Dynamic assembly and sustained retention of 53BP1 at the sites of DNA damage are controlled by Mdc1/NFBD1
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53BP1 is a key component of the genome surveillance network activated by DNA double strand breaks (DSBs). Despite its known accumulation at the DSB sites, the spatiotemporal aspects of 53BP1 interaction with DSBs and the role of other DSB regulators in this process remain unclear. Here, we used real-time microscopy to study the DSB-induced redistribution of 53BP1 in living cells. We show that within minutes after DNA damage, 53BP1 becomes progressively, yet transiently, immobilized around the DSB-flanking chromatin. Quantitative imaging of single cells revealed that the assembly of 53BP1 at DSBs significantly lagged behind Mdc1/NFBD1, another DSB-interacting checkpoint mediator. Furthermore, short interfering RNA-mediated ablation of Mdc1/NFBD1 drastically impaired 53BP1 redistribution to DSBs and triggered premature dissociation of 53BP1 from these regions. Collectively, these in vivo measurements identify Mdc1/NFBD1 as a key upstream determinant of 53BP1's interaction with DSBs from its dynamic assembly at the DSB sites through sustained retention within the DSB-flanking chromatin up to the recovery from the checkpoint.

Introduction

Protection of genome integrity against mutagenic effects of DNA damage relies on a flawless execution of genome surveillance pathways (so-called “checkpoints”) that coordinate cell cycle progression with DNA repair (Zhou and Elledge, 2000; Nyberg et al., 2002). In response to DNA double strand breaks (DSBs), mammalian checkpoints launch a cascade of phosphorylation events initiated by the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) protein kinase (Shiloh, 2003). Given its central role in the DSB response, inactivating mutations of ATM and/or the genes involved in regulation of ATM activity cause severe genetic disorders manifested by chromosomal instability, radiation sensitivity, and cancer predisposition (Kastan and Bartek, 2004).

A group of proteins named “checkpoint mediators” play a key role in supporting the timely and effective ATM signaling (Lukas et al., 2004b). Among the checkpoint mediators, 53BP1 has recently attracted particular attention (Mochan et al., 2004). Identified originally as a p53-binding protein (Iwabuchi et al., 1994), 53BP1 was later shown to localize to the DSB sites in cells exposed to ionizing radiation (IR) or radiomimetic drugs (Schultz et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 2001). Indeed, several ensuing observations strongly supported a close functional link between 53BP1 and the ATM-regulated events. First, 53BP1 itself becomes phosphorylated by ATM in a DNA damage–dependent manner, suggesting that 53BP1 participates in propagating the ATM signaling to its downstream effectors (Anderson et al., 2001; Ward et al., 2003b). Second, phosphorylation of some ATM targets in 53BP1-deficient mice and human cells is impaired (DiTullio et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002; Ward et al., 2003a). Third, it has been suggested that 53BP1 may regulate ATM activity by itself (Mochan et al., 2003). Together with the fact that 53BP1 knockout mice suffer from similar (although generally milder) defects as the ATM-deficient mice (Morales et al., 2003; Ward et al., 2003b), the aforementioned findings illustrate that 53BP1 plays an important role in regulating the effectiveness of the ATM-controlled events.

Interestingly, the interaction of 53BP1 with DSBs proceeds in a complex, bimodal fashion. Thus, the assembly at the acute DSB lesions requires direct interaction between the Tudor domain of 53BP1 and dimethylated lysine 79 of histone H3 (H3-dmK79; Huyen et al., 2004). Because this chromatin modification exists in undamaged cells and does not increase in response to DNA damage, it was proposed that chromosomal restructuring adjacent to the DSB lesions locally “unmasks” the
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methylated lysine residues, thereby allowing their recognition by 53BP1 (Huyen et al., 2004). After establishing the primary contact with DSBs, the retention of 53BP1 in these regions requires another chromatin modification, the ATM-mediated phosphorylation of histone H2AX on serine 139 (γ-H2AX; Fernandez-Capetillo et al., 2002; Celeste et al., 2003). Unlike H3-dmK79, γ-H2AX is low in undamaged nuclei and becomes rapidly induced by DSB-generating insults in chromatin areas flanking each DSB (Rogakou et al., 1999).

These findings raise important conceptual questions: What is the functional interplay between the H3-dmK79–mediated assembly and γ-H2AX–dependent retention of 53BP1 at the DSB sites? Are these two phases of DSB–53BP1 interaction temporally separated and differently regulated? If so, what is the nature of the molecular switch between them? Furthermore, although H2AX phosphorylation occurs rapidly after DNA damage, how does it becomes relevant for 53BP1 interaction with the DSB regions only later during the DSB response?

To understand the mechanisms (and indeed the purpose) of 53BP1 redistribution after DNA damage, it is important to realize that mammalian cells possess several checkpoint mediators and that all these proteins avidly accumulate in the so-called IR-induced foci. On the one hand, this raises several additional spatiotemporal “problems” such as: How do all these large proteins organize themselves in relatively small areas containing DSBs and limited regions of modified chromatin? Is there a strict “timetable” for an orderly assembly and disassembly of individual checkpoint mediators or do they interact with the DSB microcompartments in a more dynamic and competitive fashion? On the other hand, it is possible that at least some checkpoint mediators may influence each other in terms of their interaction with DSBs and the surrounding chromatin, a notion that might be instrumental to understanding the mechanisms behind early assembly versus late retention of these proteins at the sites of DNA damage. Translated to 53BP1, several papers studied the dependency of 53BP1 accumulation in IR-induced foci as a function of Mdc1/NFBD1 (henceforth Mdc1), another recently characterized checkpoint mediator (Stucki and Jackson, 2004). Although intriguing, these studies reached conflicting results and left a considerable confusion regarding the requirement of Mdc1 for 53BP1 interaction with the DSB sites (Mochan et al., 2004).

Thus, whereas two studies reported productive formation of 53BP1-decorated foci (Goldberg et al., 2003; Mochan et al., 2003), another study failed to detect any focal accumulation of 53BP1 in Mdc1-deficient cells after IR (Stewart et al., 2003).

Resolving these issues appears important for several reasons. Most notably, 53BP1 appears to be the closest structural and functional homologue of the “ancestral” checkpoint mediator in unicellular organisms (Rad9 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and Crb2 in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, respectively; Mochan et al., 2004). In this sense, deeper insight into its nuclear dynamics may not only clarify the lingering discrepancies about 53BP1 itself but it can also elucidate some of the outlined conceptual questions of how checkpoint mediators interact with DSBs. In the present study, we performed a detailed spatiotemporal analysis of intranuclear redistribution of 53BP1 in its physiological environment: the nucleus of a living mammalian cell. Our results provide new insights into cooperation between Mdc1 and 53BP1 checkpoint mediators in organizing the chromatin microenvironment around the DSBs, help explain the hitherto elusive molecular switch between the assembly and retention of 53BP1 at the DSB sites, and provide evidence for a key role of Mdc1 in mediating sustained interaction of DSB regulators with the DNA damage–modified chromatin.

**Results**

**A cellular system to study DSB-induced redistribution of 53BP1 in vivo**

To investigate spatiotemporal behavior of 53BP1 in live cells, we have generated U-2-OS cell lines stably expressing near-physiological levels of 53BP1 tagged on its NH2 terminus with the GFP. We have previously shown that U-2-OS cells are proficient in the DSB-induced cell cycle checkpoints and amenable to real-time imaging of DSB-induced protein redistribution (Lukas et al., 2004a). For most experiments in this study, we used the cell line U-2-OS/GFP-53BP1-M1 (henceforth M1) expressing full-length murine 53BP1 (Jullien et al., 2002) tagged with GFP at its NH2 terminus. This cell line was chosen because the level of GFP-53BP1 matched that of the endogenous protein (Fig. 1 A) and showed little variation in abundance among individual cell nuclei (Fig. 1 B), and the ectopic protein

![Figure 1. Characterization of the cellular model to study 53BP1 dynamics in vivo.](image-url)
recapitulated hallmarks of the physiological functions of 53BP1. Thus, the GFP-53BP1 protein migrated with a predicted size on the SDS gels (Fig. 1 A) and showed largely homogenous, pan-nuclear distribution except for the nucleoli that were void of the protein (Fig. 1 B). In a subset of cells, both GFP-53BP1 in the M1 cell line (Fig. 1 B) and the endogenous 53BP1 in naive U-2-OS (Fig. 1 C) concentrated in one or several bright speckles that likely represent regions with spontaneous DNA damage and/or rearrangements and that were previously described in various human cell types (Mochan et al., 2004). Importantly, the GFP-decorated nuclear speckles always colocalized with those containing the endogenous 53BP1, excluding nonspecific aggregation of the GFP-53BP1 in the M1 cell line (Fig. 1 D). Finally, GFP-53BP1 rapidly relocated to DSBs (generated both by the microlaser and by IR) with very similar kinetics to the endogenous protein (Figs. 2 and 3 and Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503043/DC1). The key findings were reproduced in uncloned U-2-OS-GFP-53BP1 cells, and the nuclear mobility of the murine 53BP1 was indistinguishable from its GFP-tagged human counterpart (unpublished data).

Real-time assembly and dynamic exchange of 53BP1 at the DSB sites

Next, we used a real-time assay for monitoring protein assembly at the laser-generated DSB sites, an approach that has provided invaluable insights into protein redistribution and/or modification in response to DSBs (Rogakou et al., 1999; Tashiro et al., 2000; Celeste et al., 2003; Lukas et al., 2003) and that we recently optimized to study these phenomena directly in living mammalian cells (Lukas et al., 2004a). In brief, exponentially growing M1 cells were first presensitized with halogenated thymidine analogues and subsequently microirradiated by a focused UV-A laser beam to generate narrow DSB-containing tracks spanning the entire diameter of cell nuclei. Immediately thereafter, the microirradiated fields were subjected to a time-lapse recording of the GFP-53BP1 protein redistribution (see Materials and methods). Fig. 2 A shows that the assembly of GFP-53BP1 in the DSB-containing nuclear tracks became cytologically discernible after 2 min and reached a steady-state equilibrium between 14–18 min after microirradiation. A very similar kinetic profile was obtained by an immunofluorescence analysis of the endogenous 53BP1 both after laser microirradiation (Fig. 3 C, bottom) and after exposure of a low dose (2 Gy) of IR (Fig. S1 A). These data further support the notion that GFP-53BP1 is biologically active and that the type and extent of the chromosomal lesions generated by the laser are similar to those produced by IR. The detailed mathematical analysis of the GFP-53BP1–associated fluorescence revealed that the assembly of 53BP1 at the DSB sites followed a second order response to a step change, step being defined here as the DSB generation (Fig. 2 B and Table I). The kinetic values (ω) derived from this modelling proved instrumental to directly compare the assembly dynamics between 53BP1 and the related checkpoint mediator Mdc1 (Fig. S2 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503043/DC1; and see section The assembly of 53BP1 at the DSB sites lags behind that of Mdc).

To gain deeper insight into the 53BP1 interaction with DSBs, we applied FRAP to measure the rate of GFP-53BP1 exchange between the microirradiated nuclear regions and the neighboring nucleoplasm (see Materials and methods). These FRAP measurements revealed that after a single bleach pulse, the GFP-53BP1–associated fluorescence within the DSB tracks recovered with a markedly slower kinetics compared with that in the undamaged nuclei, indicating that a fraction of GFP-53BP1 became transiently immobilized at the DSB sites (Fig. 2 C). Indeed, the mathematical modelling of these FRAP curves supported and further corroborated this conclusion. Thus, the calculated kinetic values (Table I) show that the mobility of GFP-53BP1 could be dissociated into distinct populations with fast- and slow-binding kinetics. Because this pattern
The Tudor domain determines intranuclear mobility of 53BP1 both before and after DNA damage

Next, we exploited the recent discovery that the Tudor domain of 53BP1 directly interacts with H3-dmK79 (Huyen et al., 2004), thereby determining the productive accumulation of 53BP1 in the IR-induced foci. We have generated another U-2-OS–derived cell line stably expressing GFP-tagged murine 53BP1 with an inactivating mutation (Y1487L) in the core part of the Tudor domain. As predicted, this Tudor-deficient 53BP1 completely failed to assemble along the laser-generated DSB tracks (Fig. 2 D). Surprisingly, FRAP measurements revealed that the Tudor domain disruption accelerated the 53BP1’s mobility also in undamaged nuclei manifested by a faster recovery of the GFP-53BP1-Y1487L and a significant decrease of its intranuclear residence time (Fig. 2 E and Table I). Thus, the integrity of the Tudor domain appears to have an impact not only on the ability of 53BP1 to assemble around the DSB lesions but also on its physiological rate of binding to chromatin during unperturbed cell cycle progression (see Discussion).

The assembly of 53BP1 at the DSB sites lags behind that of Mdc1

To gain deeper insight into the dynamics of 53BP1 interaction with DSB-surrounding chromatin, we cross-examined redistribution of 53BP1 and Mdc1 in living cells exposed to the laser-generated DSB tracks. This strategy was inspired by our findings that the assembly of Mdc1 at the DSB sites is required to transiently immobilize the Mre11–Rad50–Nbs1 (MRN) complex (DSB sensor and a key component of early ATM-controlled signaling) in these regions (Lukas et al., 2004a). Given this capacity of Mdc1 to “organize” other proteins within the DSB-flanking chromatin, we tested whether it also contributes to 53BP1 assembly at the DSB sites on a complete checkpoint time scale.

First, we compared the kinetics of 53BP1 and Mdc1 assembly at the freshly generated DSBs. To ensure identical experimental conditions, we cocultivated the GFP-53BP1–expressing M1 cells with U-2-OS cells stably expressing GFP-Mdc1 (Lukas et al., 2004a). To discriminate these two cell lines during imaging (both contain GFP-tagged proteins), we labeled the GFP-Mdc1–expressing cells with a cytosolic cell tracker detectable within the red (λ = 600 nm) emission spectrum (Fig. 3 A; and see Materials and methods). Real-time imaging of these mixed cultures exposed to laser microirradiation revealed that the assembly of GFP-53BP1 in the DSB areas was delayed and proceeded with a significantly slower kinetics compared with that of GFP-Mdc1 (Fig. 3, A and B; and compare ω values in Table I). This temporal difference in Mdc1 and 53BP1 accumulation at the DSB sites was confirmed also for the endogenous proteins analyzed by immunofluorescence in the same cells fixed in short intervals after laser microirradiation (Fig. 3 C). Together, these data suggest that the DSB-associated local chromatin modifications that direct the respective checkpoint mediators to the sites of DNA damage are generated (or become accessible) with different kinetics.

Figure 3. 53BP1 assembly at the DSB sites is delayed compared with that of Mdc1. (A) U-2-OS cells stably expressing GFP-Mdc1 were labeled with a cytosolic cell tracker (see the extranuclear red fluorescence) and mixed with the GFP-53BP1–expressing cell line. After 24 h, these mixed cell cultures were subjected to laser microirradiation followed by the real-time recording of the GFP-53BP1 and/or GFP-Mdc1 assembly kinetics (dotted arrow indicates the laser paths across the cell nuclei). (B) The kinetic assembly profiles of GFP-Mdc1 and GFP-53BP1 derived from 10 independent experiments (compare also the ω values in Table I). (C) Naive, asynchronously growing U-2-OS cells were plated on grid glass coverslips and microirradiated along a narrow linear region. The exact time of microirradiation was recorded for each individual cell. Immediately after the microirradiation of the last cell, the entire cell population was fixed and communostained with antibodies to Mdc1 (top) and 53BP1 (bottom). The microirradiated regions were retrieved via the coverslip grid and analyzed for the cytologically discernible accumulation of the endogenous proteins in the DSB-containing nuclear tracks. The arrowheads in A and C indicate the time points of the first cytologically detectable accumulation of each protein. Error bars equal twice the SD of the normalized data at the respective time points. Bars, 10 μm.

(including the residence time values for both populations) is reminiscent of several chromatin-associated proteins analyzed by analogous approaches (Phair et al., 2004), these data provide in vivo evidence that even in intact nuclei, 53BP1 dynamically interacts with chromatin. In the microirradiated tracks, this distribution was shifted toward the slower population (39% in undamaged nuclei vs. 58% at the DSB sites; Table I) and the average residence time of this “slow” 53BP1 (the form that presumably binds chromatin with a higher specific affinity) was at least 2.5 times longer at the DSB sites compared with the dispersed protein in the nucleoplasm (Table I). Collectively, these mobility parameters indicate that 53BP1 undergoes a dynamic interaction with chromatin in undamaged cells and that this can be flexibly converted to an increased (yet still transient) immobilization at the DSB sites including the surrounding chromosomal regions.
Mdc1 is required for a productive assembly of 53BP1 at the DSB sites

The aforementioned observation prompted us to investigate whether Mdc1 (the faster DSB interactor) influences the kinetics and/or the magnitude of 53BP1 assembly at the DSB sites. To this end, we quantitatively depleted endogenous Mdc1 in M1 cells by short interfering RNA (siRNA; Fig. 4 A, left) and measured the increase of the GFP-53BP1–associated fluorescence after laser microirradiation. Strikingly, these analyses showed that the saturation amounts of GFP-53BP1 in the microirradiated regions were drastically reduced compared with the Mdc1-proficient cells (Fig. 4 A, right; Fig. 4 B; and Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503043/DC1). Similar impairment in 53BP1 assembly at the DSB sites was observed also in Mdc1-deficient cells exposed to IR (Fig. S1 B). In a reciprocal experiment, an equally quantitative, siRNA-mediated depletion of 53BP1 from the GFP-Mdc1 cells (Fig. 4 C, left) had no significant effect on the kinetics of GFP-Mdc1 assembly around the laser-generated DSBs (Fig. 4 C, right). Together with the aforementioned temporal distinctions between the Mdc1 and 53BP1 assembly kinetics (Fig. 3), and additional FRAP measurements revealing that the Mdc1 depletion reduced the size of the slow binding fraction of GFP-53BP1 in the microirradiated areas (Fig. S2 B), these data suggest that the lack of Mdc1 causes severe limitations for 53BP1 to interact with the DSB sites, including the earliest stages of the DSB response.

To further substantiate this conclusion, we took advantage of our previous results showing that the sustained engagement of Mdc1 with the DSB-flanking chromatin requires γ-H2AX (Lukas et al., 2004a). The clear prediction from these data is that the interference with ATM, the principle DSB-induced kinase involved in H2AX phosphorylation, should not only impair Mdc1 accumulation at the DSB sites but also attenuate the assembly of 53BP1 in these regions. To test this prediction in an isogenic system used for all other kinetic measurements in this study, we knocked down endogenous ATM in the M1 cell line by siRNA (Fig. 5, left). Indeed, ablation of ATM attenuated the assembly of GFP-53BP1 in the laser-generated DSB tracks and the extent of this inhibition was very similar to that achieved by a short pretreatment of cells with caffeine, a drug that under the conditions used (10 mM) inhibits ATM and its close homologue ATR (Fig. 5, right). The fact that the impairment of 53BP1 assembly under these conditions was less dramatic than that obtained by a quantitative Mdc1 ablation is expected given the ability of DNA-PK (another member of the ATM-related kinases) to partially compensate for the lack of ATM in supporting H2AX phosphorylation (Falck et

### Table 1. Kinetic parameters of 53BP1 and Mdc1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Real-time assembly (DSBs)</th>
<th>Intranuclear residence time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>τ (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-Mdc1</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(undamaged)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>60% ± 1.0</td>
<td>60% ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild type (DSBs)</td>
<td>40% ± 0.9</td>
<td>60% ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1487L</td>
<td>66% ± 0.8</td>
<td>34% ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(undamaged)</td>
<td>1.1 s ± 0.0</td>
<td>11.5 s ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Materials and methods for mathematical modeling.
Thus, these data strengthen the notion that the efficient 53BP1 assembly requires the preceding formation of the γ-H2AX–Mdc1 complex at the DSB sites and indicate that one of the important functions of ATM is to stabilize otherwise transient (or inefficient) protein interactions with the sites of DNA damage.

Mdc1 is required for a sustained retention of 53BP1 at the DSB sites

Next, we investigated the dynamics of 53BP1 interaction with DSBs (and the role of Mdc1 in this process) during the advanced stages of the DSB response, spanning the checkpoint maintenance and recovery. We extended the real-time recording of microirradiated M1 cells for several hours and confirmed that under our experimental conditions, the extent of DNA damage did not exhaust the cellular capacity to repair the DSB lesions. Indeed, we could generate conditions compatible with uninterrupted, single cell–based imaging of a complete disassembly of GFP-53BP1 from the microirradiated tracks followed by apparently normal mitosis (Fig. 6 A). The division of the microirradiated cells was delayed compared with otherwise unirradiated cells assayed under the same conditions, but the majority of the laser-treated cells remained viable, consistent with inducing a bona-fide cell cycle checkpoint by the local DSB tracks (Table II). We then used this approach to measure dissociation of GFP-53BP1 from the DSB regions by determining the time when the GFP-53BP1–specific fluorescence in the microirradiated tracks reequilibrated with that in the undamaged parts of the same nucleus. These time-lapse analyses revealed that Mdc1 was critically required for a sustained interaction of 53BP1 with these regions (Fig. 6 B). Thus, the bulk of the Mdc1-proficient cells lost GFP-53BP1 from the DSB tracks between 7 and 12 h after microirradiation. In contrast, depletion of the endogenous Mdc1 not only impaired the magnitude of GFP-53BP1 assembly at the DSB tracks (Fig. 4, A and B; and Figs. S1 B and S3) but also reduced the overall period of the cytologically discernible GFP-53BP1 accumulation in these regions to <6 h. In fact, the majority of these Mdc1-deprived cells completely lost GFP-53BP1 from the DSB sites already 2 h after microirradiation (Fig. 6 B). This unscheduled dissociation of 53BP1 in microirradiated Mdc1-deprived cells was accompanied by a complete lack of normal cell division and by markedly decreased cell viability (Table II). Also in this case, we validated the data obtained by laser microirradiation with an independent source of DSB. Indeed, very similar results (marked impairment of GFP-53BP1 interaction with DSBs in later stages of the checkpoint response) were obtained in cells exposed to low doses (2 Gy) of IR (Fig. S1 B). Importantly, the premature dissociation of 53BP1 in Mdc1-deficient cells was not a simple consequence of its inefficient assembly. When we increased the laser output to generate more DSBs and thereby...
elevate the relative abundance of GFP-53BP1 in the microirradiated tracks to the level achieved by a lower laser dose in control cells, GFP-53BP1 was still lost several hours earlier in cells without Mdc1 (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200503043/DC1).

It has been reported by others in IR-treated cells (Stewart et al., 2003) and noticed by ourselves after laser microirradiation (this study) that the abundance of γ-H2AX at the DSB sites was somewhat reduced in the Mdc1-depleted cells (this phenomenon was more pronounced after low irradiation doses and at later time points; Fig. 6 C). In addition, H2AX is required for the sustained interaction of 53BP1 at DSBs (Celeste et al., 2003). This raises the important conceptual question of whether Mdc1 regulates the assembly of 53BP1 directly (for instance by actively promoting chromatin rearrangements; see Discussion) or indirectly through its impact on the extent of H2AX phosphorylation. To discriminate between these possibilities, we analyzed γ-H2AX and GFP-53BP1 colocalization at multiple time points after Mdc1 depletion and local microirradiation of M1 cells with the increasing laser energy output (to generate moderate and high DNA damage within the laser-exposed nuclear regions). Importantly, these immunofluorescence analyses identified a clear time window (between 3 and 4 h after microirradiation) when γ-H2AX was still clearly present in the DSB tracks (especially apparent after high irradiation dose), whereas GFP-53BP1 became completely dispersed throughout the nucleoplasm (Fig. 6 C). Together, these data strongly suggest that the productive assembly and/or maintenance of 53BP1 in the DSB-flanking chromatin cannot be achieved by γ-H2AX alone and support the central role of Mdc1 in bridging the γ-H2AX–marked chromatin with the sustained engagement of 53BP1.

Discussion

Our data provide in vivo evidence that without Mdc1 the interaction of 53BP1 with the DSB-surrounding chromatin is rather inefficient and that the time period during which 53BP1 gains access to the DSB sites is substantially shortened. Specifically, Mdc1-deficient cells never accumulate 53BP1 in the DSB areas to physiological levels and they tend to lose 53BP1 from these regions several hours before restoration of the DNA and/or chromatin integrity. Together with our previous kinetic measurements of Mdc1 (Lukas et al., 2004a), these results help reconcile the current discrepancies in terms of Mdc1-53BP1 interplay in DSB interaction (Introduction) and begin to shed light on the quantitative and temporal aspects of the DSB-surrounding chromatin organization in living mammalian cells. The salient points of the current model (Fig. 7) are as follows.

In the context of intact nucleosomes, the H3-dmK79 residues are poorly accessible and support only very transient interaction of 53BP1 with chromatin. After generation of DSBs and their recognition by the MRN complex, recruitment of active ATM and phosphorylation of H2AX in the DSB-flanking chromatin. At this stage, H3-dmK79 remains poorly accessible and allows only a very transient 53BP1–chromatin interaction (top). Generation of γ-H2AX triggers assembly of Mdc1. The physical changes in the DSB-surrounding chromatin also initiate accumulation of 53BP1 by an increased exposure of a limited number of H3-dmK79 residues. However, this interaction is relatively inefficient and manifests by a temporal delay of 53BP1 assembly compared with that of Mdc1 (middle). Continuing accumulation of γ-H2AX–Mdc1 complexes in the DSB regions followed by ATM-dependent phosphorylation of Mdc1 triggers the full-scale assembly of 53BP1. This may be achieved either by promoting further structural rearrangements of the DSB-surrounding chromatin compatible with an increased exposure of interaction-competent H3-dmK79 residues (bottom, left) or by stabilization of the 53BP1-H3-dmK79 binding. In parallel, accumulation of ATM-phosphorylated Mdc1 in the vicinity of the DNA lesions stabilizes the interaction of the MRN complex with these regions via direct protein–protein interaction (bottom, right; see Discussion).

Table II. Cellular responses to the indicated siRNAs and/or laser microirradiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal mitosis</th>
<th>No cell division</th>
<th>Cell death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No microirradiation; No siRNA (n = 129)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microirradiated; No siRNA (n = 202)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microirradiated; Control siRNA (n = 140)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microirradiated; Mdc1 siRNA (n = 167)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assayed during 40 h of continuous time-lapse imaging.
53BP1. This explains why several previous studies concluded that 53BP1 could be seen in IR-induced foci in the absence of Mdc1 (Goldberg et al., 2003; Mochan et al., 2003). However, we would like to emphasize that this degree of 53BP1 accumulation at DSBs is grossly subphysiological and transient; the latter finding providing a likely explanation for why another study failed to detect focal accumulation of 53BP1 several hours after DNA damage in Mdc1-depleted cells (Stewart et al., 2003). Our quantitative real-time measurements strongly suggest that only after assembly of a threshold amount of Mdc1 around the DSB sites, and presumably also by its phosphorylation by ATM (see the following paragraphs and Fig. 7), the DSB-surrounding chromatin undergoes large-scale structural changes necessary and sufficient for the unrestrained accessibility of H3-dmK79 followed by a full-scale assembly of 53BP1 (Fig. 7). Whether the increased exposure of H3-dmK79 reflects a local chromatin relaxation (thereby “unmasking” the otherwise hidden parts of the nucleosomes) or a higher degree of chromatin compaction as recently proposed (Fernandez-Capetillo et al., 2004; the latter may concentrate the otherwise “scattered” H3-dmK79 residues to the vicinity of the primary DSB lesions) remains to be elucidated. In either case, the key addition of the present study to this model is the evidence that accumulation of a critical amount of Mdc1 at the DSB sites appears to serve as a molecular switch converting the inefficient assembly of 53BP1 at the DSB sites to its robust and sustained engagement with the DSB-surrounding chromosomal regions.

In addition to integrating 53BP1 to the spatiotemporal “map” of the DSB response, interesting conclusions could be derived from the analysis of its mobility in undamaged nuclei. The distribution of the nuclear pool of 53BP1 into fast and slow moving fractions (Table I) indicate that even in the absence of DNA damage 53BP1 associates with chromatin (Phair et al., 2004). This basal 53BP1–chromatin interaction is transient (thereby allowing relatively rapid movement and availability of a sizable pool of 53BP1 throughout the nucleus), it is partly dependent on the integrity of the methyl-binding Tudor domain (suggesting that H3-dmK79 could be transiently exposed during unperturbed cell cycle, likely as a result of the dynamic conformational changes of nucleosomes; Li et al., 2005), and could be instantly converted to a more sustained immobilization of 53BP1 at the acutely emerging sites of DNA breaks. Such protein redistribution after DNA damage is not unprecedented. Rapid movement of repair factors and their transient immobilization after stochastic collisions with DNA lesions was originally described for proteins involved in the nucleotide excision repair (Houtsmunler et al., 1999) and later confirmed as a prevailing way of protein communication with other types of DNA lesions including DSBs (Essers et al., 2002; Lukas et al., 2003, 2004a).

How does Mdc1 influence 53BP1 redistribution? One parameter that can explain the gain of Mdc1’s ability to organize 53BP1 and other proteins specifically in the context of DNA damage is its own, ATM-mediated phosphorylation (Stucki and Jackson, 2004). This idea was originally inspired by our finding that the switch between transient interaction and sustained accumulation of MRN at the DSB sites requires both Mdc1 and the intact FHA domain of Nbs1 (Lukas et al., 2004a). As the FHA domains bind DNA damage-induced phosphothreonines (Durocher et al., 2000), it is likely that both physical presence and phosphorylation of Mdc1 determine the increased immobilization of Nbs1 in the DSB regions (Fig. 7). Although the 53BP1 contains a tandem BRCT domain, which is an equally avid phosphothreonine interactor (Manke et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2003), its accumulation at the DSB sites seems to differ from the Mdc1-Nbs1 interplay, because deletion and/or mutation of the BRCT domains neither changed the assembly nor modified the residence time of 53BP1 at the DSB sites (unpublished data). Instead, we propose that after its assembly around DSBs and phosphorylation by ATM, Mdc1 gains the ability to facilitate the recruitment of chromatin-remodelling enzymes (Fig. 7), such as those that had been reported to interact with phosphorylated histone H2A in yeast (Downs et al., 2004; Morrison et al., 2004; van Attikum et al., 2004). The ensuing nucleosomal rearrangements may then promote and/or stabilize exposure of H3-dmK79. An alternative (but not mutually exclusive) scenario is that the presence of phosphorylated Mdc1 at the DSB-flanking chromatin directly stabilizes binding between 53BP1 and interaction-competent H3-dmK79 residues. Thus, together with the recent biochemical evidence for its ability to integrate multiple DSB regulators at the sites of DNA damage (Goldberg et al., 2003; Lou et al., 2003b; Peng and Chen, 2003; Stewart et al., 2003; Xu and Stern, 2003), Mdc1 appears to perform a key role in organizing the DSB-surchromosomal chromosomal microenvironment, a function that may have important biological ramifications. In particular, the inability to concentrate proteins such as MRN and 53BP1 at the DSB sites in Mdc1-deficient cells could impair timely and productive DSB repair and thereby explain the decreased survival of such cells reported previously in clonogenic assays (Lou et al., 2003a; Stewart et al., 2003) and confirmed in this study by the real-time imaging of individual cells (Table II).

Finally, the quantitative and temporal aspects of our measurements, combined with a direct comparison of intranuclear protein redistribution under identical experimental conditions, challenge another intensively debated issue, namely the nature of the mechanisms that sense DSB lesions and initiate the genome surveillance program. In particular, it has been proposed that Mdc1 and 53BP1 constitute two branches of cellular mechanism to activate ATM-dependent signaling in cells exposed to DSBs (Mochan et al., 2003). However, our new data showing that the assembly of 53BP1 at the freshly generated DNA lesions lags behind Mdc1 and that the proficient 53BP1-DSB assembly is indeed dependent on Mdc1 are not consistent with 53BP1 being the prime sensor of DSBs. Moreover, our previous results strongly suggest that Mdc1 is not involved in the initial DSB detection either. The key arguments here are that Mdc1 is not a constitutive structural component of the MRN complex (the likely DSB sensor; see the following paragraph) and that the interaction of Mdc1 with the DSB-flanking chromatin is critically dependent on the H2AX phosphorylation (i.e., downstream of at least the first “wave” of ATM activity; Lukas et al., 2004a). Instead, our data indicate that both Mdc1 and 53BP1 function as genuine “mediator” and/or...
“adaptor” proteins and that their main role is to enhance and locally concentrate the efficiency of multiple interactions between activated ATM and its substrates, thereby facilitating the pace and velocity of the ATM-controlled signaling.

What, then, is the true DSB sensor? Kinetic measurements in yeast (Lisby et al., 2004) and our own results in mammalian cells (Lukas et al., 2004a) revealed that so far the only nuclear factor whose productive interaction with DSBs does not seem to require other proteins and/or protein modifications and whose arrival at the freshly generated DSBs precedes that of all DSB regulators analyzed so far is the MRN (MRX in S. cerevisiae) nuclease complex. Unlike 53BP1, the MRN complex has a distinctly dual mode of interaction with the DSB sites, including direct and transient binding to DNA (D’Amours and Jackson, 2002) and the more sustained, chromatin-dependent accumulation in the DSB-flanking chromatin (Lukas et al., 2004a). Although the first mode (Mdc1 independent) is compatible with the proposed role of MRN as a DSB sensor, the second one (Mdc1 dependent) likely serves other purposes such as preventing dispersal of the ATM-activated MRN to the undamaged nuclear compartments (Lukas et al., 2004a). In contrast, the recent biochemical analyses (Huyen et al., 2004) revealed no evidence for the direct interaction of 53BP1 with DNA, a notion fully consistent with our present single cell–based approach. Thus, unlike the MRN complex (that can interact with DSBs in both DNA-dependent and chromatin-dependent modes), the productive assembly of 53BP1 at DSBs relies on a single interaction mode that is largely (if not exclusively) dependent on temporally preceding chromatin modifications, specifically on the formation of the γ-H2AX–Mdc1 complex. Together, this strongly suggests that although 53BP1 may reinforce DSB signaling, it is not the primary DSB sensor.

Materials and methods

Plasmids

The expression plasmid for mouse GFP-53BP1 was a gift from Y. Adachi (The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; Jullien et al., 2002). A point mutation resulting in a substitution of tyrosine 1487 of the Tudor domain (The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; Goldberg et al., 2003). Highly purified recombinant 53BP1 containing the puromycin resistance cassette. Upon selection with 1 mg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 days, resistant clones were tested for the expression of 53BP1 by Western blot using rabbit anti-Smc1 (Abcam), rabbit anti-GFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-53BP1 (Oncogene Research Products), rabbit 53BP1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit and mouse anti-γ-H2AX (Upstate Biotechnology). Rabbit antibody to Mdc1 was provided by S. Jackson and M. Stucki (The Wellcome Trust/Cancer Research Institute, Cambridge, UK; Goldberg et al., 2003). Highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence coupled to Alexa 488 or Alexa 568 were purchased from Molecular Probes. Conditions for immunostaining, SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, and immunoblotting were described previously (Falck et al., 2002; Lukas et al., 2003, 2004a).

Microscopy

Confoocal microscopy of fixed cells was performed on LSM 510 (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) mounted on a microscope (model Zeiss-Axiovert 100M; Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc., equipped with Plan-Neofluar 40×/1.3 oil immersion objective, and with appropriate settings for multiple color acquisition. For live cell confocal microscopy, a custom-designed imaging workstation combining the LSM 510 Meta (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) and the P.A.L.M. microdissector (PAUM Robotics) was used. A 40×/1.2 Apochromat water immersion objective was used both for microirradiation and image acquisition. Generation of local DSB regions by laser microirradiation and the FRAP assays were described previously (Lukas et al., 2003, 2004a). Given these objective parameters, the energy output of the microdissection laser that is compatible with generating local DSB tracks ranges between 51, 55, and 59%, yielding low, intermediate, or high concentration of local DSBs (Lukas et al., 2003). Unless stated otherwise, the 55% laser energy output was used. Time-lapse experiments were performed on a widefield fluorescence microscope (Axiovert 100M; Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) equipped with Plan-Neofluar 25×/0.8 oil immersion objective, a charge-coupled device camera (Cool snap HQ; Roper Scientific), and Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corp.). A typical time-lapse acquisition protocol consisted of a 5-min interval autofocus on a differential interference contrast transmission light image (10-ms exposure time) followed by one snapshot of a GFP image with an exposure time of 100 ms. All microscopes used for live cell imaging were equipped with a 37°C tempered XL incubator and the culture medium was overlaid with mineral oil to prevent evaporation. For quantitative and comparative imaging, identical image acquisition parameters were used. Software packages used to capture the images, analyze the data, and generate the graphs included LSM (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.), Metamorph (Universal Imaging Corp.), Excel (Microsoft), and Prism 4 (Graphpad Software).

Real-time assembly assays

Operation of the combined confocal and microdissection microscope was performed essentially as described previously (Lukas et al., 2003, 2004a), with the addition that time-lapse series for dynamic measurements of DSB-induced protein redistribution were acquired as sets of 50 pictures averaged four times (acquisition time = 4 s) with intervals of 15 s between individual frames. Fluorescence redistribution data from regions encompassing the irradiated tracks of at least 10 cells per experiment were extracted from these time-lapses. The fluorescence values of individual frames were annotated so that I(t), denotes the intensity of the measured region at time point t, whereas I0 and I denote the values measured in the first and last frame, respectively. Additionally, three values for each measured cell were recorded: (1) background fluorescence outside the nucleus (I0), (2) basal intensity within the nucleus in the first frame (I0), and (3) the peak intensity within the DSB track in the last frame (I0). These data were combined in two different ways to normalize the fluorescence output from individual cells. In the first way, post-normalization units (Post NFU) translates the data into a kinetic profile of numbers between 0 and 1. These numbers were used for mathematical modelling in the following equation: Post NFU = (I0 - I(t))/(I0 - Io). The second way was fold increase, which is an absolute measure for the increase in fluorescence within the DSB-containing nuclear regions over time. In this case, the mathematical evaluation of the data was done as follows: Fold increase = 1 + [Post NFU × (Io - I0)/(Io - Io)].
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For kinetic modelling, post value normalized data were fitted to solutions of linear differential equations of increasing order. Thus, the two following one-parameter first and second order, respectively, kinetic models for the redistribution profiles were considered:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma(t) &= 1 - \exp(-t) \\
\gamma(t) &= 1 - \exp(-a \cdot t) - x \cdot t \cdot \exp(-a \cdot t)
\end{align*}
\]

Application of the second order model gave the best fit using the Prism 4 software. Therefore, the \(a\) parameter was used to compare the protein's assembly kinetics for various conditions specified in the figure legends. The same mathematical procedure was applied to model real-time assembly of Mdc1 (Fig. S2 A). In this case, both the first and second order model fitted well the experimental data (lukas et al., 2004a), and the second order model was used here to directly compare the kinetic assembly of Mdc1 with that of 53BP1, the latter could be satisfactorily described only by the second order model (Fig. 2 B).

Photobleaching protocols

The nuclei of M1 cells were microirradiated, and the GFP-53BP1 protein was allowed to reach its maximal steady-state concentration in the DSB-containing nuclear tracks (typically 20 min after laser exposure). Subsequently, a narrow, 2-μm-wide rectangular region was placed over the entire DSB track and/or the undamaged nucleoplasm as indicated in the figure legends. After acquisition of five prebleach images, this region was subjected to a single bleach pulse of five iterations at a laser transmission of 100%. Subsequently, 95 images were acquired in 1-s intervals with 0.5% laser transmission. Averaged fluorescence intensities of the whole or parts of these regions were extracted and normalized either to the post-bleach value (see Post NFU equation in previous section) or to the pre-bleach value as follows: Pre NFU = \(I_0 - \text{Io/}(\text{Io} - I_y)\). In the latter case, \(I_y\) stands for the intensity in the first frame after the bleach pulse, \(I_o\), for the average of the five last measurements, and \(I_0\), for the average of the five prebleach measurements. Post-normalized fluorescence (see Post NFU equation in previous section) values from the strip FRAP experiments were used for kinetic modelling. Assuming two populations of binding reactions between mobile 53BP1 and immobile chromatin, the relative abundance \(Y(t)\) of the 53BP1 populations engaging in the different binding reactions and the time spent within the bleach region \(\tau\) of protein molecules from each population were modeled for different proteins and conditions according to the following equation (Schmiedeberg et al., 2004):

\[
Y(t) = Y_1(1 - \exp(-t/\tau_1)) + Y_2(1 - \exp(-t/\tau_2))
\]

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that the timing of GFP-53BP1 assembly in the IR-induced foci recapitulates that of the endogenous protein and that Mdc1 ablation by siRNA attenuates formation of the GFP-53BP1 foci. Fig. S2 shows the kinetic modeling of GFP-Mdc1 assembly at the microlaser-generated DSB using a second order model fitted well the experimental data (lukas et al., 2004a), and the second order model was used here to directly compare the kinetic assembly of Mdc1 with that of 53BP1, the latter could be satisfactorily described only by the second order model (Fig. 2 B).
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