Kinetochore-driven formation of kinetochore fibers contributes to spindle assembly during animal mitosis
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Introduction

Depending on the organism, spindle assembly during mitosis can occur via two distinct pathways. In cells that contain centrosomes, these organelles generate arrays of highly dynamic astral microtubules (MTs), which explore the cytoplasm, searching for chromosomes. When an astral MT encounters a specialized structure on the chromosome’s primary constriction—the kinetochore—it is captured and stabilized (Kirschner and Mitchison, 1986). Selective stabilization of captured MTs results in the formation of the typical fusiform spindle in which the poles are focused on the centrosomes. The capture of a single astral MT by a kinetochore has been visualized directly in newt lung cultures (Hayden et al., 1990; Rieder and Alexander, 1990), demonstrating the existence of this mechanism in vertebrate somatic cells. After the initial MT capture, kinetochores develop a bundle of 15–30 parallel MTs that connect them to spindle poles. It is generally assumed that these bundles, termed kinetochore fibers (K-fibers), are formed via repetitive MT capture, however this mechanism has not been directly validated (McEwen et al., 1997).

The search-and-capture mechanism is not relevant to spindle assembly in cells lacking centrosomes, which include higher plants and some animal oocytes. In these cells formation of an acentrosomal spindle begins with the nucleation of MTs in the vicinity of the chromosomes via a process that likely involves Ran/RCC1 activity (Karsenti and Vernos, 2001; Li et al., 2003).

For years, the centrosomal and acentrosomal routes of spindle formation have been viewed as mutually exclusive pathways, in that any given cell is thought to use one or the other, but not both mechanisms. However, recent studies have clearly demonstrated that animal cells, which normally contain centrosomes, still form a functional bipolar spindle after these organelles are removed (Khodjakov et al., 2000; Hinchcliffe et al., 2001). Furthermore, Drosophila mutants that appear to lack a functional centrosome undergo a normal mitosis (Bonaccorsi et al., 1998; Megraw et al., 2001). Thus, a centrosome-independent pathway for spindle assembly exists, even in cells that normally use centrosomes for this process. Yet, the question remains whether the acentrosomal pathway is activated only when cells cannot use their “normal” centrosome-mediated mechanism, or whether it is constitutively active, and contributes toward spindle morphogenesis even in the presence of cen-
trosomes. If the latter is true, then some of the K-fibers in animal cells that contain centrosomes should be generated via a centrosome-independent mechanism, even when centrosomes are present and functionally active.

The major impediment to direct evaluation of how individual K-fibers form in animal cells is that the chromosomes normally reside, at the start of spindle assembly, between two massive astral MT arrays generated by the separated centrosomes. The number of dynamic MTs, and the speed with which they penetrate the space around chromosomes at nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB), make it impossible to clearly resolve individual K-fibers, yet alone follow their formation. Observations on cells recovering from MT poisons (Witt et al., 1980; De Brabander et al., 1981; Czaban and Forer, 1985) or low temperature (Inoue, 1964; Bajer, 1987) suggest that kinetochores can promote MT nucleation in vivo. However, the physiological relevance of these observations has been challenged, on the grounds that the complete disassembly of MTs significantly increases the pool of soluble tubulin, which could lead to MT nucleation at sites that are normally not involved in this process under physiological conditions (Pickett-Heaps et al., 1982). Thus, although these studies support the notion that kinetochores can nucleate/organize MTs independent of the centrosome in animal cells, it remains to be determined whether they do so during a normal mitosis.

More recently, Khodjakov and coworkers (Khodjakov et al., 2003) used monastrol, an Eg5-kinesin inhibitor, to arrest vertebrate cells in mitosis with monopolar spindles. In these spindles, the chromosomes encircle the unseparated centrosomes and the distal kinetochores on each chromosome, which face away from the single centrosome region, are therefore shielded from centrosome-generated MTs by the chromosomes themselves. Surprisingly, under this condition the distal kinetochores were seen to organize K-fibers. Furthermore, once formed, the free distal ends of these K-fibers were then transported toward the centrosome (i.e., the region of the spindle pole) along astral MTs. These data demonstrated that K-fibers can be organized via centrosome-independent mechanisms in centrosome-containing cells, however, the origin of these K-fibers was not determined (Khodjakov et al., 2003).

Here, we demonstrate that kinetochores facing away from a centrosome often form K-fibers de novo. These observations are made in untreated Drosophila S2 cells expressing GFP/α-tubulin (Goshima and Vale, 2003) that undergo a normal mitosis, and thus they reflect a normal physiological activity. As shown by others, when K-fibers are severed with a laser microbeam they regrow from the kinetochore outward. Importantly, we demonstrate that this growth in S2 cells occurs via MT plus-end polymerization at the kinetochore. K-fibers that are organized by kinetochores are not initially oriented toward a spindle pole (centrosome). Rather, they grow away from the kinetochore until their minus ends are captured and transported poleward along astral MTs, just as in monastrol-treated cells (Khodjakov et al., 2003). Dynin RNAi reveals that the capture and incorporation of K-fibers into a common half-spindle requires dynein activity. Together, our data reveal that kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation is a major mechanism that contributes toward spindle assembly during normal mitosis in centrosomal cells. However, integration of these kinetochore-organized K-fibers into the common spindle is facilitated by the centrosomes via a dynein-dependent search-and-capture.

Results

Drosophila tissue culture cells provide several advantages for studying spindle formation. They contain fewer chromosomes than most animal cells, and whereas K-fibers are strikingly robust there are relatively few astral MTs. The major disadvantage is that most Drosophila cell lines are semi-adherent and thus the cells are round, making high resolution microscopy difficult. To overcome this problem we have modified the agar-overlay technique recently described by Fleming and Rieder (2003) so that we can control the degree of cell flattening. Unlike cells flattened by growing on a Con A substrate (Rogers et al., 2002), the agar overlay approach allows one to select cells that are sufficiently flattened to be imaged at high spatial and

Figure 1. Spindle formation in Drosophila S2 cells stably expressing GFP/α-tubulin. Selected frames from fluorescence microscopy time-lapse sequences of mitotic spindle formation in S2 cells. (A) Spindle formation in a cell with centrosomes completely separated before NEB. Note the rapid penetration of astral MTs inside the nucleus and the process of maturation of the K-fibers. (B) Spindle formation in a cell with centrosomes only partially separated by NEB. Note that formation of K-fibers is initially seen only on the side of chromosomes that face the centrosomes. However, within just a few minutes (compare 6:00 with 11:30), prominent K-fibers appear in association with those kinetochores that are oriented away from the centrosome. These K-fibers converge and form an ectopic pole (20:30–26:00). However, over time, all distal K-fibers become incorporated into the main spindle that forms between the centrosomes (31:30–55:30). Time is in min:s. Bars, 5 μm.
During mitosis some K-fibers are organized by the kinetochores in S2 cells

A common feature of mitosis in animals is that the two centrosomes can be at different stages of separation at the time of NEB (for review see Rieder, 1991). However, regardless of the relative positions of the centrosomes at NEB, a normal bipolar spindle ultimately forms. As originally reported (Goshima and Vale, 2003), Drosophila S2 cells used in this study frequently contain more than two centrosomes during prophase. These centrosomes are easily detectable in live cells as they generate pronounced MT asters. This feature allowed us to select cells with only two asters at NEB for our time-lapse recordings. Among 14 cells followed through mitosis, six had centrosomes positioned on opposite sides of the nucleus at NEB (Fig. 1A). By contrast, in the other eight cells NEB occurred while the centrosomes were still relatively close to one another (Fig. 1B).

In cells where centrosome separation was complete before NEB, astral MTs emanating from both centrosomes rapidly penetrated the region of the former nucleus, making it impossible to follow in detail the formation of individual K-fibers (Fig. 1A and Video 1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1). In these cells most chromosomes were thus shielded from astral MTs by the chromosome body. Remarkably, the initial orientation of the growing K-fiber was not toward the distal centrosome, as would be predicted if fiber formation was initiated by the capture of an astral MT. Instead, forming K-fibers initially extended toward the cell’s periphery. At some point in time they then exhibited a sudden turn, and their free ends began to migrate toward a centrosome as if they were captured by astral MTs. On occasion it was possible to detect astral MTs that approached a growing K-fiber just before it initiated motion toward a centrosome (i.e., toward a pole; Fig. 2). Importantly, the formation of this distal K-fiber, and its subsequent movement toward a spindle pole, transported the chromosome to the spindle equator (Fig. 2; Savoian and Rieder, 2002). The features of this motion were similar to congression motions that occur after an unattached kinetochore on a mono-oriented chromosome is captured by an astral MT (Skibbens et al., 1993; Khodjakov et al., 1997).

Thus far, our data reveal that kinetochores in S2 cells can form K-fibers by a centrosome-independent mechanism. Does this kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation also occur on kinetochores that are oriented toward a centrosome? This question is difficult to address because in most cells the astral MT density makes it impossible to clearly follow how the kinetochore acquires its MTs. However, in highly flattened cells the density of astral MTs in the vicinity of the chromosomes is significantly decreased. This, in turn, decreases the chances of astral MT capture which allowed us to follow the formation of indi-

Figure 2. Formation of a K-fiber on the distal kinetochore of a mono-oriented chromosome leads to chromosome congression. (A–E) Selected frames from a combinational fluorescence (top)/DIC (bottom) time-lapse sequence. This cell contains a mono-oriented chromosome [A, bottom, arrow], which is positioned near the top spindle pole. A K-fiber forms in association with the unattached kinetochore that faces away from centromosome-generated astral MTs [top, arrows]. This fiber initially grows from the kinetochore toward the cell’s periphery [B and C], but it then suddenly turns toward the bottom spindle pole and begins to glide poleward (compare C with D). As the result of the gliding, the fiber becomes incorporated into the spindle, and the chromosome congresses onto the metaphase plate [E]. Note that poleward sliding of the fiber is initiated when it interacts with an astral MT emanating from the bottom pole [arrowheads in C and D]. Time is in mins. Bar, 5 μm.
becomes oriented toward the centrosome. Time is in min:s. Bar, 5 μm.

Figure 3. MT initiation from kinetochores in the presence of centrosomes. (A–F) Selected frames from a combinational fluorescence/DIC time-lapse recording. Top part of each frame presents deconvolved and contrast-EGFP/α-tubulin fluorescence, whereas the bottom part of each frame is an overlay of fluorescence (green) over chromosome contours (red) from the corresponding DIC images. The cell is met with a mono-oriented chromosome, which is connected to one spindle pole via well-developed K-fiber, whereas the second kinetochore on this chromosome is completely devoid of MTs (A). This kinetochore remains unattached for several minutes (B). Then, however, formation of a small but discrete patch of GFP/α-tubulin fluorescence is seen in association with this kinetochore (C). The intensity of the patch gradually increases and eventually it begins to elongate forming a K-fiber (D–F). Note that the growing fiber is initially oriented away from the centrosome (E) but it then suddenly turns and becomes oriented toward the centrosome. Time is in min:s. Bar, 5 μm.

Severe

Figure 4. Severed K-fibers regrow from the kinetochore. (A–J) Selected frames from a fluorescence time-lapse recording. An individual K-fiber is severed by a laser microbeam (compare arrows in A and B). This operation creates two K-fiber fragments: one fragment remains associated with the spindle pole and has free plus ends (P-fragment) and the other fragment remains associated with the kinetochore and now has free minus ends (K-fragment). The P-fragment rapidly depolymerizes toward the pole within the first 10 s after cutting (B–E). In contrast, the K-fragment regrows back to its original length (B–J). Initially, the regrowing fragment is not oriented toward the spindle pole (D–G). Later, however, it turns and becomes incorporated into the spindle (H–J). Time is in min:s. Bar, 5 μm.

Growth of K-fibers occurs by MT subunit addition at the kinetochore

Our finding that some K-fibers grow from the kinetochores in S2 cells raises the important question of where tubulin subunits are incorporated into the forming K-fiber? MTs in K-fibers are organized in a parallel bundle with their minus ends terminating near the spindle pole and their plus ends ending within the kinetochore. In a mature K-fiber, tubulin heterodimers are constantly added to the forming K-fiber bundles, thereby extending poleward on astral MTs (Fig. 3 F). To differentiate between these two possibilities we severed individual K-fibers within the spindle with a focused laser beam and then followed them by fluorescence time-lapse microscopy (Fig. 4 and Video 4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1). Severing a K-fiber results in the formation of two fragments: one (the P-fragment) remains connected to the spindle pole by its MT minus ends, and it now has (new) free MT plus ends; the other (K-fragment) remains connected to the kinetochore via its MT plus ends, whereas its newly created MT minus ends are free. In agreement with similar K-fiber severing experiments of others (Inoue, 1964; Forer, 1965; Spurck et al., 1990; Czaban et al., 1993; Forer et al., 1997), we find that the behaviors of these fragments are strikingly different. In all cases (n = 15) P-fragments shorten rapidly and completely disappear in <10 s (Fig. 4, A–E). In contrast, K-fragments remain stable and then regrow (Fig. 5 A) to the length of the original K-fiber (Fig. 4, B–J). In five of these cells we were able to measure the shrinkage and regrowth rates, which were 21.8 ± 0.4 μm/min and 0.8 ± 0.2 μm/min, respectively. Notably, as the K-fragments begin to elongate they need not be oriented toward a centrosome (Fig. 4, F and G), but at some point the elongating MT bundle turns so that its minus end becomes oriented toward a centrosome, and growth then con-
continues until the minus end reaches the spindle pole (Fig. 4, H–J). Thus, K-fragments exhibit both features of kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation: they elongate from the kinetochore toward the cell periphery, irrespective of the position of the centromeres, and free ends are eventually captured and directed toward a centrosome (spindle pole) by astral MTs.

Intriguingly, severing one of the two sister K-fibers on bi-oriented chromosomes had no effect on the position of the chromosome. A chromosome positioned on the spindle equator did not move even when one of its K-fibers was severed very near the kinetochore (15 operations), generating a stub as short as 1 μm (Fig. 5 and Video 5, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1). Furthermore, the distance between the plus ends of the sister K-fibers did not change after one of the fibers was severed, or as it regrew and reconnected to the pole. These results indicate that the tension across the centromere does not change when the K-fibers are not anchored at spindle poles. This is somewhat unexpected considering that interkinetochore distances in Drosophila S2 cells are reported to decrease upon taxol treatment (Logarinho et al., 2004; Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1), which implies the existence of tension across the centromere in S2 cells during metaphase. Furthermore, when we split centromeres between sister kinetochores apart with the laser beam during metaphase, each kinetochore moved toward its attached pole (not depicted; Savoian et al., 2000). This observation reveals the existence of a poleward pulling force throughout metaphase that acts along the K-fiber. Our data implies that the magnitude of this force is the same, so that the chromosome remains stationary on the spindle equator, whether it acts on a 1-μm stub or on a fully grown 5–7-μm-long K-fiber.

The rate at which K-fragments elongate is equivalent to the rate of poleward flux in S2 cells (0.7 ± 0.2 μm/min; unpublished data). To determine if K-fragment elongation occurs from tubulin subunit addition at kinetochore MT plus, or minus ends we combined laser microsurgery with photobleaching. In these experiments we first photobleached a K-fiber segment adjacent to the kinetochore, and then severed the same fiber just outside the bleached region toward the pole. Time-lapse microscopy reveals that the distance between the bleached segment and the free end of the fragment, elongation occurs via plus-end MT polymerization (in the kinetochore). It also reveals that the minus ends of MTs are stable under our conditions.

Inhibiting cytoplasmic dynein does not affect kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation but it prevents their integration into a common spindle pole

An interesting feature of kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation in S2 cells is that growing (or regrowing) K-fibers are initially oriented randomly relative to the centromeres. At some point, however, the free (minus) end of the fibers invariably turn and become oriented toward a centrosome, as if they are captured by and transported along an astral MT. Previous studies sug-
Suggested that NuMA, which localizes to the free MT minus ends of K-fibers forming from kinetochores in monastrol-treated cells (Khodjakov et al., 2003), is responsible for K-fiber incorporation into the spindle. Although NuMA itself does not possess motor activity, it is known to be part of the dynein–dynactin complex (Merdes et al., 1996; Gaglio et al., 1997). These observations suggest that the capture and transport of preformed K-fibers in S2 cells is mediated by dynein. To test this we followed the formation of spindles in S2 cells depleted of cytoplasmic dynein by RNAi (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1).

As reported by others, dynein knockdown induces S2 cells to accumulate in mitosis (Goshima and Vale, 2003; Fig. S2). In most of these cells the spindles were roughly bipolar and the chromosomes were organized into loose metaphase plates. However, compared with controls, under the experimental conditions we used, the poles were conspicuously less focused so that the spindle appeared barrel-shaped as in plants. Often the centrosomes were displaced from the spindle poles (Fig. 6 A), or had failed to separate (Fig. 6 B). These phenotypes are generally consistent with the effects of dynein inhibition reported previously in Drosophila (Robinson et al., 1999) and mammalian cells (Echeverri et al., 1996).

Time-lapse microscopy revealed that spindle formation in dynein-depleted cells occurs by two distinct pathways. In cells where the centrosomes were well separated at NEB, the spindle formed between the centrosomes (Fig. 6 A and Video 6, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1). Under this condition, prominent K-fibers that were focused on the centrosomes formed during the first ~15 min after NEB. With time, however, the centrosomes usually became detached from the spindle poles and wandered away (Fig. 6 A). When this happened the K-fibers remained stable but their minus ends began to separate until the poles lacked focus. The level of convergence could be dramatically different between opposing half-spindles so that, in extreme cases, the spindle became fan-shaped (Fig. 6 A). In most cases, however, the splaying was less dramatic and produced a barrel-shaped spindle (Fig. S2).

In those cells where the centrosomes were unseparated at NEB, astral MTs penetrated just one side of the region occupied by the former nucleus. As they did so a monopolar spindle began to form on the side of the chromosomes that faced the centrosomes (Fig. 6 B and Video 7). However, within 15 min K-fibers also began to form on the distal kinetochores that faced away from the centrosomes. These K-fibers grew away from the chromosomes and failed to converge on a common focus (Fig. 6 B). As a result, the spindle in these cells appeared fan-shaped with K-fibers focusing on the centrosome in one half-spindle, and diverging or oriented parallel to each other in the other half-spindle. Over time, the centrosomes in these cells also detached from the spindles, which led the K-fibers to splay and form a barrel-shaped spindle (Fig. 6 B).

Discussion

Mitotic spindle assembly requires two major activities. First, MT nucleation and growth must be promoted. Second, these MTs must then be sorted into a single bipolar structure so that their plus ends are oriented toward the chromosomes and their minus ends toward a common pole. It is generally assumed that in higher animal cells centrosomes are responsible for both these activities. This assumption has, however, been challenged by the recent finding that an alternative centrosome-independent pathway that promotes MT nucleation and “self-organization” during mitosis exists, even in those cells that normally contain centrosomes (for review see Wadsworth and Khodjakov, 2004).

Kinetochore can initiate K-fiber formation during mitosis in animals

Our data reveal that K-fibers formed consistently on those kinetochores that were shielded from, and thus could not capture, centrosome-generated astral MTs. Furthermore, in some cases we were able to follow the initiation of K-fiber formation (Fig. 3), thus demonstrating directly that fiber formation starts in the vicinity of the kinetochore. These observations raise the question of whether kinetochores can nucleate MTs.

There are reports that kinetochores on isolated chromosomes (Telzer et al., 1975) and in lysed mitotic cells (Snyder and McIntosh, 1975; Gould and Borisy, 1978) act as initiating sites for MT polymerization when incubated with purified tu-
bulin. Furthermore, EM analyses revealed formation of short MTs in the vicinity of the kinetochores in cells recovering from MT poisons (Witt et al., 1980; De Brabander et al., 1981). These short MTs were first seen in the area around the kinetochores, and only later became attached to the kinetochore plate proper. Importantly, MTs were more likely to form in the vicinity of kinetochore clusters than in association with individual kinetochores (Witt et al., 1980).

Despite the early data that kinetochores can induce the assembly of MTs, the idea that this activity contributes to K-fiber formation during a normal animal mitosis has been largely ignored. The major reason for that was that if kinetochores nucleate MTs via a standard template mechanism involving, e.g., γ-tubulin rings anchored to the kinetochore (for review see Yu et al., 2000), then the minus ends of each MT would be attached to the kinetochore, whereas the plus ends would point away from the chromosome. However, K-fiber MTs are known to have the same polarity as astral MTs, even in cells recovering from a colcemid block (Euteneuer and McIntosh, 1981; Euteneuer et al., 1983). Furthermore, our data reveal that the elongation of K-fibers generated by kinetochores occurs via the incorporation of tubulin subunits into MT plus ends at the kinetochore, whereas the minus ends pointing away from chromosomes remain stable (Fig. 5). Thus, it is conceptually difficult to understand how MTs nucleated by the kinetochore would form a K-fiber with proper polarity (MT minus ends toward the pole).

However, our observations combined with demonstrations that MT nucleation is stimulated in the vicinity of chromosomes, offer a straightforward explanation for how kinetochores can generate K-fibers with the correct polarity (Fig. 7A). We believe that kinetochores do not nucleate but rather capture short MTs that form in their vicinity as described by Witt and colleagues (Witt et al., 1980). Nucleation of these short MTs is likely to be promoted by a Ran-GTP gradient (for review see Karsenti and Vernos, 2001), although we have yet no experimental proof for this hypothesis. It has been shown, however, that RCC1 (the GTP exchange factor for Ran) is concentrated in the centromere (Bischoff et al., 1990). In fact, RCC1 was independently identified as the centromere-associated protein CENP-D (Kingwell and Rattner, 1987). Furthermore, other proteins involved in the Ran GTP/GDP cycle are also enriched in the centromere/kinetochore region (Joseph et al., 2004). Thus, it is likely that the favorable environment in the vicinity of kinetochores promotes MT nucleation.

Centrosomes collect the ends of K-fibers into spindle poles via a dynein-dependent mechanism

Our data reveal that an individual kinetochore is sufficient to form an associated K-fiber. One consequence of this is that each chromosome should be able to build a “mini-spindle” consisting of just two K-fibers emanating from its sister kinetochores. In fact, the existence of such mini-spindles has been demonstrated in vertebrate somatic cells after inhibition of the activities of the proteins responsible for spindle-pole organization (Gordon et al., 2001). Normally, however, the mitotic spindle in animals forms as an integrated structure in which all K-fibers in each half-spindle converge on a common centrosome-associated pole.
Our observations reveal that when a kinetochore forms a K-fiber it is rarely initially oriented toward a spindle pole (centromere). Instead, the forming fiber grows away from the kinetochore regardless of the direction in which the kinetochore faces. Then, at some point, the distal end of the growing fiber exhibits a sharp turn, and moves into a centrosome (Figs. 2 and 3). The most straightforward explanation for the sudden change in K-fiber orientation is that it encounters and attaches to one or more astral MTs that then direct its motion toward the centrosome. This incorporation of individual K-fibers into the common spindle does not occur after inhibiting cytoplasmic dynein by RNAi (Fig. 6 and Fig. S2). Our results also demonstrate that dynein activity is not required for K-fiber formation proper which contradicts the claim that dynein is required for congression (Inoue and Zhang, 2004). Thus, astral MTs search for and capture more than unattached kinetochores. Rather, they are responsible for transporting poleward multiple preassembled spindle components, including K-fibers, and integrating them into a common structure.

The coexistence of centrosome- and kinetochore-driven K-fiber formation

Our data reveal that the formation of K-fibers in animal cells, and their incorporation into the spindle, occurs in any given cell via two distinct routes (Fig. 7 B). By chance, some kinetochores directly interact with astral MTs, whereas others, shielded from such an interaction, remain devoid of MTs. The astral MT–kinetochore interaction results in the attachment of the kinetochore to the pole, which is initially mediated by one or just a few MTs, and it is then somehow transformed into a well-developed K-fiber containing 15–30 MTs. The continued accumulation of MTs by the kinetochore is viewed to occur via the repetitive capture of additional astral MTs (McEwen et al., 1997). However, the data presented here raise the possibility that the growth of kinetochore-generated MTs can also contribute to the maturation of K-fibers (Fig. 7 B).

A chromosome that cannot interact with an astral MT (e.g., due to spatial constraints) will remain motionless. As the result, over time factors that promote MT nucleation (e.g., RanGTP) will become enriched around centromeres of these chromosomes. In turn, a local enrichment induces the formation of numerous short MTs in the vicinity of the kinetochore, which are then captured to initiate K-fiber formation. During capture, MT plus ends become embedded in the kinetochore plate, after which they begin to incorporate additional MT subunits. This growth then pushes the MT minus end away from the kinetochore. The minus ends of MTs within K-fibers appear to be stable (Fig. 5). This feature is not unexpected as it was suggested from previous K-fiber—cutting experiments (Wilson and Forer, 1989; Spurck et al., 1990; Czaban et al., 1993), although it was never experimentally verified. Thus, stability of the minus ends is likely an intrinsic property of kinetochore MTs. It also implies that factors responsible for MT subunit flux, which requires the removal of tubulin subunits from the minus ends of K-fiber MTs, are concentrated in the polar regions during metaphase (Rogers et al., 2004).

The elongation of kinetochore-organized K-fibers increases their probability of being captured by astral MTs. Consequently, over time each growing K-fiber is captured and its minus end is transported poleward via a dynein dependent process. Remarkably, the incorporation of kinetochore-organized K-fibers into the spindle results in congression of the attached chromosome (Fig. 2 and Fig. 7 B; Savoian and Rieder, 2002). Thus, the incorporation and transport of preformed K-fibers provides an alternative mechanism for achieving proper chromosome orientation and positioning during mitosis in animals.

Kinetochore and K-fiber dynamics during metaphase

When a chromosome becomes bi-oriented the distance between its sister kinetochores increases (for review see Rieder and Salmon, 1998), because the centromere is now experiencing tension generated by poleward forces acting along the K-fibers. The origin of these forces appears to vary in different cell types, as does the behavior of the kinetochores. In vertebrate somatic cells, an attached kinetochore repeatedly switches (oscillates) between periods of active poleward movement, which is coupled to plus-end depolymerization of K-fiber MTs (P-state), and away-from-the-pole movement, which is coupled to plus-end polymerization (N-state). During P-state kinetochores exert an active pulling force on the centromere (for review see Rieder and Salmon, 1998). During N-state they resist away-from-the-pole motions, acting as a “slip-clutch” (Maddox et al., 2003). At any given time in vertebrates, one of the sister kinetochores is trying to move poleward, whereas its sister resists this motion, or attempts to actively move in the opposite direction. This tug-of-war produces tension across the centromere, which is manifested as a stretching.

The situation is, however, different in systems where kinetochores do not oscillate, including, e.g., plants, Xenopus egg extracts, and Drosophila and other insect cells. In these systems, both sister kinetochores are locked in a persistent N-state (Maddox et al., 2003; Chen and Zhang, 2004) that does not actively produce tension across the centromere. Instead, tension has been proposed to be generated by the poleward movement (flux) of MT subunits within the opposing K-fibers (Inoue and Salmon, 1995; Kapoor and Compton, 2002). Our data are inconsistent with this hypothesis. We find that severing one K-fiber on a metaphase chromosome close to the kinetochore does not decrease the distance between the sister kinetochores, nor does it induce a change in the chromosome position. The former observation reveals that the centromere remains under tension. However, this tension cannot be produced by flux, as the fiber is disconnected from the pole. Furthermore, the lack of chromosome repositioning after severing one of the sister K-fibers reveals that the magnitude of the force acting on the sister kinetochores is not proportional to the length of the fiber. This observation eliminates traction-fiber mechanisms in force generation (Maddox et al., 2003).

Finally, we found that when K-fibers were severed during metaphase in S2 cells, they regrew to their normal length by MT subunit addition at the kinetochore. During this growth the newly formed MT minus ends remain stable. Using similar
methods, Chen and Zhang (2004) found that minus ends of K-fiber MTs, generated by severing K-fibers in grasshopper spermatocytes during anaphase, continuously loose tubulin subunits even when the fiber is not connected to the spindle pole. However, during this time the length of the fiber remains constant because MT subunits are also added into the MT plus ends at the same rate they are removed from the minus ends (i.e., MT subunits “treadmill” away from the kinetochore; Chen and Zhang, 2004). Because our results and those of Chen and Zhang (2004) were obtained in similar systems, they likely reflect a real change in K-fiber dynamics at anaphase onset. If true, it means that the metaphase–anaphase transition has a greater effect on the stability of MT minus ends than on the functional state of kinetochores. Clearly, this is an important area for further exploration.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

All experiments reported here were conducted on Drosophila S2 cells that stably express GFP-/α-tubulin (S2T); cells were cultured as described previously (Goshima and Vale, 2003). The level of tubulin overexpression in these cells is ~20% (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1).

Flattening of S2 cells for live microscopy studies

Microscopy analyses of dividing Drosophila S2 cells were conducted as described previously (Fleming and Rieder, 2003) with modifications. In brief, a drop of medium containing exponentially growing cells was placed on an uncoated coverslip for 10 min. An ~5 × 5 × 0.5-mm piece of agarose was gently put on top of the coverslip, which was then flipped and placed onto two different thickness spacers resting on a glass slide. As the result cells closer to the thicker spacer remained relatively rounded, whereas cells on the other side of the coverslip became extremely flat. This approach allowed us to create a gradient of flatness and then select those cells that were ideal for high resolution LM analyses, but were not inhibited in their mitotic progression or cytokinesis. The edges of the coverslip were then sealed with VELAFL (1:1:1 vaseline/lanolin/paraffin) to prevent evaporation.

RNAi and time-lapse light microscopy analyses

The depletion of cytoplasmic dynein heavy chain from Drosophila S2 cells by RNAi was performed according to previously published protocols (Maiato et al., 2003) using specific primers to target a sequence of 700 bp (forward primer: AGTAGCCCGAGGAATGATCC; reverse primer: CCAT-)

Laser microsurgery and photobleaching

Laser microsurgery was conducted on a custom-assembled workstation centered around a microscope (model TE2000E; Nikon Instruments). We used an independent second (lower) epi-port that is available on this model, to steer collimated laser beams into to the back aperture of a 60× 1.4 NA Plan-Apo lens. As a result, both the 522-nm beam used for laser cutting (Nd:YAG laser; 7-ms pulses, 10 Hz) and the 488-nm continuous wave beam used for photobleaching (Argon-ion laser; 15 mW), were focused to a diffraction-limited spot in the object plane. The beams were steered with custom-made dichroic mirrors (Chroma Technology Corp.) that resided in the lower filter-cube turret. The top turret contained a standard “Endow” filter cube for imaging GFP fluorescence (Chroma Technology Corp.). This turret was motorized so that the imaging cube could be temporarily moved out of the optical path during photobleaching routines. Because the laser pulses used for microsurgery were longer in wavelength than was the GFP emission, it was not necessary to remove the GFP imaging cube from the optical path during laser microsurgery. This arrangement allows us to achieve high efficiency fluorescence while avoiding any lateral shifts in the position of the cutting beam, as is usually associated with switching between different dichroic mirrors. Furthermore, we were able to observe the effects of laser microsurgery immediately after the operation.

All light sources in our system were shuttered with fast UniBlitz shutters (Vincent Associates), so that each cell was exposed to light only during laser operations and/or image acquisition. The whole system was driven by IP Lab software (Scanalytics) run on a PC.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that taxol treatment decreases distances between sister kinetochores in Drosophila S2 cells. Fig. S2 depicts depletion efficiency and mitotic characterization after dynein RNAi. Fig. S3 depicts quantification of the total α-tubulin levels present in the stable cell line expressing GFP-α-tubulin. Video 1 corresponds to images presented in Fig. 1 A. Video 2 corresponds to images presented in Fig. 1 B. Video 3 corresponds to images presented in Fig. 2. Video 4 corresponds to images presented in Fig. 4. Video 5 corresponds to images presented in Fig. 5 A. Video 6 corresponds to images in Fig. 6 B. Video 7 is another example of splaying of K-fibers upon centrosome detachment in dynein-depleted cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200407090/DC1.
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