A chromatin-bound kinase, ERK8, protects genomic integrity by inhibiting HDM2-mediated degradation of the DNA clamp PCNA
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Introduction

The physiological functions of ERK8 (extracellular signal-regulated kinase 8) are unknown. It is most closely related to ERK1/2 and ERK5 by virtue of a Thr-Glu-Tyr (T-E-Y) activation motif that must be phosphorylated in order for the kinase to be active (Abe et al., 2002). Unlike ERK1/2, ERK8 and ERK5 contain C-terminal extensions. ERK8 appears to be a rapidly evolving kinase (Coulombe and Meloche, 2007). The C-terminal tail of ERK8 is ~51% conserved between primates and other mammals, in contrast to ERK5 in which the tail is ~96% conserved. Given the paucity of information on ERK8, we investigated its function.

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) acts as a scaffold, coordinator, and stimulator of numerous processes required for faithful transmission of genetic information. Maintaining PCNA levels above a critical threshold is essential, but little is known about PCNA protein turnover. We now show that ERK8 (extracellular signal-regulated kinase 8) is required for PCNA protein stability. ERK8 contains a conserved PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) box. Chromatin-bound ERK8 (ERK8CHROMATIN) interacts via this motif with PCNA CHROMATIN, which acts as a platform for numerous proteins involved in DNA metabolism. Silencing ERK8 decreases PCNA levels and increases DNA damage. Ectopic expression of PCNA blocks DNA damage induced by ERK8 loss. ERK8 prevents HDM2-mediated PCNA destruction by inhibiting the association of PCNA with HDM2. This regulation is physiologically relevant as ERK8 activity is inhibited in transformed mammary cells. Our results reveal an unanticipated mechanism to control PCNA levels in normal cycling mammary epithelial cells and implicate ERK8 in the regulation of genomic stability.
Figure 1. ERK8 regulates proliferation of MCF-10A cells. (A) Analysis of endogenous ERK8 knockdown in MCF-10A cells transduced with shRNA to luciferase (Luc) or one of two different ERK8 targeting sequences for 5 d. The detergent-insoluble fraction (I) of the transduced lysates was normalized for Ran expression and immunoblotted for ERK8. (B) Scatter plot showing the rate of proliferation of transduced MCF-10A cells. Analysis was started 2 d after transduction. Mean is shown (n = 5, quadruplicates), and error bars indicate SEM. (C) A representative flow cytometric analysis of cell cycle progression in MCF-10A cells transduced as in A. Transduced cells were identified by GFP fluorescence, and DNA was stained with DRAQ5. The times indicated are sampling times after the cells were arrested by serum and growth factor depletion, followed by release into the cell cycle by the addition of complete media. The table summarizes the results (mean ± range [n = 2]) generated by ModFit LT (Verity Software House). (D) Analysis of whole cell extracts (WCE) of MCF-10A cells transduced as in A. The lysates were normalized for Ran expression and immunoblotted for regulators of the cell cycle and DNA damage.
homologue of murine double minute (HDM2). Loss of ERK8 resulted in genomic instability by decreasing PCNA levels beyond a tolerated limit. ERK8 was active in a mammary epithelial cell line and in primary mammary cells but was inactivated in breast cancer cell lines. These data argue that ERK8 control of PCNA levels is physiologically relevant, as ERK8 activity is inhibited in transformed cells.

Results

ERK8 regulates the cell cycle by maintaining PCNA levels

We investigated potential physiological functions for ERK8 by silencing its expression in the human breast epithelial line, MCF-10A. Successful silencing of endogenous ERK8, which was localized predominantly to a detergent-insoluble fraction, was observed with two different short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs; Fig. 1 A). Proliferation was measured starting at 2 d after transduction. Based on the doubling time of the control cells (31.9 ± 0.08 h), the transduced cells doubled approximately once before measuring the proliferation rate. Knockdown of ERK8 decreased proliferation approximately twofold, which was prevented by expression of a mutant ERK8 resistant to silencing (rERK8; Fig. 1 B and Fig. S1 A). In agreement with the silencing experiments, ectopic expression of wild-type ERK8 enhanced proliferation (Fig. 1 B). The most effective knockdown of ERK8 occurred 4–5 d after transduction, and therefore, the majority of experiments were performed using cells transduced in this time frame. To investigate the mechanism by which ERK8 controls the cell cycle, we performed flow cytometry using cells synchronized by serum and growth factor depletion, which resulted in ~70% of the control cells in G1. The addition of serum and growth factors to the control cells resulted in a threefold increase in the level of cells in S phase after 24 h (Fig. 1 C). In contrast to these results, no change in the cell cycle distribution after release from starvation was observed when ERK8 was silenced (Fig. 1 C). Collectively, these results demonstrate that ERK8 is a limiting factor in regulating the proliferation rate of MCF-10A cells and controls entry into S phase.

While analyzing cell cycle markers, we noticed that loss of ERK8 resulted in increases in cyclin D1, cyclin E, and p27 and a decrease in cyclin A levels compared with the control (Fig. 1 D). Furthermore, retinoblastoma (Rb) appeared as a doublet in the immunoblot analysis, and loss of ERK8 reduced the level of the higher molecular weight form (Fig. 1 D). These observations are consistent with a reduction in the phosphorylated form of Rb. Collectively, these changes provide further evidence that loss of ERK8 results in a cell cycle block in the G1/S phase and are in agreement with our flow cytometry results. But, unexpectedly, loss of ERK8 resulted in a decrease in the total level of PCNA (Fig. 1, D and E; and see Fig. 4 B). Silencing ERK8 did not result in higher molecular weight forms of PCNA that could account for the decrease in the unmodified form (Figs. 1 D and 2 E).

The decrease in PCNA was not correlated with a particular stage in the cell cycle, as total PCNA levels did not change during the cell cycle (Fig. 1 F). In agreement with the literature (Naryzhny and Lee, 2001), the subcellular distribution of PCNA changed during the cell cycle such that during S phase, PCNA preferentially partitioned into a detergent-insoluble fraction (Fig. 1 F and Fig. S1 B). Loss of ERK8 appeared to specifically reduce PCNA levels as we did not observe a decrease in the level of Rad9, a component of the heterotrimeric complex 9-1-1, which, like PCNA, encircles DNA and is involved in DNA damage repair (Fig. 1 D; St Onge et al., 1999). Moreover, the level of RFC-1 (replication factor C-1), a subunit of the clamp loader that loads PCNA onto DNA (Waga and Stillman, 1998), was not changed (Fig. 1 D). We conclude that silencing ERK8 results in a specific decrease in PCNA protein levels.

To determine whether the reduction of PCNA levels caused by ERK8 depletion is physiologically significant, we asked whether silencing ERK8 increases DNA damage, as PCNA is essential for genomic integrity (Umar et al., 1996; Gary et al., 1997; Hoeger et al., 2002; Unk et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2003; Xia et al., 2005). Staining with an antibody to phospho-Ser139 in H2AX (γ-H2AX), a marker of DNA double-stranded breaks (Rogakou et al., 1999; Sedelnikova et al., 2003), resulted in a twofold increase in γ-H2AX staining in ERK8-depleted cells compared with the control, even in the absence of extrinsic genotoxic stress (Fig. 2 A and Fig. S2 A). PCNA acts to repair DNA in response to irradiation with UVC radiation (Essers et al., 2005). Therefore, we determined whether loss of ERK8 would further increase DNA damage in response to UVC irradiation. In response to UVC, both ATR (ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related) and Chk2 were activated, although loss of ERK8 reduced the level of Chk2 activation (Fig. S2 B). However, the intensity of γ-H2AX staining increased in parallel in the silenced and control cells up to 2 h after UVC treatment (Fig. 2 A and Fig. S2 A). Strikingly, however, although at 4 h the amount of γ-H2AX staining decreased in the control cells, it remained elevated when ERK8 was absent. We confirmed that the increased γ-H2AX staining was a result of DNA breaks using the comet assay. Silencing ERK8 in the absence of extrinsic genotoxic stress resulted in an approximately threefold increase in comet tail length compared with the control (Fig. 2, B and F). Moreover, in response to UVC treatment, the knockdown of ERK8 resulted in longer tail lengths compared with the control (Fig. 2, B and F). We conclude that ERK8 is not required for the initial response to DNA damage but is required for genomic stability most likely through its ability to maintain PCNA protein levels.

If the effects of ERK8 are mainly caused by a decrease in PCNA levels, depleting PCNA should phenocopy the knockdown of ERK8. Consistent with our observations with ERK8, we observed that silencing PCNA inhibited proliferation of MCF-10A cells (Fig. 2 C). Moreover, in the absence of extrinsic genotoxic stress, loss of PCNA resulted in an increased level of...
Figure 2. ERK8 regulates DNA repair by a PCNA-dependent mechanism. (A) Analysis of DNA damage by γ-H2AX immunofluorescence in ERK8 knockdown cells. MCF-10A cells transduced for 5 d were treated with or without (−) 20 J/m² UVC. The times indicated refer to the length of time after irradiation. At the indicated time, the cells were treated with detergent, fixed, and immunostained with an anti-γ-H2AX antibody and an anti–mouse fluorescent secondary antibody. Nuclei were stained with DRAQ5. The intensity of γ-H2AX staining was determined and normalized to the levels obtained in the control cells, which were transduced with luciferase (Luc) shRNA and not irradiated. Mean is shown (n = 2, duplicates, ≥35 cells/condition), and error bars indicate SEM. (B) Analysis of DNA damage by comet assay of cells transduced as in A, without irradiation or 2 h after UVC treatment. DNA was visualized by staining with Sybr green (Trevigen, Inc.). Bar, 50 µm. (C) Rate of proliferation of MCF-10A cells transfected with control or PCNA-specific siRNA. The rate of proliferation was determined over 48 h, starting at 2 d after transfection. Mean is shown (n = 4, sextuplicate), and error bars indicate SEM. The right panel shows the extent of PCNA knockdown 4 d after transfection in lysates normalized for Ran. (D) Analysis of DNA damage by γ-H2AX immunofluorescence in PCNA knockdown cells. Cells transfected as in C were treated as in A, and the intensity of γ-H2AX staining was determined and
ERK8 interacts and controls PCNA turnover via a PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) box

To determine how ERK8 controls PCNA levels, we asked whether ERK8 and PCNA physically associate. A fraction of wild-type Venus-tagged ERK8 (Venus-ERK8) and endogenous PCNA associated with chromatin after a detergent and high salt wash extraction, which indicates that there is a pool of ERK8 and PCNA that has a high affinity for chromatin (Fig. S3 A). Based on these observations, we used a modified chromatin immunoprecipitation assay and found that, in the presence of DNase I, chromatin-bound HA-tagged ERK8 (HA-ERK8(PINM)) associated with PCNA(CHRIMATIN) (Fig. 3 A). Furthermore, endogenous PCNA(CHRIMATIN) associated with endogenous ERK8(PINM) (Fig. 3 B). A fraction of PCNA and ERK8 was not associated with chromatin, and HA-ERK8 obtained from this soluble fraction (HA-ERK8(FREE)) and PCNA(FREE) did not interact (Fig. 3 A). Almost all the interacting partners for PCNA interact through a conserved motif called the PIP box (Warbrick, 1998; Moldovan et al., 2007). We identified a putative PIP box in ERK8, specifically the sequence from 297 to 308 (QALQHPYVQRFH; ERK8(PIP)), where bold indicates a match with the conserved motif (Fig. S3 B). The spacing between the Q and the V is usually two residues; however, DNA polymerase β has a three-residue spacing, like ERK8 (Kedar et al., 2002). A GST fusion protein containing the putative ERK8 PIP box (GST–ERK8(PIP)) interacted with PCNA(FREE) (Fig. 3 C), but a mutant PIP box, in which the residue corresponding to Gln300 was mutated to Ala (GST–ERK8(PIPm)) did not interact. Further confirmation that ERK8 contains a PIP box was shown by mutation of Gln300 to Ala within the context of the full-length kinase (ERK8(Q300A)). This mutant did not associate with PCNA(CHRIMATIN) even though it was able to bind chromatin (Fig. 3 D and Fig. S3 C). Collectively, we conclude that ERK8(PINM) associates with PCNA(CHRIMATIN) via the PIP box.

g-H2AX staining compared with the control (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S2 C). Furthermore, at 4 h, although DNA repair started to occur in the control cells, the level of g-H2AX staining remained elevated in the absence of PCNA. These results are consistent with our hypothesis that ERK8 controls genomic integrity via regulation of PCNA protein levels. To further test our hypothesis that ERK8 acts primarily through PCNA, we asked whether the ectopic expression of PCNA would reverse the decrease in proliferation caused by reduced ERK8 levels. MCF-10A cells were transduced with mRFP-tagged PCNA (mRFP-PCNA) or the mRFP control and then transduced a second time with control or ERK8-specific shRNA. The rate of proliferation was determined normalized to the levels obtained in the control cells, which were transfected with control siRNA and not irradiated. Mean is shown (n = 2, duplicates, ≥40 cells/condition), and error bars indicate SEM. (E) Rescue of proliferation by ectopic expression of PCNA in ERK8 knockdown cells. MCF-10A cells were transduced with mRFP or mRFP-PCNA and then transduced a second time with control or ERK8-specific shRNA. The rate of proliferation was determined over 48 h, starting at 4 d after transduction. Mean is shown (n = 4, quadruplicate), and error bars indicate SEM. The right panel shows the level of mRFP-PCNA (~62 kD) in comparison with endogenous PCNA (~34 kD). (F) Comet assay of MCF-10A cells transduced as in E. Transduced cells were treated as in B, and tail length was measured. Mean is shown (n = 2, duplicates), and error bars indicate SEM. The right panel shows the level of mRFP-PCNA (~62 kD) in comparison with endogenous PCNA (~34 kD). LV, lentivirus; WCE, whole cell extract.
of PCNA CHROMATIN by ~60% compared with the control. Consistent with these observations, total PCNA in ERK8-depleted cells was reduced approximately twofold relative to the control after a 12-h treatment with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (Fig. S3 E). Thus, loss of ERK8 enhances PCNA CHROMATIN turnover. Mutation of Tyr211 has been reported to destabilize PCNA (Wang et al., 2006). However, we did not detect any Tyr phosphorylation of PCNA (Fig. S3 F). These results are in agreement with orthophosphate labeling analysis of PCNA, which also did not detect phosphorylation of PCNA (Naryzhny and Lee, 2004). We propose that the increase in PCNA CHROMATIN turnover caused by the loss of ERK8 indirectly decreases PCNA FREE levels because of continued recruitment of PCNA FREE to the chromatin in an effort to maintain critical cellular functions (Fig. 5 A). This hypothesis is consistent with the literature in which increasing the extent of DNA damage leads to depletion of PCNA FREE (Mortusewicz and Leonhardt, 2007).

We next asked whether the interaction of ERK8 with PCNA is necessary for stabilization of PCNA. MCF-10A cells were transduced with Venus-rERK8, Venus–rERK8 PIP mutant (Venus-rERK8(Q300A)), or the Venus control and then transduced a second time with ERK8-specific or control shRNA. Expression of resistant ERK8 prevented the decrease in PCNA levels caused by silencing of endogenous ERK8 (Fig. 4 B). However, importantly, the ERK8 PIP mutant was unable to rescue PCNA levels even though the level of rERK8(Q300A) and its ability to associate with the chromatin was similar to that of the wild type (Fig. 4 B and Fig. S3 C). Furthermore, the mutant was as active as the wild type, as shown by the anti-pERK8 antibody (Fig. 4 B). This antibody recognizes the dual phosphorylation of the T-E-Y motif, which must be phosphorylated for members of the ERK1/2 family to be active (Her et al., 1993). Therefore, the pERK8 levels reflect the amount of active kinase. Surprisingly, expression of the ERK8 PIP mutant caused an at least twofold decrease in PCNA levels even in the presence of endogenous ERK8. These results indicate that ERK8(Q300A) acts as a dominant-negative, most likely by competing with endogenous ERK8 for binding to chromatin, thereby blocking association of the endogenous ERK8 with PCNA, which permits PCNA destruction. Collectively, our data support a model in which ERK8 CHROMATIN stabilizes PCNA by inhibiting access to PCNA CHROMATIN of a destruction factor. This is the first report of a PCNA-binding partner that regulates PCNA stability during normal cell cycling (Izumi et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2009).

ERK8 inhibits the interaction of PCNA with HDM2

PCNA is able to act as a platform to mediate the degradation of some of its binding partners via a specialized PIP box (Havens and Walter, 2009). However, information on how PCNA turnover is regulated in normal cycling cells is lacking. In response to DNA damage to UV, PCNA destruction has been shown to occur via the 26S proteasome pathway (Yu et al., 2009). Therefore, we reasoned that an E3 ligase, which is able to compete for ERK8 binding to PCNA, may also control PCNA turnover in normal cycling cells. HDM2 is the only currently known E3 ligase that interacts with PCNA through a PIP box (Banks et al., 2006),

We next asked whether ERK8 controls PCNA protein stability by pulse-chase labeling with [35S]Met. The degradation rate of PCNA FREE, which does not interact with ERK8, was similar in the presence and absence of ERK8 (Fig. 4 A and Fig. S3 D). However, silencing ERK8 increased the destruction...
 silenced ERK8 and then transfected with control or HDM2 siRNA. lysates were taken 5 d after transduction and divided into insoluble (I) and soluble (S) fractions, normalized for Ran levels, and immunoblotted for PCNA. The top right panel shows the extent of HDM2 knockdown in lysates normalized for Ran levels. Quantitation of the relative amount of PCNA in comparison to Ran and normalized to the appropriate control, which was transduced with luciferase (Luc) shRNA and transfected with control siRNA. Mean is shown (n = 3), and error bars indicate SEM. Silencing HDM2 decreased levels of ubiquitinated PCNA. MCF-10A cells were transfected with ERK8-specific shRNA followed by transfection with a plasmid encoding His6-Ub and control or HDM2 siRNA. PCNA was immunoprecipitated 5 d after transduction, and the normalized PCNA immunoprecipitates were analyzed for ubiquitination. The IgG band is from the immunoprecipitating antibody. (B) Loss of ERK8 increases the association of HDM2 with PCNA. MCF-10A cells were transduced with control or ERK8-specific siRNA for 5 d. PCNA was immunoprecipitated, and the normalized PCNA immunoprecipitates were analyzed for HDM2. The right panel shows the level of HDM2 expression in lysates normalized for Ran. IP, immunoprecipitation; LV, lentivirus; WCE, whole cell extract.

**Figure 5.** ERK8 regulates the association of PCNA with HDM2. (A) Silencing HDM2 enhances PCNA levels in the absence or presence of ERK8. MCF-10A cells were transduced with control (con) or ERK8-specific shRNA, followed by transfection with control or HDM2 siRNA. Lysates were taken 5 d after transduction and divided into insoluble (I) and soluble (S) fractions, normalized for Ran levels, and immunoblotted for PCNA. The top right panel shows the extent of HDM2 knockdown in lysates normalized for Ran levels. Quantitation of the relative amount of PCNA in comparison to Ran and normalized to the appropriate control, which was transduced with luciferase (Luc) shRNA and transfected with control siRNA. Mean is shown (n = 3), and error bars indicate SEM. Silencing HDM2 decreased levels of ubiquitinated PCNA. MCF-10A cells were transfected with ERK8-specific shRNA followed by transfection with a plasmid encoding His6-Ub and control or HDM2 siRNA. PCNA was immunoprecipitated 5 d after transduction, and the normalized PCNA immunoprecipitates were analyzed for ubiquitination. The IgG band is from the immunoprecipitating antibody. (B) Loss of ERK8 increases the association of HDM2 with PCNA. MCF-10A cells were transduced with control or ERK8-specific siRNA for 5 d. PCNA was immunoprecipitated, and the normalized PCNA immunoprecipitates were analyzed for HDM2. The right panel shows the level of HDM2 expression in lysates normalized for Ran. IP, immunoprecipitation; LV, lentivirus; WCE, whole cell extract.

**ERK8 is activated by chromatin binding**

It has been reported that ERK8 activity is increased in response to various DNA-damaging agents (Klevenic et al., 2009). Using identical conditions as reported by Klevenic et al. (2006, 2009), we observed changes in ERK8 activity only in response to 1 mM H2O2 (Fig. S5 A). We did not observe changes in ERK8 protein levels with any of the DNA-damaging reagents tested. Activation of ERK1/2 was dose dependent on H2O2, but ERK8 activation was observed only at 1 mM H2O2 (Fig. S5 B). This concentration of H2O2 was extremely toxic and resulted in cell death within 3 h, and therefore, it is difficult to determine the physiological relevance of this observation. We conclude that in MCF-10A cells, DNA-damaging agents do not enhance activation of ERK8, and basal levels of ERK8 activation are sufficient to stabilize PCNA levels.

Active ERK8, as shown by pERK8, is mainly present in the insoluble fraction (Fig. S5 C). These results are consistent

and therefore, it could possibly compete with ERK8 for binding to PCNA. However, it is not known whether HDM2 targets PCNA for destruction. We observed that silencing HDM2 resulted in an increase in PCNA levels (Fig. 5 A). These results suggest that HDM2 regulates PCNA turnover in normal cycling cells. However, as HDM2 regulates p53 levels, knockdown of HDM2 will result in an increase in p53 levels and disruption of the cell cycle (Martin et al., 1995). To investigate whether elevated p53 levels could increase PCNA levels, we used the inhibitor nutlin-3 (Vassilev et al., 2004). As expected by disrupting the association of HDM2 and p53, the levels of both proteins increased substantially (Fig. S4). We also observed that nutlin-3 altered the electrophoretic mobility of Rb to generate a single immunoreactive band (Fig. S4), which is consistent with the unphosphorylated form of Rb. Unphosphorylated Rb binds and inhibits E2F (Stevens and La Thangue, 2003), which can result in decreased expression of some E2F-regulated genes such as PCNA (Black et al., 2005). However, treatment with nutlin-3 did not alter the levels of PCNA. These results indicate that the increase in PCNA levels in response to the loss of HDM2 is independent of p53 and the phosphorylation status of Rb. To investigate whether HDM2 facilitates PCNA turnover in the absence of ERK8, we silenced both HDM2 and ERK8. Strikingly, the decrease in PCNA levels caused by the loss of ERK8 was reversed by also silencing HDM2 expression (Fig. 5 A). From this data, we would predict that the loss of HDM2 would prevent ubiquitination of PCNA. To test this hypothesis, we
showed defective chromatin association (Fig. 6 D and Fig. S5 E). We conclude that the PXXXP motif acts as an autoinhibitory regulator of kinase activity. We propose that the mutation mimics the conformational change that occurs upon association of ERK8 with chromatin, which relieves autoinhibition. We conclude that binding to chromatin activates ERK8, independently of PCNA binding.

ERK8 stabilizes PCNA in primary mammary epithelial cells

ERK8 was detected in the detergent-insoluble fraction of primary human mammary epithelial (HME) cells at levels similar to MCF-10A cells (Fig. 7 A). Importantly, silencing ERK8 in HME cells decreased PCNA levels (Fig. 7 B), and this reduction in PCNA was accompanied by a substantial increase in the frequency of DNA breaks for both untreated and UVC-irradiated HME cells (Fig. 7 B). The fold increase in comet length in HME cells ranged from ~2–10-fold in the absence of UVC, and this range probably reflects the genetic differences between patient samples. Thus, the regulation of PCNA by ERK8 is not an artifact of the MCF-10A immortalized cell line but occurs also in primary mammary cells.

ERK8 is inactive in transformed breast lines

Our results predict that loss of ERK8 will lead to genomic instability. To test this prediction, we silenced ERK8 in MCF-10A cells and maintained the cells in culture for 2 wk. Analysis of the nuclei of
We would speculate that in breast cancer cells, there is a mechanism to prevent HDM2 from associating with PCNA in the absence of active ERK8. Our results also suggest that loss of ERK8 activity is important in the transformation process.

We have identified two important functional domains in ERK8, the PIP box and the PXXXP motif. The PIP box is located within the kinase domain, and the PXXXP motif is in the C-terminal extension. To identify the region within the kinase domain that contains the PIP box, we modeled the ERK8 kinase domain to that of ERK2 and found that the PIP box is adjacent to the conserved docking (CD) domain. The CD domain contributes but is not solely responsible for the interactions of the MAPK superfamily with their docking partners (Tanoue et al., 2000, 2001; Zhang et al., 2003). A corresponding PIP box was not found in other members of the ERK1/2 or p38MAPK families but was present in JNK1/3 (Fig. S5 F). It is not known whether JNK1/3 can interact with PCNA. The CD domain in ERK8 is unusual in that it contains a Cys residue, whereas all other members of the MAPK superfamily have an acidic residue in this position. It is possible that the Cys residue regulates the ability of ERK8 to interact with PCNA. The PXXXP motif functions to regulate the association of ERK8 with chromatin and thereby indirectly regulates association with PCNA as only ERK8CHROMATIN interacts with PCNA. PCNA is known to interact with a plethora of proteins that contain PIP boxes and the mechanism by which these interactions are regulated is unknown.

It is intriguing that a rapidly evolving kinase would control genomic stability via PCNA, which is a highly conserved protein. Curiously, mammary epithelial cells are susceptible to stress. We would speculate that in breast cancer cells, there is a mechanism to prevent HDM2 from associating with PCNA in the absence of active ERK8. Our results also suggest that loss of ERK8 activity is important in the transformation process.

Discussion

We conclude that ERK8 is as a key controller of genomic stability in mammary epithelial cells via its ability to regulate the formation of complexes containing PCNA. In untransformed mammary cells, ERK8 prevents the destruction of PCNA that is mediated via HDM2 (Fig. 8 D). Remarkably, a twofold reduction in PCNA levels is sufficient to cause extensive DNA damage and mitotic failure even in the absence of extrinsic genotoxic stress. We would speculate that in breast cancer cells, there is a mechanism to prevent HDM2 from associating with PCNA in the absence of active ERK8. Our results also suggest that loss of ERK8 activity is important in the transformation process.

We have identified two important functional domains in ERK8, the PIP box and the PXXXP motif. The PIP box is located within the kinase domain, and the PXXXP motif is in the C-terminal extension. To identify the region within the kinase domain that contains the PIP box, we modeled the ERK8 kinase domain to that of ERK2 and found that the PIP box is adjacent to the conserved docking (CD) domain. The CD domain contributes but is not solely responsible for the interactions of the MAPK superfamily with their docking partners (Tanoue et al., 2000, 2001; Zhang et al., 2003). A corresponding PIP box was not found in other members of the ERK1/2 or p38MAPK families but was present in JNK1/3 (Fig. S5 F). It is not known whether JNK1/3 can interact with PCNA. The CD domain in ERK8 is unusual in that it contains a Cys residue, whereas all other members of the MAPK superfamily have an acidic residue in this position. It is possible that the Cys residue regulates the ability of ERK8 to interact with PCNA. The PXXXP motif functions to regulate the association of ERK8 with chromatin and thereby indirectly regulates association with PCNA as only ERK8CHROMATIN interacts with PCNA. PCNA is known to interact with a plethora of proteins that contain PIP boxes and the mechanism by which these interactions are regulated is unknown.

It is intriguing that a rapidly evolving kinase would control genomic stability via PCNA, which is a highly conserved protein. Curiously, mammary epithelial cells are susceptible to...
transformation by loss of BRCA1, which is also important in DNA metabolism (Saal et al., 2008). We speculate that because mammary epithelial cells are subjected to proliferative signals throughout the reproductive life of the animal that additional layers of regulation are required to ensure their genomic integrity.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and lentiviral production
Constructs used to generate lentivirus, including pSPAX2, pLVTHM, and pMD2G, were provided by D. Trono (Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne, Switzerland; Zufferey et al., 1997). pLV-Venus and pLV-mRFP lentivirus constructs were provided by I.G. Macara (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; McCaffrey and Macara, 2009). pCMV5His6-Ub was provided by D. Wotton (University of Virginia). pWPI was purchased from Addgene. Lentiviral production was performed as described previously (McCaffrey and Macara, 2009) but titered using MCF-10A cells.

The short hairpin sequence including the ERK8-targeting shRNAs (in bold) are (ERK8-1) 5′-GATCCCCACATTACCTCGGTTTGATACAGAGAAGTGTTTTGGAAA-3′ and (ERK8-2) 5′-GATCCCGACAGATGGAGAAGATCTGGCCTGATGTGC-3′. The short hairpin sequence including the luciferase-targeting shRNAs (in bold) is 5′-GATCCCCCGTACGGGAATACTTCGA-3′. The short hairpin sequence including the silencing of the PIP domain results performed by mutating Pro390 and Pro398. However, these mutations interfere with chromatin binding. LV, lentivirus.
Immuno-detection and immunofluorescence

Primary antibodies used were monoclonal anti-p27, rabbit anti-RFC-1, monoclonal anti-GST, rabbit anti-HA, monoclonal anti-HDM2, monoclonal anti-p27S, and monoclonal anti-PCNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); monoclonal anti–cyclin-D1, monoclonal anti-Rb, rabbit anti–phospho-Ser428 ATR, rabbit anti–phospho-Thr68 Chk2, and rabbit anti–Chk2 (Cell Signaling Technology); monoclonal anti–phospho-Tyr, rabbit anti–phospho-Ser, rabbit anti–cyclin E, and monoclonal anti–H2AX (Millipore); rabbit anti–phospho-Thr (Invitrogen); monoclonal anti–Rad9 and rabbit anti–phospho-MAPK (pThr202/pTyr204, Thermo Fisher Scientific); rabbit anti–ERK8 (Abgent); monoclonal anti–PCNA (Dako); monoclonal anti–Ran (BD); monoclonal anti–cyclin A (Novocastra); goat anti–GFP and rabbit anti–GFP (Venus; Abcam); and monoclonal anti–HA (12CA5; lymphocyte Culture Center, University of Virginia). Secondary antibodies used were goat anti–mouse, goat anti–rabbit, and donkey anti–rabbit (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.).

Detection of PCNA and Venus-tagged chromatin-binding proteins was adapted from Sporbert et al. (2002). In brief, cells were plated on coverslips, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and permeabilized for 30 s with ice-cold cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 250 mM sucrose, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EGTA, and 0.1% Triton X-100). The permeabilized cells were washed with ice-cold wash buffer (4.3 mM Na2HPO4, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, 520 mM NaCl, and 2.66 mM KCl) and fixed in ice-cold methanol at −20°C for 5 min. PCNA was detected by indirect fluorescence with a mouse monoclonal anti-PCNA antibody (clone PC-10; Dako) and an anti–mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen). Direct fluorescence was observed with Venus fusion proteins.

Detection of γ-H2AX was adapted from Jørgensen et al. (2007). Cells were plated on coverslips, washed twice in ice-cold PBS, followed by extraction with 10 ml of Triton buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 300 mM sucrose, 50 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, and 0.5% Triton X-100), and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. γ-H2AX was detected by indirect fluorescence with phospho-Ser-139 histone H2A.X (clone JBW301; Millipore) primary antibody and an anti–mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 546. DNA was stained with Hoechst (Sigma-Aldrich) or DRAQ5 (Axxora).

Densitometry analysis for immunodetection was performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) or ImageQuant (GE Healthcare) software.

Proliferation and cell cycle assays

Cells were cultured for proliferation using a luminometric Cell Viability Assay (Promega). Fixed cells (2% paraformaldehyde, pH 7.4, and 0.05% Triton X-100) were incubated with 40 µM DRAGS, washed, and analyzed on a FACSCalibur (BD) using FlowJo 6.4.2 software (Tree Star, Inc.; Flow Cytometry Core Facility, University of Virginia).

Immunoprecipitation

Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The soluble fraction was obtained by incubating the cells (5 min at 4°C) with cytoskeleton buffer with 1 µM microcystin and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The insoluble fraction was treated with sonication buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% deoxycholate, 1 µM microcystin, and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail). The insoluble fraction was sonicated (15 pulses of 5 s with 15-s cool down on setting 5) with a cup horn vortex (Misonix). The sonicated insoluble fraction was incubated with 3 µM MgCl2 and 400 U RNase-free DNase I/10 µg DNA (New England Biolabs, Inc.) for 1 h at 4°C. Soluble and insoluble fractions were incubated with 2 µg HA, PCNA, or IgG antibodies for 3 h at 4°C in thermomixer (900 rpm). In some experiments 1 µg GST, GST-PI, or GST-PIpm was incubated with the soluble fraction. Approximately 140 µl of Dynabeads sheep anti–mouse secondary antibody (Invitrogen) was washed with immunoprecipitation buffer and incubated with lysate for 1 h at 4°C in a thermomixer.
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Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that ERK8 regulates the cell cycle. Fig. S2 shows that loss of ERK8 increases DNA damage. Fig. S3 shows that ERK8 regulates PCNA turnover. Fig. S4 shows that inhibition of p53 degradation does not alter PCNA protein levels. Fig. S5 examines the activation, levels, and subcellular distribution of ERK8 in response to DNA-damaging agents. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201002124/DC1.

