Vascular endothelial cadherin controls VEGFR-2 internalization and signaling from intracellular compartments
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Receptor endocytosis is a fundamental step in controlling the magnitude, duration, and nature of cell signaling events. Confluent endothelial cells are contact inhibited in their growth and respond poorly to the proliferative signals of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). In a previous study, we found that the association of vascular endothelial cadherin (VEC) with VEGF receptor (VEGFR) type 2 contributes to density-dependent growth inhibition (Lampugnani, G.M., A. Zanetti, M. Corada, T. Takahashi, G. Balconi, F. Breuviario, F. Orsenigo, A. Cattelino, R. Kemler, T.O. Daniel, and E. Dejana. 2003. J. Cell Biol. 161:793–804). In the present study, we describe the mechanism through which VEC reduces VEGFR-2 signaling. We found that VEGF induces the clathrin-dependent internalization of VEGFR-2. When VEC is absent or not engaged at junctions, VEGFR-2 is internalized more rapidly and remains in endosomal compartments for a longer time. Internalization does not terminate its signaling; instead, the internalized receptor is phosphorylated, codistributes with active phospholipase C-γ, and activates p44/42 mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphorylation and cell proliferation. Inhibition of VEGFR-2 internalization reestablishes the contact inhibition of cell growth, whereas silencing the junction-associated density-enhanced phosphatase-1/CD148 phosphatase restores VEGF-2 internalization and signaling. Thus, VEC limits cell proliferation by retaining VEGFR-2 at the membrane and preventing its internalization into signaling compartments.

Introduction

Endothelial cells are contact inhibited in their growth and lose the capacity to respond to growth factors when they reach confluence. This phenomenon is mediated by different concurrent mechanisms. Molecules at cell to cell junctions, such as cadherins, may transfer signals that reduce the capacity of the cells to respond to proliferative stimuli (Dejana, 2004; Gumbiner, 2005). Cadherins are located at intercellular adherens junctions and are linked to different intracellular partners that include β-catenin, plakoglobin, p120, Src (Gumbiner, 2005), csk (Baumeister et al., 2005), and density-enhanced phosphatase-1 (DEP-1)/CD148. β-catenin, plakoglobin, and p120 can also translocate to the nucleus and modulate cell transcription. In tumor cells, the negative effect of epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin) on cell growth is a result of its capacity to bind β-catenin and inhibit its translocation to the nucleus. This effect is detected in tumor cell lines in which cytosolic β-catenin ubiquitination and destruction is impaired (St Croix et al., 1998; Mueller et al., 2000; Gottardi et al., 2001; Stockinger et al., 2001; Bryant and Stow, 2005).

Endothelial cells express a cell-specific cadherin called vascular endothelial cadherin (VEC). This protein exerts a negative effect on cell growth by binding VEGF receptor (VEGFR) type 2 and inhibiting its signaling activity (Carmeliet et al., 1999; Shay-Salit et al., 2002; Lampugnani et al., 2003; Dejana, 2004). VEGF is a major growth factor for endothelial cells and plays an important role in the formation of new vessels during embryogenesis and in proliferative diseases (Alitalo et al., 2005; Carmeliet, 2005; Ferrara and Kerbel, 2005). In blood endothelium, the activities of VEGF are mediated by its...
interaction with two tyrosine kinase receptors, VEGFR-1 (flt-1) and -2 (flk/KDR), as well as neuropilins. The growth signals are transferred, to a large extent, through the activation of PLC-γ, PKC, and subsequently p44/42 MAPK (Takahashi et al., 1999, 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2005).

We found that in contact-inhibited endothelial cells, VEGFR-2 forms a complex with VEC that results in the inhibition of its tyrosine phosphorylation and, consequently, in the attenuation of MAPK activation. This effect was attributed to the phosphatase DEP-1/CD148 that, by binding β-catenin and p120, may associate with the cadherin–receptor complex and dephosphorylate the receptor (Lampugnani et al., 2003). In this study, we go further by describing another aspect of this phenomenon.

Upon activation with specific ligands, growth factor receptors are internalized via clathrin-dependent and -independent pathways. In many cases, this process leads to signaling termination via degradation of the activated receptor complex. Therefore, internalization is considered an important mechanism through which cells may control the intensity and duration of signal transduction.

However, more recent findings indicate that internalization is not just a sink through which receptors are degraded (Di Fiore and De Camilli, 2001; Miaczynska et al., 2004). On the contrary, some receptors, such as TGF-β, EGF, or NGF receptors, can maintain their signaling activity from within intracellular compartments (Suyama et al., 2002; Di Guglielmo et al., 2003; Bryant et al., 2005; Sigismund et al., 2005).

Little is known about the internalization pathways followed by VEGFR-2 or their functional significance (Labrecque et al., 2003; Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Mitola et al., 2006). It has been reported that cadherins may influence growth factor receptor internalization, but the extent to which they do depends on the cadherin or growth factor receptor. In tumor cell lines, N-cadherin forms a complex with FGF receptor 1 that inhibits its internalization and degradation. This causes a sustained FGF signaling and abnormal cell growth (Suyama et al., 2002). In contrast, E-cadherin internalizes with FGF receptor 1, which facilitates its nuclear translocation and signaling activity (Bryant and Stow, 2005; Bryant et al., 2005).

In this study, we analyzed the role of VEC on VEGFR-2 internalization and signaling in endothelial cells. We found that the receptor is internalized more rapidly and efficiently when VEC is absent or not clustered at intercellular contacts. Strikingly, internalization does not terminate receptor signaling, which instead continues in endosomes. This may explain why VEC-null cells present increased and uncontrolled growth.

**Results**

**VEC expression inhibits VEGFR-2 internalization**

We first investigated whether the establishment of cell to cell contact modulates VEGFR-2 internalization. Using freshly
isolated human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) stimulated with VEGF, we observed that VEGFR-2 endocytosis, which was evaluated by immunofluorescence labeling of intracellular vesicular compartments, was significantly reduced by cell density (Fig. 1 A). Time course analysis revealed that in sparse cells, the number of receptor-positive vesicles increased more rapidly and to a larger extent than in confluent cells (Fig. 1 A, bottom).

This first observation suggested that the establishment of cell to cell contact reduced VEGFR-2 internalization. Because VEC plays a role in VEGFR-2 signaling, we investigated whether VEC could be involved in VEGFR-2 internalization. We compared syngenic endothelial cell lines differing for the expression of VEC. These cells had been characterized previously in detail and presented superimposable levels of VEGFR-2 (see Fig. 8; Lampugnani et al., 2002, 2003). As shown in Fig. 1 B, after the addition of VEGF, the number of VEGFR-2-containing vesicular compartments is markedly higher in the absence of VEC. Quantification of the amount of biotinylated receptor that was internalized, degraded, or recycled back to the plasma membrane is reported in Fig. 2. In VEC-null endothelium, the receptor is internalized more quickly and to a higher extent than in VEC-positive cells (Fig. 2, A and B).

In VEC-null cells, receptor internalization kinetics appear faster in biotinylation than in immunofluorescence experiments. This apparent discrepancy may be caused by internalization compartments, which can be measured in biotinylation experiments because they are protected from glutathione (GSH) reduction but are not yet clustered in structures resolvable in immunofluorescence microscopy.

The overall amount of internalized receptor for the duration of the experiment is about fourfold more in VEC-null than -positive cells. Receptor degradation exceeds recycling by about fivefold in both cell types, but both parameters are significantly increased in the absence of VEC (Fig. 2, C and D). These data indicate that a higher amount of VEGFR-2 is internalized, degraded, and recycled in the absence of VEC.

Internalization of growth factor receptors may follow clathrin-dependent or -independent pathways. Among the latter, caveolae have been shown to regulate receptor internalization directed toward degradation (Di Guglielmo et al., 2003; Sigismund et al., 2005). Our codistribution experiments of VEGFR-2 with early endosomal antigen-1 (EEA-1) and caveolin-1 show that VEGFR-2 internalizes mostly in EEA-1–positive early endosomes (Fig. 3) and to a very low extent in caveolae. Colocalization of VEGFR-2 and the caveolar component PV-1 (Stan et al., 2004; Stan, 2005) was also negligible (unpublished data). To control whether caveolae were expressed correctly and to a comparable extent in both VEC-null and -positive cells, we costained these structures with PV-1 and caveolin antibodies. As shown in Fig. S1 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200602080/DC1), the extensive and comparable colocalization of caveolin and PV-1 could be observed in both cell types, suggesting structural integrity of the caveolar compartment.

The preferential distribution of VEGFR-2 in EEA-1–positive endosomes was further confirmed using immuno-EM (Fig. 3 C). In addition, silencing clathrin heavy chain expression either by siRNA (see Fig. 8 and Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200602080/DC1) or disrupting clathrin-coated pits by hypertonic medium blocked receptor internalization both in VEC-positive and -null cells (Fig. 4). In contrast, after incubation with filipin at a concentration able to fully disrupt lipid rafts and caveolae (Schnitzer et al., 1994;
Andriopoulou et al., 1999), receptor internalization did not significantly change (Fig. 4).

We also performed experiments at different time points (5, 7, 10, 20, and 30 min) after the addition of VEGF in VEC-null and -positive cells using caveolin and PV-1 as markers of caveolae and EEA-1 and Rab-5 as markers of early endosomes. At all time points considered, we could not see internalized VEGFR-2 in vesicles positive to either caveolin or PV-1 but only in bona fide early endosomes (unpublished data). These data strongly suggest that, at least within the experimental conditions used, VEGFR-2 endocytosis in endothelial cells is mostly clathrin dependent.

Internalized VEGFR-2 retains signaling activity

In the absence of VEC, endothelial cells respond more effectively to growth signals transferred by VEGF. From the aforementioned observations, we concluded that VEGFR-2 is internalized more quickly and to a larger extent in VEC-null cells than in VEC-positive cells. Therefore, we asked whether the receptor retains its signaling activity when sequestered in intracellular compartments.

We first tested whether the internalized receptor retained tyrosine phosphorylation by cell fractionation on an iodixanol gradient (Yeaman et al., 2001). Antibodies recognizing phosphotyrosine (PY) 1214– and PY1054/59–VEGFR-2 were used. As shown in Fig. 5, in the absence of VEC, a higher amount of phosphorylated VEGFR-2 is detected in intracellular fractions, whereas in the presence of VEC, the phosphorylated receptor remains preferentially in fractions corresponding to peripheral plasma membranes. Phosphorylation of VEGFR-2 tyrosine 1175 is required for binding and activation of PLC-γ, which is the major effector of VEGF-mediated cell proliferation (Takahashi et al., 2001). By using antibodies specific for PY1175–VEGFR-2, we observed that in the absence of VEC, a higher amount of phosphorylated VEGFR-2 is detected in intracellular fractions, whereas in the presence of VEC, the phosphorylated receptor remains preferentially in fractions corresponding to peripheral plasma membranes.

We then stained the cells with antibodies directed to the active PY783–PLC-γ. As shown in Fig. 7, active PLC-γ codistributes with internalized VEGFR-2 more effectively in the absence than in the presence of VEC.
Inhibition of VEGFR-2 internalization affects its proliferative signaling

Overall, the aforementioned data indicate that VEC limits receptor activation and internalization. In the absence of this protein, the receptor is internalized more efficiently and retains its active state for a longer time, leading to continuous proliferative signaling.

To further test this hypothesis, we prevented receptor internalization by silencing clathrin with two specific siRNAs.
VEC association with VEGFR-2 is required for receptor retention at the plasma membrane

We then investigated the mechanism through which VEC inhibits VEGFR-2 internalization. In a previous study, we found that VEC forms a complex with VEGFR-2, and we analyzed the domains of VEC involved in this process (Lampugnani et al., 2003).

VEC mutants lacking either the β-catenin– or p120-binding domains were unable or less efficient, respectively, to communoprecipitate VEGFR-2. We found that these mutants were also unable to significantly prevent VEGFR-2 internalization (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200602080/DC1), suggesting that receptor internalization is reduced as a consequence of binding to VEC.

Similar to E-cadherin (for review see Bryant and Stow, 2004), VEC can be internalized through clathrin-coated pits (Xiao et al., 2005). We tested whether VEC codistributes with VEGFR-2 in intracellular compartments. As reported in Fig. 9 upon VEGF activation, no significant codistribution of VEC with VEGFR-2–positive vesicles is detected in VEC-positive cells and HUVECs (Fig. S4). Only junctional colocalization can be observed (Fig. 9). The lack of codistribution in internal compartments was confirmed by immuno-EM (unpublished data). Collectively, these data suggest that the receptor internalizes upon dissociation from VEC.

VEGFR-2 phosphorylation is required for internalization and signaling

In previous studies, we showed that the phosphatase DEP-1/CD148 can reduce VEGFR-2 signaling. DEP-1/CD148 can associate with β-catenin and p120 (Holsinger et al., 2002; Palka et al., 2003) and with the VEC–VEGFR-2 complex, reducing VEGFR-2 phosphorylation (Lampugnani et al., 2003). Therefore, we tested whether DEP-1/CD148 could also reduce receptor internalization.

As reported in Fig. 10A, in endothelial cells transfected with DEP-1/CD148 siRNA, VEGFR-2 internalization is significantly higher. This effect is accompanied by an increase in VEGFR-2 phosphorylation and MAPK activation (Lampugnani et al., 2003). These data suggest that retention of VEGFR-2 at the membrane by VEC allows its dephosphorylation by DEP-1/CD148 and limits its internalization and signaling.

Discussion

In this study, we report a novel aspect of the mechanism through which VEC expression and clustering inhibits VEGFR-2 proliferative signaling. We found that in the absence of VEC or in conditions in which VEC is not clustered at adherens junctions as in sparse cells, VEGFR-2 is endocytosed to a higher extent in intracellular compartments, from where it maintains its signaling activity. VEC could therefore reduce receptor activity by inhibiting VEGFR-2 internalization and promoting its inactivation at the cell surface.

In our experimental conditions, VEGFR-2 is internalized in early endosomes mostly through a clathrin-dependent pathway. We were unable to detect caveolin-1–positive vesicles containing VEGFR-2, and we could not inhibit receptor internalization using a caveolea-perturbing drug such as filipin (Schnitzer et al., 1994; Andriopoulou et al., 1999). Other studies found the codistribution of VEGFR-2 with caveolin-1 (Labrecque et al., 2003; Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Ikeda et al., 2005), and we cannot exclude that under different experimental conditions, VEGFR-2 may be internalized through caveolae.
However, the observed association with caveolin-1 may also represent a mechanism of receptor compartmentalization at the plasma membrane. It was found that caveolin-1 would form a molecular complex with VEGFR-2 that inhibits receptor activation in resting cells. Upon activation of the cells with VEGF, caveolin-1 is phosphorylated, and the complex rapidly dissociates (Labrecque et al., 2003). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that similar to TGF-β receptor (Di Guglielmo et al., 2003), once VEGFR-2 is released from the caveolin-1 complex, it becomes available for internalization in clathrin-coated pits. In agreement with this model, the overexpression of caveolin-1 in transgenic mice reduces permeability and angiogenic response to VEGF (Bauer et al., 2005).

It has been reported that VEGFR-2 internalization and degradation are regulated by ubiquitination through a Cbl-dependent mechanism (Duval et al., 2003) or C-tail serine phosphorylation by activated PKC (Singh et al., 2005). These mechanisms may coexist and may be responsible for the amount of receptor degradation reported here.

Our observations support the hypothesis that internalized VEGFR-2 maintains its activity. These data are in agreement with recent publications indicating that signaling through
growth factor receptors does not occur only at the cell membrane but may continue even more effectively from intracellular compartments (Di Fiore and De Camilli, 2001; Sorkin and Von Zastrow, 2002; Miaczynska et al., 2004; Le Roy and Wrana, 2005). Clathrin-dependent internalization of TGF-β in early endosomes, where the Smad2 anchor SARA is enriched, promotes TGF-β signaling (Di Guglielmo et al., 2003). EGF receptor is internalized shortly after ligand addition in intracellular compartments together with its downstream signaling factors, Grb2, and mSOS (Di Guglielmo et al., 1994) and maintains its signaling activity (Pennock and Wang, 2003). Similarly, the specific activation of endosome-associated PDGF receptor leads to the activation of its major signaling pathways (Wang et al., 2004). Thus, as for VEGFR-2, endocytic transport is important not only for receptor turnover but also for regulating signal transduction and for mediating the formation of specialized signaling complexes.

A novel aspect of our work is that VEC inhibits VEGFR-2 internalization, thereby reducing its cell growth signaling activity. How can VEC inhibit receptor endocytosis? A likely hypothesis is that VEC retains VEGFR-2 at the membrane by binding to it. In addition to our studies, others have reported (Shay-Salit et al., 2002; Lampugnani et al., 2003; Weis et al., 2004; Lambeng et al., 2005) that VEGFR-2 couples with VEC. This process requires the binding of VEC to β-catenin and, to a lesser extent, to p120. In the present study, we found that mutants of VEC lacking the cytoplasmic domain responsible for binding either β-catenin or p120 and unable to associate with VEGFR-2 (Lampugnani et al., 2003) do not prevent VEGFR-2 internalization. This supports the idea that VEC–VEGFR-2 coupling is required to inhibit receptor endocytosis.

Cadherins themselves are endocytosed via several different routes, including clathrin-dependent (Le et al., 1999; Palacios et al., 2002; Ivanov et al., 2004; Izumi et al., 2004) and -independent pathways (Akhtar and Hotchin, 2001; Paterson et al., 2003). Therefore, cotrafficking of receptor and cadherin complexes is possible (for reviews see Bryant and Stow, 2004, 2005; Cavallaro and Christofori, 2004). However, under our experimental conditions, we could detect VEC in intracellular compartments (Xiao et al., 2005), but we could not see codistribution with the receptor. Thus, it is likely that the receptor dissociates from VEC before internalization (Fig. 9).

In a previous study, the phosphatase DEP-1/CD148 was found to play a role in the inhibitory effect of VEC on VEGFR-2 signaling (Lampugnani et al., 2003). This phosphatase associates with VEC through its binding to β-catenin and p120 and, in this way, reduces VEGFR-2 phosphorylation (Lampugnani et al., 2003). We report that DEP-1/CD148 could prevent VEGFR-2 internalization along with the reduction of receptor phosphorylation and signaling. Therefore, it is possible that VEC, by retaining VEGFR-2 at the cell surface, allows its dephosphorylation by DEP-1/CD148, which, in turn, inhibits its internalization and signaling.

Besides VEC, VEGFR-2 was found to bind to integrins (Soldi et al., 1999). Another study reported that when cells are plated on collagen I, the phosphatase SHP2 can associate with VEGFR-2 and stimulate its internalization. SHP2 activates Src, which in turn activates dynamin II–dependent receptor internalization (Mitola et al., 2006). Interestingly, this phenomenon does not occur when cells are plated on vitronectin, and SHP2 does not
bind to VEGFR-2 (Mitola et al., 2006). These observations suggest that the capacity of different adhesive proteins to complex with growth factor receptors and modulate their internalization and signaling may be a general paradigm. In this way, cells may modulate their growth and survival as a function of density and interaction with specific matrix proteins.

In conclusion, the results reported in this study are consistent with the idea that VEC-VEGFR-2 proliferative signaling is increased by endocytosis. The inhibitory role of VEC is likely that of binding and retaining the receptor at the cell surface, preventing its endocytosis, and favoring inactivation by DEP-1/CD148. This suggests that the modulation of VEC–VEGFR-2 complex formation may be a novel strategy to regulate VEGF proliferative signaling and, therefore, to inhibit or stimulate angiogenesis.

Materials and methods

Primary antibodies

For the detection of VEGFR-2, anti-human VEGFR-2 (single chain recombinant; clone scFvA7 with E tag; RDI and Fitzgerald) and anti–mouse VEGFR-2 (rat clone Avas12x1; RDI and Fitzgerald) were used for immunofluorescence; rabbit polyclonal C-115B (sc504; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was used for Western blotting. Antibodies to tyrosine-phosphorylated VEGFR-2 were rabbit polyclonal PY1214 and PY1054/S9 (BioSource International) and rabbit polyclonal PY1175, which was provided by M. Shibuya (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). Antibodies to clathrin heavy chain were mouse monoclonal cloneX22 (Affinity BioReagents, Inc.) for immunofluorescence and mouse monoclonal clone 23 (R&D Systems) for Western blotting. Antibody to EEA-1 was goat polyclonal N-19 (sc-d415; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); antibody to caveolin-1 was rabbit polyclonal N-20 (sc-894; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); and antibody to VEC was goat polyclonal C-19 (sc-6458; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and mouse monoclonal BV6 and BV9 (produced in our laboratory; Corada et al., 2001). Antibody to PY783-PLCγ1, total p42/44 MAPK, and γ3 was mouse monoclonal cloneX22 (Affinity BioReagents, Inc.) M. Shibuya (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). Antibodies to clathrin heavy chain were mouse monoclonal cloneX22 (Affinity BioReagents, Inc.) for immunofluorescence and mouse monoclonal clone 23 (R&D Systems) for Western blotting. Antibody to EEA-1 was goat polyclonal N-19 (sc-d415; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); antibody to caveolin-1 was rabbit polyclonal N-20 (sc-894; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); and antibody to VEC was goat polyclonal C-19 (sc-6458; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and mouse monoclonal BV6 and BV9 (produced in our laboratory; Corada et al., 2001). Antibody to PY783-PLCγ1, total p42/44 MAPK, and phospha-p42/44 MAPK was rabbit polyclonal (Cell Signaling). Antibody to DEP-1/CD148/C148 was goat polyclonal (R&D Systems), and antibody to PV-1 was rat monoclonal (provided by R. Sten, Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH).

Cell types and culture conditions

Endothelial cells with a homozygous null mutation of the VEC gene (VEC null) and the cell lines derived from them through retroviral gene transfer and expressing wild-type (VEC positive) or various VEC mutant constructs were generated and characterized as described previously in detail (Lampugnani et al., 2003). For all of the experiments, 50,000 cells/cm² (to reach confluence within 24 h) were seeded in complete culture medium and cultured without medium change for 72 h. Cells were then washed once with MCDB 131, 80 ng/ml VEGF in fresh 1% BSA MCDB 131 was added, and cells were incubated at 37°C for the time indicated to allow internalization. The cultures were then put back on ice and washed three times with ice-cold Ca2+/Mg2+ HBSS, pH 7.5. To evaluate the capacity of different adhesive proteins to complex with VEGFR-2 in either condition. These results are reported in Fig. S5 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200602080/DC1). Anti–mouse VEGFR-2 was dialyzed for 3 h against PBS (with one change) and washed three times with ice-cold 50 mM glycin in Ca2+/Mg2+ HBSS, pH 2.5, and two washes with Ca2+/Mg2+ HBSS, pH 7.5) to remove the antibody from the cell surface. Cells were then fixed as described in Immunofluorescence microscopy. To reveal the distribution of the primary antibody, AlexaFluor488-conjugated donkey anti–rabbit (Invitrogen) was used for the rat anti–mouse VEGFR-2. For the recombiant E-tagged anti–human VEGFR-2, rabbit anti–E-tag (Abcam) followed by AlexaFluor488-conjugated donkey anti–rabbit (Invitrogen) were used.

Cell surface biotinylation and immunoprecipitation. Internalization, recycling, and degradation were measured as described previously by Fabbri et al. (1999) with the following modifications. Cells were put on ice and washed three times with ice-cold PBS containing Ca2+ and Mg2+ (Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS). For surface biotinylation, cells in Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS were treated with 0.5 mg/ml of thiol-cleavable Sulfo-NHS-S-S-Biotin (Pierce Chemical Co.) for 1 h on ice. They were then washed on ice twice with Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS, once with MCDB 131, and once with 1% BSA MCDB 131. 80 ng/ml VEGF in fresh 1% BSA MCDB 131 was added, and cells were incubated at 37°C for the time indicated to allow internalization. The cultures were then put back on ice and washed three times with ice-cold Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS. Samples were incubated twice for 20 min with 45 mM of the membrane-permeable reducing agent GSH in 75 mM NaCl with 75 mM NaOH and 1% BSA added just before use (stripping buffer). Cells were further washed twice on ice with Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS and incubated for 15 min with iodoacetamide (in Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS) with 1% BSA, quenching buffer, to quench free sulfhydryl groups. To evaluate total labeling, a sample for each cell type was not reduced with GSH. To control background, a sample was labeled and reduced without incubation at 37°C. For immunoprecipitation, cells were washed with Ca2+/Mg2+ PBS and extracted on ice in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% NP-40, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Set III; Calbiochem). Extracts were preclarified for 90 min with protein A–agarose beads and incubated overnight with 5 μg anti–VEGFR-2 (rabbit sc-504) and the immunocomplexes were collected on protein A–agarose beads for 90 min. After five washes in extraction buffer (the last one containing 0.1% Triton X-100), proteins were eluted by boiling for 10 min in nonreducing laemmli sample buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting on nitrocellulose membrane and revealed by ECL chemiluminescence. Band intensity was quantified by ImageJ analysis (National Institutes of Health; freely available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij).
To quantify VEGFR-2 recycling and degradation, cells were labeled as described in the first paragraph of this section, and endocytosis was allowed for 10 min in the presence of 80 ng/ml VEGF (peak time for VEGF-induced VEGFR-2 internalization both in VEC-null and -positive cells as determined in internalization experiments). Samples were then reduced as described in the first paragraph of this section to remove the label from the residual cell surface receptor. The internalized fraction was chased by reincubating at 37°C with a Dounce homogenizer. Nuclei and residual intact cells were reduced to evaluate the amount of VEGFR-2 that recycled back to the plasma membrane, and the other sample was left unreduced to measure degradation. The samples were then processed as described in the first paragraph of this section. VEGFR-2 degradation was calculated by subtracting the value of residual biotinylated receptor after incubation at 37°C with a Dounce homogenizer (i.e., internalized + degraded) from the total pool of internalized receptor. VEGFR-2 recycling was calculated by subtracting both the degradation value and the value of residual biotinylated receptor after incubation at 37°C and reduction (i.e., internalized – recycled – degraded) from the total pool of internalized receptor.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Cells were cultured in 35-mm diameter petri dishes as described in Cell types and culture conditions. After the treatments indicated in the specific sections, culture medium was removed, and cells were fixed in 1% PFA in 2.5 mM triethanolamine, pH 7.5, containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% NP-40 for 20 min at RT (Lallemend et al., 2003). Before staining, 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS was added for 10 min at RT. In some experiments, immunofluorescence microscopy for VEGFR-2 was performed using the Avas12 antibody after cell fixation (in vitro staining). The fixation/permeabilization method applied (Lallemend et al., 2003) allows an optimal observation of VEGFR-2 in internal compartments with in vitro staining (primary antibody after cell fixation). Data obtained with in vivo (see Internalization assays) and in vitro staining were superimposable for both VEC’s effect on VEGFR-2 vesicular labeling and the codistribution of VEGFR-2 with markers of specific compartments such as EEA-1 and caveolin-1. A comparison of VEGFR-2 vesicular distribution after in vivo staining (with and without acid wash before fixation) is shown in Fig. S5.

In some experiments (in particular for clathrin detection), fixation was performed in 1% PFA, and permeabilization was performed with 0.02% saponin that was maintained for all of the staining procedure. Fluorophore-labeled secondary antibodies produced in donkey had minimal cross-reactivity to other species except for the targeted species (Invitrogen). Anti-VEGFR-2 was revealed with AlexaFluor488-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti–rat for anti–mouse VEGFR-2 and anti–rabbit for anti–human VEGFR-2). In double labeling experiments, AlexaFluor488- and -647 fluorochromes were used to stain each of the two antigens, respectively.

Immunogold labeling was performed under a fluorescence microscope (DMAR; Leica) using 63× and 100× lenses. Images were captured using a charge-coupled camera (model 3; Hamamatsu) before processing through Adobe Photoshop for MacIntosh. Quantification of vesicular labeling was performed using the ImageJ program (version 10.2). For comparison purposes, different sample images of the same antigen were acquired under identical conditions, images were acquired using a confocal microscope (TCS SP2 AOBS; Leica) with a minimum size of five pixels were counted. For colocalization analysis, images were acquired using a confocal microscope (TCS SP2 AOBS; Leica) with a 63× objective and a 3× zoom. Colocalization was quantified using the colocalization plugin of ImageJ. The channel ratio was always set at 90%. For both channels, the best-fit lower threshold value to remove most background signal was determined using the threshold tool as described above.

EM

For immunogold labeling, 4% PFA/0.4% glutaraldehyde in PBS was added in a 1:1 ratio to culture medium. After 2 hr at RT, the fixative was discarded, and cells were scraped in 1% PFA in PBS, collected in Eppendorf tubes, and processed for ultrathin cryosectioning as described previously (Confalonieri et al., 2000). Double immunogold labeling was performed as described previously (Slot et al., 1991).

Cell fractionation, extraction, and Western blotting

Subcellular fractionation on an iodixanol gradient was performed as described previously (Yeanan et al., 2001). Cells were cultured in 150 cm² flasks as described in Cell types and culture conditions. Three flasks were used. After the indicated treatments, cultures were put on ice and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. Cells were scraped in 1.2 ml of ice-cold isotonic buffer/flask (20 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.5, 0.25 M sucrose, 90 mM KO-acetate, 2 mM Mg-acetate, 0.5 mM Na-vanadate, 1 mM NaF, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 3 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM pefabloc, 40 U/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, and 10 μg/ml pepstatin) and homogenized. Nuclei and residual intact cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1,400 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatants were separated in three equal aliquots and mixed with iodixanol (OptiPrep; Axis-Shift) and homogenization buffer to generate 30, 20, and 10% iodixanol solutions. They were then loaded into 11.2-ml OptiPrep tubes (Beckman Coulter) and ultracentrifuged at 353,000 g for 3 hr at 20°C. Fractions were collected from the top of the gradient, and protein concentration (bicinchoninic acid reagent; Pierce Chemical Co.) and density (OD at 244 nm as indicated by the OptiPrep manufacturer) were determined. The fractions were then boiled in the presence of reducing laemml sample buffer. Samples of each fraction containing the same amount of protein for each of the different cell types to be compared and representative of the total protein content of each fraction were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting.

For total cell extracts, cells were washed twice in PBS, extracted in 2× boiling laemml sample buffer (200 μl for a 35-mm petri dish) containing 100 mM DTT, scraped, and boiled for a further 10 min. Parallel samples were extracted without DTT, and protein concentration was determined by bicinchoninic acid analysis. A total of 15 μg of protein was loaded in each lane and separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies.

For detection, HRP-conjugated horse anti–mouse, goat anti–rabbit (Cell Signaling), and rabbit anti–goat (DakoCytomation) secondary antibodies and ECL chemiluminescence reagent (GE Healthcare) were used. Films were scanned and bands were quantified using ImageJ on the un-calibrated OD function. Adobe Photoshop 7.0, Excel X for Macintosh, and Adobe Illustrator 11 for the PC were used to produce the figures presented.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that PV-1 colocalizes with caveolin in VEC-null and -positive cells. Fig. S2 shows that cell confluence modulates VEGF-induced PI173–VEGFR-2–positive compartments in HUVECs. Fig. S3 shows that clathrin sRNA inhibits the formation of clathrin-positive vesicular compartments. Fig. S4 shows that the cytoplasmic domain of VEC is required to modulate VEGFR-2 internalization from the plasma membrane. Fig. S5 shows that the antibody Avs12.1 does not modify either the basal or VEGF-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of VEGFR-2 and does not induce VEGFR-2 internalization. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200602080/DC1.
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