Integrin-linked kinase localizes to the centrosome and regulates mitotic spindle organization
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Integrin-linked kinase (ILK) is a serine-threonine kinase and scaffold protein with well-defined roles in focal adhesions in integrin-mediated cell adhesion, spreading, migration, and signaling. Using mass spectrometry-based proteomic approaches, we identify centrosomal and mitotic spindle proteins as interactors of ILK. α- and β-tubulin, ch-TOG (XMAP215), and RUVBL1 associate with ILK and colocalize with it to mitotic centrosomes. Inhibition of ILK activity or expression induces profound apoptosis-independent defects in the organization of the mitotic spindle and DNA segregation. ILK fails to localize to the centrosomes of abnormal spindles in RUVBL1-depleted cells. Additionally, depletion of ILK expression or inhibition of its activity inhibits Aurora A–TACC3/ch-TOG interactions, which are essential for spindle pole organization and mitosis. These data demonstrate a critical and unexpected function for ILK in the organization of centrosomal protein complexes during mitotic spindle assembly and DNA segregation.

Introduction

Integrin-linked kinase (ILK) is a signaling and scaffold protein localized to focal and fibrillar adhesions (Hannigan et al., 2005; Legate et al., 2006). Identified as an interactor of integrin β1 and 3 cytoplasmic domains (Hannigan et al., 1996), ILK also regulates cell survival, proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis and PI3 kinase–dependent signal transduction (Hannigan et al., 2005). By interacting with the focal adhesion proteins PINCH, paxillin, and α- and β-parvin, ILK regulates integrin-mediated cell adhesion and cytoskeletal dynamics within focal adhesions to regulate cell adhesion, spreading, and migration (Legate et al., 2006). Tissue-specific gene knockout studies have revealed several essential roles of ILK in embryonic development, tissue homeostasis, and organ function (Bendig et al., 2006; White et al., 2006; Lorenz et al., 2007). In addition, ILK appears to be differentially required for cell survival and growth in normal versus cancer cells (Troussard et al., 2006). The diversity of phenotypes observed in these studies suggests complex regulation of ILK activity and adaptor functions.

To identify novel ILK protein–protein interactions that will provide further insights into the diverse functions of ILK, we analyzed ILK complexes by stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)–based mass spectrometry (Dobreva et al., 2008). In addition to identifying known interactors, such as PINCH and α-parvin, we also identified, with equal robustness, tubulin, and tubulin-interacting proteins, especially those known to localize to centrosomes, such as ch-TOG (XMAP215 and CKAP5; Gergely et al., 2003) and RUVBL1 (Pontin 52; Gartner et al., 2003). Ch-TOG has been shown to be essential for organizing spindle poles as well as stabilizing spindle microtubules (Gergely et al., 2003). RUVBL1 is an ATP helicase and has several established nuclear functions (Weiske and Huber, 2005). However, this protein also binds to tubulin and has been shown to localize to centrosomes within mitotic spindles (Gartner et al., 2003).

In this paper we show that in addition to its focal adhesion functions, ILK localizes to centrosomes with several newly identified binding partners and plays an essential role in mitotic spindle assembly and mitosis.

Results and discussion

Proteomic analysis of ILK interactors within the cytoskeleton identifies α- and β-tubulin, ch-TOG, and RUVBL1

To identify novel ILK-interacting proteins in the cytoskeleton, ILK was immunoprecipitated from cytoskeletal HEK293 cell...
extracts and immune complexes were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by SILAC-based gel-enhanced liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (GelC-MS/MS). Details of isotope labeling and analysis are described in Dobreve et al. (2008).

Together with cytoskeletal proteins already known to bind ILK, e.g., PINCH and α-parvin (Hannigan et al., 2005; Legate et al., 2006), several novel interactors were identified. A high proportion of these proteins are known to associate with the mitotic spindle and/or centrosomes. Our attention was drawn to α- and β-tubulin as well as to the tubulin binding proteins ch-TOG and RUVBL1. To confirm these interactions, anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates from cytoskeletal extracts of FLAG-ILK–expressing cells were Western blotted with antibodies to α- and β-tubulin, ch-TOG, and RUVBL1. As shown in Fig. 1 A, these proteins could be readily detected in FLAG-ILK, but not FLAG, immunoprecipitations. In addition, endogenous interactions were also confirmed (Dobreva et al., 2008). Yeast two-hybrid analysis indicated that the interaction of ILK with β-tubulin and RUVBL1 is not direct (unpublished data).

As ILK associated with proteins that localize to mitotic spindles and/or centrosomes, we next purified mitotic spindles (including centrosomes), as previously described (Sauer et al., 2005), and assessed whether ILK was present there. Enrichment of mitotic spindles and centrosomes was confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy (not depicted), and Western blotting revealed that in addition to known mitotic spindle proteins, such as α-tubulin and ch-TOG, a proportion of ILK also associated with the purified spindles together with RUVBL1, whereas PINCH and α-parvin (known focal adhesion ILK interactors) were not present on spindles (Fig. 1 B). These data show that ILK can partition between actin and tubulin cytoskeletal networks by associating with distinct protein components. We therefore examined the subcellular localization of ILK to determine whether it localizes to mitotic spindles and/or centrosomes.

ILK localizes to interphase and mitotic centrosomes

Immunofluorescence localization of ILK with a monoclonal anti-ILK antibody shows a largely focal and fibrillar adhesion pattern of ILK (Fig. 1 C) as previously reported (Sepulveda and Wu, 2006). However, costaining with pericentrin, a resident centrosomal protein, reveals that ILK is also present in centrosomes in interphase cells (Fig. 1 C). Cells at various stages of mitosis also show clear ILK-pericentrin colocalization (Fig. 1 D). The centrosome localization of ILK was confirmed by costaining with an alternative polyclonal anti-ILK antibody and an anti-α-tubulin antibody to stain microtubules and the mitotic spindle (Fig. 1 E). ILK staining was clearly concentrated at the centrosomes/microtubule organizing centers (MTOCs) from which the mitotic spindle radiates. ILK also localized to the centrosomes in HEK293 and IMR-90 cells (Fig. S1, A–C, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200710074/DC1). Staining cells with IgG controls and secondary antibodies confirmed the specificity of the ILK centrosomal staining (Fig. S1, D and E). As an additional control, it can be seen that upon ILK knockdown with siRNA, ILK staining is no longer observed in interphase (Fig. S1 F) or mitotic (see Fig. 4 B) centrosomes.

Inhibition of ILK activity and expression disrupts mitotic spindle organization

The striking localization of ILK to centrosomes suggests that it may play an important role in centrosome integrity, mitotic spindle organization, or microtubule polymerization. To address the role of the kinase activity of ILK in these functions, we initially used an ILK inhibitor, QLT-0267, which is a highly selective inhibitor of ILK activity (Troussard et al., 2006).

HEK293 or HeLa cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of QLT-0267 for 7 h and then fixed and immunostained for α-tubulin and DNA to identify mitotic cells. Examination of these cells revealed the appearance of abnormal mitotic spindles at 5 μM QLT-0267, whereas at 10 μM, 100% of mitotic cells showed spindle defects (Fig. 3 B). Specifically, there was asymmetrical localization of microtubules to spindle poles. It has previously been shown that QLT-0267 inhibits ILK kinase activity with an IC50 of between 2 and 5 μM, depending on the cell type (Troussard et al., 2006). This correlates very well with the effect on the mitotic spindle that is presented in Fig. 1 (F and G) shows colocalization in centrosomes of ILK with two of its newly identified associating proteins, RUVBL1 and ch-TOG. Ch-TOG shows partial colocalization with ILK as, in addition to being present in centrosomes, it is also found on the mitotic spindle as previously reported (Gergely et al., 2003). We also assessed whether α-parvin, a well-established ILK binding partner, localizes to centrosomes. Fig. 1 H shows cells costained for ILK and α-parvin in both interphase and mitosis. Although the α-parvin antibody clearly stains focal adhesions where it colocalizes with ILK (Fig. 1 H, bottom), it does not show colocalization with the prominent ILK staining at the centrosomes (Fig. 1 H, top), confirming the differential partitioning of ILK and α-parvin that is shown in Fig. 1 B.

RUVBL1 depletion causes a mitotic spindle defect and prevents localization of ILK to the centrosomes

Because RUVBL1 was specifically enriched with ILK in the SILAC-based proteomic analysis, we wanted to determine whether it might be involved in recruiting ILK to the centrosomes. We therefore depleted RUVBL1 expression with siRNA (Fig. 2 A). This resulted in the disruption of the mitotic spindle and DNA segregation as shown in Fig. 2 B. Quantification of this effect showed that 27% of mitotic cells showed this phenotype in RUVBL1 siRNA cells compared with only 4% in control cells (Fig. 2 C). Although centrosomes were intact, as shown by pericentrin localization, ILK failed to localize to the centrosomes in the RUVBL1-depleted cells (Fig. 2 D) in 100% of cells that showed the spindle defect. These data suggest that RUVBL1 is involved in recruiting ILK to the centrosomes, although it is possible that the severity of the spindle phenotype observed may indirectly prevent the localization of ILK to the centrosome.

Collectively, these results demonstrate that ILK can localize simultaneously to two distinct cellular regions, focal adhesions, and the centrosome by interacting with different protein partners and cytoskeletal components.
Figure 1. ILK interacts with tubulin, RUVBL1, and ch-TOG and localizes to centrosomes. (A) FLAG-ILK was immunoprecipitated from the cytoskeleton of HEK293 cells, and the presence of α- and β-tubulin, ch-TOG, and RUVBL1 was determined by Western blot. (B) Detergent-soluble fractions (S1 and S2), isolation buffer–soluble fraction (S3), and purified mitotic spindles (P) from HeLa cells Western blotted for indicated proteins are shown. (C–H) HeLa cells costained for ILK and indicated proteins as well as DNA. Insets are close-ups of small squares (C) or of centrosomes (F). Arrowheads, centrosomes; arrow, focal adhesions. Bars, ~10 μm.
Z-V AD-FMK. These data demonstrate that ILK activity is required locally for the maintenance of mitotic spindle integrity during mitosis.

Although QLT-0267 is highly selective for ILK and the effects of the inhibitor on the activation of downstream targets of ILK, such as Akt, can be rescued by overexpression of active, but not inactive, ILK (Troussard et al., 2006), it is still possible that the observed defects were caused by off-target effects. We therefore used siRNA to silence ILK expression to determine whether ILK is essential for mitotic spindle assembly and mitosis. As shown in Figure 4A, ILK siRNA resulted in >95% inhibition of ILK expression in HeLa cells. Examination of mitotic spindles in control and ILK siRNA–treated cells confirmed depletion of ILK expression in centrosomes and clearly showed a large increase in the accumulation of abnormal mitotic figures in the ILK siRNA–treated cells (Figure 4C). Cells showed similar disrupted phenotypes of mitotic spindles (Figure 4B) to those observed for QLT-0267 treatment, with asymmetrical and unorganized spindles resulting in misaligned chromosomes. The percentage of cells in a prometaphase/metaphase-like state with correctly aligned chromosomes was much greater in the control than in the ILK siRNA–treated cells (Figure 4D). The percentage of cells in later phases of mitosis declined dramatically in the ILK siRNA–treated cells, suggesting that cells were arrested in early stages of mitosis (Figure 4E). This is consistent with the increased mitotic index of cells treated with the ILK inhibitor, suggesting that perturbation of ILK function causes a block in mitosis.

ILK is required for Aurora A–TACC3/ch-TOG interactions

The current paradigm for the regulation of mitotic spindle organization is that Aurora A kinase phosphorylates TACC3, which...
Figure 3. Treatment with the ILK inhibitor QLT-0267 causes apoptotic-independent effects on mitotic spindles and an accumulation of cells in mitosis.

(A) HEK293 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of QLT-0267 for 7 h and the mitotic index was calculated. Data are mean ± SD of three independent experiments in which >400 cells were counted for each condition. (B and C) Cells were treated with QLT-0267 for 7 h and stained as indicated. (D) Cells were treated with the MEK inhibitor PD098059. (E and F) HeLa cells were treated with QLT-0267 for 1 h (E) or QLT-0267 + Z-VAD-FMK for 7 h (F). Bars, ~10 μm. (G) Quantification of apoptosis in indicated samples by Cell Death Detection ELISA PLUS. All samples, except QLT-0267 5 μM 1 h, are from the 7-h time point. Data are mean ± SD from two experiments. (H) Indicated cell lysates (all 7-h treatments) were Western blotted for PARP.
spindle, however, no RUVBL1 can be seen at the centrosome. As Fig. 2 shows that RUVBL1 disruption leads to loss of ILK localization at the centrosome, these data suggest that ILK and RUVBL1 may localize to the centrosome as a co-complex and are dependent on each other for their centrosomal localization.

In this paper, we provide two novel and unexpected results. First, we have shown that ILK localizes to focal adhesions and centrosomes simultaneously in interphase cells. ILK also colocalizes with the tubulin-interacting proteins ch-TOG and RUVBL1 through all phases of mitosis. Surprisingly, the focal adhesion partner of ILK, β-parvin (Legate et al., 2006), did not localize in centrosomes, whereas RUVBL1 appears to be required for localization of ILK to centrosomes. These results suggest that ILK associates with distinct protein partners to localize to distinct subcellular regions. Although unexpected for ILK, the localization of focal adhesion proteins to centrosomes is not without precedent, as several focal adhesion proteins, such as HEF1 (Pugacheva and Golemis, 2005), Ajuba (Hirota et al., 2003), zyxin (Hirota et al., 2000), and paxillin (Herreros et al., 2000), have also been shown to localize and function in centrosomes and MTOCs.

Second, ILK plays an essential role in mitotic spindle organization because disruption of its kinase activity or inhibition of its expression has profound effects on this structure. The similar phenotype of ch-TOG-depleted spindles (Gergely et al., 2003; Barros et al., 2005; Kinoshita et al., 2005). To determine the role of ILK in mitotic spindle organization, we analyzed whether the interaction between Aurora A and TACC3/ch-TOG is affected in the absence of ILK. As shown in Fig. 5 A, although immunoprecipitation of Aurora A from control siRNA-treated cells results in the copurification of both TACC3 and ch-TOG, these proteins are not associated with Aurora A in ILK siRNA-treated cells. The ILK inhibitor QLT-0267 also resulted in the inhibition of interaction of TACC3 with Aurora A (Fig. 5 B), indicating that the kinase activity of ILK may be required for its proper function in the centrosome. Examination of the localization of Aurora A, TACC3, and ch-TOG demonstrated that although the spindles are disrupted in these cells, these proteins still colocalize to centrosomes at sites of microtubule nucleation (Fig. 5, C and D). Therefore, although the interactions between these proteins are impaired in ILK-depleted cells, as seen by co-immunoprecipitation, ILK depletion does not cause a severe mislocalization of these proteins. To test whether ILK may have an effect on the activation of Aurora A, we also costained siRNA-treated cells for total and phospho-T288–Aurora A. As seen in Fig. 5 E, however, phospho–T288–Aurora A is still present at centrosomes treated with ILK siRNA, suggesting that ILK does not affect the activation of Aurora A in this manner. ILK siRNA-treated cells were also stained for RUVBL1 to examine the potential effect of ILK depletion on RUVBL1 localization. Fig. 5 F shows two mitotic cells in ILK siRNA-treated cells. One cell appears normal and RUVBL1 can be seen to localize to one of the centrosomes. In the cell showing an aberrant spindle, however, no RUVBL1 can be seen at the centrosome. As Fig. 2 shows that RUVBL1 disruption leads to loss of ILK localization at the centrosome, these data suggest that ILK and RUVBL1 may localize to the centrosome as a co-complex and are dependent on each other for their centrosomal localization.

In this paper, we provide two novel and unexpected results. First, we have shown that ILK localizes to focal adhesions and centrosomes simultaneously in interphase cells. ILK also colocalizes with the tubulin-interacting proteins ch-TOG and RUVBL1 through all phases of mitosis. Surprisingly, the focal adhesion partner of ILK, β-parvin (Legate et al., 2006), did not localize in centrosomes, whereas RUVBL1 appears to be required for localization of ILK to centrosomes. These results suggest that ILK associates with distinct protein partners to localize to distinct subcellular regions. Although unexpected for ILK, the localization of focal adhesion proteins to centrosomes is not without precedent, as several focal adhesion proteins, such as HEF1 (Pugacheva and Golemis, 2005), Ajuba (Hirota et al., 2003), zyxin (Hirota et al., 2000), and paxillin (Herreros et al., 2000), have also been shown to localize and function in centrosomes and MTOCs.

Second, ILK plays an essential role in mitotic spindle organization because disruption of its kinase activity or inhibition of its expression has profound effects on this structure. The similar phenotype of ch-TOG- and ILK-depleted spindles (Gergely et al., 2003) suggests that ILK may play an important role in ch-TOG function, such as in the regulation or maintenance of ch-TOG–TACC3 interaction (Gergely et al., 2003; LeRoy et al., 2007). Disruption of the interaction between Aurora A and TACC3/ch-TOG in ILK-depleted cells suggests that ILK is
required in the centrosomes for the maintenance of these interactions. It remains to be determined whether ILK regulates Aurora A kinase activity or TACC3 phosphorylation.

Supporting a functional role for ILK at the centrosome, a siRNA screen to identify protein kinases involved in regulating the cell cycle in Drosophila melanogaster cells identified ILK as being required for mitosis (Bettencourt-Dias et al., 2004). ILK silencing resulted in abnormal spindle assembly and altered chromosomal segregation. In addition, integrin function can regulate mitotic spindle assembly and cytokinesis (Reverte et al., 2006). This interesting finding suggests a complex interplay between adhesion complexes and the MTOC and requires further investigation.

Our findings raise some interesting and important questions. First, are the focal adhesion and centrosomal functions of ILK connected or are they independent? The simultaneous localization of ILK to focal adhesions and centrosomes would suggest independent roles, although it will be interesting to determine whether ILK function in centrosomes alters in cells in which focal adhesions are disrupted or integrin activity is modulated. Second, what are the precise functions and targets of ILK in the centrosome and mitotic spindle? Based on our results, ILK appears to be required for the formation of the Aurora A–TACC3/ch-TOG complex. In addition, some of the known signaling effectors of ILK, namely Akt, GSK-3, and β-catenin, have all been shown to localize in centrosomes and mitotic spindles (Wakefield et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2007).

In this paper, we have identified a novel role of ILK in mitosis as a centrosomal protein required for mitotic spindle organization by regulating the interplay between Aurora A and TACC3/ch-TOG. The precise functions of ILK in the centrosomes will be the focus of future studies.

Figure 5. **ILK siRNA causes a disruption of Aurora A–TACC3/chTOG interaction.** [A] HeLa cells were transfected with control or ILK siRNA and synchronized with nocodazole. Aurora A kinase was immunoprecipitated from cytoskeletal extracts with a monoclonal anti–Aurora A antibody and then Western blotting was performed with polyclonal antibodies against Aurora A, TACC3, and ch-TOG. [B] A similar experiment to A was performed on cells treated with QLT-0267 and a DMSO control. [C–F] Effect of ILK siRNA on localization of ILK-interacting proteins. HeLa cells were transfected and stained as indicated. Phospho–Aurora A/B/C staining is presumably phospho–Aurora A rather than Aurora B or C, as it colocalizes with total Aurora A; Aurora B is not found on centrosomes, and Aurora C is only expressed in testis. Bars, ~10 μm.
Materials and methods

Cell culture and SILAC

HEK293 cells expressing FLAG were cultured in 42 mg/liter of normal isotopic abundance arginine and 73 mg/liter of normal isotopic abundance lysine, whereas cells expressing FLAG-ILK were in 43.5 mg/liter $^{15}N$-arginine and 75 mg/liter $^{15}N$-lysine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.). All SILAC media was based on arginine- and lysine-free DME (Caision Laboratories, Inc.) supplemented with 1% dialyzed FBS (Invitrogen), 1% ch-TOG, 1% Pen/Strep (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 200 μg/ml gentamicin.

Isolation of the cytoskeleton

The cytoskeleton was extracted as previously described (Arregui et al., 1994; Dobreve et al., 2008). In brief, cells were rinsed with CSB (10 mM Pipes, pH 6.2, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM EDTA, 3 mM MgCl$_2$, and 2 μM glycerol) and soluble proteins were removed with CSB containing 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 50 μg/ml leupeptin, 50 μg/ml aprotinin, 2 mM NaF, and 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$ for 2 min at 37°C. The remaining cytoskeleton was collected in extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 80 mM KCl, 30 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EGTA, 0.25 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 50 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 mM PMSF, and 1% Triton X-100), sonicated, and dialyzed in TBS, pH 8.0 (0.05 M Tris, 0.138 M NaCl, and 0.0027 M KCl), containing 1 mM EDTA. Precipitate was removed by centrifugation and protein concentration was measured by the BCA method (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Isolation of mitotic spindles

Mitotic spindles were purified as described previously (Sauer et al., 2005) with modification. In brief, Hela cells were grown in 15 plates until confluence and synchronized with 1 μM nocodazole for 16 h. The mitotic cells were then collected by shake off and centrifugation at 300 g, followed by a PBS wash, and released into normal medium for 40 min until most cells had reached metaphase (verified by immunofluorescence staining with DAPI for metaphase plate formation). Microtubules were then stabilized by the addition of 5 μg/ml taxol for 3 min. The cells were then collected, washed with PBS containing 2 μg/ml latrunculin B, 1 mM PMSF, and 5 μg/ml taxol, and incubated in lysis buffer (100 mM Pipes, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 2 mM EGTA, 0.5% NP-40, 5 μg/ml taxol, 2 μg/ml latrunculin B, 200 μg/ml DNase I, 10 μg/ml RNase A, 1 U/ml micrococcal nuclease, 20 U/ml benzonase, Complete protease inhibitors [Roche], 1 mM PMSF, and 20 mM β-glycerophosphate) for 15 min at 37°C (fraction S1 collected). The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 700 g for 2 min, resuspended in the same buffer, incubated for 5 min at 37°C, and harvested again by centrifugation (fraction S2 collected). The mitotic spindles were isolated by incubating the lysed cell ghosts in isolation buffer (1 mM Pipes, 5 μg/ml taxol, and Complete protease inhibitors) for 5 min and collected by centrifugation at 1,500 g for 3 min (fraction S3 collected). The pellet was resuspended in isolation buffer (fraction P and mitotic spindles) and the presence of mitotic spindles and spindle-associated proteins was confirmed by immunofluorescence staining for tubulin, pericentrin, and ILK. The spindles were then disrupted by sonication and proteins were resolved on gradient SDS-PAGE gels. Presence of known spindle proteins, such as tubulin and ch-TG, were confirmed by Western blotting.

Immunoprecipitation, GelC–MS/MS, and data analysis

Equal amounts of cytoskeleton from FLAG and FLAG-ILK-expressing cells were combined and precleared with protein A beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at 4°C. The remaining supernatant was immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with 50 μl ANTI-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich). The beads were collected by centrifugation, washed three times with washing buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM NaF, 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, and one tablet of protease inhibitor [Roche]) and boiled in sample buffer. Proteins were resolved on 5–15% SDS-PAGE gels and stained with blue-silver (Candido et al., 2004) before in-gel digestion (Shevchenko et al., 1996). Extracted peptides were concentrated/desalted/filtered on Stop and Go Extraction Tips (Rappisilber et al., 2003) and analyzed by GelC–MS/MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as previously described (Chen et al., 2006). Fragment octuplets were extracted and the resulting peak list was searched against the human International Protein Index database (v3.29; 68,161 sequences) using Mascot (v2.1; Matrix Science). SILAC ratios were extracted as previously described (Foster et al., 2006).

Coimmunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis

Immunoprecipitation was performed as described in the previous section and proteins were resolved on SDS-PAGE gels. Transfer membranes were blocked with Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR Biosciences) and incubated overnight with primary antibodies diluted in TBS, 5% BSA, or 0.05% Tween. The primary antibodies were detected by Alexa Fluor 680 (Invitrogen) or IRDye 800-conjugated secondary antibodies (Rockland Immunotherapeutics, Inc.) diluted at 1:5,000 in 5% nonfat dry milk, TBS buffer, and 0.2% NP-40 and visualized with the Odyssey IR imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Hela and HEK293 cells were cultured under standard conditions and fixed in −20°C methanol for 10 min. PBS containing 1% BSA was added to cells for 30 min to block nonspecific interactions. Primary antibodies were then added for 1 h at 4°C in goat serum/gelatin blocking buffer, cells were washed, and secondary antibodies were added for 1 h at room temperature also in blocking buffer. HOECHST was added to stain DNA and coverslips were mounted in mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Cells were viewed under a fluorescence microscope (Axioplan 2; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) using a 40x/0.75 objective (Plan-Neofluar; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) at room temperature. Images were acquired using a camera (AxioCam HRC; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) and AxioVision 3.1 software (Carl Zeiss, Inc.), saved as tif files, and processed using Photoshop CS2 (Adobe). Only brightness/contrast adjustments were made to images.

Antibodies, ILK inhibitor, and siRNA

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-RUVBL1 (Protein-tech); rabbit anti–ch-TG, anti-ILK, and anti-pericentrin (AbCam); rabbit anti–α-parvin and mouse DRA Aα- tubulin, anti– Aurora A, and anti–β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti–ILK (Millipore); rabbit anti-TACC3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); and rabbit anti–PARP and anti–phospho– Aurora A (Thr288)/B (Thr232)/C (Thr198; Cell Signaling Technology). Secondary antibodies used for immunofluorescence labeling were Alexa Fluor goat anti–mouse (488 nm) and goat anti–rabbit (594 nm; Invitrogen). QIT-0267 (Quadra Logic Technology, Inc.) was diluted in DMSO and added to cells for 7 h unless otherwise stated. ILK [AAC ACG CTC AGC AGA CAT GTG GA], RUVBL1, or control nonsilencing [AAT TCT CCG AAC GTG TCA CGT] siRNAs (Qiagen) were introduced into cells by using siLentFect reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To achieve sufficient ILK knockdown in centrosomes, cells were transfected twice (at day 0 and 2) with 100 nM ILK siRNA and then fixed/harvested on day 3. RUVBL1 siRNA was transfected once on day 0 and cells were fixed/harvested on day 3. Apoptosis was measured using Cell Death Detection ELISA PLUS (Roche). The assay and data analyses were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Online supplemental material

In Fig. S1, ILK localizes to centrosomes in metaphase in three human cell lines and can be detected there using three different anti-ILK antibodies. Cells were also transfected with either ILK or control siRNA, stained for ILK, pericentrin, and HOECHST, and imaged in interphase. In Fig. S2, pericentrin and ch-TG also localize to the spindle poles in cells treated with QIT-0267, a specific small molecule inhibitor of ILK. Although the tubulin staining shows an aberrant mitotic spindle, pericentrin and ch-TG still localize to the sites of spindle nucleation. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200710074/D1C.
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