p53 functions as a negative regulator of osteoblastogenesis, osteoblast-dependent osteoclastogenesis, and bone remodeling
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P53 is a well known tumor suppressor. We show that p53 also regulates osteoblast differentiation, bone formation, and osteoblast-dependent osteoclast differentiation. Indeed, p53−/− mice display a high bone mass phenotype, and p53−/− osteoblasts show accelerated differentiation, secondary to an increase in expression of the osteoblast differentiation factor osterix, as a result. Reporter assays indicate that p53 represses osterix transcription by the minimal promoter in a DNA-binding-independent manner. In addition, p53−/− osteoblasts have an enhanced ability to favor osteoclast differentiation, in association with an increase in expression of macrophage-colony stimulating factor, which is under the control of osterix. Furthermore, inactivating p53 is sufficient to rescue the osteoblast differentiation defects observed in mice lacking c-Abl, a p53-interacting protein. Thus, these results identify p53 as a novel regulator of osteoblast differentiation, osteoblast-dependent osteoclastogenesis, and bone remodeling.

Introduction

The major role of p53 is to promote cell cycle arrest, programmed cell death, and cell senescence, and it is mutated in more than half of the primary human tumors (Ko and Prives, 1996; Vogelstein et al., 2000). p53 executes its function mainly by activating the transcription of target genes involved in these cellular events, in which the binding of p53 to a specific DNA sequence is necessary (Giaccia and Kastan, 1998; Oren, 1999). In some cases, the repression is independent of DNA binding (Agoff et al., 1993; Zhai and Comai, 2000; Crighton et al., 2003; Rocha et al., 2003). p53 can be brought to the promoter regions of these genes through other DNA-binding proteins, such as the CAAT-binding factor (Zhai and Comai, 2000), where p53 may interfere with the assembly of the transcription–initiation complex (Zhai and Comai, 2000) or recruit transcription repressors like histone deacetylase (Murphy et al., 1999; Rocha et al., 2003). Hence, p53 can repress gene expression using complex and diverse mechanisms, most of which are not well understood. In addition, the biological significance of p53-mediated repression remains unclear (Ho and Benchimol, 2003).

p53 has also been implicated in cell differentiation and mouse development, although initial studies of p53−/− mice did not reveal any developmental abnormalities (Donehower et al., 1992; Almog and Rotter, 1997; Choi and Donehower, 1999). It has been reported that a small portion of homozygous embryos displayed a neural tube closure defect called exencephaly and that p53−/− embryos were more susceptible to teratological reagents (Sah et al., 1995; Hall and Lane, 1997), yet, the molecular mechanisms through which p53 regulates cell differentiation and mouse development remain largely unknown.

We have previously established that the nonreceptor tyrosine kinase c-Abl plays a positive role in murine osteoblast differentiation and bone development (Li et al., 2000). Given the functional relationship between c-Abl and p53, we decided to study the role of p53 in osteoblast differentiation and bone remodeling. Osteoblast is a cell of mesenchymal origin that is responsible for bone formation and can support osteoclast differentiation.
differentiation. Over the last 10 yr, a growing body of knowledge has emerged regarding the transcriptional control of osteoblast differentiation and function. Runx2 is the earliest determinant of osteoblast differentiation, and its expression defines a bipotential cell type called an osteochondroprogenitor (Ducy et al., 1997). Downstream of Runx2, other osteoblast-specific transcription factors have been identified. One is osterix, which has mainly been studied in a developmental context until this point (Nakashima et al., 2002). The other is ATF4, which controls both osteoblast differentiation and function (Yang et al., 2004; Elefteriou et al., 2005). We report that p53 negatively regulates osterix differentiation and function by repressing the expression of osterix. The level of osterix, but not that of Runx2 or ATF4, which is elevated in osteoblasts and the bones of p53−/− mice, and elevated expression of p53 inhibited osterix expression and the Osx promoter activity in a p53-binding-independent manner. Moreover, p53 deficiency conferred the osteoblasts with an increased ability to promote osteocalcification, most likely through the up-regulation of macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF). This study adds to our current knowledge of osteoblast differentiation, osteoblast-supported osteocalcification, bone remodeling, and the developmental role of the tumor suppressor p53.

Results

p53−/− mice showed increased bone mass and increased bone formation

To determine whether p53 has a role in the skeleton, we analyzed bones from 3–4-mo-old wild-type and p53−/− mice. No evident abnormality was observed in the gross development of the skeleton. Histological analysis of hematoxylin-eosin-stained long bone sections indicated that the growth plates were not significantly altered either (Fig. 1 A). However, dual x-ray absorptiometry analysis of eight p53−/− mice and their littermate controls revealed that p53−/− mice showed a modest but consistent increase in bone mineral density (Fig. 1 B). von Kossa staining and bone histomorphometric analysis of the sectioned long bones revealed that p53−/− mice had a 29% increase in the number of trabecular bones and a 40% increase in the volume of trabecular bones (Fig. 1, C–E). A slight increase was detected in the volume of cortical bones (45.7 ± 2.8% [bone vol/tissue vol] for +/+ vs. 52.4 ± 2.7% for −/− of femurs, n = 8, P = 0.035). In vivo calcein labeling revealed that p53−/− mice consistently showed a marked increase in the mineral apposition rate and bone formation rates at the periosteal surface (Fig. 1, F–I), as well as in the trabecular bones (Fig. 1, J–L), but not at the endosteal surface (not depicted). Additionally, p53−/− mice also showed a marked increase in the osteoblast surface and the number of osteoblasts per bone surface (Fig. 1, M and N). Together, these results established that p53 exerts a negative influence on osteoblast differentiation and/or function.

p53−/− osteoblasts showed increased proliferation rates and accelerated differentiation

In an effort to decipher the cellular mechanisms leading to the increase in bone mass observed in p53−/− mice, we first wanted to determine whether the bone marrow contains the same number of osteoprogenitor cells as in control mice. Total bone marrow cells were counted and directly plated in a 35-mm plate. The alkaline phosphatase (ALP) colony-forming units and total colony-forming units were comparable between p53−/− and control mice (Fig. 2 A), suggesting that p53 deficiency did not significantly alter the differentiation potential of mesenchymal cells into osteoblasts.

To attest that p53 negatively regulates osteoblast differentiation, we isolated calvarial primary osteoblasts from wild-type and p53−/− mice. Differentiation assays showed that p53−/− osteoblasts expressed more ALP (Fig. 2 B), with the p53 heterozygous culture displaying an intermediate phenotype.
Quantitative assays for ALP (enzyme activities normalized to total protein levels or the number of osteoblasts) confirmed the results obtained from histological staining (Fig. 2, C and D). During the second to third week in culture, osteoblasts start to express osteocalcin (Bglap1), an osteoblast-specific gene that is a marker of fully differentiated osteoblasts and is involved in osteogenesis. Wild-type osteoblasts exhibited a gradual increase in osteocalcin levels over time, whereas p53−/− osteoblasts expressed maximal osteocalcin from day 0 of culture, suggesting that p53 negatively regulates osteocalcin expression (Fig. 2 E). Similarly, increased nodules formation or bone mineralization was observed in p53 null osteoblast cultures (Fig. 2 F), and reconstitution of p53 by retroviral infection blocked the accelerated differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts and retroviral expression of p53 in control osteoblasts inhibited their differentiation (Fig. 2, G and H). Enhanced osteoblast differentiation was also observed in bone marrow stromal cultures of p53−/− mice (unpublished data). These results indicate that the biological function of p53 in cells of the osteoblast lineage is to inhibit or slow down their differentiation.

To test whether p53 affects proliferation of osteoblasts, we compared the growth rates of p53−/− and control osteoblasts and found that in the absence of p53 osteoblasts doubled at a higher rate (Fig. 2 I). This may explain why p53−/− mice exhibit an increased number of osteoblasts in vivo. Western blot analysis revealed that expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 was abolished in p53−/− cells (Fig. 2, J and K). This may explain why p53 osteoblasts have a shorter cell cycle. Surprisingly, we found that in p53−/− cells the levels of p16ink4a were up-regulated, whereas cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and cyclin D1 were down-regulated (Fig. 2, J and K). This may reflect a compensation mechanism for the loss of p53. To confirm that enhanced differentiation is not caused by accelerated proliferation, we expressed p21 in p53−/− osteoblasts with a retrovirus and found that cell proliferation rates were down to those of control osteoblasts (not depicted), yet these osteoblasts still exhibited enhanced differentiation (Fig. 2 L), suggesting that enhanced differentiation caused by p53 deficiency is independent of cell proliferation.

**Up-regulation of osterix in p53−/− osteoblasts and mice**

To determine the molecular basis of p53 action in osteoblast, we next asked whether it could influence expression or function of the known osteoblast-specific transcription factors such as Runx2, Osterix, and ATF4. Total RNA was isolated from p53−/− and control osteoblasts at different stages of differentiation and used for RT-PCR assays. No dramatic up-regulation of Runx2 was observed during differentiation of mutant or control cells. Nor was there a significant difference in the basal levels of Runx2 or ATF4 between p53−/− and control osteoblasts (Fig. 3, A and C; and not depicted). On the other hand, p53−/− osteoblasts showed a significant elevation of osterix at the basal level (Fig. 3 A). In both p53−/− and control osteoblasts,
expression of osterix peaked rapidly at day 2 and subsided to basal levels later on. Still, p53−/− osteoblasts displayed a much higher peak value than control cells. This up-regulation of osterix could be a cell response to bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) that are secreted by osteoblasts. The results suggest that the effect of p53 on osteoblast differentiation is downstream of Runx2 and upstream of osterix. Note that p53 levels started to increase at day 4 during differentiation, and the significance of this regulation warrants further investigation (Fig. 3 B). Moreover, we found that osterix, but not other transcription factors, was up-regulated in calvarial bones of p53−/− mice. Total RNA was made from calvaria of p53−/− and control mice and used for RT-PCR for osterix, Runx2, and Dlx5 (D), or real-time PCR for osterix (E) to assess the mRNA levels of osterix. The level of osterix mRNA in control calvaria was set at 1. The internal control for real-time PCR was GADPH mRNA. (F) In situ staining of osterix mRNA in control calvaria was set at 1. The internal control for osterix (E) to assess the mRNA levels of osterix. The level of osterix could be a cell response to bone morphogenetic proteins that are secreted by osteoblasts. The results confirm that p53 is a negative regulator of osterix. Moreover, p53S15A, a mutation of a phosphorylation site important for transactivation of p53 target genes in response to DNA damage, could repress the Osx promoter. On the other hand, p53 mutants such as p53Δ62-91 (the proline-rich domain deleted), p53M246I (point mutation in the DNA-binding domain), and p53L22Q/W23S (two point mutations that abolish p53 transactivation activity), which were reported to have dramatically reduced the ability to repress other p53 target genes (Murphy et al., 1996; Venot et al., 1998; Roth et al., 2000), failed to repress the Osx promoter (Fig. 4 D). These results suggest that p53 might repress Osx promoter activity with a common mechanism.

The following observations suggest that p53 represses the Osx promoter independent of DNA binding. First, no canonical p53-binding site was present in the 6-kb promoter sequence. Second, serial deletion experiments indicated that all fragments (6, 4, 2, 1.0, 0.5, 0.3, and 0.13 kb upstream of the start site) could still be repressed by p53 (Fig. 4 E). This 0.13-kb fragment contains mainly the TATA box–like sequence and is a minimal sequence that retains some activity in a reporter assay. Third, chromatin immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that p53 was not directly associated with the 2-kb sequence of the osterix promoter even though its binding was detectable at the p21 promoter (unpublished data). These results suggest that p53 repressed osterix transcription independent of its binding to the upstream activation sequence.

We also found that p300, among several transcription factors and coactivators, could activate the Osx promoter, and that this activation was repressed by p53 as well (Fig. 4 F). Because p300 is expressed in the cells at a limiting concentration, it is possible that p53 subjugates this coactivator to repress gene transcription, as proposed previously (Vo and Goodman, 2001; Soussi and Lozano, 2005). To date, p53 has been reported to inhibit the transcription of many genes like Osx. Yet, the molecular mechanisms behind the repression are not well understood. This prevents us from establishing the exact role of p53 in the repression of Osx transcription.
mediated the enhanced differentiation of osteoblasts. We then attempted to determine whether elevation in osterix could lead to enhanced differentiation. Elevated osterix mediated the enhanced differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts. Small interfering RNA (siRNA)–mediated knocking down of osterix was performed in control and p53−/− osteoblasts and osteoblast differentiation markers were analyzed (Fig. 5, F–J). Different combinations of siRNA species reduced osterix to different levels (Fig. 5, G and I), leading to decreased expression of ALP and osteocalcin (Fig. 5, F–H and J). The results suggested that osterix was necessary for osteoblast differentiation and that the effect of p53 on osteoblast differentiation might be at least partially mediated by osterix.

**p53** osteoclasts showed normal differentiation and resorption in culture

Increased bone mass could be attributed to reduced bone resorption, in addition to increased bone formation. Further analysis revealed an unanticipated result. p53−/− mice showed a onefold increase in the bone resorption surface and the number of osteoclasts (Fig. 6, A and B). p53−/− mice also showed an increase in the excretion of urine deoxypyridinoline cross-links (Fig. 6 C). These data indicate that p53−/− mice have increased bone resorption in addition to increased bone formation. This may explain why p53−/− mice only showed modest osteosclerotic phenotypes despite bone formation rates being nearly double that of control mice.

To determine whether deficiency of p53 has a cell-autonomous effect on osteoclasts, we analyzed osteoclastogenesis and osteoclast resorption of p53−/− and control bone marrow monocytes (BMMs) in the presence of M-CSF and receptor activator of NFκB ligand (RANKL), which are necessary and sufficient for osteoclast proliferation and differentiation. No significant difference was observed in the number of osteoclasts formed from p53−/− and control BMMs (Fig. 6, D and E) nor did we observe a significant difference in the bone resorption activities of the p53−/− and control osteoclast cultures based on pit formation on dentine slices (Fig. 6, F and G). These results suggest that p53 has no cell-autonomous effect on the differentiation and the function of osteoclasts.

**p53** osteoblasts had increased osteoclastogenic capabilities secondary to an increase in M-CSF

The aforementioned findings raised the possibility that increased activities of p53−/− osteoblasts led to increased osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption in vivo. It has been well established that osteoblasts control osteoclast differentiation by synthesizing M-CSF, RANKL, and osteoprotegerin (OPG, a RANKL decoy receptor; Suda et al., 1999; Boyle et al., 2003). To prove this, we cocultured primary calvarial osteoblasts (p53−/− or control) in ALP activities and osteocalcin was also seen (Fig. 5, A, C, and E). When retrovirus-infected wild-type osteoblasts expressed osterix to the level of p53−/− osteoblasts, they expressed similar levels of ALP and osteocalcin as p53−/− cells (Fig. 5, C and E). These results indicate that elevation of osterix could promote osteoblast differentiation and the enhanced differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts might be at least partially mediated by the increased expression of osterix. Second, we attempted to determine whether increased osterix expression was required for the enhanced differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts. Small interfering RNA (siRNA)–mediated knocking down of osterix was performed in control and p53−/− osteoblasts and osteoblast differentiation markers were analyzed (Fig. 5, F–J). Different combinations of siRNA species reduced osterix to different levels (Fig. 5, G and I), leading to decreased expression of ALP and osteocalcin (Fig. 5, F–H and J). The results suggested that osterix was necessary for osteoblast differentiation and that the effect of p53 on osteoblast differentiation might be at least partially mediated by osterix.

**Elevated osterix mediated the enhanced differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts**

We then attempted to determine whether elevation in osterix mediated the enhanced differentiation of p53−/− osteoblasts. We first tested whether osterix overexpression could lead to enhanced differentiation. p53−/− and control osteoblasts were infected with retroviruses expressing osterix, selected against puromycin for 3 d, and then used for ALP or osteocalcin assays (Fig. 5, A–E). We observed a retroviral dose-dependent increase in osterix levels (Fig. 5, B and D), which was likely caused by multiple infections in a single cell. An up-regulation
Figure 5. Elevated expression of osterix was necessary and sufficient for accelerated differentiation of p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts. (A) Ectopic expression of osterix led to increased expression of the osteoblast marker ALP. Wild-type primary osteoblasts were infected with increasing amounts of retrovirus expressing osterix, selected for 3 d, and stained for ALP. (B) Western blot analysis showed the levels of osterix in cells infected with the retrovirus (top). RT-PCR assays showed the increase of osteoblast marker osteocalcin in response to ectopic expression of osterix (bottom). (C) ALP activities normalized to the level of proteins. Control cells infected with vector were set at 1. (D) Quantitation data for the protein levels of osterix in B. Control cells infected with vector were set at 1. (E) Quantitation data for the mRNA levels of osteocalcin in B. Control cells infected with vector were set at 1. (F) Knocking down of osterix (a1 to a5) led to a reduction in ALP expression in p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts. p53\(^{-/-}\) and control osteoblasts were transfected with siRNA against osterix and control oligos for 2 d and the plates were stained for ALP. Different combinations of four commercially designed siRNA species were used to obtain different degrees of knocking down. a1, 1–2 siRNA duplexes; a2, 3–4 duplexes; a3, 1–4 duplexes; a4, 1–4 duplexes [2×]; a5, 1–4 duplexes (4×). (G) Western blot analysis showed the levels of osterix in cells transfected with siRNAs (top). RT-PCR assays showed the decrease of osteoblast marker osteocalcin in response to knocking down of osterix (bottom). (H) ALP activities normalized to the level of proteins. Control cells transfected with control siRNAs was set at 1. (I) Quantitation data for the protein levels of osterix in H. Control cells transfected with control siRNAs were set at 1. (J) Quantitation data for the mRNA levels of osteocalcin in H. Control cells transfected with control siRNAs were set at 1. Error bars represent ± SD.

with BMMs (p53\(^{-/-}\) or control) in the presence of 10\(^{-8}\) M dihydroxyvitamin D3, and stained for tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP)–positive cells (Fig. 6, H and I). Primary osteoblasts were plated at a high density so that the plates would become confluent overnight. This was to eliminate any possible effects of growth disparity of osteoblasts. These TRAP-positive osteoclasts were much smaller, compared with those formed in the presence of M-CSF and RANKL (Fig. 6 D), with a small multinucleated portion, similar to what has been observed in previous studies (Geoffroy et al., 2002). Nevertheless, p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts exhibited a marked increase in the number of TRAP-positive osteoclasts regardless of the genotype of BMMs, compared with p53\(^{+/+}\) osteoblasts. When only cells with ≥3 nuclei were counted, a 2.5-fold increase was observed for monocytes (both p53\(^{+/+}\) and p53\(^{-/-}\)) cultured on p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts, compared with those cultured on control osteoblasts (Fig. 6 J). p53\(^{-/-}\) and p53\(^{+/+}\) monocytes behaved similarly when cultured on p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts or wild-type osteoblasts, confirming that p53 did not have a cell-autonomous effect on osteoclastogenesis (Fig. 6, D and E). These results indicated that osteoblasts deficient for p53 had increased potentials in promoting osteoclastogenesis and that the increased osteoclast number and bone resorption observed in p53\(^{-/-}\) mice might be attributable to the enhanced activities of p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts. In accordance with the enhanced osteoclastogenesis, RT-PCR analysis revealed a significant increase in the level of M-CSF in p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts, but not of RANKL or OPG (Fig. 6 K and not depicted). M-CSF controls both the proliferation and the differentiation of osteoclasts (Tanaka et al., 1993; Sarma and Flanagan, 1996). Moreover, ectopic expression of osterix in primary cells was found to increase the level of M-CSF in a dosage-dependent manner (Fig. 6 L), suggesting that the enhanced osteoclastogenesis capacity of p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts may be attributable to the elevated expression of osterix.

**p53 acted downstream of c-Abl in osteoblast differentiation**

Mice deficient for c-Abl show signs of osteoporosis, and the mutant osteoblasts show defects in differentiation and survival against oxidative stress (Li et al., 2000, 2004). Because p53 is a c-Abl–interacting protein and genetically interacts with c-Abl during cell proliferation and apoptosis (Wang et al., 2000; Deng et al., 2004), we studied their relationship in bone development. Unfortunately, compound homozygous mice for c-Abl and p53 were very difficult to obtain, probably owing to the embryonic and/or postnatal lethality of these mice. Nevertheless, we isolated calvarial osteoblasts from four 20-d embryos of double homozygous mice and control littermates, and compared their differentiation potential. The compound knockout cells behaved similarly to p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts in ALP expression and in mineral deposition (Fig. 7, A–C). Real-time PCR assays indicated that osterix expression was down-regulated in c-Abl–deficient cells but up-regulated in the compound double knockout mice, resembling p53\(^{-/-}\) osteoblasts (Fig. 7 D). These data suggest that p53 functions downstream of c-Abl in the process of osteoblast differentiation.
Discussion

We provide genetic evidence that p53 plays a negative role in postnatal bone development, with a cell-autonomous effect on osteoblastogenesis. The mice deficient for p53 displayed increased bone formation and osteoclast phenotypes; the osteoblasts deficient for p53 showed enhanced proliferation and accelerated differentiation; and p53 deficiency could overcome the differentiation defects of c-Abl"-/- osteoblasts. Moreover, p53 deficiency confers the osteoblasts a greater osteoclastogenic capacity, without directly affecting osteoclast differentiation or resorption. Accordingly, increased bone resorption was also observed in p53"-/- mice. Thus, the osteoclastogenic phenotype is a net result of the direct effect of p53 on osteoblast action combined with an osteoblast-mediated effect on osteoclasts. This osteoblast-supported osteoblastogenesis might explain why most of the osteosclerosis models associated with enhanced osteoblast function do not exhibit a huge increase in bone mass (Ducy et al., 1996, 2000; Manolagas and Jilka, 1995; Sabatakos et al., 2000).

The conclusion that p53 plays a negative role in osteoblastogenesis is supported by the findings that p53 might directly regulate the expression of osteocalcin (Schwartz et al., 1999; Chandar et al., 2000). However, our results are not in agreement with a recent study stating that p53 did not affect osteoblast differentiation and mouse bone formation, although they did show that p53 deficiency rescued the bone loss induced by mechanical unloading (Sakai et al., 2002). The discrepancy could be caused by the genetic background (C57BL/6 in our studies, but not mentioned by Sakai et al., 2002) or the age of the mice used (4 mo in our studies vs. 2 mo in the Sakai study), and warrants further investigation. Nevertheless, our results obtained from studies on whole mice, the bones, the cells involved, and the participating molecules convincingly support a negative role for p53 in osteosclerosis.

Figure 6. Effects of p53 deficiency on osteoclast differentiation and resorption. (A) p53"/- mice showed increased osteoclast surface (osteoclast surface/bone surface), n = 8, P = 0.013. (B) p53"/- mice show an increase in the number of osteoclasts per bone surface. n = 8, P = 0.002. (C) Urinary deoxypyridinoline (DPD) cross-links excretion was significantly increased in p53-deficient mice compared with control mice. (D) Normal osteoclast differentiation from BMBS in p53"/- mice in the presence of M-CSF and RANKL. (E) Quantitation data from live p53"/- and control littermate mice. (F) Normal bone resorption rates of p53"/- osteoclasts. Pit formation assays of p53"/- and control osteoblasts (two representative views of different magnifications; left, stained with Toluidine blue; right, stained with Gill’s Hematoxylin Solution). (G) Quantitation of the resorption area by p53"/- and control osteoblasts [n = 4]. (H) p53"/- osteoblasts showed a much greater stimulatory effect on osteoclastogenesis. p53"/- and control osteoblasts were first plated, and then the BMBS were plated. They were cocultured for 6 d in the presence of 10^-8 M of vitamin D3 and stained for TRAP-positive osteoclasts. (I) Quantitation data from H [n = 4]. (J) Number of TRAP-positive cells per well with ≥3 nuclei. *, P < 0.05. comparison between osteoclastogenesis (+/+ or -/-) or osteoblasts. (K) Up-regulation of M-CSF in p53"/- osteoblasts. RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of M-CSF in p53"/- and control osteoblasts. The levels of M-CSF mRNA for control cells were set at 1. (L) Ectopic expression of osteonectin increased the expression of M-CSF. RT-PCR was performed for the sample used in Fig. 5 A. Error bars represent ± SD.

Figure 7. Osteoblasts deficient for both p53 and c-Abl showed advanced differentiation. Compound knockout and control osteoblasts were isolated from two litters, plated, and stained for ALP (A), quantitative ALP (B), von Kossa (C), and for assessment of osteix mRNA levels (D). The level of osteix of control osteoblasts at day 0 was set at 1. Error bars represent ± SD. Asterisks mark samples significantly different from control with P < 0.05.
Our findings indicate that p53 plays a role in osteoblast differentiation without directly affecting the differentiation of osteoclasts in a cell-autonomous manner. The significance of the link between p53 and bone development is underscored by the recent findings that p53 cooperates with TGF–BMP pathways to positively regulate early development of Xenopus laevis (Cordenonsi et al., 2003; Takebayashi-Suzuki et al., 2003), which is in contrast to the negative role for p53 in bone homeostasis. One explanation for the opposite roles of p53 in relationship to the TGF–BMP pathways could be the timing of these two events. Mesoderm differentiation occurs at an early stage when p53 levels are high, whereas osteoblast differentiation occurs at a much later stage when p53 levels start to decline (Almog and Rotter, 1997). The inhibition of osterix by p53 may provide a mechanism to block bone development in early embryos.

Several lines of experiments suggest that p53 inhibits osteoblast differentiation as a result of repressing the expression of the lineage-specific transcription factor osterix. First, the in vivo and in vitro data indicate that osterix, but not other tested transcription factors, was elevated in the absence of p53. Second, when p53 was up-regulated, osterix expression was repressed and osteoblast differentiation was impeded. Third, BMP2–when p53 was up-regulated, osterix expression was repressed and osteoblast differentiation was impeded. Third, BMP2–when p53 was up-regulated, osterix expression was repressed and osteoblast differentiation was impeded. Third, BMP2-induced osterix expression was enhanced in the absence of p53. Fourth, p53−/− osteoblast differentiation could not be effectively repressed by c-Abl deficiency, consistent with sustained expression of osterix under this condition. Moreover, p53 was found to inhibit the promoter activity of osterix, whereas some mutant forms of p53 failed to do so. Finally, we found that the osterix levels are an important determinant in osteoblast differentiation, as the overexpression of osterix led to enhanced differentiation and the knocking down of osterix led to reduced differentiation in p53-deficient osteoblasts. Our findings might provide the first example in which p53-mediated gene repression has a physiological impact on postnatal development of the mouse. Our results suggest that p53 might do so by inhibiting osterix promoter activity by the minimal promoter independent of its binding to the upstream activation sequence. There is an increasing number of genes that are controlled by the core promoter sequence, including the TATA box (minimal promoter), and it is also becoming evident that general transcription factors, such as the thyroxine-binding protein (TBP) and TATA-binding protein-associated factors, which are associated to the core promoter sequences, can selectively regulate the transcription of certain genes (Green, 2000; Hochheimer and Tjian, 2003). Moreover, p53 also forms a complex with p300/cyclic AMP response element–binding protein, which interacts with the basal transcription machinery (Vo and Goodman, 2001). Our results suggest that p53 might repress Oxs expression by repressing p300. Still, exactly how p53 regulates transcription of Oxs warrants further investigation.

Our findings indicate that p53 has a cell-autonomous role in osteoblast differentiation and proliferation. It is also reported that Li-Fraumeni syndrome patients develop osteosarcoma as a component tumor and that p53 is mutated in 24 to 42% of osteosarcoma (Toguchida et al., 1992; Varley, 2003). Osteosarcoma is usually developed from osteoblasts and is related to periods in life with rapid bone growth. These observations suggest that p53 plays an important function in bone growth. More interestingly, osterix has been implicated in osteosarcoma development, as osterix is down-regulated in both human and mouse osteosarcoma cell lines and transfection of osterix into osteosarcoma cells inhibits their growth (Cao et al., 2005). It will be interesting to determine whether down-regulation of osterix is related to the p53 status in osteosarcoma cells. In many tumor cell lines, p53 is mutated but its expression is greatly up-regulated. Depending on the nature of the mutations, up-regulated p53 may repress osterix expression. For example, a hot-spot mutation, R273H, can still repress osterix promoter activity.

Osterix is an Sp1-like transcription factor that has been studied in the early development of mouse. It is essential for osteoblast maturation. Osterix has three Zinc-finger domains and is able to bind to consensus Sp1-binding sites (Nakashima et al., 2002). We show that p53 deficiency results in the elevation of osterix and enhanced osteoblastogenesis. Cell culture studies confirmed that osterix is sufficient and necessary for osteoblast differentiation. Our results also suggest that osterix regulates the expression of M-CSF to control osteoclastogenesis. M-CSF actually contains in its promoter an Sp1-binding site, which mediates the effects of estrogen on M-CSF expression and osteoclastogenesis (Srivastava et al., 1999). It is likely that osterix directly controls M-CSF expression. Recent studies revealed that transcription factors involved in osteoblast differentiation also regulate osteoclast differentiation through controlling RANKL and OPG expression. In differentiated osteoblasts, Wnt pathway and TCF1/4 were found to regulate the expression of OPG and osteoclast differentiation (Glass et al., 2005). ATF4, a cyclic AMP response element–related transcription factor that is essential for osteoblast differentiation and function, also regulates the expression of RANKL and, subsequently, osteocalcification and osterix down-regulation (Glass et al., 2005).

In summary, p53-deficient mice show an osteosclerotic phenotype, which is a net result of increased bone formation and increased bone resorption. p53-deficient osteoblasts exhibit accelerated proliferation, enhanced differentiation, and increased osteoclastogenic activities. Enhanced differentiation can be mediated by an elevation of osterix expression, and improved osteoclastogenesis can be mediated by increased expression of M-CSF, which is also induced by elevated osterix. These findings suggest that p53 might control bone remodeling by modulating expression of osteoblast-specific transcription factor osterix.

Materials and methods

Mice and cell cultures

Both p53−/− (The Jackson Laboratory) and c-Abl−/− mice were crossed to C57BL/6 six times. Primary osteoblasts were isolated from newborn pups or 20-d-old embryos, as previously described (Li et al., 2000). The cells were amplified and frozen for future use. Bone marrow stromal cultures were extracted from 3–4-mo-old mice. Both calvarial osteoblasts and stromal cells were cultured in α-MEM supplemented with 15% FCS (HyClone). For osteoblast differentiation, cells were cultured in differentiation medium (α-MEM medium containing 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate) and were re-fed every 3 d. MEFs were isolated following a previously described standard protocol (Li et al., 2004).
Osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption assays
For osteoclast differentiation, the bone marrow of 3–4-mo-old mice was flushed and the monocyte fraction was isolated by centrifugation on a Ficoll plus lymphocyte separation medium gradient (ICN Biomedicals), washed, seeded at 7.5 × 10^5 cells/well of 96-well plates, and cultured for 7 d in differentiation medium (α-MEM containing 10% FCS [InVitrogen], 30 ng/ml M-CSF, 50 ng/ml RANKL [Sigma-Aldrich]), and 50 ng/ml soluble recombinant RANKL (Sigma-Aldrich). TRAP staining was performed using an acid phosphatase kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Osteoclast resorption function was assessed by a pit formation assay on dentine slices (OsteoSite). Monocytes were cultured for 2–3 d in the presence of 30 ng/ml M-CSF and 50 ng/ml of soluble recombinant RANKL, counted, and plated onto dentine slices that were pre-incubated with 0.5% NaOCl 2 h. After 7 d, the dentine slices were washed and stained with 0.5 M ammonium hydroxide, stained with either Gill’s Hematoxylin or Toluidine blue for 2 min, washed with water, and photographed under a light microscope (Eclipse TE 200; Nikon). The resorbed areas were measured using a densitometry system and were normalized to the number of multinucleated cells appearing after 6–10 d and were counted.

Western blot analysis
Cells were lysed in TEN buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, and 0.1% Triton X-100) supplemented with 1 mM NaF, Na3VO4, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 μg/ml of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin. Protein concentration was determined using an assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Anti-osterix was generated by injecting rabbits with a synthesized peptide (Biogenes GMBH). Anti-p53 and -p19 antibodies were purchased from Oncogene Research Products, anti-p21 antibodies were purchased from BD Transduction Laboratories, and anti-β-actin antibodies were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Luciferase assay
The osterix promoter (fragments ranging from 0.13 to 6.0 kb from the start of transcription) was cloned into pGL3 (luciferase basic vector; Promega). Increasing amounts of p53 expression constructs, the promoter plasmid (pGL3-OsxLuc), and renilla plasmid (internal control) were cotransfected into C2C12 cells. Cells were harvested 24 h later, washed with PBS, and lysed with reporter lysis buffer (Promega). TBP, p300, and p53 are expressed under the control of cytomegalovirus promoter (in pcDNA3, pXJ, and pCMV, respectively). p53 mutants (gifts from K. Löhr and M. Dobbelstein, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany) were described previously (Roth et al., 2000). The luciferase activities were measured following the manufacturer’s procedures and were normalized against the renilla activity. All transient expressions in this assay were performed in triplicate.

Knocking down and ectopic expression of osterix
For siRNA knocking down experiments, the following siRNA oligomers (Dharmacon) were used: siGENOME on-target duplex 1, sense: GCC-GAACCUCUUACAUU; siGENOME on-target duplex 2, sense: GGCCACGAGCUCUGACACAUU; siGENOME on-target duplex 3, sense: CAACACCCAUUCUCUGUGU; siGENOME on-target duplex 4, sense: GGGAGCAUCUCCACCGCU. The control siRNA oligomer used was a nontargeting negative siRNA control pool that was transiently transfected into primary osteoblasts following the manufacturer’s procedures (Dharmacon). After 3 d, cells were harvested for ALP, osteocalcin, and Western blot analysis. To express osterix in osteoblasts, a retroviral vector was constructed with osterix ORF cloned into the pMSCVpuro vector (BioMed Diagnostics). The viruses were produced by transfecting plate cells following a standard protocol (Li et al., 2004). Osteos rtroviral supernatant (different dilutions) was then used to infect primary cells, followed by 3-d selection against 5 μg/ml of puromycin before being harvested for Western blot, ALP, and RT-PCR assays. Based on the level of GFP expressed in the virus, the amount of retroviral supernatant that can infect ≈80% of the cells was set at 100%. To express osterix at different levels, different dilutions (50, 100, 150, and 200%) of retroviral supernatant were used.

RNA isolation, RT-PCR assay, real-time PCR, and Northern blot
Total mRNA was isolated from osteosteals or MEF cells growing on 60- or 100-mm dishes using TRIzol reagent (GIBCO BRL) and used for Northern blot analysis as described (Li et al., 2004). Calvaria from newborn pups or 20-d-old embryos of p53−/− and wild-type littermates were isolated and homogenized in TRIzol. The extracts were frozen at −80°C for 1 d and stored at −20°C. Total RNA was subjected to DNase treatment [Ambion] and quantitated. 5 μg of total mRNA was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using AMV (Roche) reverse transcriptase. The total reaction was used in the PCR to assay for the presence of osterix, Runx2, or actin with the following primers: osterix (197 bp): forward, 5′-TGGAGGAAGAAGGCGCATCAC-3′; reverse, 5′-ACTTCATTCCCAGCCTGTCG-3′; Runx2 (113 bp): forward, 5′-TGCGACACGCTATTAACAT-3′; reverse, 5′-TCGGCCGCCTAAGATCTCAAAGA-3′; β-Actin (104 bp): forward, 5′-AGATGGGGATCCAGAAGCAG-3′; reverse, 5′-GCGCAAAAGTTAGGTTTTGTCA-3′. PCR was performed for 30 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 30 s), annealing (57°C for 30 s), extension (72°C for 1 min), and one cycle of final extension (72°C for 10 min), which was just enough to detect the PCR products of osterix and Runx2.

The detection and quantification of target mRNA was performed with semiquantitative RT-PCR. The amplification for each mRNA was performed in the linear range for RT-PCR by optimizing the template concentration and limiting the amplification cycles to below 30 to ensure exponential amplification.

In most cases, the results were further confirmed by real-time PCR, which always gave rise to a larger difference between p53−/− and control cells than RT-PCR. cDNAs obtained from osteosteal cells and calvaria of p53−/− and wild-type mice were used in the presence of specifically designed osterix primers in a 20-μl reaction mix with TaqMan MGB probe (FAM dye-labeled). The osterix expression levels were normalized by GADPH as an internal control in real-time PCR analysis according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems).

Quantitation of RT-PCR and Western blot results
RT-PCR (negative images of gels) or Western blot results were scanned with a Molecular Dynamics scanning densitometer. The relative levels of mRNA or protein of interest were then determined by measuring the intensity of the corresponding bands. All values were averages of cell cultures isolated from at least three p53−/− mice and their control littermates and were normalized to the constitutive expression of the housekeeping genes.

In vitro osteoblast differentiation assay
The assays were performed as previously described (Li et al., 2000). Primary osteoblasts were plated at a high density so that the plates would become confluent overnight. This was to eliminate any possible effects of disparity in osteoblast growth rates. The relative ALP activity is defined as millimoles of p-nitrophenol phosphate hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of total protein (units).

In situ hybridization
In situ hybridization was carried out using 15 ng/gel of calcein subcutaneously (Sigma-Aldrich) twice in an interval of 9 d before death. The right femur of each animal was dissected free of soft tissue and used for measurement of femoral bone density by a dual energy x-ray absorptiometer. The right proximal tibia and sternal slice were fixed in 70% ethanol solution for 2 d and immersed in Villanueva Osteochrome Bone Stain (Polysciences, Inc.) for 5 d. The specimens were dehydrated by sequential changes of ascending concentrations of ethanol (70, 95, and 100%) and xylene and embedded in methyl methacrylate (Eastman Organic Chemicals). Frontal sections of the proximal tibia were cut at 5 μm using a microtome (model RM2155; Leica) and cross sections of the tibial shaft proximal to the tibiofibular junction were cut at 40 μm using a diamond wire Histo-Saw machine (Delaware Diamond Knives, Inc.). All sections were coveredlipped with Eukit (Calibrated Instruments, Inc.) for static and dynamic histomorphometric analysis.

Bone densitometry
Right femoral bone mineral content (BMCM) and bone mineral density (BMD) were determined using a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometer (model QDR-1000W; Hologic). The machine was adapted for an ultra-high
resolution mode with line spacing of 0.0254 cm, resolution of 0.0127 cm, and a collimator of 0.9 cm diam. The bones were placed in a Petri dish. To simulate soft tissue density surrounding the bones, tap water was poured around the bones to achieve a depth of 1 cm. Results are given for BMC and for area; area BMD is calculated as BMC/area. In addition to results for total femur, the distal and mid-region of the femur were analyzed as subregions. Coefficients of variation for these measurements in our laboratory are 0.8, 1.0, and 0.6%, respectively.

Histomorphometric analysis
Histomorphometric parameters of cancellous and cortical bones in the proximal tibia and tibial shaft were measured with a digitizing morphometry system, which consists of an epifluorescent microscope (model BHF-2; Olympus), a color video camera, and a digitizing pad (Numonics 2206) coupled to an computer (IBM) and a morphometry program OsteoMetics (OsteoMetics, Inc.). Measured parameters in cortical bone included total tissue area, peristomial perimeter, marrow area, endosteal perimeter, periosteal and endosteal single- and double-labeled perimeters, interlabeled widths, and intracortical resorption area. They were then used to calculate the percentage of cortical bone area (total tissue area – marrow area – intracortical resorption area) / total tissue area × 100%, the percentage of intracortical porosis [(intracortical resorption area + cortical area) / cortical area] × 100%, and the periosteal and endosteal bone formation rate (BFR; [double labeled perimeters + single labeled perimeters × 2] × [interlabeled widths × interval time × periosteal perimeters]) according to the standard nomenclature.

Measured parameters of cancellous bone included total tissue area, trabecular bone area and perimeter, single- and double-labeled perimeters, and interlabeled widths. They were then used to calculate the percentage of cancellous bone volume (trabecular bone area / total tissue area × 100%) and cancellous BFR [(double labeled perimeters + single labeled perimeters × 2] × [interlabeled widths × interval time × trabecular perimeters]). The region of bone measured in all groups is 1–4 mm from the growth plate in the proximal tibia. All measurements and calculations were referenced to the standard nomenclature.

Image acquisition
Staining of cell culture plates for ALP or mineralization shown in Figs. 2, and 7 was photographed using a digital camera (model Coolpix 995; Nikon). Micrographs shown in Fig. 6 were visualized on a microscope (Eclipse TE2000; Nikon) with Plan Fluor objectives (4×, 0.13 NA; 10×, 0.25 NA; 20×, 0.40 NA; 40×, 0.55 NA) or a dissecting microscope (model SMZ645; Nikon), which were connected to the previously mentioned digital camera. Micrographs shown in Figs. 1 and 3 were visualized and captured as described in the previous section. The images were acquired and processed using Photoshop 6.01 (Adobe). For Western blots, Northern blots, and DNA gels, the images were acquired from films or Kodak papers with a scanner (Canoscan N1240U; Canon) and processed using Photoshop 6.01.

Statistical analysis
Each experiment was repeated with three or more mutant and control mice. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired t test (STATISTICA software; StatSoft, Inc.). P values were provided for all in vivo results. Significant association was defined when P < 0.05 compared with control.
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