Neural crest stem cell maintenance by combinatorial Wnt and BMP signaling
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Canonicall Wnt signaling instructively promotes sensory neurogenesis in early neural crest stem cells (eNCSCs) (Lee, H.Y., M. Kléber, L. Hari, V. Brault, U. Suter, M.M. Taketo, R. Kemler, and L. Sommer. 2004. Science. 303:1020–1023). However, during normal development Wnt signaling induces a sensory fate only in a subpopulation of eNCSCs while other cells maintain their stem cell features, despite the presence of Wnt activity. Hence, factors counteracting Wnt signaling must exist. Here, we show that bone morphogenic protein (BMP) signaling antagonizes the sensory fate-inducing activity of Wnt/β-catenin. Intriguingly, Wnt and BMP act synergistically to suppress differentiation and to maintain NCSC marker expression and multipotency. Similar to NCSCs in vivo, NCSCs maintained in culture alter their responsiveness to instructive growth factors with time. Thus, stem cell development is regulated by combinatorial growth factor activities that interact with changing cell intrinsic cues.

Introduction

Because of its broad developmental potential, the neural crest represents an ideal model system to study stem cell biology. Neural crest cells delaminate from the developing dorsal neural tube, migrate to various locations within the embryo, and generate multiple neural cell types of the vertebrate peripheral nervous system (PNS) as well as several nonneural tissues (Le Douarin and Dupin, 2003). At least some neural crest cells are multipotent, giving rise to neuronal, glial, and nonneural derivatives, as has been shown by clonal analysis in culture and in vivo. Moreover, some crest cells have features of stem cells with the capacity to self-renew. Both in migrating neural crest and in crest-derived tissues, such multipotent stem and progenitor cells coexist with cells that display more restricted developmental potential.

Recently we have described an early population of neural crest stem cells (eNCSCs) that is highly homogeneous with respect to marker expression and the potential to generate autonomic and sensory neurons, glia, and smooth muscle cells (Lee et al., 2004). Clonal cell culture experiments revealed the responsiveness of these cells to several instructive growth factors that promote the generation of specific cell fates at the expense of other possible fates. These factors include members of the TGFβ factor family that promote autonomic neurogenesis and the development of smooth muscle–like cells, neuregulin1 (NRG1) isoforms that induce the generation of peripheral glia, and Wnt signaling that promotes sensory neurogenesis in a β-catenin–dependent manner (Le Douarin and Dupin, 2003; Kléber and Sommer, 2004). In vivo and in cell culture, eNCSCs that lack the Wnt signaling component β-catenin fail to generate sensory neurons (Hari et al., 2002). Complementary to this, embryos that express a constitutively active form of β-catenin specifically in NCSCs generate almost exclusively sensory neural cells at the expense of other possible neural crest–derived lineages (Lee et al., 2004). Thus, unlike in other stem cell types, canonical Wnt signaling on its own does not support stem cell expansion in NCSCs, but rather promotes sensory neurogenesis. So far, the signals supporting NCSC maintenance and self-renewal have not been identified, although it has been possible to passage both migratory and postmigratory NCSCs for some generations in a nondefined medium (Stemple and Anderson, 1992; Bixby et al., 2002).

Given the expression of Wnts in the dorsal neural tube at the time of neural crest emigration and the sensitivity of almost all eNCSCs to Wnt signaling (Parr et al., 1993; Lee et al., 2004), the question arises as to why during normal development only
some cells adopt a sensory neural fate as they emigrate while other neural crest cells remain multipotent and can contribute to multiple nonsensory neural crest derivatives (Kléber and Sommer, 2004). Similarly, it remains to be shown why bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) that are able to induce autonomic neurogenesis (Reissmann et al., 1996; Shah et al., 1996) and that are secreted by the ectoderm and the dorsal neural tube (Liem et al., 1995) do not lead to the generation of autonomic neurons in neural crest cells immediately after their delamination from the neural tube. Convergence of BMP and canonical Wnt signaling has been reported in several studies (Nelson and Nusse, 2004). We therefore investigated whether BMP and Wnt might act in a mutually antagonistic fashion to suppress neurogenesis in NCSCs. We find that combinatorial Wnt/BMP signaling not only suppresses differentiation of NCSCs, but also acts synergistically to maintain stem cell multipotency.

Results

BMP signaling suppresses sensory neurogenesis in early neural crest stem cells

Previously, conditions have been established that are permissive for the generation of sensory neurons from neural crest explant cultures (Greenwood et al., 1999). At early stages, a high percentage of all neural crest cells in these cultures are early neural crest stem cells (eNCSCs) that in clonal cell cultures can be challenged by various instructive growth factors to give rise to sensory or autonomic, or nonneural smooth muscle–like cells (Lee et al., 2004). These data indicated that with respect to their potentials, explants of eNCSCs represent a highly homogeneous cell population. Consistent with these findings, the cells in such explants expressed the NCSC markers p75 and Sox10 after 20 h in culture (Stemple and Anderson, 1992; Paratore et al., 2001), whereas no sensory neuronal precursors marked by Brn-3A (Fedtsova and Turner, 1995) or neurofilament (NF) 160 were detectable at this stage (Greenwood et al., 1999). However, upon incubation for an additional 24 h, 9.4 ± 1.6% of all cells per explant expressed Brn-3A (Lee et al., 2004). Based on our previous clonal analyses (Lee et al., 2004), these Brn-3A–positive cells likely arose from multipotent eNCSCs. Alternatively, they might have been generated from lineage-restricted sensory neuron precursors that cannot be respecified by factors promoting alternative fates (Greenwood et al., 1999). To distinguish between these possibilities, we challenged neural crest explant cultures with BMP2, a factor inducing autonomic neurogenesis in NCSCs at the expense of other lineages (Shah et al., 1996). BMP2-treated cultures gave rise to only 0.1 ± 0.1% Brn-3A–positive sensory neurons, whereas the generation of autonomic progenitors expressing the transcription factor Mash-1 was promoted (Fig. 1, G–K). In the presence of BMP2, sensory neurogenesis was fully suppressed rather than simply delayed, as even after prolonged incubation no sensory neurons formed (0.5 ± 0.1% and 29.7 ± 6.3% Brn-3A–positive cells in BMP2-treated vs. control cultures) (Fig. 2, A and B). In contrast, the formation of autonomic neurons that expressed NF but not Brn-3A was induced by BMP2. Thus, BMP signaling prevents sensory neurogenesis in early neural crest explant cultures, demonstrating the absence of committed sensory progenitors in these cultures.
BMP signaling counteracts Wnt/β-catenin-dependent sensory neurogenesis

Canonical Wnt signaling is both required and sufficient for sensory neuronal fate specification in eNCSCs (Hari et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004). Accordingly, as in BMP2-treated cultures, the formation of Brn-3A–positive sensory neurons was abolished in explants of β-catenin–deficient eNCSCs (0 ± 0% Brn-3A–positive cells; Fig. 2 C) (Hari et al., 2002). In neural crest explants, sensory neurogenesis is presumably promoted by Wnts expressed in the neural tube that is present in these cultures (Parr et al., 1993). The restricted expression of Wnts and their short-range activity likely explains the relatively low percentage of sensory neurons found in these explants and their generation in close proximity to the neural tube (Fig. 1 K) (Greenwood et al., 1999). Therefore, the suppression of sensory neurogenesis in neural crest explants by BMP2 conceivably reflects BMP activity counteracting neural tube-derived Wnt signaling. To address whether BMP2 would also counteract more pronounced Wnt/β-catenin activity, neural crest cells expressing a constitutively active form of β-catenin (Lee et al., 2004) were exposed to BMP2. In the absence of BMP2, sustained β-catenin activity promoted sensory neurogenesis in eNCSCs (82.2 ± 7.8% Brn-3A–positive cells) (Fig. 2 D), as described previously (Lee et al., 2004). In the presence of BMP2, sensory neurogenesis was completely inhibited, even upon constitutive β-catenin signal activation in eNCSCs (0 ± 0% Brn-3A–positive cells). However, unlike in cultures of wild-type neural crest cells (Fig. 2 B), BMP2 was unable to promote the formation of autonomic neurons (Fig. 2 E). Thus, persistent β-catenin signaling appears to suppress BMP2-induced autonomic neurogenesis, whereas BMP2 prevents sensory neurogenesis promoted by β-catenin signal activation. We conclude that Wnt and BMP signaling are possibly involved in a cross-talk to regulate neurogenesis in neural crest cells.


discussion
fibrillar acidic protein (GFAP) and S100. However, GFAP and S100 expression was not detectable in cultures simultaneously exposed to Wnt1 and BMP2, even after prolonged incubation (Fig. 3 L; not depicted). Thus, Wnt1/BMP2-treated neural crest explants consisted of many cells expressing the NCSC-markers p75 and Sox10, while neither neuronal nor glial differentiation was observed.

**Combined Wnt and BMP signaling instructively promotes NCSC maintenance and factor responsiveness**

The lack of apparent neurogenesis and gliogenesis upon treatment of neural crest cells with Wnt1 plus BMP2 could indicate a selective elimination of neural crest cells as they undergo differentiation. Alternatively, our data could reflect an instructive role of combined Wnt and BMP signaling on individual eNCSCs to maintain expression of stem cell markers while preventing their differentiation. To distinguish between these possibilities, explants of neural crest cells were replated at clonal density, individual eNCSCs were prospectively identified by virtue of their p75 expression (Stemple and Anderson, 1992), and their developmental potential was assessed by challenging them with either Wnt1, BMP2, or a combination of both factors. On sister dishes, 92.1 ± 1.9% of all p75-positive cells also expressed Sox10 (Fig. 4 A). In agreement with our previous findings (Lee et al., 2004), exposure of p75-labeled cells to Wnt1 alone induced the formation of clones containing Brn-3A–positive sensory neurons in 83.1 ± 5.8% of all eNCSCs (Fig. 4, B and E), whereas upon BMP2 treatment 85.4 ± 5.7% of all eNCSCs adopted an autonomic fate marked by Mash-1 (Fig. 4, C and F). In contrast, combined application of Wnt1 and BMP2 suppressed both sensory and autonomic neurogenesis in eNCSCs, so that only very few or no sensory and autonomic cells were produced in these conditions (0.8 ± 1.4% and 0 ± 0%, respectively). Instead, 84.9 ± 5.9% of all eNCSCs challenged with Wnt1 plus BMP2 continued to express Sox10 (Fig. 4, D and E). Furthermore, cell death was minimal in all clonal experiments, excluding selective effects of Wnt and BMP signaling.
Thus, the combined activities of Wnt1 and BMP2 do not selectively eliminate neuronal progenitors, but rather instructively promote maintenance of the NCSC marker Sox10 in the vast majority of all NCSCs. Moreover, although cells proliferated less than in the presence of BMP2 alone, 51.9/1100611.2% of the Wnt1/BMP2-treated cells divided once or more times (Fig. 4 G), indicating that combined Wnt and BMP signaling also supports self-renewal of Sox10-positive NCSCs.

Sox10 has been implicated in maintaining multipotency of NCSCs both in culture and in the enteric nervous system in vivo (Paratore et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2003). Therefore, we asked whether sustained Sox10 expression in Wnt1/BMP2-treated eNCSCs also reflects maintenance of NCSC multipotency. In a first experiment, explants of eNCSCs (Fig. 5 A) were incubated for 5 d with Wnt1 plus BMP2. Thereafter, some dishes were fixed and analyzed for p75/Sox10 expression, while sets of sister dishes received one of the following treatments (Fig. 5): (1) some dishes were kept in Wnt1/BMP2 to assess whether maintenance of NCSC marker expression can occur over prolonged time periods and to exclude differentiation events arising by default over time; (2) to investigate whether the cells were still responsive to the sensory fate-inducing activity of Wnt1, Wnt1 treatment was continued while BMP activity was suppressed by the addition of the BMP-inhibitor noggin; (3) to assess the potential to generate nonneural smooth muscle–like cells, the sensory neuron–inducing activity of Wnt1 was suppressed by the addition of chicken embryo extract (which inhibits Wnt/β-catenin–dependent sensory neurogenesis; not shown) and TGFβ was applied to the cultures; (4) to test the gliogenic potential of the cells, Wnt activity was suppressed and NRG1 was added; and (5) to monitor autonomic neurogenesis, Wnt signaling was suppressed and BMP2 was continuously administered. Although the uninterrupted exposure of NCSCs to both Wnt1 and BMP2 sustained expression of Sox10 and p75 in all cells without apparent differentiation (Fig. 5 C), TGFβ-, NRG1-, and BMP2 treatment of cells that had been maintained in Wnt1/BMP2 for 5 d promoted the efficient generation of nonneural smooth muscle actin (SMA)–positive cells, glia, and autonomic progenitors, respectively (Fig. 5, F–H). However, when neural crest cells that had been maintained in culture for 5 d were treated with Wnt1, only relatively few sensory neurons were formed and Wnt1 appeared to have no effect on most of the cells (Fig. 5, D and E). In all conditions, cell death was minimal (unpublished data). Thus, the combined application of Wnt1 and BMP2 not only allows maintenance of Sox10/p75 expression in neural crest cell populations, but also preserves their responsiveness to various growth factors including TGFβ, NRG1, and BMP2. In contrast,
these neural crest cells appear to be less sensitive to the sensory neuron–inducing activity of Wnt.

To address whether maintained responsiveness to instructive growth factors is a feature of the vast majority of Wnt1/BMP2-treated neural crest cells or only of subpopulations of the cells, NCSCs that had been maintained in the presence of Wnt1/BMP2 for prolonged time periods were replated at clonal density and prospectively identified by virtue of their p75 expression. 96.4%/H11006 1.9% of all p75-positive cells also expressed Sox10 (Fig. 5 I).

Subsequently, p75-positive cells at clonal density were challenged with either TGFβ, NRG1, or BMP2 (Fig. 5, J–L). Consistent with the data obtained in mass cultures, the majority of all NCSCs that had been maintained in culture were responsive to these instructive growth factors (Table I). However, although TGFβ promoted the generation of smooth muscle–like cells and NRG1 the formation of glia, as expected, BMP2-treated founder cells predominantly gave rise to clones of smooth muscle–like cells and only a minority of the clones contained autonomic neurons (Table I). Thus, similar to eNCSCs present in the emigrating neural crest (Fig. 4), NCSCs maintained in culture were sensitive to various instructive growth factors, but the quality of their response changed with time (Fig. 5). This suggests that during culture intrinsic properties had changed in NCSCs, similar to the intrinsic changes observed in NCSCs isolated at different developmental stages in vivo (White et al., 2001; Kruger et al., 2002; Kubu et al., 2002).

Previously, community effects provided by short-range cell–cell interactions have been shown to influence fate decisions in NCSCs (Hagedorn et al., 1999, 2000). In particular, community effects in cell clusters modulate signaling of TGFβ family members to promote autonomic neurogenesis rather than smooth muscle cell formation in NCSCs. Such context-dependent signal modulation might explain the discrepancy between the strong neurogenic effect of BMP2 seen in mass cultures (Fig. 5 H) and the predominant smooth muscle–inducing activity of BMP2 in clonal cell cultures (Fig. 5 L). To test this...
idea, NCSCs that had been maintained in the presence of Wnt1/ BMP2 were replated as cell clusters (Fig. 5 M), allowing short-range cell–cell interactions to occur. Subsequent treatment of BMP2 induced autonomic neurogenesis in most cells within NCSC clusters (Fig. 5 N). In fact, 98.6 ± 1.3% of all cells within BMP2-treated NCSC clusters expressed the autonomic marker Mash1 while cell death was minimal (1.4 ± 1.3% of all cells). Thus, depending on the context, BMP2 could efficiently instruct NCSCs after prolonged Wnt1/BMP2 exposure to become autonomic neurons. In sum, the combined activities of Wnt1 plus BMP2 support maintenance of multipotency and responsiveness to TGFβ, NRG1, and BMP2 in NCSCs.

Loss of Wnt responsiveness in NCSCs over time

Although NCSCs that had been maintained in culture were sensitive to various instructive growth factors, their response to the sensory neuron–inducing activity of Wnt1 was clearly reduced when compared with the response of eNCSCs emigrating from the neural tube. Possibly, maintenance of NCSCs in culture leads to loss of their Wnt responsiveness with time. To address this issue, Wnt1/BMP2-treated NCSCs were replated at clonal density, as described in the previous paragraph, and exposed to Wnt1 alone. In contrast to single eNCSCs (Fig. 4), only density, as described in the previous paragraph, and exposed this issue, Wnt1/BMP2-treated NCSCs were replated at clonal density, prospectively identified, and exposed to different instructive growth factors. The plating efficiency was 65–70%. The progeny of single p75-positive cells was characterized by immunocytochemistry. The numbers indicate the phenotype of the progeny in percentage of all prospectively identified cells (mean ± SD of three experiments, scoring 40–80 clones per experiment). “Death” designates lost clones. A clone was labelled “Undiff.” (undifferentiated) when it contained Sox10-positive cells but no cells expressing lineage differentiation markers; “sN” (sensory neuron) indicates clones with at least one 8m-3A-positive cell; “G” (glia), clones with at least one GFAP-positive cell; “aN” (autonomic neuron), clones with at least one cell expressing NF but not 8m-3A; and “non-neural”, clones with at least one SMA cell. The low numbers of lost clones demonstrate that BMP2, NRG1, and TGFβ are not selectively eliminating certain cell lineages, but are acting instructively on maintained NCSCs. Note that after expansion in culture most NCSCs have lost their responsiveness to Wnt1, as only few clones contained sensory neurons.

Table 1. Clonal analysis of p75-positive NCSCs that have been maintained in culture by Wnt1 and BMP2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Death</th>
<th>Undiff.</th>
<th>sN</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>aN</th>
<th>Non-neural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGFβ</td>
<td>26.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>12.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>59.5 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRG1</td>
<td>17.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82.3 ± 5.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP2</td>
<td>27.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>57.9 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt1</td>
<td>15.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>71.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>13.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After 11 d in culture in the presence of Wnt1 and BMP2, NCSCs were replated at clonal density, prospectively identified, and exposed to different instructive growth factors. The low numbers of lost clones demonstrate that BMP2, NRG1, and TGFβ are not selectively eliminating certain cell lineages, but are acting instructively on maintained NCSCs. Note that after expansion in culture most NCSCs have lost their responsiveness to Wnt1, as only few clones contained sensory neurons.

### Discussion

Factors supporting NCSC maintenance and self-renewal have not been described so far. Here, we show that the synergistic activity of Wnt and BMP signaling regulate NCSC maintenance, whereas the individual factors alone promote lineage decisions in these stem cells. In the CNS, expansion of neural stem cells has been achieved before in neurosphere and in adhesive cultures (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2001). However, the cellular composition in these culture systems is heterogeneous, with the actual stem cells representing only a minor fraction of all cells. In contrast, combined Wnt/BMP activity allows the expansion of an almost pure population of NCSCs while suppressing differentiation. Stem cell maintenance is not only...
reflected by persistent expression of the NCSC markers p75 and Sox10 in virtually all cells, but also by the maintained potential of these cells to generate neurons, glia, and nonneural cell types. Although NCSCs proliferate in the presence of Wnt/BMP, cell cycle progression is slower than in lineage-specified progenitors. This is comparable to the reduced proliferation rate of stem cells as opposed to transient amplifying progenitors in the CNS (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2001). Similar to NCSCs isolated at different time points in vivo, NCSCs change certain intrinsic properties over time and acquire differential responsiveness to instructive growth factors.

Stem cell maintenance and early sensory lineage segregation in emigrating neural crest cells

Although in culture emigrating eNCSCs initially represent a homogeneous cell population with respect to their potentials (Lee et al., 2004), the cells quickly undergo lineage segregation (Henion and Weston, 1997; Greenwood et al., 1999; Luo et al., 2003). This is likely due to the limited signaling range and activity of growth factors such as neural tube–derived Wnt that in explant cultures induces sensory neurogenesis in a subset of all neural crest cells and that can be modulated by BMP signaling (Figs. 1 and 2). Likewise, in vivo the migratory neural crest is heterogeneous as has been shown by single-cell tracing experiments (Fraser and Bronner-Fraser, 1991). Although some of the labeled cells in these experiments contributed to both sensory and autonomic ganglia, others were restricted and generated either only sensory or only sympathetic cells. Moreover, Ngn2-positive cells fated predominantly for sensory ganglia are found in neural crest cells as they emigrate from the neural tube, intermingled with multifated Sox10-positive cells (Zirlinger et al., 2002). Thus, maintenance vs. sensory lineage segregation is regulated very early in neural crest development. Based on our
data, we propose (Fig. 7) that neural crest cells emerge from the neural tube with equal competence; combinatorial Wnt and BMP signaling maintains multipotency in some emigrating NCSCs and suppresses their neuronal specification, whereas other emigrating neural crest cells are not (or at least not continuously) exposed to the synergistic activity of Wnt plus BMP and adopt a Wnt-dependent sensory fate. How this cellular heterogeneity is established remains elusive. Possibly, restricted signal availability or factors locally inhibiting BMP activity might be involved. Moreover, it is presently not clear whether Wnt/BMP signaling maintains NCSCs with potentials exceeding smooth muscle formation, neurogenesis, and gliogenesis. In particular, recent evidence indicates that the melanocyte lineage segregates from other neural crest lineages already in the neural tube, before neural crest emigration (Wilson et al., 2004). In any case, however, our finding that BMP signaling can counteract the sensory neuron–inducing activity of canonical Wnt signaling provides one conceivable solution to the paradox that in normal neural crest development not all emigrating neural crest cells adopt a sensory fate, despite the general responsiveness of eNCSCs to Wnt signaling and the expression of Wnts at the site of neural crest delamination. Similarly, the inhibition of BMP-dependent autonomic neurogenesis by Wnt signaling also offers an explanation for why the generation of autonomic neurons is prevented in emigrating neural crest cells in spite of the presence of BMPs in the ectoderm and the dorsal neural tube.

Consistent with a role of Wnt signaling in NCSC expansion in vivo, ablation of \textit{wnt1/wnt3a} in mice results in the reduction of most neural crest lineages (Ikeya et al., 1997), and Wnt signal inhibition at the onset of neural crest emigration in zebrafish leads to reduced expression of several neural crest markers, including Sox10 (Lewis et al., 2004). However, numbers of Sox10-positive cells appear normal and neural crest cells still contribute to multiple lineages after neural crest–specific deletion of \(\beta\)-catenin and of BMP receptor IA (Hari et al., 2002; Stottmann et al., 2004). This could point to stage- or signaling component–specific requirements for Wnt/BMP signaling in stem cell maintenance, which has to be addressed in future studies.

Although Wnt in combination with BMP signaling maintains multipotency of NCSCs and supports cell division in many of these cells, their responsiveness to instructive growth factors changes with time. Most intriguingly, expanded NCSCs lose their sensitivity to the sensory neuron–inducing activity of canonical Wnt signaling while remaining responsive to other instructive growth factors including BMP2, NRG1, and TGFβ3. The loss of Wnt responsiveness cannot be explained by the selective elimination of cells with sensory potential, as demonstrated by clonal analysis of cells that have been maintained in the presence of Wnt1 and BMP2 (Figs. 5 and 6). Rather, during maintenance in culture individual NCSCs have acquired intrinsic differences as compared with eNCSCs emigrating from the neural tube. Strikingly, these changes correspond to processes occurring in vivo: Although in the case of sciatic nerve cells increased cell death might have masked an effect of Wnt on sensory neurogenesis, postmigratory NCSCs present in both the sciatic nerve and the DRG displayed an altered Wnt response as compared with migratory NCSCs and failed to generate sensory neurons (Fig. 6). Similar changes also occur in response to other

**Figure 7.** Model of combinatorial Wnt/BMP signaling regulating NCSC development. Wnt in synergy with BMP signaling promotes NCSC maintenance while on its own, canonical Wnt signaling induces sensory fate acquisition (A). Based on these findings we propose (B) that Wnt proteins expressed in the dorsal neural tube induce the formation of sensory neural cells (blue) from eNCSCs (green) that emigrate from the neural tube. Sensory progenitor cells predominantly populate the DRG (Zirlinger et al., 2002), where an unknown factor Y prevents some cells from differentiating into sensory neurons, allowing the formation of satellite glia. BMPs (yellow) that are also expressed in the dorsal midline region at the time point of neural crest emigration suppress Wnt-dependent sensory neurogenesis in some eNCSCs and, together with Wnt, instructively promote maintenance of NCSC features. With time, NCSCs change intrinsic properties and, as postmigratory NCSCs (light green), have lost their responsiveness to Wnt but not to other instructive growth factors. For simplicity, of all possible nonsensory neural crest–derived structures only autonomic ganglia are shown, in which dorsal aorta–derived BMP induces autonomic neurogenesis in postmigratory NCSCs.
growth factors, both in NCSCs isolated at different time points and in postmigratory NCSCs derived from different PNS regions (Bixby et al., 2002; Kruger et al., 2002). Thereby, changes in cell-intrinsic determinants influence cell fate decisions by changing the sensitivity of neural crest cells to specific extracellular signals (White et al., 2001; Kubu et al., 2002). For instance, the level of the transcription factor Sox10 determines how neural crest cells interpret their environment and which fate they adopt (Paratore et al., 2001). Such changes acquired over time might also explain the various functions attributed to Wnts and BMPs during neural crest development, ranging from neural crest induction, delamination, and NCSC expansion to melanocyte formation and neurogenesis (Ikeya et al., 1997; Dorsky et al., 1998; Garcia-Castro et al., 2002; Burstyn-Cohen et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004). Indeed, blocking Wnt signaling at various time points in zebrafish embryogenesis indicated its reiterated but distinct roles in neural crest development (Lewis et al., 2004).

Neural stem cells from the CNS also undergo intrinsic changes during development, biasing a cell to self-renew, to generate either neurons or glia, or to produce specific neuronal cell types (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2001). In particular, canonical Wnt signaling promotes stem cell expansion at early stages of cortical development, whereas it induces neuronal lineage commitment at later stages (Hirabayashi et al., 2004). Thus, as is the case with NCSCs found at different stages of PNS development, the proposed transition from neural stem cells present in the embryo to adult neural stem cells is accompanied by alterations in the stem cell’s genetic program (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2001). It follows that continuous self-renewal used as a key feature of stem cells might not apply in its strictest sense to neural (and conceivably other) stem cells during development. Rather, although maintaining their multipotency, stem cells adapt to signals present in their extracellular environment.

The environment, however, also changes with time. In the PNS, canonical Wnt activity is observed only at early development stages, when neural crest cells emigrate from the neural tube (Fig. 6). At later stages, Wnt/β-catenin activity was no longer detectable in neural crest–derived tissues, such as DRG, peripheral nerves, and sympathetic ganglia. Thus, not only do NCSCs lose their Wnt responsiveness with time, but also postmigratory neural crest cells are not exposed to canonical Wnt signaling any more. We propose that this dual regulation of Wnt signaling ensures the tight control, both spatially and temporally, of NCSC maintenance by Wnt/BMP and sensory lineage segregation by Wnt signaling alone. Consequently, late sensory neurogenesis in the forming DRG may occur in a Wnt-independent manner. Likewise, maintenance of NCSCs at later stages may be regulated by cues not involving canonical Wnt signaling. Alternatively, transient priming of NCSCs by Wnt plus BMP at early stages may be sufficient to maintain NCSCs also at later stages until they are exposed to new instructive cues, such as BMPs that are expressed in the dorsal aorta and induce autonomic neurogenesis (Reissmann et al., 1996; Shah et al., 1996). In sum, NCSC maintenance and lineage decisions appear to be regulated by the dynamic interaction between cell-intrinsic properties and cell-extrinsic cues, including Wnt signaling, that change with time and location.

Combinatorial Wnt and BMP signaling

Our study provides multiple lines of evidence for a cross-talk between Wnt and BMP signaling in eNCSCs. Wnt1 treatment as well as sustained β-catenin activity interferes with the autonomic neuron–inducing activity of BMP2 (Figs. 2 and 3). Likewise, BMP signaling suppresses β-catenin–dependent sensory neurogenesis from eNCSCs (Fig. 2) and prevents sensory neuron formation in eNCSCs treated with exogenous Wnt1 (Fig. 3). The fact that BMP2 also blocks sensory neurogenesis in eNCSCs expressing a constitutively active form of β-catenin indicates that BMP signal transduction interacts with canonical Wnt signaling at the level of or downstream of β-catenin. Strikingly, the cross-talk between Wnt and BMP signaling is not just mutually antagonistic but synergistic, leading to a new fate not seen with the individual signals alone. Indeed, combined Wnt and BMP signaling suppresses also glial and nonneural cell differentiation, apart from sensory and autonomic neurogenesis, while maintaining stem cell features in neural crest cells.

Wnt/β-catenin signaling interacts with several other signal transduction pathways, which influences its signaling output (Nelson and Nusse, 2004). In particular, Wnt and BMP signaling cooperate in various species in either a synergistic or antagonistic manner to regulate cell lineage decisions, patterning, or tumorigenesis. Thereby, the β-catenin/Lef/TCF transcription factor complex acts as signal coordinator that physically interacts with the BMP signaling components SMAD4 or SMAD1 to induce specific target genes. Most recently, BMP has been shown to antagonize Wnt/β-catenin in intestinal stem cells by signaling through the tumor suppressor PTEN and Akt kinase (He et al., 2004). Intriguingly, in these stem cells Wnt promotes self-renewal and Wnt/BMP promotes cell fate decisions, unlike in NCSCs, indicating that the effects of Wnt signaling and Wnt signal modulation by BMP are dependent on the stem cell type (Kléber and Sommer, 2004).

The signaling components involved and the target genes of Wnt/BMP signal convergence in NCSCs remain to be identified. The homeodomain factor Msx2 might possibly be implicated, at least in the cranial crest, because Msx2 expression is regulated by cooperative Wnt/BMP signaling in embryonic stem cells (Hussein et al., 2003) and suppresses chondrogenic differentiation in migratory cranial neural crest cells (Takahashi et al., 2001). Another candidate transcription factor is Sox10, which is persistently expressed in NCSCs maintained by Wnt1 plus BMP2 (Figs. 3–5). Similar to the combinatorial activity of Wnt and BMP, Sox10 promotes maintenance of multipotency and growth factor responsiveness in cultured NCSCs (Kim et al., 2003), and is required for maintenance of enteric NCSCs in vivo (Paratore et al., 2002). Although there is precedence for Wnt/β-catenin signaling regulating expression of Sox genes (Blache et al., 2004), a functional interplay between Sox10 and the canonical Wnt signaling pathway might take place at the protein level, as several Sox proteins physically interact with β-catenin (Zorn et al., 1999; Akiyama et al., 2004; Sinner et al., 2004). It is open whether such interactions occur in NCSCs, but they might play a part in the modulation of the signaling network provided by Wnt and BMP that controls NCSC maintenance and cell fate decisions.
Materials and methods

Mating scheme, genotyping, and in vivo fate mapping
Control and mutant embryos were generated and genotyped as described previously (Hari et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004). Wnt/β-catenin activity was assessed by analyzing mice harboring TOPGAL, in which a β-galactosidase gene is under the control of a TCF/Leu and β-catenin inducible promoter (DasGupta and Fuchs, 1999). X-gal staining was performed as described previously (Paratore et al., 2002).

Neural crest explant cultures
Mouse neural crest explant cultures under conditions permissive for sensory neurogenesis were performed as reported previously (Hari et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004). To inhibit sensory neurogenesis, early neural crest explants were supplemented with 50 ng/ml BMP2 (R&D Systems). Exposure of neural crest cells to Wnt1-expressing and control fibroblasts was done as described previously (Lee et al., 2004). In some experiments, neural crest cells on fibroblast monolayers were treated with BMP2 20 h after emigration and cultured for an additional 5–12 d. To challenge Wnt1/BMP2-treated NCSCs after 5 d in explant culture, cells were washed three times in Dulbecco's minimum essential medium and cultured for an additional 7 d either in defined medium (Greenwood et al., 1999) supplemented with 125 ng/ml noggin (R&D Systems) or in medium containing chicken embryo extract (Stemple and Anderson, 1992) supplemented with 20 ng/ml IGF-1 (R&D Systems) and either 50 ng/ml BMP2, 0.1 ng/ml TGFβ1 (R&D Systems), or 1 nM NRG1 (R&D Systems). The number of sensory neurons (mean ± SD) was determined for three different explants, counting at least 2,000 cells per explant. Five ganglia per explant in at least three different explants were assayed to quantify the mean distances between ganglia and neural tube, using NIH Image 1.62 software.

Clonal analysis of NCSCs
Rat eNCSCs and postmigratory NCSCs from rat DRG and sciatic nerve were prepared as reported previously (Hagedorn et al., 1999; Leimeroth et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004). Clonal analysis of prospectively identified NCSCs plated onto Wnt1-expressing or control fibroblast monolayers was done as in Lee et al. (2004). The plating efficiency was ~60–70%. Some cultures on fibroblast monolayers were supplemented with 50 ng/ml BMP2 (R&D Systems) 2 d after plating. To evaluate maintenance of multipotency, rat NCSCs were incubated on Wnt1-expressing monolayers in the absence of Wnt1 were treated with 50 ng/ml BMP2 (R&D Systems) or onto pdL/fibronectin-coated plates. Clone founder cells were identified and mapped as explained above. Cells exposed to Wnt1 were cultured in medium permissive for sensory neurogenesis (Lee et al., 2004). Cultures in the absence of Wnt1 were treated with 50 ng/ml BMP2 (R&D Systems), 0.1 ng/ml TGFβ1 (R&D Systems), or 1 nM NRG1 (R&D Systems) for 4, 5, and 7 d, respectively, in medium containing chicken embryo extract (Stemple and Anderson, 1992).

Immunocytochemistry
Anti-p75, anti-Sox10, anti-Brn-3A, and anti-NF160 antibody stainings were done as in Hari et al. (2002). Anti-GFAP and anti-S100 stainings were performed using pAbs (each at 1:200 dilution; DakoCytomation). SMA was stained with an mAb (1:400 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich); for Mash-1 staining, cells were incubated with an mAb (1:100 dilution; BD Biosciences) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with a HRP-coupled anti–mouse IgG antibody (1:200 dilution; DakoCytomation) and by HRP development using DAB as substrate. Immunofluorescence was analyzed by using an AXiovert 100 microscope and AxioVision 4.1 software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.).
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