Telomere anchoring at the nuclear periphery requires the budding yeast Sad1-UNC-84 domain protein Mps3
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Positioning of telomeres at the nuclear periphery can have dramatic effects on gene expression by establishment of heritable, transcriptionally repressive subdomains. However, little is known about the integral membrane proteins that mediate telomere tethering at the nuclear envelope. Here, we find a previously unrecognized function for the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sad1-UNC-84 domain protein Mps3 in regulating telomere positioning in mitotic cells. Our data demonstrate that the nucleoplasmic N-terminal acidic domain of Mps3 is not essential for viability. However, this acidic domain is necessary and sufficient for telomere tethering during S phase and the silencing of reporter constructs integrated at telomeres. We show that this is caused by the role of the Mps3 acidic domain in binding and localization of the silent information regulator protein Sir4 to the nuclear periphery. Thus, Mps3 functions as an integral membrane anchor for telomeres and is a novel nuclear receptor for the Sir4 pathway of telomere tethering and gene inactivation.

Introduction

The three-dimensional organization of chromosomes within the nucleus of many organisms is nonrandom. Changes in chromosome position can have dramatic effects on gene expression by the establishment and maintenance of heritable transcriptionally repressive or active subdomains (Taddei et al., 2004b; Akhtar and Gasser, 2007; Fraser and Bickmore, 2007). The relationship between nuclear positioning and the epigenetic control of gene expression has perhaps been best characterized in Saccharomyces cerevisiae at the telomeres, where their localization at the nuclear periphery has been associated with transcriptional repression (for reviews see Rusche et al., 2003; Moazed et al., 2004; Akhtar and Gasser, 2007).

Yeast telomeres form a compact chromatin structure that not only protects the chromosome ends but also represses the transcription of adjacent genes by RNA polymerase II in a stable, heritable manner termed the telomere position effect (Gottschling et al., 1990). Telomeric silencing requires the silent information regulator (SIR) proteins Sir3 and 4, the NAD+–dependent histone deacetylase Sir2, and the heterodimeric proteins yKu70 and yKu80 in addition to several telomere-binding proteins (Rusche et al., 2003; Moazed et al., 2004). During S phase, both Sir4 and yKu70–yKu80 link telomeric heterochromatin to the nuclear envelope via interactions with Esc1, a large acidic protein located on the nucleoplasmic face of the inner nuclear membrane (Andrulis et al., 1998; Hediger et al., 2002; Gartenberg et al., 2004; Taddei et al., 2004a). However, the yKu70–yKu80 complex also interacts with another, unknown perinuclear factor during G1 (Hediger et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004a). Although telomeres frequently cluster near the nuclear periphery, it is not absolutely required for transcriptional inactivation of telomeric or subtelomeric genes (Gotta et al., 1996; Maillet et al., 1996, 2001; Taddei et al., 2004a). Instead, nuclear anchoring might promote gene repression by creating zones with high local concentrations of silencing factors.

Despite our considerable knowledge of proteins involved in the telomere position effect, integral membrane anchors for either the yKu70–yKu80 or Sir4–Esc1 pathways are unknown. Sad1–UNC-84 (SUN) domain proteins are excellent candidates to play a role in connecting telomeres with the nuclear envelope. These integral membrane proteins are present in the inner nuclear envelope of virtually all eukaryotes, with the conserved C-terminal SUN domain located in the space between the inner and outer nuclear membranes and the divergent N termini located in the nucleoplasm (for review see Starr and Fischer, 2005; Tzur et al., 2006; Worman and Gundersen, 2006). Recently, SUN proteins
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have been shown to bind to meiosis-specific telomere-binding proteins and play a role in the formation of the meiotic bouquet, a specialized clustering of telomeres at the nuclear envelope that occurs before meiosis I (Chikashige et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2006; Conrad et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2007; Penkner et al., 2007; Schmitt et al., 2007). The yeast SUN protein Mps3 has been shown to play a role in telomere clustering in mitotic cells (Antoniacci et al., 2007), suggesting that SUN proteins may also play a role in telomere tethering at the nuclear membrane during mitotic growth.

We generated mutants in the N-terminal acidic domain of Mps3 and found that this domain is not essential for viability or Mps3’s known function in spindle pole body (SPB) duplication (Jaspersen et al., 2002; Nishikawa et al., 2003). Instead, we found that the Mps3 N-terminal acidic domain functions to anchor chromosome arms at the nuclear envelope through the Sir4 pathway of telomere tethering. Thus, telomere positioning at the nuclear membrane is a conserved function that Mps3 plays in both mitotic and meiotic cells. The fact that mutants in the Mps3 N terminus also display defects in proper localization of Sir4 to the nuclear periphery and in telomeric silencing indicates that Mps3 likely functions as a nuclear membrane receptor for the SIR complex, which includes Sir4.

Results

Mps3 N-terminal mutants

To examine the role of Mps3 in telomere tethering during mitotic growth, we constructed a series of deletion mutants in the

Figure 1. The Mps3 acidic domain is required for peripheral nuclear envelope localization. [A] Schematic of Mps3 showing the acidic domain from amino acids 75–150 as well as the transmembrane domain (tm), regions of coiled-coil (cc), the polyglutamine repeat (pQ), and the SUN domain. [B] Versions of MPS3 in which the indicated N-terminal amino acids were deleted were constructed and transformed into SLJ2039 along with an empty vector and wild-type MPS3. The ability of each to rescue mps3Δ was tested by plating fivefold serial dilutions to 5-FOA. Cells were also stamped to SD-URA. Plates were incubated for 2 d at 30°C. [C] MPS3 (SLJ2059) and mps3Δ75–150 (SLJ2064) cells were grown to mid-log phase at 30°C. Flow cytometric analysis of DNA content and quantitation of budding index indicated that mps3Δ75–150 mutants do not arrest in mitosis. The biphasic peaks represent cells with G1 (1 N) and G2/M (2 N) DNA content. The percentage of large-budded cells in each sample is indicated. [D] Indirect immunofluorescence was performed to visualize microtubules (green), SPBs (anti-Tub4; red), and DNA (DAPI; blue). The percentage of large-budded cells with bipolar mitotic spindles was determined by counting the number of Tub4 signals per cell (n = 200). [E] Protein levels in extracts of wild-type (SLJ001), MPS3-GFP (SLJ2551), mps3Δ75–150-GFP (SLJ2552), and mps3Δ75–150–GFP MPS3 (SLJ2659) cells were determined by Western blotting with anti-GFP antibodies. Glucose–6-phosphate dehydrogenase served as a loading control. [F] Localization of MPS3-GFP and mps3Δ75–150–GFP (green) was determined by confocal imaging of yeast nuclei using avalanche photodiode detectors. Projection images were generated as described in Materials and methods. Arrows indicate the position of SPBs and the percentages indicate the proportion of cells observed with the localization pattern presented. [G] We also examined mps3Δ75–150–GFP localization in cells containing a wild-type copy of mps3 (SLJ2659). Bars, 5 μm.
nucleoplasmic Mps3 N terminus (Fig. 1 A) and transformed them into the LEU2 locus of a strain containing a complete deletion of the chromosomal copy of MPS3. These cells were kept alive by MPS3 on a centromeric URA3-marked plasmid. By plating cells to 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA), we could select for loss of the URA3 plasmid containing MPS3 and analyze the growth phenotype of the N-terminal deletion mutants. We found that the Mps3 N terminus is not required for viability (Fig. 1 B), although its complete elimination (amino acids 2–150) did result in reduced fitness, SPB duplication defects, and a temperature-sensitive growth phenotype (not depicted).

Deletion of the acidic region in the Mps3 N terminus (amino acids 75–150) did not result in any apparent growth abnormalities nor did cells display defects in SPB duplication (Fig. 1, B–D). It also did not affect localization to the SPB, although levels of mps3Δ75–150 mutants to determine the minimal region required for the N-terminal acidic domain in localization of Mps3 to the nuclear periphery.

The Mps3 N terminus is sufficient to restore telomere tethering to mps3Δ75–150 mutants

We expressed Mps3 N-terminal fragments from the MPS3 promoter in mps3Δ75–150 mutants to determine the minimal region of Mps3 necessary for recruitment of telomeres to the nuclear periphery (Fig. 3 A). Although none of these N-terminal constructs were able to complement growth of mps3Δ cells (not depicted), the soluble Mps3 N-terminal domain consisting of amino acids 1–150, as well as a version of Mps3 containing the entire N terminus (GFP-LacI) allowed visualization of the telomeric locus in living cells. The position of each spot relative to the nuclear periphery, as well as nuclear diameter, was monitored by coexpression of the nucleoporin Nup49 fused to GFP (NUP49-GFP, Fig. 2 A). By dividing the locus-to-periphery distance by the nuclear diameter, we assigned each spot a position into one of three concentric zones of equal volume that approximates its position within the nucleus (Hediger et al., 2002). Loci in the most peripheral zone (zone 1) are anchored to the nuclear envelope, whereas loci in the intermediate zone (zone 2) region may have partial contacts with the nuclear envelope. The innermost zone (zone 3) contains loci that are not associated with the nuclear periphery.

Examining of LacOR integrated at telomere VI-R, VIII-L, or XIV-L in wild-type cells revealed the preferential association of telomeres with the nuclear periphery that others have previously observed (Fig. 2 B; Hediger et al., 2002). In mps3Δ75–150 mutants, the nuclear envelope appeared intact and was similar to wild-type cells based on Nup49-GFP epifluorescence as well as immunofluorescence analysis with other nucleoporin antibodies (unpublished data). However, association of telomeres with the nuclear envelope was lost and chromosome ends assumed a random distribution within the nucleus (Fig. 2 B). The fact that we observed loss of anchoring of all three telomeres, which are known to have different molecular requirements for nuclear envelope association (Hediger et al., 2002), suggests that the Mps3 N terminus plays a fundamental role in telomere tethering at the nuclear periphery.
and the transmembrane domain (mps3 1–181), was able to restore tethering of both telomeres VI-R and XIV-L to the nuclear membrane in mps3 ∆75–150 mutants (Fig. 3 B). A version of the Mps3 N terminus lacking the acidic domain (mps3 1–75) failed to efficiently anchor telomeres (Fig. 3 B), suggesting that the acidic domain may be involved in an important binding interaction that tethers the chromosome ends at the nuclear periphery. Alternatively, mps3 1–75 may simply not be targeted correctly to the nucleus, although it is small enough to freely diffuse through nuclear pore complexes.

mps3 ∆75–150 mutants are defective in S phase telomere tethering

In G1 phase of the cell cycle, yKu70–yKu80–dependent tethering is the dominant mechanism of nuclear envelope localization of telomeres, whereas binding to the Sir4–Esc1 complex is thought to keep telomeres at the nuclear periphery during S phase (Hediger et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004a). We examined recruitment of telomeres VI-R and XIV-L to the nuclear envelope in G1 and S phase cells, which were scored in an asynchronous population of cells based on bud morphology, to determine if the yKu70–yKu80 or Sir4–Esc1 pathway is predominately affected in mps3 ∆75–150 mutants. Over 50 and 44% of G1 mps3 ∆75–150 cells contained telomeres VI-R and XIV-L, respectively, in the outermost zone of the nucleus compared with 34 and 29% of S phase cells (Fig. 4). This suggests that telomere tethering is most affected in S phase cells in mps3 ∆75–150 mutants and that Mps3 is likely involved in the Sir4–Esc1 pathway of telomere recruitment to the nuclear membrane.

The Mps3 acidic domain interacts with Sir4

To test if Mps3 physically interacts with Sir4, Esc1, or yKu70, we immunoprecipitated Mps3 fused at its C terminus to three copies of the HA epitope (Mps3-HA3) from yeast cells that had been lysed after liquid nitrogen grinding and Western blotted with anti-FLAG (to detect yKu70-FLAG3), anti-MYC (to detect Esc1-MYC13), or Sir4 antibodies. Mps3-HA3 bound to Sir4 (Fig. 5 A), but it did not reproducibly bind to Esc1 or yKu70 (not depicted). Binding was dependent on the Mps3 acidic domain, as mps3 ∆75–150–HA3 showed significantly reduced interaction with Sir4 (Fig. 5 A). We were unable to coimmunoprecipitate...
Mps3-HA3 with Sir4 from sir2Δ or 3Δ cells (Fig. 5 B), indicating that stable Sir4 binding to Mps3 is dependent on other members of the SIR complex. However, Sir4 binding to Mps3 did not depend on yKu70, Esc1, or the myosin-like proteins Mlp1 and 2, which play a role in nuclear membrane organization, telomere length regulation, and SPB assembly (Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999; Hediger et al., 2002; Niepel, et al., 2005). The ability of Mps3 to bind to Sir4 in the absence of Esc1 suggests that Mps3 could be a novel nuclear receptor for silencing complexes at the nuclear periphery during S phase.

Next, we wanted to examine the effect that the loss of Mps3 binding had on Sir4 localization in vivo. In 42% of wild-type cells enriched in S phase with hydroxyurea, Sir4 was present at multiple foci at the nuclear envelope (n = 100; Fig. 5 D). However, only 9% of mps3Δ75–150 cells maintained this staining pattern (n = 100). Most mps3Δ75–150 mutant cells contained an increased amount of Sir4 protein present in the diffuse nucleolar region that we frequently observed in the mutant (Fig. 5 D; unpublished data). Collectively, these data indicate that Mps3 interacts with Sir4 and functions to tether it throughout the nuclear periphery.

Chromosome recruitment using LexA-Sir4839–934

To further demonstrate the importance of binding between the Mps3 acidic domain and amino acids 839–934 of Sir4, we took advantage of a chromatin localization assay to test if Sir4839–934 could impart a specific localization to an otherwise randomly positioned chromosomal segment in an Mps3-dependent manner (Taddei et al., 2004a). We constructed a fusion between the coding sequence for amino acids 839–934 of Sir4, the LexA DNA-binding domain, and the nuclear localization sequence from SV40 large T antigen (LexA-Sir4839–934) and expressed it.
from the galactose-inducible GAL1 promoter in wild-type, \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150}, and \textit{esc1\Delta} cells. These cells also contained four LexA operators (lexA\textsuperscript{op}) linked to tandem copies of the LacO\textsubscript{R} integrated into one of two loci on chromosome VI: the transcriptionally active ARS607 locus, which is located on the arm of chromosome VI >50 kb from either the centromere or the telomere (Fig. 6 A), or the subtelomeric ARS609 locus, 23 kb from telomere VI-R, which has been truncated by replacement of normal subtelomeric elements with an ADE2 reporter gene linked to terminal TG\textsubscript{1–3} telomeric repeats (Fig. 6 C; Hediger et al., 2002).

Analysis of chromosome position revealed that LexA-Sir4\textsubscript{839–934} was able to efficiently tether chromosome arm sequences to the nuclear periphery in wild-type and \textit{esc1\Delta} cells but not in \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants (Fig. 6 B). In addition, expression of LexA-sir4\textsubscript{839–934} was also able to overcome the partial telomere tethering defect observed in \textit{esc1\Delta} mutants (Fig. 6 D; Taddei et al., 2004a), but it had no effect on \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} cells, which also have a partial tethering defect of the truncated telomere VI-R (Fig. 6 D). This strongly suggests that amino acids 839–934 of Sir4 interact with the Mps3 acidic domain at the nuclear periphery and that the effects of this domain on chromosome recruitment to the membrane are independent of Esc1 binding.

\textbf{Telomere silencing defects in \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants}

These same strains contain an ADE2 marker that allowed us to determine if \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants have defects in transcriptional regulation of telomeric genes as a result of the loss of telomere tethering. Wild-type cells appeared as sectored red and white colonies after growth on plates containing limiting amounts of adenine caused by the stochastic expression of ADE2 (Fig. 6 E). ESC1 has previously been shown to be partially required for telomeric silencing (Andrulis et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004a), and, consistent with these reports, colonies harboring \textit{esc1\Delta} appeared light pink to white in color because of increased expression of ADE2 at the truncated telomere (Fig. 6 E). \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants also did not show sectoring, and colonies were light pink to white in color (Fig. 6 E), indicating that telomere silencing is decreased in cells lacking the Mps3 acidic domain. Furthermore, induction of LexA-sir4\textsubscript{839–934} was able to rescue the silencing defect of \textit{esc1\Delta} but not \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants (Fig. 6 E). Collectively, these results demonstrate that defects in telomere tethering in \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants are directly correlated with effects on telomeric gene expression; the fact that neither can be rescued by LexA-sir4\textsubscript{839–934} strongly suggests

\begin{itemize}
  \item Telomere silencing defects in \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants
  \item These same strains contain an ADE2 marker that allowed us to determine if \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants have defects in transcriptional regulation of telomeric genes as a result of the loss of telomere tethering.
  \item Wild-type cells appeared as sectored red and white colonies after growth on plates containing limiting amounts of adenine caused by the stochastic expression of ADE2 (Fig. 6 E). ESC1 has previously been shown to be partially required for telomeric silencing (Andrulis et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004a), and, consistent with these reports, colonies harboring \textit{esc1\Delta} appeared light pink to white in color because of increased expression of ADE2 at the truncated telomere (Fig. 6 E).
  \item \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants also did not show sectoring, and colonies were light pink to white in color (Fig. 6 E), indicating that telomere silencing is decreased in cells lacking the Mps3 acidic domain. Furthermore, induction of LexA-sir4\textsubscript{839–934} was able to rescue the silencing defect of \textit{esc1\Delta} but not \textit{mps3\Delta 75–150} mutants (Fig. 6 E).
\end{itemize}
that the interaction between the Mps3 N terminus and Sir4 is important for telomere tethering and the telomere position effect.

In addition to telomeres, the mating-type loci and the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) locus are also silenced from transcription by RNA polymerase II. We analyzed transcriptional regulation at these loci in mps3Δ75−150 mutants using a strain containing reporter constructs to allow simultaneous detection of expression at telomere V-R, the silent mating-type locus HMR, and the rDNA (Fig. 7 A; Ray et al., 2003). Consistent with our telomere VI-R findings, we observed derepression of URA3 integrated at telomere V-R in mps3Δ75−150 cells, resulting in decreased growth on plates containing the suicide substrate 5-FOA (Fig. 7 B). Interestingly, we also observed a decrease in silencing at the rDNA locus in mps3Δ75−150 cells, whereas repression at HMR was increased ~10-fold (Fig. 7 B). The silencing defects observed in mps3Δ75−150 mutants are not as great as in sir2Δ cells, but the fact that mps3Δ75−150 sir2Δ double mutants do not have additive effects on silencing is consistent with the possibility that Mps3 and Sir2 are in the same pathway of transcriptional repression (Fig. 7 B).

Expression of the Mps3 N-terminal fragments mps3 1−150 and mps3 1−181 was able to restore telomere tethering at the nuclear periphery in mps3Δ75−150 mutants. We also found that when we expressed either mps3 1−150 or mps3 1−181 from the MPS3 promoter on a 2-μm plasmid in mps3Δ75−150 cells, it was sufficient to restore silencing at HMR, rDNA, and telomere V-R to similar levels observed in the wild type (Fig. 8). Therefore, the Mps3 N terminus is necessary and sufficient for both telomere tethering and the telomere position effect.

Discussion

In this paper, we have shown that the SUN protein Mps3 plays a role in the telomere position effect and telomere tethering at the nuclear periphery through the interaction of its N-terminal acidic domain with Sir4. As the first integral membrane protein in budding yeast known to play a role in chromosome positioning during mitotic growth, Mps3 could function to regulate chromatin structure or simply act as a DNA tether at the nuclear periphery. Based on the ability of LexA-sir4Δ39–931 to recruit both telomeric as well as actively transcribed chromosome arm DNA to the nuclear membrane in an Mps3- dependent manner, we propose that the primary function of Mps3 is to be a DNA/ chromatin anchor. Additional support for this hypothesis comes from recent findings that mps3Δ-3 mutants fail to cluster telomeres at the nuclear periphery (Antonacci et al., 2007), of the role of Mps3 in formation of the meiotic bouquet (Conrad et al., 2007), and of the fact that the N terminus lacks any motifs of functional significance that would suggest a role in chromatin assembly (Jaspersen et al., 2006).
Based on our chromosome recruitment studies with LexA-sir4Δ39-93Δ, we currently favor a model in which Mps3 does not directly bind DNA but rather associates with chromosomes via interaction of the N terminus with other proteins, including Sir4. Binding to chromatin-associated proteins such as Sir4 would allow Mps3 to recognize particular chromosome domains for selective tethering at the nuclear periphery. Given that we were unable to coimmunoprecipitate recombinant Mps3 and Sir4, it seems likely that the interaction between the Mps3 N terminus and Sir4 is facilitated by additional proteins or chromatin. Obvious candidates include Sir2 and 3 because binding between Mps3 and Sir4 in vivo was dependent on both of these proteins. Other candidates include the telomere elongation factor Est1, the establishment of cohesion protein Eco1/Ctf7, the protein kinase Cdc5, and the histone 2A variant Htz1, all of which are chromosome-interacting proteins that bind to Mps3 in the two-hybrid system (Uetz et al., 2000; Antoniacci et al., 2004; Antoniacci et al., 2007).

The silencing factors Sir2, 3, and 4 are present in limiting concentrations in the yeast nucleus except at the telomeres (Buck and Shore, 1995; Maillet et al., 1996; Marcand et al., 1996). Localization of silencing proteins as well as telomeres to the nuclear periphery is thought to create a subcompartment of the nucleus that favors silencing and protects the rest of the genome from aberrant transcriptional repression by the SIRs (for review see Akhtar and Gasser, 2007). Binding of Sir4 to Mps3 at the nuclear membrane could be an early step in the formation of silent heterochromatin at the telomeres, although Mps3 also may associate with telomere binding proteins to directly mediate recruitment of chromosome ends to the periphery (Antoniacci and Skibbens, 2006). Consistent with a role in recruitment of Sir4 and/or telomeres at the nuclear periphery, we observed a loss of telomeric silencing in mps3Δ75–150 mutants. However, the fact that we also did not observe a decrease in silencing at HMR indicates that the Mps3 N terminus does not play an integral role in silencing per se by altering chromatin structure but rather is additional evidence for our hypothesis that Mps3 is a nuclear envelope anchor. Increased silencing at the mating type loci in mps3Δ75–150 mutants is likely caused by dissociation of SIR proteins from telomeres followed by their redistribution to internal chromosomal sites such as HML and HMR, as has been observed previously for other mutants in telomere and SIR tethering (Martin et al., 1999; Hediger et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004a). Our analysis of Sir4 staining demonstrates that at least one component of the SIR complex is relocalized in mps3Δ75–150 mutants. However, the effects that this change in localization has on DNA binding of Sir4 and other components of the SIR complex at a molecular level are currently unknown.

Interaction between Mps3 and chromatin-associated proteins including Sir4 is likely important for proper localization of Mps3 to the inner nuclear membrane because Mps3 lacks any nuclear targeting information (Lusk et al., 2007). Loss of binding to nuclear proteins would result in a lack of Mps3 retention in the peripheral nuclear envelope; this is the phenotype we observed with mps3Δ75–150–GFP. The fact that Mps3 N-terminal fragments are able to restore both telomere positioning and silencing suggests that they contain information sufficient for nuclear localization, either through binding to mps3Δ75–150 protein still present in the cell or nuclear localization information present within the N-terminal fragments themselves. Localization of other SUN proteins to the inner nuclear envelope requires determinants in both the N- and C-terminal regions and in many cases involves interaction between the SUN protein and nuclear proteins, such as the lamins (Padmakumar et al., 2005; Starr and Fischer, 2005; Crisp et al., 2006; Haque et al., 2006; Hasan et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007).
Isolation and characterization of mps3Δ75–150 mutants indicates that the role of Mps3 in telomere positioning and gene regulation is separable from its function at the SPB. In addition, the fact that different regions of the Mps3 N terminus and distinct Mps3 binding partners appear to be required for mitotic versus meiotic telomere tethering (Conrad et al., 2007) strongly suggests that distinct mechanisms of chromosomal positioning likely exist during the different growth phases. The involvement of Mps3 in telomere tethering during both vegetative and meiotic growth raises the interesting possibility that other SUN proteins will also play a role in chromosome positioning during mitotic growth. Given the known connection between SUN proteins and the cytoskeleton, an additional interaction with chromatin could represent a novel mechanism of communication between the cytoplasm and the nucleus that does not require transport through the nuclear pore complex.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

All strains are derivatives of W303 (ade2-1, trp1-1, leu2-3,112, his3-1,118, can1-100) and are listed in Table S1 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200706040/DC1). Standard techniques were used for DNA and yeast manipulations.

Deletions in the Mps3 N terminus were constructed in pS148 (pRS305-MPS3) by introducing in-frame Nhel restriction sites to remove to the corresponding coding sequence after digestion and religation. Wild-type and mutant forms were digested with BflI to direct integration into the LEU2 locus of SU2039 and single copy integration was verified by Southern blotting.

N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 were created in pRS306 and pRS423 by PCR amplification of the indicated region of the MPS3 open reading frame along with ~800 bp of promoter as Xhol-BamHI fragments. Plasmids were digested with XcmI to target integration to URA3 or were directly transformed into yeast.

To create pRS306-MPS3-GFP and pRS306-mps3Δ75–150-GFP, the coding sequence for GFP was first amplified by PCR and inserted into the XbaI–SalI sites of pRS306. MPS3 and mps3Δ75–150, including ~800 bp of promoter sequence, were then amplified by PCR and ligated in frame to the GFP at the KpnI–BamHI sites. Both plasmids were digested with EcoRI to direct integration into the URA3 locus of a diploid strain containing mps3Δ3–NATMX. Haploid strains were recovered by sporulation.

Constructs for two-hybrid analysis were made by PCR amplification of the indicated regions of MPS3 and SIR4 with primers containing NcoI and XhoI ends. After digestion, the products were cloned into the NcoI–SalI sites of pSJ148. Deletions in the N terminus were constructed in pRS306 and MPS3 N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 with primers containing NcoI–XbaI–SacI sites of pRS306.

GAL-LexA-sir4839–934–NLS was amplified as a NcoI–BglII fragment and cloned into the NcoI–SacI sites of pRS306. The region of promoter at KpnI–XhoI, LacI at BamHI, and SV40 MPS3 SIR4 with primers containing NcoI were used for DNA and yeast manipulations. www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200706040/DC1). Standard techniques were used for DNA and yeast manipulations.

Deletions in the Mps3 N terminus were constructed in pS148 (pRS305-MPS3) by introducing in-frame Nhel restriction sites to remove to the corresponding coding sequence after digestion and religation. Wild-type and mutant forms were digested with BflI to direct integration into the LEU2 locus of SU2039 and single copy integration was verified by Southern blotting.

N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 were created in pRS306 and pRS423 by PCR amplification of the indicated region of the MPS3 open reading frame along with ~800 bp of promoter as Xhol-BamHI fragments. Plasmids were digested with XcmI to target integration to URA3 or were directly transformed into yeast.

To create pRS306-MPS3-GFP and pRS306-mps3Δ75–150-GFP, the coding sequence for GFP was first amplified by PCR and inserted into the XbaI–SalI sites of pRS306. MPS3 and mps3Δ75–150, including ~800 bp of promoter sequence, were then amplified by PCR and ligated in frame to the GFP at the KpnI–BamHI sites. Both plasmids were digested with EcoRI to direct integration into the URA3 locus of a diploid strain containing mps3Δ3–NATMX. Haploid strains were recovered by sporulation.

Constructs for two-hybrid analysis were made by PCR amplification of the indicated regions of MPS3 and SIR4 with primers containing NcoI and XhoI ends. After digestion, the products were cloned into the NcoI–SalI sites of pSJ148. Deletions in the N terminus were constructed in pRS306 and MPS3 N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 with primers containing NcoI–XbaI–SacI sites of pRS306.

GAL-LexA-sir4839–934–NLS was amplified as a NcoI–BglII fragment and cloned into the NcoI–SacI sites of pRS306. The region of promoter at KpnI–XhoI, LacI at BamHI, and SV40 MPS3 SIR4 with primers containing NcoI were used for DNA and yeast manipulations. www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200706040/DC1). Standard techniques were used for DNA and yeast manipulations.

Deletions in the Mps3 N terminus were constructed in pS148 (pRS305-MPS3) by introducing in-frame Nhel restriction sites to remove to the corresponding coding sequence after digestion and religation. Wild-type and mutant forms were digested with BflI to direct integration into the LEU2 locus of SU2039 and single copy integration was verified by Southern blotting.

N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 were created in pRS306 and pRS423 by PCR amplification of the indicated region of the MPS3 open reading frame along with ~800 bp of promoter as Xhol-BamHI fragments. Plasmids were digested with XcmI to target integration to URA3 or were directly transformed into yeast.

To create pRS306-MPS3-GFP and pRS306-mps3Δ75–150-GFP, the coding sequence for GFP was first amplified by PCR and inserted into the XbaI–SalI sites of pRS306. MPS3 and mps3Δ75–150, including ~800 bp of promoter sequence, were then amplified by PCR and ligated in frame to the GFP at the KpnI–BamHI sites. Both plasmids were digested with EcoRI to direct integration into the URA3 locus of a diploid strain containing mps3Δ3–NATMX. Haploid strains were recovered by sporulation.

Constructs for two-hybrid analysis were made by PCR amplification of the indicated regions of MPS3 and SIR4 with primers containing NcoI and XhoI ends. After digestion, the products were cloned into the NcoI–SalI sites of pSJ148. Deletions in the N terminus were constructed in pRS306 and MPS3 N-terminal truncation mutants in MPS3 with primers containing NcoI–XbaI–SacI sites of pRS306.

GAL-LexA-sir4839–934–NLS was amplified as a NcoI–BglII fragment and cloned into the NcoI–SacI sites of pRS306. The region of promoter at KpnI–XhoI, LacI at BamHI, and SV40 MPS3 SIR4 with primers containing NcoI were used for DNA and yeast manipulations. www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200706040/DC1). Standard techniques were used for DNA and yeast manipulations.


### Table S1. Yeast strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain relevant genotype</th>
<th>SLJ2039</th>
<th>SLJ2059</th>
<th>SLJ2064</th>
<th>SLJ2251</th>
<th>SLJ2252</th>
<th>SLJ2259</th>
<th>SLJ2514</th>
<th>SLJ2256</th>
<th>SLJ2250</th>
<th>SLJ2254</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLJ2164</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
<td>all strains are derivatives of W303 except SLJ1644 and SLJ1645.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>