The AAA+ protein torsinA interacts with a conserved domain present in LAP1 and a novel ER protein
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A glutamic acid deletion (ΔE) in the AAA+ protein torsinA causes DYT1 dystonia. Although the majority of torsinA resides within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), torsinA binds a substrate in the lumen of the nuclear envelope (NE), and the ΔE mutation enhances this interaction. Using a novel cell-based screen, we identify lamina-associated polypeptide 1 (LAP1) as a torsinA-interacting protein. LAP1 may be a torsinA substrate, as expression of the isolated lumenal domain of LAP1 inhibits the NE localization of “substrate trap” EQ-torsinA and EQ-torsinA coimmunoprecipitates with LAP1 to a greater extent than wild-type torsinA. Furthermore, we identify a novel transmembrane protein, lumenal domain like LAP1 (LULL1), which also appears to interact with torsinA. Interestingly, LULL1 resides in the main ER. Consequently, torsinA interacts directly or indirectly with a novel class of transmembrane proteins that are localized in different subdomains of the ER system, either or both of which may play a role in the pathogenesis of DYT1 dystonia.

Introduction

DYT1 dystonia is an autosomal dominant childhood-onset neurological disease characterized by prolonged involuntary twisting movements that reflect neuronal dysfunction rather than neurodegeneration (Fahn et al., 1987; Berardelli et al., 1998). The mechanism by which the pathogenic mutation in the AAA+ protein torsinA produces DYT1 dystonia is unknown (Ozelius et al., 1997). Because AAA+ proteins are chaperones that alter the conformation of substrates, the identity of substrate determines the biological pathway modulated by AAA+ protein function (Vale, 2000). For example, the role of the AAA protein NSF in neuronal function is best appreciated when one considers that it acts upon SNARE complexes.

TorsinA resides in the ER lumen, but several observations indicate that it interacts with a nuclear envelope (NE) substrate (for review see Gerace, 2004). In addition, disease-associated ΔE-torsinA accumulates abnormally in the NE, suggesting that NE dysfunction may contribute to disease pathogenesis (Goodchild and Dauer, 2004). Consequently, identifying a NE substrate of torsinA is likely to further our understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of DYT1 dystonia. Because torsinA is expected to alter the conformation of a NE luminal protein, characterizing this interaction may also provide insight into the functional organization of the NE and the poorly understood roles of NE resident proteins and their associated genetic diseases.

Results and discussion

We have previously shown that, although wild-type (WT) torsinA is predominantly localized in the main ER, pathogenic ΔE-torsinA and a predicted “substrate trap” ATP hydrolysis-deficient EQ-torsinA concentrate in the NE (Fig. 1 A; Vale, 2000; Goodchild and Dauer, 2004). NE resident proteins typically concentrate in the nuclear membrane through a selective retention mechanism mediated by binding to the nuclear lamina (Burke and Stewart, 2002). Consequently, NE proteins are less mobile in the NE than in the ER membrane (Ellenberg et al., 1997). If torsinA interacts with a NE protein, it should therefore display similarly reduced mobility in the NE. We tested this concept by examining the mobility of torsinA using FRAP analysis of BHK21 cells transiently overexpressing GFPWT-, GFPΔE-, and GFPEQ-torsinA. At moderate expression levels, both GFPΔE- and GFPEQ-torsinA selectively localize in the NE (Fig. 1 B); these cells were used for NE FRAP measurements. Cells expressing higher levels of these proteins also contain fluorescence in the main ER (Fig. 1 D), allowing us to perform ER FRAP measurements. In the ER, all three forms of GFP-torsinA displayed a similar time course of fluorescence recovery (~65% after 210 s; Fig. 1 E). In contrast, the NE fluorescence recovery of GFPΔE- and GFPEQ-torsinA was markedly slower than GFPWT-torsinA (Fig. 1 C). In the
NE, only 50% of GFPΔE-torsinA and 40% of GFPEQ-torsinA fluorescence recovered within 330 s (Fig. 1 C), at which time 75% of GFPWT-torsinA fluorescence had returned. However, it is possible that contaminating fluorescence from ER GFPWT-torsinA may contribute to an overestimate of NE GFPWT-torsinA recovery.

The rate of GFPEQ-torsinA FRAP is slower than that of some well characterized transmembrane NE proteins (such as emerin), but is comparable to others (Ellenberg et al., 1997; Östlund et al., 1999; Daigle et al., 2001; Shimi et al., 2004). Because torsinA is restricted to the ER lumen/perinuclear space, it cannot bind to nuclear lamins. Therefore, these findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the NE accumulation of ΔE-torsinA is caused by an abnormal interaction with an immobilized transmembrane substrate. The rate of GFPΔE- and GFPEQ-torsinA fluorescence recovery is likely to be a function of (a) the degree to which its NE binding partner is immobilized and (b) the rate at which torsinA cycles on and off this partner. A higher rate of cycling might explain the faster recovery of GFPΔE-torsinA compared with GFPEQ-torsinA.

Lamina-associated polypeptide 1 (LAP1) is a torsinA binding protein

Based on the behavior of WT and mutant torsinA, we next sought to identify a torsinA NE binding partner. We developed a screening procedure based on the assumption that overexpressing a NE-localized torsinA substrate would increase the amount of torsinA in the NE, which is normally quite low. We selected candidate proteins that normally reside in the NE and contain a predicted lumenal domain that is conserved between mammalian species because these features indicate a potential functional role within the NE lumen. Cells stably expressing GFPWT-torsinA (BHK-GFPWT; Fig. 1 A) were transfected with 18 candidate protein cDNAs in a reporter plasmid that coexpresses β-galactosidase (Table I and Fig. 2 A). Of all tested NE candidate proteins, only LAP1 recruited GFPWT-torsinA to the NE in a uniform perinuclear distribution reminiscent of substrate trap GFPEQ-torsinA (Table I and Fig. 2 A; compare transfected and untransfected cells). Occasionally, cells expressing high levels of lamin B receptor, LUMA, and Sun2 contained bright puncta of GFPWT-torsinA. These puncta were considered to be a nonspecific effect of gross

Figure 1. Pathogenic and substrate trap forms of torsinA display reduced mobility in the NE. (A) GFP immunolabeling of BHK-GFPWT, BHK-GFPΔE, and BHK-GFPEQ stable cell lines. (B and D) GFP fluorescence of BHK21 cells transiently transfected with GFPWT-, GFPΔE-, or GFPEQ-torsinA and DsRed fluorescence of control cells transfected with DsRed2-ER (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.). Images show representative cells immediately before (top), immediately after (middle), and 120 s after (bottom) bleaching a ROI (boxed areas) in the NE (B) or ER (D). Bars, 10 μm. (C and E) Relative fluorescence intensity in the ROI as a function of time after photobleaching at time point “B” (B, bleach; see Materials and methods). Points show mean values and SEM.

Lamina-associated polypeptide 1 (LAP1) is a torsinA binding protein

Based on the behavior of WT and mutant torsinA, we next sought to identify a torsinA NE binding partner. We developed a screening procedure based on the assumption that overexpressing a NE-localized torsinA substrate would increase the amount of torsinA in the NE, which is normally quite low. We selected candidate proteins that normally reside in the NE and contain a predicted lumenal domain that is conserved between mammalian species because these features indicate a potential functional role within the NE lumen. Cells stably expressing GFPWT-torsinA (BHK-GFPWT; Fig. 1 A) were transfected with 18 candidate protein cDNAs in a reporter plasmid that coexpresses β-galactosidase (Table I and Fig. 2 A). Of all tested NE candidate proteins, only LAP1 recruited GFPWT-torsinA to the NE in a uniform perinuclear distribution reminiscent of substrate trap GFPEQ-torsinA (Table I and Fig. 2 A; compare transfected and untransfected cells). Occasionally, cells expressing high levels of lamin B receptor, LUMA, and Sun2 contained bright puncta of GFPWT-torsinA. These puncta were considered to be a nonspecific effect of gross
overexpression because they were randomly located in the NE and ER. We further examined the LAP1 recruitment of GFPPWT-torsinA by expressing myc-tagged LAP1 (myc-LAP1) in BHK\textsubscript{GFPWT} cells. As expected, cells expressing myc-LAP1 concentrated GFPPWT-torsinA in the NE (Fig. 2 B), whereas the unrelated ER chaperone, protein disulphide isomerase (PDI), was unaltered (Fig. 2 C).

To further assess LAP1 as a torsinA NE binding partner, we used FRAP to compare the mobility of GFP-LAP1 with GFPEQ-torsinA. We hypothesized that the FRAP of a torsinA binding partner should be equal to or less than that of substrate trap EQ-torsinA. Consistent with this notion, the rates of GFP-LAP1 and GFPEQ-torsinA NE FRAP are strikingly similar in the initial recovery period (Fig. 2 E). In later stages, GFP-LAP1 FRAP plateaus at 30\% recovery, whereas GFPEQ-torsinA FRAP steadily increases, likely because AAA proteins containing the Walker B box E/Q mutation typically retain a low level of residual ATP hydrolysis activity (Whiteheart et al., 1994). Therefore, the comparative FRAP rates of GFPEQ-torsinA and GFP-LAP1 are consistent with LAP1 being a NE binding partner of torsinA. We also tested whether or not GFPEQ-torsinA coimmunoprecipitates with myc-LAP1. Anti-myc immunopre-
citations from lysates of BHK cells transfected with myc-LAP1 demonstrated that GFPEQ-torsinA coimmunoprecipitates with myc-LAP1 (Fig. 2F), further supporting the idea that LAP1 and torsinA interact in the NE.

Next, we examined whether or not the luminal domain of LAP1 is responsible for its interaction with torsinA, as predicted by our model. To explore this question, we tested if the isolated luminal domain of LAP1 is capable of altering the perinuclear subcellular distribution of EQ-torsinA. We generated myc-tagged constructs containing the LAP1 luminal domain with (myc-210LAP1) or without (myc-240LAP1) the transmembrane domain (Fig. 3A; Kondo et al., 2002). As expected, these fragments fail to concentrate in the NE and instead localize in the main ER (Fig. 3B, left). Expression of either LAP1 luminal fragment produced a clear redistribution of GFPEQ-torsinA from the NE to the ER (Fig. 3B). Myc-210LAP1 causes a similar redistribution of disease-associated GFP-torsinA (Fig. 3C), and in all instances we observed strong colocalization between labeling for GFP and myc (Fig. 3, B and C). The effect of the LAP1 luminal domain was specific, as the lumenal domain of the nucleoporin gp210 (Wozniak and Blobel, 1992) did not alter the subcellular distribution of GFPEQ-torsinA (Fig. 3B, bottom).

These data indicate that LAP1 may be a NE-localized torsinA substrate. LAP1 was originally identified as the antigen recognized by a monoclonal antibody generated against purified rat liver nuclear envelopes (RL13). Three RL13 immunoreactive NE proteins were designated LAP1A, B, and C (with molecular masses of 75, 68, and 55 kD, respectively; Senior and Gerace, 1988). A single exon encodes the entire transmembrane and lumenal domains of LAP1 in rat, mouse, and human, suggesting that LAP1 isoforms vary only in their nucleoplasmic portion. Interestingly, the luminal domain of human LAP1 is 86% identical to mouse LAP1, whereas the nucleoplasmic domains exhibit only 46% sequence identity. This comparison suggests that torsinA interacts with a domain of LAP1 that has a conserved role in the lumen of the NE.

Lumenal domain like LAP1 (LULL1) is a novel ER-localized LAP1 homologue

Because the LAP1 luminal domain appears to be a torsinA-interacting motif, we searched for other proteins containing this domain by performing a BLAST search of the NCBI database. This search identified a single novel human cDNA (GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession no. NM_145034) encoding a protein with a luminal domain like LAP1, which we named LULL1 (Fig. 4A and B). The LULL1 gene encodes a protein containing a single predicted transmembrane domain and appears to have arisen from a gene duplication event because it is located adjacent to the LAP1 gene on human chromosome 1q24. cDNA clones also exist for rat and mouse forms of LULL1, and the LAP1 and LULL1 genes are also adjacent within these genomes. In contrast to the conserved luminal domains of LAP1 and LULL1, there is significant divergence between the NH2-terminal regions of these proteins that extend outside of the secretory pathway (Fig. 4A and B).

To explore whether or not LULL1 interacts with torsinA, we isolated a human cDNA that matched the sequence of NM_145034. Transient transfection of BHK21 cells with myc-tagged LULL1 generates a protein of ~75 kD that is insoluble in the absence of detergent but is solubilized by 1% Triton X-100, suggesting the presence of a membrane spanning domain (Fig. 4C). When NH2- (myc-LULL1) or COOH-terminal (LULL1-myc)–tagged LULL1 were transfected into BHK21 or HeLa cells, they colocalized with PDI (Fig. 4D and not depicted for HeLa cells), including in low expressing cells. Like torsinA, both LAP1 and LULL1 proteins are PNGaseF- and endoglycosidase H–sensitive glycoproteins, indicating that they are retained within the ER system (Fig. 4E).

We transfected myc-LULL1 into BHK cells to determine if this ER-localized LAP1 homologue also interacts with torsinA. Consistent with this notion, myc-LULL1 produced a clear redistribution of GFPEQ-torsinA from the NE to the ER and there was strong colocalization between GFP and myc labeling in transfected cells (Fig. 5A). We obtained simi-
lar results with a LULL1 fragment containing only the transmembrane and lumenal domains (208LULL1; Fig. 5 A), confirming that this domain is responsible for the effects observed with full-length LULL1. In addition, GFPEQ-torsinA coimmunoprecipitates with myc-LULL1 from lysates of myc-LULL1–transfected BHK cells (Fig. 5 B). Together, these results suggest that LULL1 interacts with torsinA in the main ER. Like torsinA, LAP1 and LULL1 mRNAs are widely expressed in both neural and nonneural tissue (Fig. 5 C), which is consistent with the hypothesis that these proteins may be physiologically relevant interactors of torsinA.

Next, we sought to understand why, if torsinA interactors exist in both the NE and ER, substrate trap EQ-torsinA appears to localize exclusively to the NE. One important technical consideration is that the much smaller volume of the NE, compared with the ER, makes torsinA far easier to detect in the NE when subcellular localization is assessed by fluorescence microscopy. In addition, the relative steady-state levels of torsinA and its interactors will influence the subcellular localization of torsinA. To assess the relative steady-state levels of these proteins, we used rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against the mouse forms of LAP1, LULL1, or torsinA that similarly detect their respective antigens (Fig. 5 D). In NIH-3T3 lysate, these antibodies recognize proteins of the appropriate molecular masses, including the three previously described isoforms of LAP1 (Fig. 5 E; Senior and Gerace, 1988). The relative intensity of anti-LAP1 and anti-LULL1 immunoreactivity suggests that there is significantly more LAP1 than LULL1 in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts (Fig. 5 E). Thus, GFPEQ-torsinA may localize to the NE in these cells (Fig. 5 F) because there is far more NE binding partner (LAP1).

To examine whether or not LAP1 and LULL1 may be torsinA substrates, we compared the interaction of these proteins with WT- and EQ-torsinA. Because AAA+ proteins typically form high affinity interactions with substrate when bound to ATP (Vale, 2000), substrates of torsinA will bind more tightly to EQ-torsinA than the WT protein. We tested if this was the case for LAP1 and LULL1 by performing immunoprecipitations on lysates from LAP1- or LULL1-transfected BHK cells. GFPEQ-torsinA readily immunoprecipitated with either LAP1 or LULL1. However, to detect the association of GFPWT-torsinA with LAP1 or LULL1, it was necessary to perform immunoprecipitations from a much greater amount of protein lysate (Fig. 5 G, WCL). These data suggest strongly, but do not prove, that LAP1 and LULL1 are substrates of torsinA; an adaptor protein could mediate the interaction between torsinA and LAP1 or LULL1.

Several lines of evidence indicate that torsinA has a role in the NE (Gerace, 2004). We demonstrate that this function of torsinA may involve an interaction with LAP1, and that the behavior of LAP1 is consistent with that of a torsinA substrate (i.e., it is more tightly associated with EQ- than WT-torsinA). Although the functional role of LAP1 is poorly understood, it is known to bind A- and B-type lamins (Senior and Gerace, 1988; Foisner and Gerace, 1993; Martin et al., 1995). This suggests that alterations in torsinA function may affect the nuclear lamina, raising the possibility that DYT1 dystonia shares molecular abnormalities with diseases that result from laminA mutations (Burke and Stewart, 2002; De Sandre-Giovannoli et al., 2003). The fact that alterations in both lamin A and torsinA function lead to NE morphologic abnormalities is consistent with this notion (Sullivan et al., 1999; Naismith et al., 2004).
We also identify a novel ER protein, LULL1, that interacts
with torsinA through a region conserved with the LAP1 lumenal
domain; this protein also behaves like a torsinA substrate. The
striking homology between the LAP1 and LULL1 lumenal do-
mains suggests that they are similarly modified by the AAA
chaperone activity of torsinA. In addition, LAP1 and LULL1
share other features. They both contain a single membrane-span-
ning domain and their nucleoplasmic (LAP1) and cytoplasmic
(LULL1) regions are similarly sized. Consequently, these pro-
teins may be engaged in similar roles in the NE and ER and con-
tribute to a biological process that is common to both compart-
ments. In light of the mechanism of AAA protein function, our
data suggest that alterations in LAP1 or LULL1 activity may
therefore participate in the pathogenesis of DYT1 dystonia.

Materials and methods

Cell culture
BHK21, NIH-3T3, and HeLa cell lines were cultured using standard condi-
tions [American Type Culture Collection]. The generation and charac-
terization of BHK<sub>GFPWT</sub>, BHK<sub>GFP</sub>, and BHK<sub>GFPEQ</sub> cells has been described
previously (Goodchild and Dauer, 2004). All cell transfections were
performed using Lipofectamine Plus (Invitrogen) according to the manufac-
turer’s instructions.

FRAP
The day after transfection, cells were trypsinized and replated at 10–20% confluence in collagen-coated chambered coverglasses (LabTekII) in Dul-
becco’s minimum essential medium containing 1% FBS. Immediately
before imaging, this media was replaced with media containing 10 mM
Hepes buffer, pH 7.5. Imaging and photobleaching were performed using
a Plan NEOFLUAR 100×/1.30 oil objective on an inverted confocal micro-
scope (model LSM510 Meta; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.). Cells trans-

Figure 5. TorsinA interacts with LULL1. [A] TorsinA interacts with the conserved lumenal domain of LULL1. Immunofluorescent labeling of transfected
BHK<sub>GFPWT</sub> cells with anti-GFP and anti-myc antibodies. Full-length LULL1 (top) and the LULL1 lumenal domain (bottom) recruit GFPEQ-torsinA to the ER.
(B) TorsinA coimmunoprecipitates with myc-LULL1. Immunoprecipitations and immunoblotting were performed as in Fig. 2 F except that transfections were
performed with myc-LULL1. Immunoglobulin heavy chains were not visible with the exposure time needed to visualize GFPEQ-torsinA. [C] RT-PCR of mouse
LAP1 and LULL1 from whole tissue RNA. [D] Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against LAP1, LULL1, and torsinA similarly detect their respective antigens. BHK21 cells were transfected with myc-tagged mouse forms of LAP1, LULL1, and torsinA; and WCL was probed with anti-myc to confirm that similar
amounts of transfected protein were loaded (top panel). Immunoblots were subsequently probed (bottom panel) with anti-LAP1, anti-LULL1, and anti-torsinA
at concentrations that generated similar levels of immunoreactivity. Comparative images are from a simultaneous exposure of a single immunoblot. (E) Immunoblot
of NIH-3T3 WCL probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies. 15 μg of 1% SDS NIH-3T3 WCL were probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies at the concentrations used in D. Images are from a simultaneous 2-s exposure of a single immunoblot. (F) NIH-3T3 cells transfected with GFPWT-torsinA (left) or GFPEQ-torsinA (right) and labeled with anti-GFP. (G) LAP1 and LULL1 interact more strongly with substrate trap EQ/torsinA. WCL were prepared from BHK<sub>GFPWT</sub> cells (Cell line: WT) and BHK<sub>GFPEQ</sub> cells (Cell line: EQ) transfected with myc-LAP1 (Tfct: LAP1) or myc-LULL1 (Tfct: LULL1). Proteins were immuno-
precipitated from WCL with anti-myc antibody, eluted from protein G agarose beads and immunoblotted. Parallel control precipitations were performed in the absence of anti-myc antibody. Immunoblots of immunoprecipitated proteins and 2% of WCL were probed with anti-torsinA and anti-myc. WCL from BHK<sub>GFPWT</sub> cells contained more GFP-torsinA, myc-LAP1, and myc-LULL1 than BHK<sub>GFPEQ</sub> cells because this was necessary to visualize coprecipitated GFPWT-torsinA. The position of the 65-kD GFP-torsinA is indicated by an arrow (top). Neither myc-LAP1, myc-LULL1, or GFP-torsinA proteins were immunoprecipitated in the absence of anti-myc antibody.
fected with GFP fusion proteins were imaged with 488-nm light. DsRed with 516-nm light, using 2% laser power and a pin hole of 1 airy unit. After two imaging scans, a selected area of the ER or NE (region of interest [ROI]) was bleached using maximal laser power for 20 iterations, and then the photobleached cell was imaged at 15× intervals for 3–6 min. Collected images were analyzed in Adobe Photoshop to calculate the mean fluorescence intensity in the ROI as a function of time after photobleaching. To correct for whole cell photobleaching caused by the bleaching pulse and image capture, fluorescence intensity was also measured in an unbleached area (UA) at all time points and a fractional correction calculated as UA/t/UAref. ROI fluorescence intensity at each time point was corrected by these values and then normalized so that the prebleach fluorescence level equalled 100 and immediate postbleach level was zero.

Immunolabeling

Immunofluorescence labeling was performed on cells 48 h after transfection using methanol-fixed cells grown on collagen-coated glass coverslips (Carolina Scientific). Coverslips were blocked for 1 h at RT in block solution (PBS, 0.25% Triton X-100 and 10% normal donkey serum), incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in block solution. The next day coverslips were washed, incubated with secondary antibodies (diluted in block solution), and washed in PBS before mounting using Vectashield Mounting Media with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). In double labeling experiments, GFP was detected with FITC- and myc with Texas red-conjugated secondary antibodies to minimize the possibility of “bleed through.” Digital images were acquired using a laser scanning confocal microscope (model LSM510 Meta; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.). FITC through.”

Details of plasmid construction for the candidate cDNA screen, primer details, and the generation of LAP1 and LULL1 fusion and truncated constructs is contained in the online supplemental material. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/ jcb.200411026/DC1.
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Supplemental materials and methods

Isolation and cloning of cDNAs
Candidate protein cDNA sequences were cloned into a modified CMV promoter multiple cloning site of pBudCE4.1 (Invitrogen), which contained the lacZ gene under the control of the pEf1 promoter. Rat gp210 and human MAN1 cDNA clones were gifts from H. Worman (Columbia University, New York, NY). The cDNA encoding emerin was amplified from HeLa cell cDNA using forward primer 5'-CCTCATGAGGCTTCTTAGAA-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGGACATGGCCGCCGATGTTCAG-3' (which includes an NheI site immediately upstream of the predicted initiation codon) and reverse primer 5'-GAAACCACTCTCTGGAAGG-3'. Mouse LAP1, LULL1, and torsinA were cloned from mouse cortex cDNA using: LAP1 forward primer GACGCTCACTCTGGAAGG, reverse primer AGCCACACTCTCTGGAAGG; and torsinA forward primer GCGTCGGTTTAAGGCTCTT and transaxial forward primer CGAGAACGAGGGCCCTTCCCGA. All other cDNA clones were obtained from the American Type Culture collection mammalian gene collection or RZPD cDNA collection. GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for clones used in this study are as follows: BC032547 encoding human LAP1; BC048156 encoding mouse Sun1 (C. elegans UNC-84a homologue); BX537962 encoding human Sun2 (C. elegans UNC-84b homologue); BC011976 encoding rat UNCI1 (C. elegans UNC-55 homologue); BC030135 encoding human UNCL; BC039665 encoding human nesprin, BC020797 encoding the human lamin B receptor, BC034456 encoding mouse nesprin-1; BC033675 encoding human LAP2, BC000382 encoding NET3, BC020121 encoding NET4, BC010086 encoding NET6, BC036519 encoding NET7, BC002662 encoding human NET8, BC003444 encoding NET5, Clone BC048156 and BC054456, encoding Sun1 and Nesprin-1, respectively, contained an out of frame ATG codon upstream of the predicted start codon. Consequently, the 5' untranslated region of these clones were replaced by myc sequence after insertion of an NheI site by PCR mutagenesis.

Fusion protein plasmid construction
Epitope-tagged LAP1 constructs were produced by inserting EGFP (derived from pEGFP [CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.]) or double myc sequences (derived from pEGFPC1 [CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.]) immediately following the initiation codon of clone MGC-24846. Myc-tagged human LULL1 constructs were generated by inserting tandem myc sequence into the 5' NheI site (NH2-terminal tag) or immediately preceding the 3' termination codon (C-terminal tag). Truncated LAP1 constructs were generated by replacing codons 1-209 (210LAP1) or 1-239 (240LAP1) with the tandem myc tag. The construct encoding 240LAP1 was completed by ligating the calreticulin ER targeting signal sequence (SS; derived from DsRed-ER; CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) upstream of myc sequence. Sequence encoding a double c-myc tag was then ligated into this Spel site and the fragment ligated back into the full-length gp210 cDNA sequence. Truncated LAP1 was produced by replacing codons 1–209 with sequence encoding the tandem myc tag. Myc-tagged mouse LULL1, (LULL1), and torsinA constructs were generated by inserting NheI sites immediately after the ATG initiation codon of LAP1 and LULL1 or between residues 21 and 22 of torsinA. Sequence encoding a tandem myc tag was then ligated into these NheI sites.

Cloning reagents and RT-PCR
PCR cloning was performed using Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR products were ligated into pCR8 TOPO using TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) and fully sequenced. Restriction enzyme sites required for domain deletion constructs were introduced by PCR mutagenesis (QuikChange; Stratagene). Coding sequences were fully sequenced before further manipulation or transfection to ensure that additional mutagenesis had not occurred. LAP1 and LULL1 cDNAs were ligated into pDIN1 (V.S/NheTOPO) for expression studies. RT-PCR was performed using ReadyToGo PCR beads (Amersham Biosciences). LAP1 upper primer, GACGCTCACTCTGGAAGG, LULL1 upper primer, GCGTCGGTTTAAGGCTCTT, LULL1 lower primer, TCTGCGACATCTGGAAGG, LULL1 lower primer, GCGTCGGTTTAAGGCTCTT.