Tilt back to turn left

Operating an eggbeater vertically creates a vortex, but a slight tilt makes fluid move across a bowl. Julyan Cartwright (CSIC, Granada, Spain), Oreste Piro, and Idan Tuval (CSIC-UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), now claim that a similar backward tilting of mouse cilia may create a flow that defines the left side of the embryo.

A slight tilt turns a set of vortices (left) into directed flow (right).

Flow had already been established as a determinant of left–right asymmetry, at least in mice. Leftwards flow is created by a group of ~30 cilia in the mouse node, a fluid-filled region on the surface of the embryo. Interference with this flow creates a mirror image (situs inversus) of the normal left–right asymmetry of internal organs. Situs inversus happens in either half the cases (if mutation results in no flow and a random breaking of symmetry) or most cases (if an artificial rightward flow is imposed).

Biologists came up with this model but lacked the fluid physics to explain how a bunch of spinning cilia could create a directional flow. The Spanish team took known data on the size, speed, and viscosity of the system and realized that viscosity would dominate over inertia, so varying the angular velocity in different parts of the cilia’s rotation cycle would not give a directional effect. A set of cilia yields a set of vortices unless, and this was the group’s insight, the cilia are tilted relative to the substrate. “Given that the cilia are cylindrical,” says Cartwright, “there’s no other way to produce this flow.”

If cilia rotating clockwise are tilted toward the back of the embryo, the cilia sweep toward the embryo’s left when they are closest to the outside of the embryo. The resulting coherent fluid flow is what Cartwright believes carries the unknown leftwards determinant. On its return journey back to the right, the cilia will be traveling closer to the substrate, in a stratum that the researchers suggest may be relatively devoid of the determinant. Although tilted cilia cannot be seen in the current images of the node, images that are higher in resolution and taken from the side rather than the top may change that situation.


Pulling the spindle into shape

Mutants, RNAi, and extracts were unanimous: myosin II is not needed in mitosis before cytokinesis. But now Jody Rosenblatt, Karen McGee (University College London, England), and colleagues report that myosin II does, indeed, function in mitosis to pull apart and position centrosomes.

Rosenblatt did not set out to contradict the myosin II dogma. She was working on her primary interest of cell extrusion, checking the effect of blocking myosin, when “one day I was staining for tubulin and noticed spindle defects.” One colleague told her, “you really need to focus,” but she continued to see the unexpected phenotype. “Basically it was really crazy but it was happening,” she says. “It took me a long time to convince myself.”

That convincing involved getting the same phenotype—defective spindles and displaced or misaligned chromosomes—after any one of four treatments: myosin RNAi, an immobilizing cross-linking of the cortex with extracellular lectins, and applying either one of two different myosin-inhibiting chemicals. Some cells were not affected because their centrosomes had migrated sufficiently far apart along the nuclear envelope by the time of nuclear envelope breakdown. (This lack of an absolute requirement may have obscured myosin effects in earlier experiments.) But up to half needed some later maneuvering by myosin II.

Latex beads on the surface of cultured cells moved in the direction of centrosome movement. Rosenblatt suggests that myosin II activity in the cortex near centrosomes may be dampened by microtubules contacting a cortical protein. That would leave active only the myosin-based contraction on the opposite side of the cell. Centrosomes would be pulled apart toward that other side of the cell until microtubule–cortex contacts were equalized.

If life seems like one inexorable process of specialization, take a closer look at the Dictyostelium slug. After forming from aggregated single cells, it is on its way to becoming a specialized fruiting body. But if its cells are dispersed and given nutrients they revert to their primitive, proliferative state. This dedifferentiation, say Mariko Katoh, Gad Shaulsky (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX), and colleagues, is not a simple reversal of differentiation but a carefully regulated process. Similar regulation may ensure that dedifferentiating cells in a mammalian wound, for example, can fill in the wound without causing cancers or distorting the shape of the body part that was carefully crafted during development.

How to become a generalist again

The group’s claim is based on microarray results. Many of the transcriptional changes in dedifferentiating cells are a mirror image of those taking place in differentiating cells, but over 100 genes show changes specific to dedifferentiation. The set of genes is similar even when cells start dedifferentiating from different developmental stages.

One of the genes turned on during dedifferentiation encodes DhkA. Mutants lacking DhkA are slower to reinitiate cell division, but not DNA synthesis, during dedifferentiation. DhkA is a histidine kinase that, as part of a two-component system, is also required for the late differentiation event of sporulation. Shaulsky suggests that DhkA may be part of a checkpoint system in which completion of differentiation is contingent on accumulation of proteins (such as DhkA) necessary for dedifferentiation, thus ensuring that development is reversible.


Metabolism as a production line

Metabolic pathways might be smarter than we think, according to Alon Zaslaver, Uri Alon (Weizmann Institute, Rehovot, Israel), and colleagues. At least in bacterial amino acid biosynthesis pathways, the production schedules are designed using two principles that, according to theory, optimize the pathways for the fastest output using the least amount of enzymes.

“...the tradeoffs using 52 gene fusions to fluorescent proteins. They found that genes encoding early steps in a pathway are turned on both earlier and more aggressively, thus ensuring that later gene products have a sufficiently high concentration of substrate on which to act. A computer program designed to optimize production in a mathematical model of a similar pathway came up with the strategy that had the same two dynamic principles.

The “just in time” approach—ordering transcription based on when the gene products are used—has been seen in pathways controlling development and phage and flagellum assembly. For the flagellum case, others have shown that the ordering correlates with the varying affinity of a single transcription factor; in an upcoming issue of Cell, Alon’s team reports that the order can be changed with simple point mutations in the flagellum promoters.


Making waves

Waves of activity need some help in propagating through a cell cycle, according to Attila Becskei, Monica Boselli, and Alexander van Oudenaarden (MIT, Cambridge, MA).

Each transcriptional wave from a yeast cell cycle promoter lasts 20–25 min; so stringing together 3 or 4 of them should allow the construction of a simple 90-min cell cycle. But, says van Oudenaarden, “if you didn’t optimize the system, the cell cycle waves get washed out very easily. Within a quarter or a third of a 90-minute cycle, the waves are almost completely gone.”

Rather than investigating every last detail of real cell cycle oscillators, the MIT group built, both in yeast cells and in silico, a simple circuit that transmits cell cycle–like oscillations. They found that a slow process such as transcription (and the lengthy persistence of the resulting mRNA) led to a loss of the initial periodicity information from a cell cycle promoter.

A faster process, such as accelerated nuclear import, gave a shorter delay but maintained the high peak-to-trough information of the original oscillation. Stringing together a series of such fast processes, such as protein modifications, should give accurate oscillations of approximately the correct duration. The high peak-to-trough ratio can also be restored with feedback loops and nonlinear activation and degradation steps. All of these tricks were known to exist in the cell cycle, but the MIT group has now established why they are necessary.