The RNA-binding protein Sam68 modulates the alternative splicing of Bcl-x
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The RNA-binding protein Sam68 is involved in apoptosis, but its cellular mRNA targets and its mechanism of action remain unknown. We demonstrate that Sam68 binds the mRNA for Bcl-x and affects its alternative splicing. Depletion of Sam68 by RNA interference caused accumulation of antiapoptotic Bcl-x(L), whereas its up-regulation increased the levels of proapoptotic Bcl-x(s). Tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 by Fyn inverted this effect and favored the Bcl-x(L) splice site selection. A point mutation in the RNA-binding domain of Sam68 influenced its splicing activity and subnuclear localization. Moreover, co-expression of ASF/SF2 with Sam68, or fusion with an RS domain, counteracted Sam68 splicing activity toward Bcl-x. Finally, Sam68 interacted with heterogenous nuclear RNP (hnRNP) A1, and depletion of hnRNP A1 or mutations that impair this interaction attenuated Bcl-x(s) splicing. Our results indicate that Sam68 plays a role in the regulation of Bcl-x alternative splicing and that tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 by Src-like kinases can switch its role from proapoptotic to antiapoptotic in live cells.

Introduction

Alternative splicing is a regulatory mechanism that allows genes to encode for multiple protein isoforms that often play different biological roles (Maniatis and Tasic, 2002; Black, 2003; Stetefeld and Ruegg, 2005). It arises from the optional use of alternative splice sites within a pre-mRNA. In mammals, the signals that define the beginning and the end of an intron are ill defined, and the authentic splice sites can be identified only with the help of additional cis-acting elements, named “splicing enhancers” and “splicing silencers.” Usually, any given region of a pre-mRNA contains, in addition to various potential exon–intron boundaries, several splicing enhancers and silencers that antagonize each other (Black, 2003). This enormous body of information is decoded by two families of RNA-binding proteins: the serine/arginine (SR) proteins and the heterogeneous nuclear RNPs (hnRNPs; Black, 2003; Matlin et al., 2005). The SR proteins consist of one or two RNA-binding domains and a domain rich in SR dipeptides. They bind to splicing enhancers and usually activate splicing at nearby splice sites. The hnRNPs bind mostly, but not always, to splicing silencers and therefore inhibit splicing at nearby splice sites. Thus, the fate of a pre-mRNA region is usually decided by the antagonism between hnRNP and SR proteins (for review see Matlin et al., 2005). The best-described example is the antagonism between the SR protein ASF/SF2 and hnRNP A1. The ratio of ASF/SF2 to hnRNP A1 determines the usage of splice sites, because ASF/SF2 recruits the U1 small nuclear RNP to the pre-mRNA, a crucial step in establishing a 5′ splice site, whereas hnRNP A1 counteracts U1 binding (Eperon et al., 2000). However, the limited number of hnRNP and SR proteins, as well as their limited binding specificity for the pre-mRNAs, cannot faithfully determine splicing in so many pre-mRNAs (Singh and Valcarcel, 2005). Additional proteins are likely required to confer specificity to the regulation of alternative splicing in live cells and to decipher the signaling pathways triggered by environmental cues.

A well-suited candidate to integrate signal transduction pathways and RNA metabolism is Sam68. This RNA-binding protein belongs to the signal transduction and activation of RNA (STAR) metabolism family (Vernet and Artzt, 1997; Lukong and Richard, 2003), and it was first identified as a target of the tyrosine kinase Src (Fumagalli et al., 1994; Taylor and Shalloway, 1994). STAR proteins are characterized by a KH
Sam68 is a RNA-binding protein whose intracellular levels regulate cell cycle progression and apoptosis (Taylor et al., 2004; Babic et al., 2006). We set out to investigate whether Sam68 plays a direct role on the posttranscriptional regulation of mRNAs encoding known apoptotic regulators. Endogenous Sam68 was immunoprecipitated with an anti-Sam68 antibody from HEK293 cell extracts (Fig. 1 A) prepared under conditions that preserve RNA and RNPs (Paronetto et al., 2006). RNA was extracted from the immunoprecipitates and analyzed by RT-PCR for the presence of genes involved in the regulation of apoptosis. We found that Sam68 specifically binds to the endogenous Bak, Bax, Bcl-x, and Bim mRNAs, but not to Bcl2 mRNA. Control immunoprecipitations with preimmune IgGs gave no enrichment in any of the mRNAs analyzed (Fig. 1 B). To test whether Sam68 can recognize these mRNAs on its own, we purified GST-Sam68 from bacteria and total RNA from HEK293 cells and performed GST pull-down experiments. RT-PCR analysis showed that Sam68 can directly bind to these mRNAs in a cell-free assay (Fig. 1 C).

**Results**

**Sam68 directly binds mRNAs for regulators of apoptosis**

Sam68 is a RNA-binding protein whose intracellular levels regulate cell cycle progression and apoptosis (Taylor et al., 2004; Babic et al., 2006). We set out to investigate whether Sam68 plays a direct role on the posttranscriptional regulation of mRNAs encoding known apoptotic regulators. Endogenous Sam68 was immunoprecipitated with an anti-Sam68 antibody from HEK293 cell extracts (Fig. 1 A) prepared under conditions that preserve RNA and RNPs (Paronetto et al., 2006). RNA was extracted from the immunoprecipitates and analyzed by RT-PCR for the presence of genes involved in the regulation of apoptosis. We found that Sam68 specifically binds to the endogenous Bak, Bax, Bcl-x, and Bim mRNAs, but not to Bcl2 mRNA. Control immunoprecipitations with preimmune IgGs gave no enrichment in any of the mRNAs analyzed (Fig. 1 B). To test whether Sam68 can recognize these mRNAs on its own, we purified GST-Sam68 from bacteria and total RNA from HEK293 cells and performed GST pull-down experiments. RT-PCR analysis showed that Sam68 can directly bind to these mRNAs in a cell-free assay (Fig. 1 C).

**Sam68 enhances Bcl-x(s) splicing in vivo**

To date, the only cellular target identified for the splicing activity of Sam68 is CD44 (Matter et al., 2002). Because Sam68 binds to the mRNA for Bcl-x, an apoptotic regulator known to undergo alternative splicing (Boise et al., 1993), posttranscriptional
regulation of this mRNA by Sam68 was investigated further. Alternative splicing is usually governed by a delicate balance of activators and inhibitors of splice site selection that have redundant functions in the cell (Matlin et al., 2005; Singh and Valcarcel, 2005). Hence, we investigated whether changes in the intracellular concentration of Sam68 modulate the alternative splicing of Bcl-x in HEK293 cells. We found that down-regulation of Sam68 by RNAi changed the ratio of alternatively spliced Bcl-x isoforms, with an increase in the antiapoptotic Bcl-x(L) and a decrease in the proapoptotic Bcl-x(s) transcript (approximately twofold; Fig. 2 A, right). A decrease in Bcl-x(s) was observed also at the protein level after depletion of Sam68 (Fig. 2 A, left).

As a second approach, we overexpressed GFP or GFP-Sam68 constructs in HEK293 cells. GFP-positive cells were sorted, and cellular extracts were prepared for RT-PCR and Western blot analyses. Up-regulation of Sam68 caused an increase in the Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) ratio at the mRNA level (4.5-fold; Fig. 2 B). A similar increase in ratio was obtained by transfection of HEK293 cells with a Bcl-x(L) antisense oligonucleotide (3.8-fold; Fig. 2 B). Up-regulation of Sam68 also increased Bcl-x(s) protein (Fig. 2 B). These results demonstrate that fluctuations in the intracellular concentration of Sam68 affect the alternative splicing of endogenous Bcl-x mRNA.

Sam68 enhances splicing of the Bcl-x minigene in a dose-dependent manner
To investigate the regulation of Bcl-x splicing by Sam68 in more detail, we used a Bcl-x minigene that spans the whole alternatively spliced region from exon 1 to 3, with a shortened intron 2 (Fig. 2 C; Massiello et al., 2004). Cotransfection of GFP-Sam68 with this reporter strongly enhanced the formation of the short variant of the Bcl-x transcript with respect to transfection of GFP alone (Fig. 2 C). The selection of the upstream 5′ splice site in exon 2 was stimulated in a dose-dependent manner when the Bcl-x minigene was cotransfected with increasing amounts of GFP-Sam68 (Fig. 2 D). Hence, the Bcl-x minigene recapitulates the effects of Sam68 on the endogenous Bcl-x mRNA.

MAPK signaling does not affect Sam68-mediated Bcl-x alternative splicing
Phosphorylation of Sam68 by the MAPK Erk1/2 induces inclusion of exon v5 in the CD44 mRNA (Matter et al., 2002). To determine the effects of Erk1/2 signaling on Sam68-mediated splicing of Bcl-x, HEK293 cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-Sam68 and treated with selective inhibitors of the MAPK pathway. We observed that neither U0126, which blocks activation of Erk1/2 by MEK, nor JNK inhibitor 1, which blocks activation of the JNK family of MAPKs that are also involved in alternative splicing (Pelisch et al., 2005), substantially affected Sam68-mediated selection of the 5′ splice site in the Bcl-x minigene (Fig. S1 A). Under these conditions, the localization of GFP-Sam68 was also not affected (Fig. S1 A).

To determine whether constitutive activation of Erk1/2 signaling affected Sam68-mediated Bcl-x alternative splicing,
HEK293 cells were cotransfected with MEKK1 and suboptimal concentration of GFP-Sam68 (Fig. S1 D). Under these conditions, Erk1/2 was activated by MEKK1 (Fig. S1 C, left). Interestingly, activation of Erk1/2 signaling caused a partial redistribution of GFP-Sam68 in the cytoplasm (Fig. S1 A). Analysis of immunoprecipitated GFP or GFP-Sam68 by Western blot with phosphospecific antibodies indicated that MEKK1 increased phosphorylation of Sam68 at serines, whereas threonine phosphorylation was already detected under basal conditions and only mildly affected by MEKK1 (Fig. S1 D, left). To rule out the possibility that the basal threonine phosphorylation of Sam68 was sufficient to modulate its activity, a similar experiment was performed using wild-type myc-Sam68 or -Sam68m1, a mutated version lacking the eight Erk1/2 phosphorylation sites of Sam68 (Matter et al., 2002). As illustrated in Fig. S1 D, we observed that myc-Sam68m1 was as efficient as the wild-type protein to favor the Bcl-x(s) 5’S′ splice site selection. These results indicate that, different from what was observed with CD44, Erk1/2 signaling does not affect Sam68-mediated Bcl-x alternative splicing.

The tyrosine kinase Fyn modulates Sam68-mediated alternative splicing of Bcl-x mRNA

Sam68 was originally identified as a substrate of Src in mitosis (Fumagalli et al., 1994; Taylor and Shalloway, 1994), and tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 by the Src-like kinase Fyn decreases its affinity for synthetic homopolymeric RNA in vitro (Wang et al., 1995). Hence, we asked whether tyrosine phosphorylation affected the splicing activity of Sam68 toward a cellular target. Coexpression of wild-type Fyn counteracted the ability of GFP-Sam68 to favor the Bcl-x(s) 5’S′ splice site selection, resulting in an isoform ratio similar to the GFP control (Fig. 3 B). Remarkably, the constitutively active mutant FynY528F (Sette et al., 2002), which induces a stronger tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 (Fig. 3 B), completely reverted the effect and induced predominant selection of the Bcl-x(L) 5’S′ splice site in a Sam68-dependent manner (Fig. 3 B, bar graph). Both Fyn and FynY528F caused the relocalization of Sam68 from a diffuse distribution in the nucleoplasm to discrete subnuclear foci (Fig. 3 A). No effect of Fyn on Bcl-x splicing were observed when Sam68 was not overexpressed. Because the endogenous Sam68 was not strongly tyrosine phosphorylated under these conditions (20 h after transfection), we performed splicing assays after prolonged Fyn expression. Remarkably, tyrosine phosphorylation of the endogenous Sam68 was strongly increased 48 h after transfection of Fyn (Fig. 3 C). In these cells, the effects of Fyn on Bcl-x minigene splicing were similar to those obtained with overexpressed Sam68 (Fig. 3 C), indicating that tyrosine phosphorylation of endogenous Sam68 affects Bcl-x splicing. Moreover, a similar regulation of Bcl-x isoform ratio was also observed with endogenous mRNAs (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200701005/DC1). Next, we asked whether
tyrosine phosphorylation by Fyn also affected the binding of Sam68 to the endogenous Bcl-x mRNA. Bcl-x mRNA was coimmunoprecipitated with GFP-Sam68 but not with GFP (Fig. 3 D). Coexpression of Fyn abolished binding of Bcl-x mRNA to GFP-Sam68 (Fig. 3 D), indicating that tyrosine phosphorylation reduces the affinity of Sam68 for this cellular target in live cells.

A novel mutation in the RNA-binding domain of Sam68 affects Bcl-x splicing

A specific mutation (V276F) in the GSG domain of the Sam68 homologue GLD-1 (Jones and Scheld, 1995) affects germ cell apoptosis after DNA damage in Caenorhabditis elegans (Schumacher et al., 2005). Because this valine residue (V229) is conserved in human Sam68, we generated the homologous mutant allele (GFP-Sam68V229F) and tested its activity toward Bcl-x splicing. First, the RNA-binding activity was tested in vitro by pull-down assays with synthetic homopolymeric RNA. Both wild-type and mutant Sam68 bound to polyU-Sepharose beads with approximately the same affinity (see Fig. 6 B). By contrast, Sam68V229F was defective in binding to polyA–Sepharose beads (see Fig. 6 B), indicating that this mutation affects the RNA-binding specificity of the protein. To test the effect on splicing, wild-type and mutant GFP-Sam68 were coexpressed with the Bcl-x minigene. Remarkably, Sam68V229F was completely unable to favor the selection of the Bcl-x(s) 5′ splice site. Rather, its expression enhanced the selection of the Bcl-x(L) 5′ splice site (Fig. 4 B). Moreover, unlike the wild-type protein, Sam68V229F was unable to favor the expression of the endogenous Bcl-x(s) mRNA (Fig. 4 C). Densitometric analysis (Fig. 4 D) and real-time PCR quantification (Fig. 4 E) of RNA coimmunoprecipitation experiments showed that Sam68V229F was partially defective in binding to endogenous Bcl-x mRNA. Interestingly, we also observed that Sam68V229F localized to discrete nuclear foci and was not diffused in the nucleoplasm like wild-type Sam68 (Fig. 4 A). Confocal microscopy showed that these foci were different from the speckles where splicing factors like SC35 and ASF/SF2 accumulate (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200701005/DC1). On the other hand, the localization of Sam68V229F was similar to that observed when wild-type Sam68 was coexpressed with activated Fyn. Because in both conditions the Bcl-x(L) 5′ splice site was favored, these results suggest an inverse correlation between splice site modulation by Sam68 and its localization to subnuclear foci. Importantly, Sam68NLS-KO, in which two arginine residues in the nuclear localization signal (Wu et al., 1999) were substituted (R436A/R442A), did not affect splicing of Bcl-x (compared with GFP alone), indicating that nuclear localization of Sam68 is required to modulate splice site selection of Bcl-x pre-mRNA (Fig. 4, A and B).

Modulation of Bcl-x splicing by Sam68 correlates with its ability to induce apoptosis

Next, the correlation between Sam68 activity toward Bcl-x splicing and induction of apoptosis was investigated. Similar to what is reported in NIH3T3 cells (Taylor et al., 2004), up-regulation of Sam68 induced apoptosis in HEK293 cells, as determined by annexin V binding (Fig. 5 A), nuclear fragmentation (Fig. 5 B), and cleavage of caspase 3 (Fig. 5 C). Induction of apoptosis was similar to that elicited by transfection of the Bcl-x(L) antisense oligonucleotide (Fig. 5 A), which affects the Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) ratio similarly to Sam68 (Fig. 2 B). On the other hand, Sam68V229F and Sam68NLS-KO, which did not induce Bcl-x(s), were unable to trigger apoptosis in the same experimental setting. These results indicate that modulation of Bcl-x alternative splicing by Sam68 strongly correlates with the ability of the protein to elicit an apoptotic response.

Figure 4. The V229F mutation in the GSG domain of Sam68 affects Bcl-x alternative splicing. (A) Live-cell images of HEK293 cells transfected with mutant GFP-Sam68 constructs as indicated. Bars, 10 μm. (B) Splicing assay of the Bcl-x minigene in HEK293 cells transfected with the indicated constructs. Cells were harvested 20 h after transfection and processed for RTPCR. The bar graph represents mean values ± SD of the Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) ratio from three independent experiments. (C) RTPCR analysis of endogenous Bcl-x mRNA isoforms in HEK293 cells transfected with wild-type or mutant GFP-Sam68 and sorted for GFP. The panel is a representative experiment. The bar graph represents mean values ± SD of the Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) ratio from three independent experiments. (D) Extracts from HEK293 cells transfected with the indicated constructs were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody, and coprecipitated RNA was extracted and used for conventional RTPCR (top). An aliquot of the immunoprecipitates was analyzed by Western blot (bottom). Densitometric analysis of the RTPCR from three independent experiments is shown in the bar graph (mean values ± SD). (E) Samples described in D were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. The bar graph represents the mean ± SD of three experiments. Data are presented as ratio of the value: GFP-Sam68/GFP.
Bcl-x(L) splice site in the reporter minigene. When GFP-Sam68 survival (Li et al., 2005), exerted the same effect. Indeed, co-

Of ASF/SF2, a prototypical SR protein that is essential for cell splicing (Fig. 2 A). Next, we checked whether up-regulation showed that silencing Sam68 by RNAi increased Bcl-x(L) between Sam68 and SR proteins. Our previous results already

Our results demonstrate that Sam68 affects the choice of Bcl-x alternative splicing. To conf rm this, we articially fused the RS domain of ASF/SF2 to GFP-Sam68. The resulting fusion protein retains its RNA-binding activity, as measured by polyA and polyU pull-down assays (Fig. 6 B), indicating that its RNA-binding motif is not aberrantly folded. Nevertheless, the in vivo splicing assay indicated that the RS domain neutralized, rather than increased, the splice site modulating activity of Sam68 (Fig. 6 C). Interestingly, GFP-Sam68-RS was only partially diffused in the nucleoplasm, whereas a portion of the protein accumulated in foci similar to those observed with GFP-Sam68V229F (Fig. 6 A) but different from SC35 and ASF/SF2 speckles (Fig. S3).

Next, we investigated the effect of the coexpression of Sam68 and ASF/SF2 on apoptosis. Remarkably, we found again a close correlation between Bcl-x splicing and apoptosis. ASF/SF2 up-regulation almost completely suppressed the number of annexin V-positive cells (Fig. 6 D) and nuclear fragmentation (Fig. 6 E). In line with their effect on Bcl-x splicing, expression of GFP-Sam68-RS or coexpression of ASF/SF2 with Sam68 strongly reduced the number of apoptotic cells.

Sam68 interacts with hnRNP A1 in HEK293 cells

Alternative splicing is regulated by the concerted action of several splicing regulators (Matlin et al., 2005). To investigate whether Sam68 interacted with other splicing factors in HEK293 cells, the endogenous protein was immunoprecipitated, and the presence of associated factors was tested by Western blot analysis. As shown in Fig. 7 B, endogenous Sam68 did not interact with SAP155 and hnRNP F/H, two splicing regulators that were recently reported to affect Bcl-x alternative splicing (Garneau et al., 2005; Massiello et al., 2006), or with ASF/SF2. By contrast, we found that Sam68 specifically interacted with hnRNP A1, a splicing regulator known to antagonize the function of ASF/SF2 (Eperon et al., 2000). A similar interaction with hnRNP A1 was also observed with transfected Sam68 (Fig. 7 C). Confocal microscopy showed that GFP-Sam68 and hnRNP A1 were both diffused in the nucleoplasm and that they partially colocalized in some nuclear foci (Fig. 7 A, arrows). On the other hand, neither colocalization (Fig. 7 A) nor interaction (Fig. 7, B and C) was observed between ASF/SF2 and Sam68. The association between Sam68 and hnRNP A1 was only slightly affected by RNase treatment (Fig. 7 D). To confirm a protein–protein interaction between them, we performed pull-down assays. Bacterially purified GST fusion proteins containing different regions of Sam68 were incubated in vitro with HEK293 nuclear extracts. As shown in Fig. 7 E, hnRNP A1 strongly bound to the C-terminal region of Sam68 (276–443), which does not contain the RNA-binding domain. The minimal region required for binding was mapped to the last 93 amino acids of Sam68 (351–443). On the other hand, the N-terminal region of Sam68 (1–277), containing the whole GSG domain,
weakly bound to hnRNP A1, suggesting that weak interaction through a common RNA could also occur. No binding to GST alone was observed. These results indicate that Sam68 and hnRNP A1 can form a protein–protein interaction.

To test whether association between Sam68 and hnRNP A1 was inhibited by conditions in which Bcl-x(L) splicing was favored, we tested the coimmunoprecipitation in the presence of Fyn or with GFP-Sam68 mutant proteins. Remarkably, we found that neither GFP-Sam68V229F nor GFP-Sam68-RS was able to associate (Fig. 8 A) or colocalize (Fig. S3) with hnRNP A1 and that this interaction was strongly reduced when wild-type Sam68 was phosphorylated on tyrosine by coexpression of Fyn (Fig. 8 A). To determine whether hnRNP A1 expression influences the Sam68 effects, we depleted hnRNP A1 by RNAi. HEK293 was transfected with hnRNP A1 siRNAs or scrambled controls 24 h before transfection with the Bcl-x minigene and GFP or GFP-Sam68. We observed that depletion of hnRNP A1 attenuated Sam68-induced Bcl-x(s) splicing (Fig. 8 B). Remarkably, annexin V staining indicated that depletion of hnRNP A1 also reduced the number of apoptotic cells elicited by Sam68 transfection (Fig. 8 C). These results suggest that hnRNP A1 and Sam68 cooperate to modulate the alternative splicing of Bcl-x and apoptosis in live cells (Fig. 8 D).

Discussion

The RNA-binding protein Sam68 has recently been proposed to regulate apoptosis (Taylor et al., 2004; Babic et al., 2006). However, no direct evidence on the mRNAs regulated by Sam68 during induction of apoptosis or on the mechanisms involved in this process has been provided. The results presented herein indicate that regulation of apoptosis by Sam68 involves changes in alternative splicing of its cellular target, Bcl-x. We provide evidence that Sam68 binds to endogenous Bcl-x mRNA and that fluctuations in the intracellular levels of this RNA-binding protein affect the ratio between the antiapoptotic Bcl-x(L) and the proapoptotic Bcl-x(s) mRNA. Finally, by using multiple experimental approaches, we show that posttranslational modifications and point mutations affect both the splicing activity of Sam68 toward Bcl-x and induction of apoptosis in live cells. Our work provides the first cellular target of Sam68 involved in apoptosis and suggests a mechanism of action for this RNA-binding protein in response to an altered intracellular environment.

The cellular targets of Sam68 potentially involved in apoptosis were identified by coimmunoprecipitation experiments. Using this approach, we have recently identified additional mRNAs that are targets of Sam68 in mouse male germ cells (Paronetto et al., 2006). The specificity of the binding was assessed by a parallel immunoprecipitation with preimmune IgGs and by confirmation of the targets identified in pull-down assays using purified proteins and RNAs. More important, we show that fluctuations in Sam68 intracellular levels achieved by RNAi or transient transfection profoundly affect alternative splicing of one of these targets: Bcl-x. An increase of Sam68 shifts the balance toward the proapoptotic Bcl-x(s) isoform, and there is a correlation between Sam68-induced Bcl-x(s) splicing and apoptosis. However, as Sam68 binds the mRNAs for other regulators of apoptosis, it is possible that its effects on cell death also involve the regulation of additional targets.

STAR proteins are thought to link signal transduction pathways to mRNA processing (Vernet and Artzt, 1997). Indeed, it was recently shown that ser/thr phosphorylation of Sam68 by Erk1/2 affected exon v5 inclusion in the CD44...
mRNA (Matter et al., 2002). Surprisingly, we found that Erk1/2 signaling does not strongly affect Sam68-mediated alternative splicing of Bcl-x, suggesting that posttranslational modifications of this RNA-binding protein may differentially regulate its mRNA targets. By contrast, we found that tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 by the Src-like kinase Fyn reverts the ratio of Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) induced by Sam68 and promotes the expression of the antiapoptotic Bcl-x(L) mRNA. The same effect was also achieved by creating the V229F substitution or by fusing an RS domain to Sam68. Intriguingly, in all these cases, the localization of Sam68 changed from being diffuse in the nucleoplasm to accumulating in discrete subnuclear foci that are different from the speckles where SC35 and ASF/SF2 accumulate. These observations suggest that Sam68 is in a dynamic equilibrium in the nucleus and that posttranslational modifications affect both the localization and the activity of the protein, resulting in alternative processing of its pre-mRNA targets.

The activity of Src-like kinases is often up-regulated in cancer cells and contributes to cell proliferation, survival, and invasiveness (Irby and Yeatman, 2000). Src activity is up-regulated in human prostate carcinomas at advanced stages, and it correlates with tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 (Paronetto et al., 2004). A similar observation was made in breast cancer cells (Lukong et al., 2005), suggesting that it is a common mechanism of control of this protein in neoplastic cells. Our results provide a possible explanation for these observations. Tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 in cancer cells may protect them from apoptosis by altering the Bcl-x(s)/Bcl-x(L) ratio in favor of Bcl-x(L) (Fig. 3). In line with this hypothesis, it has been shown that Bcl-x(L) levels are increased in more aggressive prostate cancer cells and that their treatment with synthetic oligonucleotides that promote the Bcl-x(s) splice site selection in the pre-mRNA triggers apoptosis (Mercatante et al., 2002). It is possible that Sam68 participates in the mechanisms that render prostate cancer cells more resistant to apoptosis and that treatments affecting tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 can influence survival of cancer cells expressing high levels of Bcl-x(L).

The experiments presented herein demonstrate for the first time that Sam68 can interact with hnRNP A1. Interestingly, we found that tyrosine phosphorylation by Fyn, or mutations like V229F and fusion to an RS domain, disrupted this complex and affected Bcl-x(s) splicing. Moreover, depletion of hnRNP A1 by RNAi strongly attenuated Sam68-induced Bcl-x(s) splicing and apoptosis, suggesting that the interaction between Sam68 and hnRNP A1 is functionally relevant. The interaction between Sam68 and hnRNP A1 is only in part mediated by RNA, suggesting that these proteins could bind cooperatively to pre-mRNAs. Thus, hnRNP A1 might be recruited to certain pre-mRNA regions by the presence of Sam68 in the vicinity. Although future mechanistic experiments are required to define this functional interaction, we hypothesize that Sam68 may affect Bcl-x splicing by attracting hnRNP A1, which is known to compete with ASF/SF2 and to cause switches in 5′ splice sites (Eperon et al., 2000). Generally, there are rather few proteins that influence splice site selection, compared with the number of regulated alternative splice events. It has been postulated that the choice of splice sites is governed by combinations of splice factors, rather than individual proteins (Matlin et al., 2005; Singh and Valcarcel, 2005), but practical examples of interactions between splice factors remain few. Thus, the interaction of Sam68, a tightly regulated RNA-binding protein, with
an abundant factor that has a function in splice site selection, may well become a paradigm in regulated alternative splicing.

In conclusion, the experiments presented herein demonstrate that Sam68 affects the alternative splicing of Bcl-x pre-mRNA and suggest that perturbations of intracellular signaling pathways affecting its tyrosine phosphorylation status can finely tune the splicing activity of Sam68 and predispose the cell to survive or to undergo programmed cell death.

**Materials and methods**

### Plasmid constructs

The Bcl-x minigene has been described previously (Massiello et al., 2004). The cDNA of human Sam68 was subcloned from pcDNA3-Sam68 (Paronetto et al., 2003) into EcoRI-Sall restriction sites of pEGFP. Site-directed mutations were inserted by PCR using oligonucleotides containing the mutated residue (Figs. S4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200701005/DC1). Wild-type and mutated Sam68 cDNAs were also subcloned into pCDNA3-myc eukaryotic expression vector. The human ASF/SF2 cDNA (available from GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under accession no. NM_006924) was ampliﬁed by RT-PCR using BclX-1 and rtBclX-2 primers (Fig. S4). For the coimmunoprecipitation experiment with hnRNP A1, nuclear extracts were prepared by resuspending yeast tRNA. After centrifugation for 1 min at 1,000 g, the supernatants were collected and used for Western blot or immunoprecipitation experiments.

### Bcl-x alternative splicing

**Figure 8.** hnRNP A1 expression favors Sam68-mediated Bcl-x(s) splicing. (A) Western blot analysis of the coimmunoprecipitation between wild-type or mutant GFP-Sam68 and endogenous hnRNP A1 in the presence or absence of Fyn. (B) Bcl-x minigene splicing assays in HEK293 cells transfected with scrambled (scr) or hnRNP A1 siRNAs and either GFP or GFP-Sam68. (top) Western blot analyses of extracts from the same cells used in the right panel for the splicing assay. The bar graph reports the densitometric analyses of the three experiments performed (mean ± SD). (C) Cells transfected as reported in B, but without the Bcl-x minigene, were stained for annexin V and analyzed by FACS. Data are reported as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. (D) Hypothetical model of the effects played by Sam68 and hnRNP A1 on Bcl-x alternative splicing.
GST pull-down assay of the mRNA–Sam68 and protein–protein interactions

For protein–protein interactions, GST and GST-Sam68 were purified from Escherichia coli as previously described (Sette et al., 1998) and incubated for 1 h with nuclear extracts. For RNA–protein interactions, 2 μg of purified GST proteins were equilibrated for 1 h in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, for 1 h with nuclear extracts. To demonstrate RNA–protein interactions, 2 μM GST pull-down of the mRNA–Sam68 and protein–protein interactions were used. GST pull-down of the mRNA–Sam68 and protein–protein interactions were used for the RT-PCR using M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. 10% of the reverse-transcription reaction was used as template together with the following primers: endogenous Bcl-x, Bcl-x1 (forward) and Bcl-x2 (reverse); real-time PCR, nBcl-x1 (forward) and nBcl-x2 (reverse). All primer sequences are listed in Fig. S4. Real-time PCR was performed using the iQ Sybr-green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot analysis

Cell extracts or immunoprecipitated proteins were diluted in SDS sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to Hybond-P membranes (GE Healthcare) as previously described (Sette et al., 2002). The following primary antibodies (1:1,000 dilution) were used (overnight at 4°C): rabbit anti-Sam68, rabbit anti-ERK, rabbit anti-Fyn, and mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (PY20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); mouse anti-ASF/SF2 (US Biological); mouse anti-Erk2, rabbit anti-Fyn, and mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (PY20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); mouse anti–hnRNP A1, mouse anti-tubulin, and rabbit anti-actin (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti–hnRNP A1 (Abcam); rabbit anti–phospho-ERKs (Cell Signaling); and rabbit anti–hnRNP A1 (Abcam). Secondary anti-mouse (1:1,000 dilution) were used (overnight at 4°C). Blots were washed three times with PBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature at a 1:10,000 dilution in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. Immunostained bands were detected by chemiluminescent method (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Annexin V staining

Transfected cells grown on 35-mm plates were harvested and processed for annexin V staining or for Western blot analysis. For annexin V staining, cells were washed in PBS and stained with the annexin V–PE (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. GFP-positive cells were then analyzed with a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Transfected HEK293 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed three times with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 7 min and incubated for 1 h in 0.5% BSA. Cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated for 2 h at room temperature with antibodies against cleaved caspase3 (1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich), SC25 (1:200; Sigma-Aldrich), Asf/SF2 (1:100), or hnRNP A1 (1:1,000), followed by 1 h of incubation with 1:200 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (GE Healthcare) and the membranes for 1 h at room temperature at a 1:10,000 dilution in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. Immunostained bands were detected by chemiluminescent method (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Transfected HEK293 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed three times with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 7 min and incubated for 1 h in 0.5% BSA. Cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated for 2 h at room temperature with antibodies against cleaved caspase3 (1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich), SC25 (1:200; Sigma-Aldrich), Asf/SF2 (1:100), or hnRNP A1 (1:1,000), followed by 1 h of incubation with 1:200 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (GE Healthcare) and the membranes for 1 h at room temperature at a 1:10,000 dilution in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. Immunostained bands were detected by chemiluminescent method (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Image acquisition and manipulation

Images in Fig. 3 A, Fig. 4 A, Fig. 6 A, and Fig. S1 A were taken from an inverted microscope (IX70; Olympus) using a LCA ch 20/0.40 objective. Images in Fig. 5 B were taken from a microscope (Axioskop; Carl Zeiss Microlmaging, Inc.) using a Plan-Neofluar 40x/0.75 objective. Images were acquired at room temperature using a RT-slider camera (Diagnostic Instruments) and the iAS2000 software (Bioisset82, Delta Systems). The confocal images in Fig. 7 A and Fig. S2 were taken from a confocal microscope (LSM510; Carl Zeiss Microlmaging, Inc.) using a Plan-Neofluar 40x/0.12 oil differential interference contrast objective and the LSM510 software (Carl Zeiss Microlmaging, Inc.). Images were acquired as Tiff files, and Photoshop and Illustrator (Adobe) were used for composing the panels.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that the ERK1/2 pathway does not regulate Sam68-mediated alternative splicing of Bcl-x. Fig. S2 shows the effects of overexpressed Fyn on the alternative splicing of endogenous Bcl-x transcripts. Fig. S3 shows the localization of Sam68 mutants and splicing regulators in HEK293 cells. Fig. S4 shows a list of the oligonucleotides used for the PCR reactions in the article. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200701005.DC1.
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