reported for fluorescence tagged chromosomal sites in Drosophila and yeast (for reviews see Gasser, 2002; Marshall, 2002). In Drosophila early embryos, confinement radii $\approx$ 0.9 µm were reported for a heterochromatic site (Marshall et al., 1997). In Drosophila spermatocyte nuclei, Vazquez et al. (2001) described long-range motions of integrated lac-operator sites confined to a radius of 3 µm in mid G2 and of $\sim$0.3 µm in late G2 nuclei. In yeast, the movements of centromeric and telomeric sites were confined to radii $\leq$ 0.3 µm independent of the cell cycle. In contrast, chromosomal regions close to transcribed genes were found to be less constrained in G1 with a radius of 0.7 µm, showing particularly fast movements of up to 0.5 µm within 10 s. In S-phase, these movements became constrained to a radius $\lesssim$ 0.3 µm through a replication-dependent mechanism (Heun et al., 2001). In our HeLa cell study, we did not see changes in the confinement of CTs during S- and G2-phase.

It was shown that motility of a measured target depends on its chromosomal localization (Heun et al., 2001) and its nuclear position (Chubb et al., 2002). The latter paper reported a lower chromatin mobility near the nucleoli and the nuclear periphery, indicating a role of these structures in maintaining the 3-D arrangements of chromatin. Although the lamina-coated nuclear envelope is a proven site for chromatin attachments (Paddy et al., 1990), it is not clear whether the tethering of chromatin to a matrix of branched matrix core filaments plays a major role in constraining chromatin movements (Ma et al., 1999; Cremer et al., 2000). As an alternative, we consider the possibility that the stability of large-scale chromatin arrangements is maintained without the help of an internal nuclear matrix. Movements of a given CT could become constrained mainly by its neighboring CTs.

To interpret higher order chromatin dynamics observed in human, Drosophila, and yeast cells, differences in size of their chromosomes (human, 50–250 Mb; Drosophila, 4.3–70 Mb; yeast, 0.2–1.5 Mb) and genome structure have to be considered. For example, the fraction of transcriptionally active chromatin in yeast amounts to $\approx$90%, compared with $\sim$10% in humans. This may correspond with differences of chromatin compaction and rigidity/ flexibility of higher order chromatin structures. A "free" yeast chromosome of 1 Mb may behave differently compared with a human $\sim$1-Mb chromatin domain, which is part of a much larger CT.

Studies of normal cell types are indicated to see whether the large-scale stability of CT order seen in HeLa cell nuclei is a general feature of mammalian interphase nuclei. Such a stability does not exclude more expanded, rapid, and possibly directed movements of subchromosomal regions and genes. Functional implications of changes in higher order chromatin architecture are strongly suggested by the finding that transcriptional activation/gene silencing is correlated with the repositioning of genes and gene clusters (for review see Baxter et al., 2002).

Changes of CT order from one cell cycle to the next

Similarities of CT arrangements in daughter nuclei as well as changes of chromosome arrangements during mitosis were analyzed both by tracking of unbleached chromatin regions through mitosis and by chromosome painting of two-cell and four-cell HeLa cell clones. Coherent unbleached chromatin zones produced in mother nuclei during late S/G2 retained their position until early prophase. In prophase, the unbleached chromatin locally condensed into several unbleached chromosome segments. In daughter nuclei, these segments were recognized as locally decondensed chromatin patches. Our observations that locally constrained chromatin movements are sufficient for the transition of interphase into mitotic chromosomes and vice versa are consistent with findings reported by other groups (Manders et al., 1999; Lemke et al., 2002). Daughter nuclei with distantly located patches of unbleached chromatin demonstrate major changes in the arrangement of chromosome from one cell cycle to the next. Although metaphase plate arrangements of unbleached chromosomes may depend on the orientation of the bleaching axis (parallel or perpendicular to the spindle axis), such differences cannot account for the observation of metaphase plates showing most of the unbleached chromosomes clustered, whereas some had relocated to remote sites. In agreement with Boveri, our observation indicates that changes of chromosome neighborhoods occur during prometaphase. Our data demonstrate that mitosis is of major importance to explain the variability of CT arrangements in interphase nuclei and are in conflict with the findings of Nagele et al. (1995, 1999) that chromosomes in mitotic rosettes have a highly ordered, reproducible arrangement.

As a result of perfectly symmetrical chromatid movements during anaphase/telophase, one would expect daughter nuclei with a translational or mirrorlike symmetry of their CT
arrangements. In both chromatin bleaching and 3-D FISH experiments, pairs of daughter nuclei often showed a notable symmetry of the labeled higher order chromatin structures, but this symmetry was never perfect and we noted pairs, where we could not detect an obvious translational or mirror-like symmetry. Failure to achieve a symmetrical CT distribution may result from an imperfect symmetry of chromatin movements during anaphase. Our finding that CT movements were significantly more pronounced in nuclei studied in early G1 compared with nuclei followed from mid G1 to late G2 provides an additional possibility for movements, which disturb this symmetry.

A comparison of randomly selected pairs of daughter nuclei showed clearly different arrangements of painted CTs. 3-D nuclear reconstructions from four-cell clones demonstrated major differences already in the granddaughters of a cloned cell. We conclude that the variability of CT arrangements in HeLa cell cultures (unpublished data) is caused by drastic changes of CT neighborhoods from one cell cycle to the next, and does not depend on the accumulation of small positional changes during many cell generations. Experiments with other cell lines, including nontransformed diploid cells, are indicated to explore whether the rapid perturbation of chromosome order seen in the clonal progeny of HeLa cells is a special feature or a finding typical for many cell types. Studies of CT arrangements in cell clones from a variety of normal and malignant cell types will answer the question of whether the number of cell cycles required to perturb chromosome order to an extent that reflects the variation observed in an entire cell culture depends on the cell type.

The variability of CT order in HeLa cell nuclei prompts the question of whether CTs may be arranged in an entirely random fashion in this cell line, but this is clearly not the case. In agreement with the nonrandom radial distribution pattern of the gene-dense CTs #19 and gene-poor CTs #18, which was demonstrated in diploid nuclei of lymphocytes and lymphoblastoid cells from man and other primates (Croft et al., 1999; Cremer et al., 2001; Tanabe et al., 2002), we found a more interior location of gene-dense chromosome 19 material in HeLa cell nuclei compared with chromosome 18 material, despite of rearrangements involving these chromosomes (unpublished data).

Materials and methods
Cell culture
HeLa cells expressing histone H2B-GFP (provided by K. Sullivan, Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA; Kanda et al., 1998) were cultured in a humanized incubator with 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cell culture conditions were further incubated for 1 h, and scratch-labeled with Cy3-dUTP as described above. After a 1-d incubation, the cells were harvested by trypsinization and seeded 1:1 on a new coverslip to ensure an even distribution of the cells. Cells were blocked by aphidicolin for another 12 h and subsequently scratched labeled as described above with 100 μM Cy3-dUTP (Amersham Biosciences). Live-cell observation was performed 4 d later.

Chromosome arrangements during the cell cycle
Chromosome arrangements during subsequent cell cycles. The day before laser scanning observation, cells were harvested by trypsinization and seeded on round coverslips fitting to the live-cell chamber (see below).

For a two-color replication labeling in two consecutive S-phases, the cells were synchronized at G1/S transition by 12 h incubation with aphidicolin (0.15 μg/ml medium). The cells were released from the block by three-times washing with CO2-adapted medium, further incubated for 1 h, and scratch-labeled with Cy3-dUTP as described above. After a 1-d incubation, the cells were harvested by trypsinization and seeded 1:1 on a new coverslip to ensure an even distribution of the cells. Cells were blocked by aphidicolin for another 12 h and subsequently scratch-labeled as described above with 100 μM Cy3-dUTP (Amersham Biosciences). Live-cell observation was performed 4 d later.

Long-term observations of cells on the microscope stage
To reduce photodamage, the medium was supplemented with 0.1–0.5 mM of the radical scavenger Trolox™ (Sigma-Aldrich) at least 12 h before the start of live-cell observations. Cells on round coverslips were transferred to a live-cell chamber (FCS2, Biotechs), mounted on the microscope stage, and kept at 37°C. The objective was operated with an objective heater as part of the FCS2 system. The chamber was perfused with fresh medium using a flexible-tube pump in an airtight assembly to prevent the loss of CO2 and to keep the pH stable at 7.4. A 20-ml syringe connected to the pump and the chamber by a perfusion tube served as a reservoir for CO2-adapted medium. For medium exchange, the pump was automatically run for 10–20 s with a speed of ~5 μl/s after each imaging time point. With this setup, cells on the microscope stage could be observed over several days from low density until confluence.

Confocal laser scanning of living cells and photobleaching
Live-cell imaging was performed with a microscope (LSM 410; Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) using a 63×/1.4 plan-apochromat objective. GFP fluorescence was excited with the Ar+ laser (15 mW, 488 nm) at 100% power, additionally attenuated to 0.1–0.3%, and detected with a band pass filter at 502–542 nm. Cy3 was excited with a 543-nm HeNe-laser (0.5 mW) attenuated to 3% and detected with an LP 570 long pass filter, and Cy5 with a 633-nm HeNe-laser (5 mW) attenuated to 3% and detected with a 665-nm long pass filter. The pinhole was opened to 2–4.5 airy units, sacrificing some optical sectioning capability for efficient light collection. In scratch-replication labeling experiments, we collected 26–30 serial sections at each imaging time point (256 x 256 pixels or 512 x 512; pixel size, 120–200 nm; z-step, 0.4–0.5 μm; time-interval, 6–30 min; 2% averaging). In photobleaching experiments, 15–25 serial sections were collected (512 x 512 or 256 x 256 pixels; pixel size, 100–180 nm; z-step, 0.8–1 μm; time-interval, 15–60 min; 2% averaging). These imaging parameters were found to be an optimal compromise between a low incidence of apoptosis and the resolution quality of images.

To record time series of 3-D image stacks automatically, we developed a macro for the LSM 410 control software. Within a field of view selected at a low zoom, this macro recorded time series of 3-D image stacks from multiple regions of interest at high zoom. For compensation of z-axis drift, the interface between the coverslip and the cell culture medium was imaged in reflection contrast before each image stack was recorded. The reflected light intensity had a sharp maximum at a distinct z-position, which was used as reference position.

Determination of cell cycle stages
The average cell cycle length of HeLa H2B-GFP cells under live-cell observation conditions was 18–20 h, as determined by the interval between two mitotic events (n = 24). Length of S-phase was determined by BrdU pulse labeling, resulting in 35% BrdU-positive nuclei. As nearly 100% of nuclei were in a proliferative status, as shown by a positive immunostaining of Ki67 protein (a marker for cell proliferation), the length of S-phase was calculated to be ~7 h. The duration of G1 was estimated by the measurement of nuclear volumes (see below). On average, nuclei showed an ~2.5-fold increase in the nuclear volume during telophase detected with BrdU between 1 h, 45 min and 3 h after telophase, the volumes reached a plateau phase. The period from telophase until the beginning of this plateau phase was defined as early G1 (Table I). The volumes then remained constant for ~4–5 h (mid-late G1) followed by another 1.5–1.6-fold volume increase during S-phase and G2.

3-D FISH and imaging of HeLa cell clones
HeLa H2B-GFP cells were seeded in low density on gridded coverslips (Bellco) to identify individual cells and cell clones. To ensure the clonal origin of the two- and four-cell clusters, transmission images of defined ar-
tional sections. Other sections were not considered because the upper and lower nuclear borders could not be demarcated clearly due to the lack of a counterstain for the total chromatin. The mean relative distance \( <d> \) was calculated from the RAC as:

\[
<d> = 0.05 + \sum_{d} d \cdot \text{RAC}(d),
\]

For a more intuitive explanation of the RAC, see Fig. S1. The median values of the mean relative distances were compared with the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test (Barlow, 1989).

**Online supplemental material**

Video 1 shows a 4-D time series of individual CTs in HeLa H2B-GFP cells labeled with Cy3-dUTP. Video 2 corresponds to Fig. 4, and shows a time series of a HeLa nucleus with H2B-GFP and CTs labeled with Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP 4.5 d after the second labeling event. Video 3 corresponds to Fig. 5, and shows maximum intensity projections of H2B-GFP-tagged chromatin from three HeLa cells after partial photobleaching of the whole mother nucleus, except for an unbleached region at one pole. Videos 4–7 show interactive 3-D renderings of the two pairs of daughter cell nuclei from a four-cell clone displayed in Fig. 8. The supplemental table provides additional information to Table I and Table II. For each evaluated cell, the number of evaluated time points and the number of labeled CTs, as well as \( \Delta_{\text{Max-min}} \) values from all CT-CT and CT-nuclear distances are listed. Fig. S1 provides a schematic explanation of how different distributions of high intensity nuclear signals are represented by the radial autocorrelation procedure. Online supplemental material available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200211103/DC1.

The HeLa H2B-GFP cell line was provided by K. Sullivan.
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