Distinct roles for ADAM10 and ADAM17 in ectodomain shedding of six EGFR ligands
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All ligands of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which has important roles in development and disease, are released from the membrane by proteases. In several instances, ectodomain release is critical for activation of EGFR ligands, highlighting the importance of identifying EGFR ligand sheddases. Here, we uncovered the sheddases for six EGFR ligands using mouse embryonic cells lacking candidate-releasing enzymes (a disintegrin and metalloprotease [ADAM] 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, and 19). ADAM10 emerged as the main sheddase of EGF and betacellulin, and ADAM17 as the major convertase of epiregulin, transforming growth factor α, amphiregulin, and heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor in these cells. Analysis of adam9/12/15/17−/− knockout mice corroborated the essential role of adam17−/− in activating the EGFR in vivo. This comprehensive evaluation of EGFR ligand shedding in a defined experimental system demonstrates that ADAMs have critical roles in releasing all EGFR ligands tested here. Identification of EGFR ligand sheddases is a crucial step toward understanding the mechanism underlying ectodomain release, and has implications for designing novel inhibitors of EGFR-dependent tumors.

Introduction

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway has critical functions in development and in diseases such as cancer (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001). Ligands of the EGFR comprise a family of structurally and functionally related integral membrane proteins that can be proteolytically processed and released from cells (Harris et al., 2003). EGFR ligands include EGF (Cohen, 1965), heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF; Higashiyama et al., 1991), TGFα (Derynck et al., 1984), betacellulin (Shing et al., 1993), amphiregulin (Shoyab et al., 1989), epiregulin (Toyoda et al., 1995), and epigen (Strachan et al., 2001). Although membrane-bound EGFR ligands can engage in juxtacrine signaling (Brachmann et al., 1989; Wong et al., 1989; Higashiyama et al., 1991), a metalloprotease activity is critical for activation of EGFR signaling under a variety of circumstances. For example, EGFR-dependent proliferation and migration of a mammary epithelial cell line can be inhibited by the metalloprotease inhibitor batimastat (BB94), and this inhibition is rescued by addition of soluble EGF (Dong et al., 1999). Furthermore, activation of TGFα and potentially other EGFR ligands during mouse development depends on the presence of functional ADAM17 (Peschon et al., 1998). Moreover, the metalloprotease-dependent release of HB-EGF as well as amphiregulin from cells has been described as a key step in the transactivation of the EGFR by different G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs; Prenzel et al., 1999; Gschwind et al., 2003; Lemjabbar et al., 2003). Production of solubilized EGFR ligands has revealed that shedding involves proteolysis by enzymes such as ADAM10 and ADAM17 (Higashiyama et al., 1991; Prenzel et al., 1999; Lemjabbar et al., 2003). Production of solubilized EGFR ligands has revealed that shedding involves proteolysis by enzymes such as ADAM10 and ADAM17 (Higashiyama et al., 1991; Prenzel et al., 1999; Lemjabbar et al., 2003).
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Table I. Genotype of offspring from matings of Adam9+/-15+/- parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype of offspring</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>5.5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.0 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>5.0 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.8 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.9 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.8 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>5.5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.5 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.4 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses, % (total of 180).

of soluble HB-EGF by keratinocytes is up-regulated in response to wounding, and a metalloprotease inhibitor that blocks release of EGFR ligands from these cells abolishes their migration in vitro, and wound healing in vivo (Tokumaru et al., 2000). Shedding of HB-EGF also has an important role in heart development (Jackson et al., 2003; Yamazaki et al., 2003) and in a mouse model of myocardial hypertrophy, which can be prevented through a metalloprotease inhibitor (Asakura et al., 2002). A recent report demonstrates that even juxtacrine activation of the EGFR by a metalloprotease ac-

Table II. Genotype of offspring from matings of Adam9+/-15+/-12+/- parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype of offspring</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-12+/-</td>
<td>30.1 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-12+/-</td>
<td>44.0 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+/-15+/-12+/-</td>
<td>25.9 (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses, % (total of 166).
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Triple knockout mice lacking ADAMs 9, 12, and 15 were generated by mating adam9/15−/− parents carrying one mutant ADAM12 allele (adam9/15−/− 12+/−). The genotype of offspring from these matings was Mendelian with respect to the mutant ADAM12 allele (Table II), and adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout mice were viable and fertile and did not display any evident pathological phenotypes (see below, and Materials and methods for details).

Ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligands in mouse embryonic fibroblasts

To further explore the role of ADAMs in EGFR ligand shedding, we turned to cell-based assays using cells isolated from embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) whenever this was possible. The only exceptions were adam10−/− and adam17−/− cell lines, which were immortalized because adam10−/− embryos die early in embryogenesis (E9.5) (Hartmann et al., 2002). Northern or Western blot analyses confirmed that primary mEFs from wild-type mice indeed express all ADAMs analyzed here (ADAMs9, 10, 12, 15, 17, and 19; see Fig. 1). Furthermore, Northern blots of RNA isolated from primary mEFs analyzed here, and pro- and mature ADAM17 are expressed in wild-type, adam19−/−, and adam9/12/15−/− cells. Note that the exon containing the Zn2+−binding catalytic site of ADAM17 is deleted in adam17−/− cells (ADAM17Ala229). This will most likely impair proper protein folding, resulting in retention of mutant ADAM17 in the ER by chaperones and subsequent degradation (Suzuki et al., 1998). wt, wild type; 17−/−, adam17−/−; 19−/−, adam19−/−; T−/−, adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout; P, pro-form; M, mature.

Figure 1. Expression of widely expressed and catalytically active ADAMs in MEFs. ADAMs are grouped by expression pattern and presence or absence of a catalytic site (HEXXH) in the metalloprotease domain. 27 ADAMs have been identified in mice, of which 10 lack an HEXXH sequence, and are presumably not catalytically active. Out of 17 ADAMs with an HEXXH sequence, 10 are mainly expressed in the testes or epididymis, or are not widely expressed (J.M. White, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; http://www.people.virginia.edu/~jw7g/Table_of_the_ADAMs.html). Six of the seven widely expressed HEXXH-containing ADAMs were included in this paper. The top right panel shows a Northern blot analysis of the expression of ADAMs 9, 12, 15, and 19 in primary MEFs. The ADAM19 mRNA in a group of ADAMs lacking ADAM10, ADAM17, or ADAM19, and wild-type controls. This allowed us to evaluate the contribution of ADAMs lacking ADAM10, ADAM17, or ADAM19, and wild-type controls. This allowed us to evaluate the contribution of ADAM(s). Finally, Western blot analysis confirmed that no mature ADAM17 is produced in embryonic fibroblasts used here. Both pro- and mature ADAM10 are expressed in all primary mEFs analyzed here, and pro- and mature ADAM17 are expressed in wild-type, adam19−/−, and adam9/12/15−/− cells. Note that the exon containing the Zn2+−binding catalytic site of ADAM17 is deleted in adam17−/− cells (ADAM17Ala229). This will most likely impair proper protein folding, resulting in retention of mutant ADAM17 in the ER by chaperones and subsequent degradation (Suzuki et al., 1998). wt, wild type; 17−/−, adam17−/−; 19−/−, adam19−/−; T−/−, adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout; P, pro-form; M, mature.
The potential role of different ADAMs as EGFR ligand sheddases was then addressed in

...adam19/12/15 cells expressing a given EGFR ligand were also determined to provide a reference point for the comparison of total unstimulated shedding levels.

**Shedding of EGFR ligands in wild-type cells**

Shedding of EGFR ligands was first evaluated in wild-type MEFs. As shown in Fig. 2 B, unstimulated mEFs shed basal amounts of TGFα, amphiregulin, epiregulin, HB-EGF, betacellulin, and EGF. In the case of TGFα, amphiregulin, epiregulin, and HB-EGF, shedding was stimulated relatively strongly by PMA, whereas shedding of betacellulin and EGF was only weakly enhanced by PMA (see also Fig. 3 A). Treatment with the metalloprotease inhibitor batimastat strongly reduced both PMA-stimulated (unpublished data) and constitutive shedding of all EGF family members except HB-EGF. Although stimulated HB-EGF shedding was effectively inhibited by batimastat (unpublished data), constitutive release was only weakly affected (see also Fig. 4 A), suggesting that the predominant constitutive HB-EGF sheddase in primary mEFs is not a batimastat-sensitive metalloprotease, and is distinct from the sheddase(s) of other EGFR ligands.

**PMA-stimulated shedding of EGFR ligands in adams−/− cells**

The potential role of different ADAMs as EGFR ligand sheddases was then addressed in adams−/− mEFs. When we evaluated PMA-stimulated EGFR ligand shedding in triple knockout adams9/12/15−/− cells, no significant decrease in the release of HB-EGF, TGFα, amphiregulin, EGF, or betacellulin compared with wild-type cells was observed (Fig. 3 A). However, there was a statistically significant reduction in stimulated shedding of epiregulin in adams9/12/15−/− cells (41.8%), suggesting that one or more of these ADAMs contributes to stimulated epiregulin processing. Similarly, we found no evidence for a major role of ADAM19 in stimulated shedding of the EGFR ligands tested here (Fig. 3 A). The reason for the slight increase in HB-EGF shedding in adams19−/− cells compared with wild-type controls remains to be determined.

ADAM17 has been implicated in the shedding of TGFα and HB-EGF in immortalized embryonic fibroblasts and primary keratinocytes (Peschon et al., 1998; Merlos-Suarez et al., 2001; Sunnarborg et al., 2002), and of amphiregulin in primary keratinocytes (Sunnarborg et al., 2002). Consistent with these results, we observed a significant reduction of PMA-induced shedding of TGFα (89%), amphiregulin (65.8%), and HB-EGF (58.1%) in adams17−/− compared with wild-type mEFs (Fig. 3 A). Furthermore, shedding of epiregulin was also strongly decreased in adams17−/− cells (75.7%), providing the first evidence for a critical role of ADAM17 in stimulated shedding of this EGFR ligand. For TGFα, amphiregulin, and epiregulin, PMA-dependent ectodomain shedding in adams17−/− cells was increased when wild-type ADAM17 was cotransfected, confirming that the defect in PMA-dependent shedding is indeed due to loss of ADAM17 (unpublished data).

ADAM10 has been implicated in shedding of HB-EGF as part of a pathway for crosstalk between a GPCR and the EGFR (Lemjabbar and Basbaum, 2002; Yan et al., 2002). As shown in Fig. 3, PMA-stimulated shedding of HB-EGF, TGFα, amphiregulin, and epiregulin is not decreased in adams10−/− cells, suggesting that ADAM10 is not required for the PMA-stimulated shedding of these EGFR ligands. The enhanced stimulated shedding of these EGFR ligands...
in adam10−/− (Fig. 3 A) and adam10+/− cells (unpublished data) compared with the primary mEFs is presumably a consequence of immortalization.

Generation of adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice, and evaluation of PMA-stimulated EGFR ligand shedding in adam9/12/15/17−/− cells

Although ADAM17 is essential for the majority of stimulated shedding of HB-EGF in mEF cells, a residual amount of PMA-stimulated HB-EGF shedding is seen in the absence of ADAM17. Could this residual shedding depend on ADAMs 9 or 12, both of which have been implicated in HB-EGF shedding, or on the related ADAM15? To address this issue, we generated quadruple knockout mice lacking ADAMs 9, 12, 15, and 17 (see Materials and methods for details). Similar to adam17−/− mice, adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice that were born had open eyes and died in the first day after birth. The percentage of adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout embryos at E18.5 generated by mating adam9−/− 12−/− 15−/− 17−/− parents was somewhat lower than the percentage of adam17−/− embryos at E17.5–18.5 produced by mating adam17−/− mice (Table III; Peschon et al., 1998).

To determine whether the loss of ADAMs 9, 12, and 15 exacerbates known defects in EGFR signaling in adam17−/− mice, we performed a histopathological examination of wild-type, adam9/12/15−/−, adam17−/−, and adam9/12/15/17−/− E18.5 embryos. As shown in Fig. 3 B (panels A–D), the open-eye phenotype in adam17−/− mice that results from lack of TGFα activation (Peschon et al., 1998) is similar in adam9/12/15/17−/−
quadruple knockout mice, whereas it is not seen in adam9/12/15/17−/− triple knockout mice. Furthermore, Jackson et al. (2003) have described a defect in morphogenesis of the semilunar heart valves and the tricuspid and mitral valves in adam17−/− mice (Fig. 3 B, panels E–P; mitral valve not depicted), which resembles the thickened and misshapen valves seen in hb-egf−/− mice and in mice with a knock-in mutation that abolishes HB-EGF shedding (Iwamoto et al., 2003; Yamazaki et al., 2003). As shown in Fig. 3 B, the heart valves in adam9/12/15/17−/− triple knockout mice are indistinguishable from those in wild-type mice, and again, the defects in heart valve morphogenesis in adam17−/− mice are comparable to the defects in adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice.

A morphometric analysis of all heart valves of six adam9/12/15/17−/− E18.5 embryos also did not show an increased size...
compared with six adam17−/− E18.5 embryos (unpublished data). When we performed shedding experiments with adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mEFs, the residual amount of PMA-stimulated HB-EGF shedding was comparable to what is observed in adam17−/− cells (percent increase in HB-EGF shedding after PMA stimulation in adam9/12/15/17−/− mEF; 33.3 ± 26.9%, n = 16; four embryos, 2–6 wells analyzed per embryo); compared with 33.7 ± 12.9% in adam17−/− mEF; Fig. 3 A). Together, these results argue against a significant contribution of ADAMs 9, 12, or 15 to the shedding of HB-EGF in these cells.

Constitutive shedding of EGFR ligands in adam−/− cells

Next, we evaluated the batimastat-sensitive component of constitutive shedding of EGFR ligands in the presence or absence of different ADAMs. No significant difference in the batimastat-sensitive constitutive shedding of all six ligands tested here was observed in adam9/12/15−/− or adam19−/− cells compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 4 A). Furthermore, constitutive shedding of TGFα, amphieregulin, epiregulin, and HB-EGF was also not affected in adam10−/− cells.

Because the shedding assay used here relies on measurements of the percent increase (see above) or decrease in shedding after treatment compared with unstimulated shedding, it is important to ensure that the levels of unstimulated shedding are indeed similar in different adam−/− and wild-type cells. In experiments where wild-type cells were evaluated simultaneously with adam9/12/15−/− or adam19−/− cells, comparable amounts of each EGFR ligand analyzed here were released from unstimulated cells (unpublished data). However, the overall levels of constitutive shedding for TGFα, amphieregulin, epiregulin, and HB-EGF (but not EGF and betacellulin) were reduced in adam19−/− cells compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 4 A, insets; unpublished data). This demonstrates that ADAM17 has a key role in both stimulated and constitutive shedding of these EGFR ligands.

Interestingly, when we measured the batimastat-sensitive constitutive shedding of EGF and betacellulin, we found that it was abrogated in two independent adam10−/− cell lines compared with control adam10+/− cells and the primary mEF cells (Fig. 4 A; unpublished data). This resulted in a strong decrease in overall unstimulated constitutive shedding of betacellulin (87.5%) and EGF (49.7%) from adam10−/− cells compared with adam10+/− cells (unpublished data).

Constitutive shedding of betacellulin and EGF could be rescued with wild-type ADAM10, confirming that the defect in shedding in adam10−/− cells is indeed due to the lack of ADAM10 (Fig. 4 B). Next, we evaluated the role of ADAM10 in betacellulin-dependent EGFR signaling in adam10−/− cells. When either ADAM10 or betacellulin were introduced in adam10−/− cells, there was no increase in phosphorylation of ERK1/2, a commonly used indicator for activation of the EGFR (Fig. 4 C). However, when wild-type ADAM10 was cotransfected with betacellulin in adam10−/− cells, ERK1/2 phosphorylation was increased (Fig. 4 C). Thus, EGFR signaling via transfected betacellulin depends on the presence of functional ADAM10 in these cells. Together, these results are the first to identify the major sheddase for EGF and betacellulin in mouse embryonic cells, and thus also to uncover two novel substrates for ADAM10.

To address whether the results obtained in mEF cells could in principle also be relevant for other cells and tissues, Western blot analysis of the expression of ADAMs 10 and 17 in different mouse tissues was performed (Fig. 5). This
confirmed that both ADAMs are widely expressed, even though their expression levels vary. Thus, it is likely that both ADAMs 10 and 17 are expressed in the cells and tissues in which the ligands analyzed in this paper exert their function as activators of EGFR signaling.

Discussion

Protein ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligands can be critical for their functional activation. All EGFR ligands analyzed in this paper are synthesized as membrane-anchored precursors, and were initially identified as soluble biologically active growth factors (Cohen, 1962, 1965; de Larco and Todaro, 1978; Todaro et al., 1980; Shoyab et al., 1989; Higashiyama et al., 1991; Shing et al., 1993; Toyoda et al., 1995). A key step in elucidating the mechanism underlying the proteolytic release of EGFR ligands is the identification of the responsible sheddases. Although ADAM17 has been implicated in the shedding of TGFβ, HB-EGF, and amphiregulin (Merlos-Suarez et al., 2001; Sunnarborg et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2003), no information was previously available about the identity of the sheddases for epiregulin, EGF, and betacellulin. Furthermore, three other ADAMs (9, 10, and 12) had been implicated in HB-EGF shedding (Izumi et al., 1998; Asakura et al., 2002; Lemjabbar and Basbaum, 2002), raising questions about their individual contributions to HB-EGF release. To test the hypothesis that the sheddases for epiregulin, EGF, and betacellulin are also ADAMs, and to further evaluate the contribution of different ADAMs to HB-EGF shedding, we studied the release of these proteins from cells lacking one or more members of this family of metalloproteases. Moreover, even though ADAM17 has been linked to the shedding of TGFβ and amphiregulin, we included these EGFR ligands in our paper both to investigate whether other ADAMs may participate in the shedding of these two proteins and as a positive control to validate the assay used here. Finally, we determined the effects of targetted deletions of up to four ADAMs that are candidate sheddases (ADAMs 9, 12, 15, and 17) on mouse development.

Ectodomain shedding experiments using these six major EGFR ligands in adam−/− MEFs corroborated previous reports that ADAM17 has a major role in the shedding of TGFβ, HB-EGF, and amphiregulin (Peschon et al., 1998; Merlos-Suarez et al., 2001; Sunnarborg et al., 2002). We found no evidence for a major contribution of other ADAMs besides ADAM17 to TGFβ, HB-EGF, and amphiregulin shedding in these cells. Furthermore, epiregulin was identified as a novel ADAM17 substrate. Previous works have shown that adamat17−/− mice resemble tgfα−/− mice (Peschon et al., 1998) in that they have open eyes at birth, as well as displaying similar vibrissae, hair, and skin defects (Mann et al., 1993). Furthermore, adamat17−/− mice also resemble bb-egf−/− mice (Iwamoto et al., 2003) in that they have thickened aortic and pulmonic valves (Jackson et al., 2003). A similar phenotype is seen in mice with a mutation in the cleavage site of HB-EGF that abolishes its shedding (Yamazaki et al., 2003). Finally, the phenotype of adamat17−/− mice resembles that of egfr−/− mice (Miettinen et al., 1995; Sibilia and Wagner, 1995; Threadgill et al., 1995; Peschon et al., 1998). Thus, genetic experiments have substantiated that ADAM17 is also essential for the activation of EGFR ligands in vivo. It remains to be determined whether the lack of processing of amphiregulin or epiregulin (or both) also contributes to the phenotype of adamat17−/− mice.

ADAMs 9, 10, and 12 are also considered candidate HB-EGF sheddases (Izumi et al., 1998; Asakura et al., 2002; Kurisaki et al., 2003). However, although PMA-stimulated ectodomain shedding of HB-EGF was somewhat reduced in adamat9/12/15−/− cells, this reduction was not statistically significant. In addition, the residual PMA stimulation of HB-EGF shedding in adamat17−/− cells is most likely also not due to ADAMs 9, 12, or 15 because it remains unchanged in adamat9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout cells. In a previous paper, Kurisaki et al. (2003) reported a significant reduction in the down-regulation of cell-associated HB-EGF in phorbol ester–stimulated adamat12−/− cells compared with wild-type controls. This apparent discrepancy may be due to differences in cell preparation or experimental design. Nevertheless, the main conclusion from the side-by-side comparison of different adamat−/− cells isolated and cultured under identical conditions in this paper is that ADAM17 is the predominant PMA-stimulated HB-EGF sheddase in primary mEF cells.

The conclusion that ADAM17 has a critical role in shedding HB-EGF in vivo was further corroborated by an analysis of the role of these ADAMs during mouse development. As mentioned previously in this paper, adamat17−/− mice resemble egfr−/−, tgfα−/−, or bb-egf−/− mice, whereas no similar defects were seen in adamat9/12/15−/− mice. Furthermore, the phenotype of adamat17−/− mice does not appear to be considerably exacerbated when ADAMs 9, 12, and 15 are also deleted. Together, these findings argue against major compensatory or redundant roles for ADAMs 9, 12, and the related ADAM 15 in the activation of TGFβ, HB-EGF, or the EGFR during development. However, it cannot be ruled out that ADAMs 9, 12, or 15 contribute to shedding of EGFR ligands in cells or tissues where these enzymes and potential substrates are highly expressed. Further analyses will address which ADAMs are capable of cleaving EGFR ligands when overexpressed, and in which tissues candidate EGFR ligand sheddases besides ADAMs 10 and 17 are highly expressed together with EGFR ligands that they can cleave.

ADAM10 has also been implicated in HB-EGF shedding as part of a pathway that involves crosstalk between GPCRs and the EGFR (Lemjabbar and Basbaum, 2002; Yan et al., 2002; Lemjabbar et al., 2003). On the other hand, our results indicate that ADAM10 does not make a major contribution to PMA-stimulated or constitutive shedding of HB-EGF in the cells tested here. This is consistent with the notion that different stimuli may activate different ADAMs, such that HB-EGF shedding depends mainly on ADAM17 under the conditions used here, and mainly on ADAM10 when the appropriate GPCR is stimulated.

Little was previously known about the sheddases responsible for the release of EGF and betacellulin from cells. Here, we show that constitutive shedding of both EGF and betacellulin was strongly reduced in adamat10−/− cells compared with heterozygous controls, and could be rescued by reintroduction of wild-type ADAM10. Furthermore, stimulation of the EGFR by transfected betacellulin in adamat10−/− cells is only seen when these cells are rescued by cotransfection.
with wild-type ADAM10. These results are the first to identify ADAM10 as the major sheddase for these two crucial EGFR ligands in mouse cells. Because ADAM10 is widely expressed, it is tempting to speculate that it may participate in the functional regulation of these two EGFR ligands in development and in diseases such as cancer.

In light of the genetic evidence for a key role of ADAM17 in activation of EGFR ligands in mice (Peschon et al., 1998; Sunnarborg et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2003), it is surprising that no ADAM has been identified as an essential part of the EGFR pathway in Drosophila (Lee et al., 2001; Urban et al., 2001; Ghiglione et al., 2002; Tsuya et al., 2002; Shilo, 2003). Instead, Rhomboids (integral membrane proteins with seven membrane-spanning domains) have been implicated in cleaving EGFR ligands (Urban et al., 2002), whereas reducing the expression of a putative ADAM17 orthologue in Drosophila via small interfering RNA did not block development of EGFR-dependent structures (Lee et al., 2001).

These results suggest that there are critical differences in the mechanism underlying proteolytic activation of EGFR ligands between flies and mice. However, the finding that all EGFR ligands tested here are processed by ADAM10 or ADAM17 in mEFs suggests a possible alternative explanation for these findings. Drosophila carry orthologues of ADAM10 (KUZ) and ADAM17 (AAF56986, the ADAM targeted by RNA interference in Lee et al. [2001]), as well as a third ADAM related to ADAM17 and KUZ with no evident orthologue in mammals (AAF56926). It is conceivable that two or three of these ADAMs fulfill redundant or compensatory roles in activation of EGFR ligands during development in Drosophila. This may only become apparent once two or three of these putative EGFR ligand sheddases are simultaneously inactivated. Conversely, the results in Drosophila suggest that it will be worthwhile to further investigate the potential role of Rhomboids and intramembrane proteolysis in EGFR ligand activation in mammals.

In summary, we report the first systematic analysis of the shedding of EGFR ligands in cells lacking one or more widely expressed and catalytically active ADAM. Our results uncover critical roles for both ADAM10 and ADAM17 in shedding of EGFR ligands in mEF cells. ADAM17 emerged as the major PMA-stimulated and constitutive sheddase of TGFα, amphiregulin, HB-EGF, and epiregulin, which is consistent with the essential role for ADAM17 in activation of the EGFR during development. Furthermore, ADAM10 was found to be the major batimatast-sensitive sheddase for betacellulin and EGF in mEF. Further experiments, including the generation of conditional adam10−/− knockout mice, as well as knock-in mutations that abolish shedding of EGF and betacellulin, will be necessary to address the biological relevance of ADAM10 in shedding the endogenous forms of these EGFR ligands in vivo. The identification of different EGFR ligands as substrates for ADAM10 and ADAM17 sets the stage for the further analysis of how these ADAMs are regulated and how their substrate specificity is achieved. Because proteolysis of EGFR ligands may be critical for their functional activation, and signaling via the EGFR has been implicated in diseases such as cancer, ADAM10 and ADAM17 may be attractive targets for the design of drugs that modulate the action of these ligands.

### Materials and methods

#### Generation of adam9/15−/−, adam9/12/15−/−, and adam9/12/15/17−/− knockout mice

Mice lacking ADAMs 9, 12, or 15 have been described previously (Weskamp et al., 2002; Horiiuchi et al., 2003; Kurisaki et al., 2003). To generate adam9/15−/− double knockout mice, we mated adam9+/− and adam15+/−, doubly heterozygous parents. This produced offspring in the expected Mendelian ratio (Table I). adam9/15−/− double knockout mice were then mated with adam12−/− mice (provided by Dr. Fujisawa-Sehara, University of Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan) to produce adam9/12−/− mice. adam9/15−/− mice were crossed, the ratio of offspring was Mendelian with respect to the mutant ADAM12 allele (Table II). Genotyping was performed by Southern blot as described previously (Weskamp et al., 2002; Horiiuchi et al., 2003; Kurisaki et al., 2003). All animals used in this work were of mixed genetic background (129sv/SJ7Bl6).

The histopathological analysis of adam9/15−/− mice was performed as described previously for adam9−/− or adam15−/− mice (Weskamp et al., 2002; Horiiuchi et al., 2003). Histopathological analysis of adam9/12/15−/− mice was performed by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center mouse phenotyping core. No abnormalities or pathological phenotypes were observed in adam9/15−/− and adam9/12/15−/− mice. Serial sections of tissues affected in egfr−/−, hb-egf−/−, and tgfα−/− mice did not uncover any evident defects in adam9/12−/− or adam9/15−/− mice. Specifically, there were no defects in the development of the heart or its valves (Fig. 3 B, panels E-P), and also no defects in epithelia, intestine, lung, or in hair development. Finally, adam9/12−/− double knockout and adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout mice were indistinguishable from wild-type controls in their appearance and behavior during routine handling.

To generate adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice, adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout mice were mated with adam17−/− animals. Offspring from this mating that were heterozygous for the targeted allele of all four ADAMs were identified by Southern blotting, and were backcrossed several times with adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout mice to obtain adam9−/−/12−/15−/17−/− mice. Crosses of adam9−/−/12−/15−/17−/− mice produced litters with a similar distribution of the targeted ADAM17 allele at E18.5 to what has been reported from crosses of adam17−/− mice (Table III; Peschon et al., 1998). Histopathological evaluation of newborn adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice did not uncover any significant worsening of the developmental defects described for adam17−/− mice. The cause for the slightly increased embryonic lethality of adam9/12/15/17−/− mice compared with adam17−/− mice (Table III) remains to be determined.

### Expression vectors for AP-tagged EGFR ligands

Plasmids encoding AP-tagged EGFR ligands were constructed by inserting partial cDNAs for human TGFα, amphiregulin, epiregulin, EGF, betacellulin, and HB-EGF into the 3′ end of human placental AP cDNA on a pBac/CMV-based expression vector pAIhP. pAIhP contains an NH2-terminally-located HB-EGF signal sequence. In all cases, the junction between AP and the EGFR ligand was placed next to the membrane-proximal EGF repeat. Release of the AP module into the culture supernatant thus requires cleavage at the COOH-terminal cleavage site. It should be noted that the results obtained with AP-tagged EGFR ligands corroborate previous results that TGFα, HB-EGF, and amphiregulin are not cleaved by ADAM17 (Peschon et al., 1998; Merlos-Suarez et al., 2001; Sunnarborg et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2003). This validates the use of AP tags to measure ectodomain shedding for these substrates. In addition, the use of an AP tag has been independently validated using the TNF family members TNFα and TRANCE/OPGL (Zheng et al., 2002; Chesneau et al., 2003). This strongly suggests that an AP tag, which provides a sensitive and quantitative means of measuring ectodomain shedding, should not interfere with the shedding properties of the other EGFR ligands tested here.

### Generation of primary MEFs

Primary MEFs were generated from wild-type or adam−/− E13.5 embryos and were cultured as described previously (Weskamp et al., 2002). In ad-
dition to adam9/12/15−/− triple knockout and adam9/12/15/17−/− quadruple knockout mice, we also used adam17−/− (Peschon et al., 1998) and adam19−/− (Zhou et al., 2004) mice as well as wild-type controls of mixed genetic background (129sv/C57Bl6) to generate the corresponding primary mEF cells. All genotyping was performed by Southern blot analysis. adam10−/− fibroblast cell lines derived from E9.5 embryos have been described previously (Hartmann et al., 2002).

Northern blot analyses

Procedures for isolation of mRNA, gel electrophoresis, transfer to membranes, and generation of 32P-labeled cDNA probes of the indicated ADAMs under high stringency were described previously (Weskamp and Blobel, 1994).

Transfections and shedding assays

cDNA constructs encoding AP-EGF ligand fusion proteins were transfected with LipofectAMINE™ (Invitrogen). Fresh Opti-MEM (Invitrogen) medium was added the next day, incubated for 1 h, and then replaced with fresh medium containing either 20 ng/mL PMA or 1 μM latatin (provided by D. Becherer, GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC), which was also collected after 1 h. Evaluation of AP activity by SDS-PAGE or by colorimetric assays was performed as described previously (Zheng et al., 2002). No AP activity was present in conditioned media of nontransfected cells.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis of the expression of ADAM10 and ADAM17 in MEFs or by colorimetric assays was performed as described previously (Hartmann et al., 2002).

Statistical analyses

t tests for two samples assuming equal variances were used to calculate the P values. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

We are grateful to Dr. Atsuko Fujisawa-Sehara for providing adam12−/− mice; to Drs. Valerie Chesneau, Roy Black, Graham Carpenter, and Peter Dempsey for critical comments on the manuscript; and to Thadeous Kaczmarczyk for excellent technical assistance.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant RO1 GM65740 (to C.P. Blobel), by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center for involvement in TPA-induced ectodomain shedding of membrane-anchored proheparin-binding growth factor secreted by macrophage-like cells that is released by EGF. Science. 251:293–299.


Role of ADAMs in EGFR ligand shedding | Sahin et al. 779


