The nuclear export factor Xpo1p targets Mad1p to kinetochores in yeast
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Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) mediate all nucleocytoplasmic traffic and provide docking sites for the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) protein Mad1p. Upon SAC activation, Mad1p is recruited onto kinetochores and rapidly cycles between NPCs and kinetochores. We examined the mechanism of Mad1p movement onto kinetochores and show that it is controlled by two components of the nuclear transport machinery, the exportin Xpo1p and Ran–guanosine triphosphate (GTP). Mad1p contains a nuclear export signal (NES) that is recognized by Xpo1p. The NES, Xpo1p, and RanGTP are all required for Mad1p recruitment onto kinetochores in checkpoint-activated cells. Consistent with this function, Xpo1p also accumulates on kinetochores after SAC activation. We have also shown that Xpo1p and RanGTP are required for the dynamic cycling of Mad1p between NPCs and kinetochores in checkpoint-arrested cells. These results reveal an important function for Xpo1p in mediating intranuclear transport events and identify a signaling pathway between kinetochores and NPCs.

Introduction

Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) control macromolecular movement across the nuclear envelope. The gateways formed by NPCs selectively allow soluble nuclear transport factors (many referred to as karyopherins [kaps] or importins and exportins) and their cargoes to partition across the nuclear envelope (for review see Hetzer et al., 2005). Importins bind to cargoes containing NLSs, whereas exportins bind to nuclear export signals (NESs). A characteristic structural feature of kaps is a domain capable of binding RanGTP. Ran (Gsp1p in yeast) is primarily found in the nucleus, where the chromatin-bound Ran–guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF; Rcc1 in vertebrates and Prp20p in yeast) maintains it in a GTP-bound form. For importins, RanGTP binding induces conformational changes in the kaps that release cargoes in the nucleoplasm. Conversely, RanGTP binding to exportins increases their affinity for NES-containing cargoes. The resulting trimeric complex is stable until exported into the cytoplasm, where it encounters a second Ran effector, Ran–GTPase-activating protein (GAP) (RanGAP1 in vertebrates and Rna1p in yeast), which binds RanGTP and stimulates its GTPase activity. Conversion to RanGDP destabilizes the export complex and allows cargo release.

In addition to their roles in nucleocytoplasmic trafficking, various elements of the transport machinery have been implicated in mitotic events, with much of this work conducted in vertebrate model systems. For example, the vertebrate Nup107–160 subcomplex is recruited to kinetochores during mitosis (Loiodice et al., 2004), where it may function in kinetochore–microtubule attachment (Zuccolo et al., 2007). These Nups may also function as a binding site at kinetochores for the exportin Crm1 (Zuccolo et al., 2007). During mitosis, Crm1 associates with kinetochores and together with RanGTP targets RanGAP1 and Nup358/RanBP2 to kinetochores (Arnaoutov et al., 2005). Disrupting these events results in both microtubule–kinetochore attachment defects and errors in chromosome segregation (Arnaoutov et al., 2005).

The concentration of vertebrate RanGAP1 at mitotic kinetochores suggests that the conversion of RanGTP to RanGDP predominates at kinetochores. What role this plays in kinetochore function is unclear. Interestingly, adjacent to kinetochores, RanGEF is concentrated on condensed chromosomes, and RanGTP predominates near these structures. One function of this RanGTP cloud is to activate spindle assembly factors (for review see Kalab and Heald, 2008). These observations represent a growing number of results linking Ran and Ran-binding proteins, including kaps in spindle, centrosome, and kinetochore structure and function.
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et al., 2002; Gillett et al., 2004). The association of Mad1p with the NPC is dependent on Nup60p and two proteins, Mlp1p and Mlp2p, that form fibers extending from the nucleoplasmic face of NPC. Removal of Nup60p (nup60/H9004) releases Mlp1p and Mlp2p from NPC, leading to their concentration in a single intranuclear focus that retains Mad1p binding (Fig. 1A; Feuerbach et al., 2002; Scott et al., 2005). This focus can be clearly distinguished from kinetochores, allowing visualization of Mad1p movement from its NPC-binding sites to kinetochores after nocodazole-induced disruption of microtubules and activation of the SAC (Figs. 1A and 2A; Scott et al., 2005).

Mad1p contains a functional NES
Mad1p is bound to NPCs throughout the cell cycle; however, after activation of SAC, a pool of Mad1p is visible at unattached kinetochores until termination of the checkpoint (Iouk et al., 2002; Gillett et al., 2004). The association of Mad1p with the NPC is dependent on Nup60p and two proteins, Mlp1p and Mlp2p, that form fibers extending from the nucleoplasmic face of NPC. Removal of Nup60p (nup60Δ) releases Mlp1p and Mlp2p from NPC, leading to their concentration in a single intranuclear focus that retains Mad1p binding (Fig. 1A; Feuerbach et al., 2002; Scott et al., 2005). This focus can be clearly distinguished from kinetochores, allowing visualization of Mad1p movement from its NPC-binding sites to kinetochores after nocodazole-induced disruption of microtubules and activation of the SAC (Figs. 1A and 2A; Scott et al., 2005).

Results and discussion

Monitoring Mad1p movement between NPCs and kinetochores
Yeast Mad1p is bound to NPCs throughout the cell cycle; however, after activation of SAC, a pool of Mad1p is visible at unattached kinetochores until termination of the checkpoint (Iouk et al., 2002; Gillett et al., 2004). The association of Mad1p with the NPC is dependent on Nup60p and two proteins, Mlp1p and Mlp2p, that form fibers extending from the nucleoplasmic face of NPC. Removal of Nup60p (nup60Δ) releases Mlp1p and Mlp2p from NPC, leading to their concentration in a single intranuclear focus that retains Mad1p binding (Fig. 1A; Feuerbach et al., 2002; Scott et al., 2005). This focus can be clearly distinguished from kinetochores, allowing visualization of Mad1p movement from its NPC-binding sites to kinetochores after nocodazole-induced disruption of microtubules and activation of the SAC (Figs. 1A and 2A; Scott et al., 2005).
sensitive to the fungal toxin leptomycin B (LMB; Neville and Rosbash, 1999). A control reporter, SV40-NLS/PKI-NES-GFP, and the SV40-NLS/Mad1563–576-GFP chimera were visible throughout the cell (Fig. 1 C, top left). Upon addition of LMB to cells, both reporters accumulated in the nucleus, suggesting that Xpo1p recognizes Mad1563–576 as an NES (Fig. 1 C). Blocking Xpo1p function using an xpo1-1 temperature-sensitive (Ts) mutant yielded similar results (Fig. 1 D, 37°C). Of note, we also observed that the xpo1-1 mutation caused a decrease in NPC-associated Mad1p (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200804098/DC1). We conclude from these data that Mad1p contains an Xpo1p-specific NES that contributes to its NPC localization.

SAC-induced accumulation of Mad1p to kinetochores is dependent on Xpo1p

We further examined whether kaps play a role in the association of Mad1p with kinetochores during SAC arrest. Mutations in KAP95 (kap95-14; Leslie et al., 2002), MSN5 (msn5Δ), or XPO1 (xpo1-T539C) were introduced into a nup60Δ strain, and the localization of Mad1-GFP was examined after nocodazole-induced activation of the SAC. Mad1-GFP targeting to kinetochores was unaffected by the msn5Δ or kap95-14 mutation and appeared similar to that seen in WT cells. In contrast, LMB inactivation of xpo1-T539C prevented Mad1-GFP accumulation at kinetochores (Fig. 2). These results implicated Xpo1p in the targeting of Mad1p to kinetochores. However, LMB did not affect the localization of the kinetochore protein Mtw1p or the SAC protein Bub1p (Fig. 2 and Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200804098/DC1), suggesting that Xpo1p is not playing a general role in kinetochore structure.

Because the efficient association of Xpo1p with its cognate NES occurs when Xpo1p is bound to RanGTP (Fornerod et al., 1997; Maurer et al., 2001), we examined kinetochore targeting of Mad1p in the presence of reduced nuclear levels of RanGTP, a condition that can be induced by a Ts mutation in the RanGEF Prp20p (prp20-7; Amberg et al., 1993; Dilworth et al., 2001). Although nocodazole-induced kinetochore binding of Mad1-GFP occurs normally at the permissive temperature in a prp20-7 nup60Δ strain, a shift to 37°C before nocodazole treatment inhibited Mad1-GFP accumulation at kinetochores in large-budded cells (Fig. 2 A).

To further examine the link between Xpo1p function and kinetochore targeting of Mad1p, we constructed a point mutation in the Mad1p NES predicted to inhibit its interaction with Xpo1p. This mad1-nesL569A mutation, when incorporated into SV40-NLS/Mad1563–576-GFP, inhibited export of the reporter, leading to its accumulation in the nucleus (Fig. 3 A). Importantly, when introduced into GFP-tagged endogenous MAD1, the resulting mad1L569A-GFP protein failed to accumulate at being capable of binding Mad1p. Arrows point to overlapping Mad1-GFP and Mtw1-RFP foci. Arrowheads point to kinetochores devoid of Mad1-GFP. B Quantification of Mad1-GFP and Mtw1-RFP colocalization from the experiments presented in A. The results of three experiments were combined, and SD (error bars) is shown in each case. Bars, 2 μm.

Figure 2. Xpo1p-dependent targeting of Mad1p to kinetochores.

(A) MAD1-GFP and Mtw1-RFP in nup60Δ strains containing an otherwise WT genotype (Y3057) or the mutant alleles kap95-14 (Y3161), msn5Δ (Y3116), xpo1-T539C (Y3156), or prp20-7 (Y3091) were examined under the indicated conditions of temperature or LMB addition and after nocodazole addition. The localization of Mad1-GFP and the kinetochore protein Mtw1-RFP was examined by confocal microscopy. Note that as described previously (Gillett et al., 2004), some nocodazole-treated cells contain two kinetochore foci, with one lacking attached microtubules and
Cumulatively, these findings support the conclusion that a Mad1p–Xpo1p–RanGTP complex functions in the efficient targeting of Mad1p to kinetochores during SAC arrest. Despite the inability of the mad1 L569A protein to accumulate at kinetochores, mad1 L569A mutants exhibited characteristics suggesting they possess a functional SAC; they grew similar kinetochores after SAC arrest (Fig. 3, B and C). This result was further confirmed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (IP [ChIP]) analysis showing that levels of mad1 L569A-GFP at centromeric DNA were greatly reduced in SAC-arrested cells (Fig. 3 D). These effects could not be attributed to a decrease in cellular levels of the mad1 L569A mutant protein (unpublished data).
to WT cells on benomyl-containing plates (Fig. 3 E), and they arrested as large-budded cells with sustained, elevated Clb2p levels (not depicted). The mad1L569A mutant also rescues synthetic sick or lethal phenotypes of double mutants of mad1 and various null mutants tested, including ctf3Δ, ctf4Δ, ctf18Δ, ctf19Δ, erg3Δ, nup133Δ, sic1Δ, and cdc40Δ (unpublished data). We conclude that either the SAC function of Mad1p can be performed outside of kinetochores or that reduced levels of kinetochore-bound Mad1p (i.e., below that detectable by GFP tagging) are sufficient for SAC arrest. Such an interpretation would also be consistent with studies in HeLa cells, where depletion of Hec1 (Martin-Lluesma et al., 2002; DeLuca et al., 2003) or Nup358/RanBP2 (Salina et al., 2003; Joseph et al., 2004) does not inhibit SAC arrest but leads to greatly reduced or undetectable levels of Mad1 and Mad2 at kinetochores.

The mad1 L569A mutant does have consequences. We have detected a synthetic fitness defect between the mad1 L569A mutation and an ndc10-1 Ts mutation. The mad1 L569A ndc10-1 mutant exhibits an increased sensitivity to benomyl as compared with the ndc10-1 mutant (Fig. 3 D). Ndc10p is a component of the CBF3 complex (Lechner and Carbon, 1991). Mutations in Ndc10p disrupt kinetochore structure (Goh and Kilmartin, 1993) and recruitment of the SAC machinery (Gillett et al., 2004). The effects of the ndc10-1 mutation combined with the decreased efficiency of mad1 L569A targeting to kinetochores may explain the apparent compromise in SAC function.

Xpo1p is targeted to kinetochores during SAC arrest

Our data raise the question of whether Xpo1p is recruited to kinetochores with Mad1p. Xpo1p shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm but displays a predominantly nuclear localization (Fig. 4 A; Stade et al., 1997). In addition to its diffuse nuclear localization, we also detected Xpo1-GFP at one or two foci per nucleus. In asynchronous cultures, Xpo1-GFP foci did not appear to coincide with the kinetochore marker protein Mtw1-RFP (Fig. 4, A and G) but instead with the spindle pole body protein Spc42-RFP (Fig. 4, B and G). However, after incubation with nocodazole and activation of the SAC, Xpo1-GFP localization changed. Xpo1-GFP was now concentrated at kinetochores together with Mtw1-RFP and was no longer detected at spindle pole bodies (Fig. 4, C, D, and G). Consistent with these results, ChIP analysis detected Xpo1-GFP in association with centromeric DNA only in SAC-arrested cells (Fig. 4 H). Importantly, this association is dependent on Mad1p. Cells lacking Mad1p (mad1Δ) or Bub1p (bub1Δ), which is necessary for Mad1p binding to kinetochores (Gillett et al., 2004), failed to accumulate Xpo1p at kinetochores (Fig. S2). The relationship between Xpo1p kinetochore association and SAC activation was also examined in a cdc26-null mutant. At 37°C,
This allowed us to monitor Mad1-GFP turnover at kinetochores immediately after bleaching (postbleach; 0 + s) and at 15-s intervals. Images from 30-s intervals are shown. The arrowheads point to bleached by colocalization with Mtw1-RFP (not depicted). Kinetochore-associated Mad1-GFP was photobleached, and recovery was monitored by acquiring cdc26 spindle pole bodies was lost, and the protein accumulated on kinetochores, suggesting that this event is dependent on SAC activation (Fig. 4 F).

Although its kinetochore association is clearly linked to Mad1p, it remains possible that Xpo1p could target additional proteins to kinetochores. This is the case in vertebrate cells in which the Xpo1p counterpart, Crm1p, facilitates the binding of Nup358 (RanBP2) and RanGAP1 to kinetochores (Arnaoutov et al., 2005). These proteins are in turn required for Mad1 association with kinetochores (Joseph et al., 2004). However, whether Crm1 functions in directly targeting Mad1 to vertebrate kinetochores is unclear.

**Mad1p turnover on kinetochores during SAC arrest requires Xpo1p, RanGTP, and RanGTP conversion to RanGDP.** Mad1p cycles between NPCs and kinetochores during SAC arrest (Scott et al., 2005). As Xpo1p is required for Mad1p targeting to kinetochores (Fig. 2), we examined the role of caps in the turnover of Mad1p at kinetochores. In these experiments, the SAC was activated in nup60Δ strains containing specific cap mutations, allowing Mad1-GFP to target to kinetochores. Arrested kap95-14 and xpo1-T539C mutants were shifted to their nonpermissive condition. Neither a shift to 37°C for 40 min (kap95-14) nor treatment of cells with LMB (xpo1-T539C) altered the kinetochore association of Mad1-GFP (Fig. 5 A, 0–s). This allowed us to monitor Mad1-GFP turnover at kinetochores by FRAP analysis. In the absence of kap mutations, Mad1-GFP signal at postphotobleached kinetochores recovered to ~60% of the original signal with a t½ of recovery of ~33 s (WT; Fig. 5 A and Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200804098/DC1), which is consistent with previous experiments (Scott et al., 2005). Similar recovery rates were also detected in the kap95-14 strain at 37°C (t½ = ~40 s) and the msn5Δ mutant (t½ = ~38 s). In contrast, the kinetochore-associated Mad1-GFP signal failed to recover in the xpo1-T539C strain treated with LMB. We conclude from these results that once the SAC is activated and Mad1p is positioned at unattached kinetochores, Xpo1p binding is not required for Mad1p’s stable kinetochore association, but it is necessary for its turnover.

As shown in Fig. 2, depletion of nuclear RanGTP before activation of the SAC prevented the recruitment of Mad1p onto kinetochores (Fig. 2). We also examined whether RanGTP plays a role in maintaining Mad1p at kinetochores or assists in the turnover process once the checkpoint is activated. To test this, prp20-7 nup60Δ cells were treated with nocodazole at the permissive temperature, allowing Mad1-GFP to target onto unattached kinetochores (unpublished data). Cells were shifted to 37°C to inactivate prp20-7p. Under these conditions, Mad1-GFP remained bound to the Mlp focus and kinetochores (Fig. 5 B, 0–s). Thus, either RanGTP plays no role in the turnover of Mad1-GFP at kinetochores or it becomes immobilized there in the absence of RanGTP, similar to what was observed upon inactivation of Xpo1p. To distinguish between these possibilities, kinetochore-associated Mad1-GFP was photobleached, and fluorescent recovery was monitored after inactivation of prp20-7p. We observed that kinetochore-bound Mad1-GFP signal failed to recover under these conditions of reduced nuclear RanGTP (Fig. 5 B).
Using a similar methodology, we also investigated whether GTP hydrolysis by Ran plays a role in Mad1p turnover at kinetochores. To test this, we used a dominant-negative mutant of yeast Ran (gsp1-G21V) that stabilizes Ran in the GTP-bound form (Schlenstedt et al., 1995). A GAL1/10-gsp1-G21V gene cassette was introduced into a nup60Δ strain, producing Mad1-GFP. After nocodazole-induced SAC arrest, expression of gsp1-G21V was induced with galactose. As we observed after depletion of RanGTP, blocking its conversion to RanGDP did not alter the Mad1-GFP signal at kinetochores but prevented its recovery after photobleaching (Fig. 5 B and Fig. S1). This effect was specific for the gsp1-G21V allele, as overexpression of WT GSP1 had no effect on Mad1-GFP turnover (unpublished data).

Importantly, the effects of the gsp1-G21V allele are unlikely linked to an inhibition of nuclear transport or loss of nuclear Mad1-GFP, as a pool of Mad1-GFP remained bound to the intranuclear Mlp foci (Fig. 5 B), and this provides Mad1-GFP for kinetochore turnover (Scott et al., 2005).

We conclude that although required for the initial binding of Mad1p to kinetochores after nocodazole-induced SAC activation, Xpo1p and RanGTP are not essential for maintaining this interaction during periods of arrest. However, turnover of Mad1p at kinetochores requires active Xpo1p, RanGTP, and hydrolysis of GTP by Ran. On the basis of our results, we have developed a working model in which a trimeric Mad1p–Xpo1p–RanGTP complex facilitates the initial binding of Mad1p to kinetochores after SAC activation. In this model, conversion of RanGTP to RanGDP is predicted to release Xpo1p from Mad1p at kinetochores. During SAC arrest, we envisage that Mad1p would remain attached to kinetochores until displaced by a Mad1p–Xpo1p–RanGTP complex. Subsequent conversion of RanGTP to RanGDP and the release of Xpo1p from Mad1p would complete the turnover cycle.

A key feature of this model is the conversion of Xpo1p-bound RanGTP to RanGDP at kinetochores. At present, the only known yeast RanGAP is Rna1p. This protein is found in the cytoplasm, and it is assumed to be excluded from the nucleoplasm to avoid depleting nuclear RanGTP. However, several observations suggest that RanGAP can enter the nucleus and potentially be targeted to specific locations. Both Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rna1p contain functional NLSs and NESs, suggesting that they shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Feng et al., 1999; Nishijima et al., 2006). Moreover, S. pombe Rna1 binds histone H3, and it was proposed that it functions in heterochromatin assembly (Nishijima et al., 2006). These results suggest that Rna1p may function in the nucleus but only within specific contexts and at certain locations. Kinetochores may represent one such location. As mentioned in the Introduction, in metazoan cells, RanGAP1 associates with kinetochores during M phase (Joseph et al., 2002; Arnaoutov and Dasso, 2003; Joseph et al., 2004), and its presence there has been linked to Crm1 function (Arnaoutov and Dasso, 2005; Arnaoutov et al., 2005). In addition, RanBP1, which is known to stimulate RanGTP hydrolysis mediated by RanGAP, is also required for Mad2 binding to kinetochores (Li et al., 2007) in HeLa cells. It remains to be determined whether these events are conserved in yeast. Our preliminary experiments have failed to detect Rna1-GFP at kinetochores (unpublished data). An alternative possibility is that another kinetochore-bound protein could activate the GTPase activity of Ran.

Our results reveal a previously undefined function for Xpo1p in enhancing the intranuclear targeting of Mad1p to kinetochores and facilitating the cycling of Mad1p between kinetochores and NPCs. This latter event may contribute to the depletion of Mad1p from attached kinetochores and its sequestration at the NPC after termination of the SAC. It also seems reasonable to assume that this interplay reflects a broader functional relationship between the nuclear transport machinery and kinetochores, which could include SAC-induced alterations in nuclear transport. This idea is particularly intriguing, as previous experiments have shown that specific nuclear import pathways are inhibited in nocodazole-arrested cells (Makhenyev et al., 2003). Future studies will undoubtedly reveal unanticipated links between these various pathways.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and media

Strains are listed in Table S1 [available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/yjcb200604098/DC1]. All yeast strains were grown at 30°C in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bactopeptone, and 2% glucose) supplemented with 40 μg/ml adenine or synthetic media (SM) supplemented with appropriate nutrients and 2% glucose. Benomyl plates were made as described previously (Scott et al., 2005). For growth on YPD + DMSO or YPD + 12.5 μg/ml of benomyl plates, 10-fold serial dilutions of cell cultures were plated onto plates and incubated for 2–4 d at 25°C. Transformations were performed by electroporation. Integrations of the GFP or RFP ORFs were performed as previously described (Scott et al., 2005) using the plasmids pGFP+/HIS5, pGFP+/Ndr, pRFP+/HIS5, or pRFP+/Ndr (described below). Synthesis of the GFP and RFP fusions was confirmed by immunoblotting and microscopy.

Plasmids

pSV40-NLS/Mad1-NES-GFP was constructed by removing Mad1-NLS from pMad1-NLS-GFP, (Scott et al. 2005) and inserting the coding regions of the Xpo1p and Mad1p loci from povaXpo1 and povaMad1, respectively, into pBluescript II KS (+) (Stratagene) using the HindIII and EcoRI sites. Plasmids containing these constructs were transformed into S. cerevisiae strains (Scott et al., 2005). The fusion proteins were confirmed by immunoblotting and microscopy.

Fluorescence microscopy

The fluorescent proteins used in this study were GFP (+) and monomeric RFP (1.5). Images of fusions with different colors were acquired with a side-illuminated Axioskop 2 MOT microscopes (Carl Zeiss). Images were deconvolved using the deconvolution module of the objective lens (Olympus) lens. Images were captured using a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Olympus) or a digital camera (Kodak). Images were processed using ImagePro 3-D (Media Cybernetics). All confocal images were acquired using LSM 510 software and viewed using LSM Image Browser (Carl Zeiss, Inc.). Image processing was performed with Image software (National Institutes of Health) and Photoshop (Adobe).

Mod1p kinetochore-targeting experiments

The strains Y3057 (WT), Y3116 (mad3Δ), and Y3156 (xpo1-T539C, -UB), and Y3091 (prp20-7 at 25°C) were grown to OD600 of 0.5 at 25°C and treated with 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole (Sigmo).
Alternatively, Y3161 (kap95-14) and Y3091 (prop-20-7) were shifted to 37°C for 60 and 30 min followed by the addition of 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole. To inactivate xpo1-1T539C, 100 ng/ml UmB was added to Y3156 for 30 min before addition of 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole. In all instances, cells were rapidly harvested, resuspended in SM supplemented with appropriate nutrients and containing 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole, and immediately imaged. The Y3156 (xpo1-1T539C, +UM) cell suspension was then centrifuged at 100 g for 1 min. To quantify the colocalization of Mad1-GFP or mad1 Δ166-GFP with Mtw1-RFP, 100 cells were examined in three independent experiments, results were averaged, and SD from the mean was determined. For the Y3154 and Y3057 strain, cells were arrested in G1 with α factor, released into media containing 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole for 75 min at 25°C, and imaged.

In vivo cross-linking and ChIP
ChIP experiments were performed as described previously [Meluh and Koshland, 1997]. Cells expressing XPO1-GFP, Mad1-GFP, or mad1 Δ166-GFP were grown in 200 ml YPD to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 at 30°C, split, and either left untreated or treated with 12.5 μg/ml nocodazole for 90 min. IPs were performed using a commercial anti-GFP antibody (Roche), and antibody-bound complexes were purified using protein A–Sepharose CL-4B beads (GE Healthcare). The amount of Cen4 DNA associated with GFP fusions was determined by PCR. Cen4 DNA from cells expressing XPO1-GFP, Mad1-GFP, Mad1-GFP, or mad1 Δ166-GFP was amplified with 23, 38, or 38 cycles of PCR, respectively. Integrated fluorescence intensity was obtained as previously described, and half-times of recovery were derived from these plots (Scott et al., 2005).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows plots of integrated fluorescence intensity at kinetochores at various times after photobleaching. Fig. S2 shows that Mad1p and Bub1p are required for checkpoint-induced kinetochore localization of Xpo1p. Fig. S3 shows that Xpo1p is required for NPC association of Mad1p but not the kinetochore association of Bub1p or Mtw1p. Table S1 contains a list of the strains used in this study. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200804099/DC1.
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