Dances with leukocytes: how tetraspanin-enriched microdomains assemble to form endothelial adhesive platforms
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Rather than just providing an unstructured adhesive surface for leukocytes, cytokine-activated endothelial cells assemble preexisting tetraspanin-enriched microdomains to form endothelial adhesive platforms (EAPs) and endothelial docking structures. In this issue of the Journal of Cell Biology, Barreiro et al. (Barreiro, O., M. Zamai, M. Yáñez-Mó, E. Tejera, P. López-Romero, P.N. Monk, E. Gratton, V.R. Caiolfa, and F. Sánchez-Madrid. 2008. J. Cell Biol. 183:527–542) show how the immunoglobulin superfamily adhesion molecules intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)–1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)–1 form nanoclusters with the tetraspanins CD9 and CD151 in a physiologically relevant system. Furthermore, convincing biochemical data suggest that these structures are distinct from lipid rafts.

Most studies of leukocyte adhesion focus on leukocyte integrin activation by chemokine-triggered inside-out signaling (Ley et al., 2007) and consider an active role of endothelial cells only after adhesion has occurred and the leukocytes are ready to transmigrate (Imhof and Aurrand-Lions, 2004). The paper by Barreiro et al. (2008; see page 527 in this issue) dissects the molecular mechanisms of the active endothelial contribution to leukocyte adhesion. The authors focus on ICAM-1, the main ligand for the leukocyte α4β1 integrin (LFA-1), and VCAM-1, the main ligand for the leukocyte α6β4 integrin. In a previous study (Barreiro et al., 2005), the same group had shown that ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 both are recruited into endothelial docking structures even when incubated with leukocytes that express only one of the two integrins, α1β2 or α6β4. One plausible explanation was that the cytoskeletal tails of ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 might interact with the underlying cytoskeleton. In the current paper, Barreiro et al. (2008) show that VCAM-1 with a truncated cytoplasmic tail is still recruited into EAPs upon incubation of endothelial cells with α6β4-expressing K562 cells or primary T lymphoblasts in which α4β1 integrin had been inactivated, suggesting that cytoskeletal interaction is not required. A second possibility was that ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 formed heterodimers in endothelial cells. In the present study, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy with fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FLIM-FRET) studies showed no energy transfer between ICAM-1 tagged with GFP and VCAM-1 tagged with red fluorescent protein, suggesting that heterodimerization is less likely. Instead, FRAP experiments show that ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are associated with the tetraspanins CD9 and CD151 in preformed tetraspanin-enriched microdomains (TEMs). Tetraspanins are a family of 33 hydrophobic, small transmembrane proteins, some of which organize these microdomains (Hemler, 2003). Immuno electron microscopy on fixed endothelial cells suggests that the TEMs may be small, <100 nm in diameter. Light microscopy suggests a size of 300 nm, which is at the resolution limit of this technique. Remarkably, TEMs all contain the same amount of immunoreactivity, suggesting that they may represent controlled, preassembled units. Indeed, FLIM-FRET studies showed that the interaction strength between CD9 and ICAM-1, between CD151 and VCAM-1, and between the two tetraspanins was similar.

Although tetraspanins have been shown to associate with gangliosides (Cia et al., 2001) and remain incompletely soluble in detergent, the association between lipid rafts and tetraspanins remains unclear. Tetraspanin microdomains were found to be distinct from lipid rafts, because no association between tetraspanins and glycosylinositol phospholipid–linked proteins was found (Yang et al., 2004). The study by Barreiro et al. (2008) adds another important finding, that the TEMs show a specific size and cellular distribution distinct from lipid rafts.

When leukocytes adhere to endothelial cells and the docking structures are formed (Fig. 1), ICAM-1, VCAM-1, CD9, and CD151 all showed reduced diffusivity and increased immobile fraction, suggesting that the TEM units stay intact and assemble to form the docking structures. Although the immobile fraction of ICAM-1 is higher and its diffusivity lower in ICAM-1– than in VCAM-1–mediated docking structures and vice versa, there...
is a measurable restriction of diffusion for all four molecules tested. This was shown by FRAP and confirmed by a second, more sensitive method called fluorescence correlation spectroscopy.

What does all this mean? First, Barreiro et al. (2008) provide an attractive model for how endothelial cells respond to leukocytes that initiate and then maintain adhesion. Rather than clustering of individual endothelial ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 molecules triggered by integrin rearrangement on the leukocyte, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are already preassembled in TEM structures, together with the tetraspanins CD9 and CD151. In that study, leukocyte–endothelial adhesion was tested in the absence of physiological shear stress, which may influence the results. Most leukocytes roll before they adhere, which may impact the formation of docking structures.

One wonders what else might be in the TEMs. Barreiro et al. (2008) report that platelet endothelial adhesion molecule (PECAM)–1, CD44, junctional adhesion molecule (JAM)–A, and ICAM-2, but not vascular endothelial (VE)–cadherin, are found in TEMs and EAPs. Of these molecules, PECAM-1 (Mamdouh et al., 2003), JAM-A (Woodfin et al., 2007), and ICAM-2 (Huang et al., 2006) have all been shown to be involved in transendothelial migration of leukocytes. In contrast, VE-cadherin was not found in EAPs. VE-cadherin localizes to interendothelial junctions, seems to hinder transmigration, and appears to get out of the way as transmigration proceeds (Shaw et al., 2001). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the EAP structures not only form docking structures, as shown by Barreiro et al. (2008), but also may begin to assemble the machinery necessary for transmigration. Alternative models of transmigration have been proposed, including a scenario where lymphoblasts extend “podosomes” to make dimples in the endothelial cell and probe for a route for transmigration (Carman et al., 2007). Another model suggests membrane recycling from a specialized compartment near the interendothelial junctions (Mamdouh et al., 2003). How these different models can be reconciled remains to be seen. There may be differences in different endothelial cells representing different vascular beds and also among different leukocyte subsets. But the study by Barreiro et al. (2008) provides a solid foundation on which such future studies can be built.

Submitted: 24 September 2008
Accepted: 9 October 2008

References


