Flotillin microdomains interact with the cortical cytoskeleton to control uropod formation and neutrophil recruitment
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We studied the function of plasma membrane microdomains defined by the proteins flotillin 1 and flotillin 2 in uropod formation and neutrophil chemotaxis. Flotillins become concentrated in the uropod of neutrophils after exposure to chemoattractants such as N-formyl-Met-Leu-Phe (fMLP). Here, we show that mice lacking flotillin 1 do not have flotillin microdomains, and that recruitment of neutrophils toward fMLP in vivo is reduced in these mice. Ex vivo, migration of neutrophils through a resistive matrix is reduced in the absence of flotillin microdomains, but the machinery required for sensing chemoattractant functions normally. Flotillin microdomains specifically associate with myosin IIA, and spectrins. Both uropod formation and myosin IIA activity are compromised in flotillin 1 knockout neutrophils. We conclude that the association between flotillin microdomains and cortical cytoskeleton has important functions during neutrophil migration, in uropod formation, and in the regulation of myosin IIA.

Introduction

Microdomains defined by the proteins flotillin 1 and flotillin 2 (also termed reggie 2 and reggie 1, respectively) are an apparently ubiquitous feature of mammalian cells (Babuke and Tikkanen, 2007). They reside in the plasma membrane, as well as in endosomal and lysosomal organelles (Bickel et al., 1997; Lang et al., 1998; Volonte et al., 1999). The precise molecular function of flotillin microdomains is not known, but they are thought to be involved in processes including insulin signaling, T cell activation, phagocytosis, epidermal growth factor signaling, and regrowth of neurons (Babuke and Tikkanen, 2007; Langhorst et al., 2007; Hansen and Nichols, 2009). Non-exclusive models for how the microdomains act during these processes include mediation of endocytosis, scaffolding of signaling proteins, and interaction with the cortical cytoskeleton (Glebov et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006; Babuke and Tikkanen, 2007; Langhorst et al., 2007).

Recently, it was shown that flotillin microdomains accumulate rapidly in the uropod of neutrophils after stimulation with the chemotactic bacterial peptide N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP; Rajendran et al., 2009; Rossy et al., 2009). The uropod is a plasma membrane protrusion found at the rear of motile leukocytes and other cell types (Sánchez-Madrid and Serrador, 2009). Generation of this structure requires segregation of different types of protein and lipid plasma membrane components, and local recruitment and activation of components of the cortical cytoskeleton (Gómez-Mouton et al., 2001; Seveau et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004). The uropod of migrating leukocytes has been implicated in several functions, including intercellular signaling, regulation of cell adhesion, and migration through resistive environments. Whether flotillin microdomains are required for uropod morphogenesis and function has yet to be directly tested in loss-of-function experiments.

Here we use a combination of biochemistry, imaging, and generation of flotillin 1 knockout (−/−) mice to investigate the function of flotillin microdomains in neutrophils.
Results and discussion

Flotillin 1−/− mice lack flotillin microdomains

We produced C57BL/6J mice lacking exons 3–8 of the gene for flotillin 1 (Fig. 1, a and b). Western blots of tissues that express flotillin 1 in wild-type mice confirmed that flotillin 1 protein cannot be detected in flotillin 1−/− mice (Fig. 1 c). Heterozygous flotillin 1+/− mice had reduced levels of flotillin 1 protein compared with controls. Flotillin 1−/− mice are viable, fertile, and have no readily apparent phenotypes.

Flotillin 1 and flotillin 2 function together to generate flotillin microdomains, and reduction in the expression of one flotillin protein causes a reduction in the expression of the other (Frick et al., 2007; Langhorst et al., 2008; Babuke et al., 2009). Western blotting of tissues from flotillin 1−/− mice confirmed that in the absence of flotillin 1 the expression of flotillin 2 is greatly reduced (Fig. 1 c). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) grown from flotillin 1−/− and isogenic control mice were fixed and stained with antibodies against flotillin 1 and flotillin 2. In the controls, flotillin 1 and flotillin 2 colocalized in plasma membrane puncta as predicted. In the flotillin 1−/− cells the limited, weak staining obtained with flotillin 2 antibodies was uniformly distributed across the plasma membrane (Fig. 1 d). Intact flotillin microdomains are insoluble in cold nonionic detergents (Bickel et al., 1997). Solubilization of extracts from flotillin 1−/− and wild-type control MEFs with cold Triton X-100 followed by ultracentrifugation in a sucrose density gradient revealed that the residual flotillin 2 found in these extracts is not present in the detergent-resistant fraction (Fig. 1 e). These observations show that deletion of flotillin 1 is likely to completely abrogate the function of flotillin microdomains.

Flotillin microdomains are required for neutrophil recruitment in vivo

We measured recruitment of neutrophils in vivo, using a cell recruitment model in which a sterile air pouch is introduced subcutaneously. This establishes a vascularized cavity that is ideal for monitoring cellular migration in response to chemotactic agents (Kadl et al., 2009). After injection of fMLP, the air pouch was lavaged at different time points, and cellular infiltration was assessed. Total cell counts were performed, and cytospin slides were prepared and stained with Wright-Giemsa. Reduced total numbers of cells accumulated in the air pouch in response to fMLP in flotillin 1−/− mice, relative to wild type (Fig. 2 a). Counting of the infiltrating cells on Giemsa-stained cytospins revealed that the residual flotillin 2 found in these extracts is not present in the detergent-resistant fraction (Fig. 1 e). These observations show that deletion of flotillin 1 is likely to completely abrogate the function of flotillin microdomains.

In neutrophil recruitment in flotillin 1−/− mice, with only 20% of control levels observed after 2 h (Fig. 2, b and c). We also detected fewer monocytes (P = 0.01) in the flotillin 1−/− mice (Fig. 2 c).

The basal populations of different cell types in the air pouch model before addition of fMLP were essentially the same in control and flotillin 1−/− mice (Fig. 2, a–c; zero time points). Hematological analysis of blood obtained by cardiac puncture from control and flotillin 1−/− mice showed that levels of circulating leukocytes are again the same in both cases (Fig. S1). The pronounced defect in the chemotaxis of flotillin 1−/− neutrophils into fMLP-containing skin pouches is, therefore, likely to be due to a role for flotillin microdomains specifically in the process of recruitment itself.

Flotillin microdomains interact with myosin IIa and spectrins

To gain insight into the molecular mechanisms underpinning the function of flotillin microdomains we immunisolated flotillin microdomains, using anti-GFP antibodies and a HeLa cell line stably expressing flotillin 2–GFP. A second cell line expressing GFP provided a negative control. Elution of the immunosolutes was performed in a three-step protocol, to consecutively isolate detergent-resistant regions of the plasma membrane containing flotillin microdomains (E2), then specific protein complexes containing the microdomains themselves (E3; Fig. 3 a). After Coomassie staining, protein bands that were not present in the negative control samples were identified by mass spectrometry (Fig. 3 b). The most abundant proteins found in E3 were flotillins 1 and 2, indicating that the immunosolation protocol works efficiently. Other abundant proteins found specifically associated with flotillin microdomains were α- and β-spectrins and myosin heavy chain 9, the heavy chain of myosin IIa (Conti and Adelstein, 2008; Vicente-Manzaneares et al., 2009; Fig. 3 b).

Western blotting was used to confirm the specific association of α-spectrin and myosin IIa with flotillin microdomains, using the same sequential elution procedure. As predicted, both proteins were found enriched in the E3 fraction after immunosolation of flotillin 2–GFP from HeLa cells (Fig. 3 c). Caveolin 1 was not found to be directly associated with flotillin microdomains. Only trace amounts of actin were detected in the flotillin microdomain (E3) fraction, and abundant plasma membrane proteins including cadherins, syntaxin 2, and the transferrin receptor were not detected (Fig. 3 c). We conclude that flotillin microdomains are specifically associated with myosin IIa and both α- and β-spectrins.

Flotillin microdomains may represent a point of contact between the plasma membrane and the underlying cell cortex. To support this idea, we coexpressed flotillin 1–GFP, flotillin 2–GFP, and myosin IIa–mCherry in HeLa cells. As myosin IIa and spectrin are associated with flotillin microdomains, we were able to identify neutrophils (Ly6G+CD11b+) and monocytes (Ly6G+CD11b+) (Cooper et al., 1993; Taylor et al., 2002). Monocytes were confirmed by F4/80 expression (not depicted). We detected a striking decrease in neutrophil recruitment in flotillin 1−/− mice, with only 20% of control levels observed after 2 h (Fig. 2, b and c). We also detected fewer monocytes (P = 0.01) in the flotillin 1−/− mice (Fig. 2 c).
Figure 1. Flotillin microdomains are absent in flotillin 1−/− mice. (a) Gene targeting strategy. Positions of primers used for PCR genotyping are shown as black arrows; the primers are labeled a, b, c. (b) Genotyping of wild-type, C57Bl6/J flotillin 1+/+ (+/+), flotillin 1 knockout C57Bl6/J flotillin 1−/− (−/−), and flotillin 1+/− heterozygous mice (+/−). (c) Flotillin 1−/− mice lack flotillin 1, and flotillin 2 expression in these mice is drastically reduced. Western blots using antibodies against flotillin 1 and flotillin 2. MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast. (d) Residual flotillin 2 in flotillin 1−/− MEFs is not concentrated in flotillin microdomains. Staining of mixed culture of flotillin 1+/+ and wild-type MEFs with flotillin 1 and flotillin 2 antibodies. Bar, 20 µm. (e) Residual flotillin 2 in flotillin 1−/− MEFs is not present in detergent-resistant membranes. Detergent-resistant membranes (fractions 9 and 10, boxed) were floated in a sucrose density gradient. Western blots of samples from control (+/+), and flotillin 1−/− (−/−) mice were probed with antibodies to flotillin 2.
flotillin 2–GFP. Staining of flotillin 2–GFP cells with anti-flotillin 1 antibodies showed that flotillin 1 expression is increased in these cells (Fig. S2). This suggests that exogenously expressed flotillin 2–GFP stabilizes endogenous flotillin 1. Flotillin 2–GFP cells frequently had altered morphology compared with untransfected controls, and the distribution (but not total expression) of myosin IIa was visibly altered (Fig. 4 b). The expression and distribution of α- or β-spectrin was not notably altered in these cells (not depicted). Staining of the flotillin 2–GFP cell lines with phosphospecific antibodies revealed a pronounced increase in myosin IIa RLC phosphorylation as compared with co-cultured control HeLa cells (Fig. 4, c and d). Increased myosin IIa

Figure 2. Neutrophil migration requires flotillin microdomains. (a) fMLP (1 µg/mouse) was injected into subcutaneous air pouches. At the times indicated, mice were culled and the air pouch lavaged with PBS. Leukocyte counts were performed based on cell morphology after staining with Wright-Giemsa; a total of 200 cells were counted per slide. +/+ wild type; −/−, flotillin 1 knockout. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 3–7); these data are representative of three individual experiments. *, P < 0.05. (b) Cellular infiltration of the air pouch was monitored by flow cytometry using CD11b and ly6G expression as markers of neutrophils (ly6GhighCD11b+ve) and monocytes (ly6GlowCD11b−ve), as shown by the blue gating regions. Numbers in blue are the percentages of total cells in each gate. (c) Absolute cell numbers calculated from FACS analysis of infiltrating cells as in panel b. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 3–7); data are representative of three individual experiments. *, P < 0.05.
Figure 3. **Flotillin microdomains associate with myosin IIa and spectrin.** (a) Protocol for isolation of flotillin microdomains. (b) Identification of proteins associated with flotillin microdomains in HeLa cells by mass spectrometry. The specific bands from Coomassie-stained gels indicated with arrows were identified by mass spectrometry. Numbers in brackets indicate the number of unique peptides identified. MW, molecular weight (kD). (c) Confirmation that α-spectrin and myosin IIa associate specifically with flotillin microdomains in HeLa cells. Immunoprecipitation was performed as in panels a and b above; samples were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against the proteins indicated.
Figure 4. **Flotillin microdomains interact with the cell cortex in vivo, and regulate myosin IIa activity.**

(a) Co-migration of flotillin microdomains and myosin IIa. Time-lapse TIR imaging of a HeLa cell coexpressing flotillin–GFP and myosin IIa–mCherry. Times indicate interval after addition of 50 µM cytochalasin D. The yellow area highlights the region of the plasma membrane from which both flotillin microdomains and cortical cytoskeleton retract. Bar, 10 µm.

(b) Stable overexpression of flotillin 2–GFP alters the distribution of myosin IIa. A HeLa cell line overexpressing flotillin 2–GFP was co-cultured with HeLa cells, and stained with antibodies against the heavy chain of myosin IIa. Bar, 25 µm.

(c) Stable overexpression of flotillin 2–GFP increases phosphorylation of myosin IIa RLC S19-P. Bar, 50 µm.
RLC phosphorylation in the flotillin 2–GFP cell lines was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 4 e). Use of the myosin light chain kinase inhibitor ML7 (Chou et al., 2004), and the Rho kinase inhibitor Y27632 (Saito et al., 2002), confirmed the specificity of the antibodies and identified Rho kinase as being responsible for the bulk of the detected phosphorylation (Fig. 4 e). We used siRNAs to look at the effect of reduced flotillin 1 or flotillin 2 expression on phosphorylation of myosin Ila RLC in HeLa cells. Two separate siRNAs against each flotillin all caused a clear reduction in myosin Ila RLC phosphorylation (Fig. 4 f). Therefore, an increase in the abundance of flotillins is sufficient to increase the activity of myosin Ila, and loss of flotillins causes a reduction in activity.

**Uropod formation and cell migration is compromised in the absence of flotillin microdomains**

We sought to apply the data derived from experiments in HeLa cells described above to begin to characterize the functional deficit in neutrophils from flotillin 1−/− mice in more detail. Both spectrins and myosin Ila, like flotillin microdomains, are found concentrated in uropods (Gregorio et al., 1992; Eddy et al., 2000; Jacobelli et al., 2009; Sánchez-Madrid and Serrador, 2009) and myosin Ila activity is required for uropod formation (Doyle et al., 2009; Jacobelli et al., 2009; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009). We asked, therefore, whether the absence of flotillin microdomains impacts on neutrophil migration ex vivo, uropod formation, or myosin Ila activity.

Chemotaxis assays following the migration of neutrophils from control and flotillin 1−/− mice on serum-coated glass in a concentration gradient of fMLP, using Dunn chambers and video microscopy, did not detect any difference between the two populations of cells (Fig. S3, a and b). Additionally, phosphorylation of ERK (extracellular signal–regulated kinase), which acts downstream of the fMLP receptor (Coxon et al., 2000; Jacobelli et al., 2009; Sánchez-Madrid and Serrador, 2009) and myosin Ila activity is required for uropod formation (Doyle et al., 2009; Jacobelli et al., 2009; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009). We speculated, therefore, whether the absence of flotillin microdomains impacts on neutrophil migration ex vivo, uropod formation, or myosin Ila activity.

**Conclusion**

The data presented here demonstrate a requirement for flotillin microdomains during neutrophil recruitment in vivo. Our data also reveal that one of the functions of flotillin microdomains is to coordinate formation of the uropod in neutrophils; that, at least in part, this function probably involves regulation of the activity of myosin Ila; and that flotillins are required for efficient migration of neutrophils through Matrigel.

Our previous studies, and those of other laboratories, suggest that flotillins define a specific mechanism for endocytosis (Payne et al., 2007; Blanchet et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2008; Babuke et al., 2009; Riento et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009). It is intriguing that immunosialation of flotillin microdomains and analysis of associated proteins did not reveal any abundant membrane proteins. The function of flotillin-mediated endocytosis thus remains unclear. We speculate that one function may be to regulate the number and distribution of flotillin microdomains within the plasma membrane, as this could provide a way of controlling plasma membrane–cortex interactions in space and time.

of myosin Ila. As in panel b, but the cells were stained with phosphospecific antibodies against myosin Ila RLC. Bar, 25 µm. (d) Quantification of mean fluorescence intensity of control HeLa cells and HeLa cells stably overexpressing flotillin 2–GFP, labeled using immunofluorescence as in panel c. n > 20 for each sample; bars = SEM. (e) Western blots of lysates from control HeLa cells and HeLa cells stably overexpressing flotillin 2–GFP, probed with phosphospecific antibodies against myosin Ila RLC. (f) Western blots of lysates from HeLa cells transfected with four separate siRNAs against flotillin 1 or flotillin 2 as shown. Control cells were transfected with nonspecific siRNAs. Blots were probed with different antibodies as shown. One typical experiment is shown; the experiment was repeated four times.
Figure 5. Flotillin microdomains are required for uropod formation and activation of myosin IIa. (a) Migration of control and flotillin 1<sup>−/−</sup> neutrophils into Matrigel in a gradient of MIP2. The dashed white line indicates the top of the Matrigel layer. Triangles represent the direction of the MIP2 concentration gradient; vertical bar, 50 µm. Images are maximum intensity projections derived from 20–25 confocal Z-slices. (b) Quantification of neutrophil migration into Matrigel. The proportion of cells entering the Matrigel was derived by quantifying the fluorescence above and below the dashed white line in panel a. Combined data from four separate experiments are shown. (c) Indirect immunofluorescence with antibodies against flotillin 1 and α-spectrin to show colocalization in the uropod of fMLP-stimulated neutrophils. Bar, 20 µm. (d) Comparison of uropod formation in control (+/+) and flotillin 1<sup>−/−</sup> neutrophils. Cells were fixed 15 min after stimulation with fMLP and labeled with antibodies against CD44. Arrows indicate structures defined as uropods. Bar, 20 µm. (h) Western blot analysis of myosin IIa RLC_S19-P and actin levels after stimulation with fMLP for 0, 5, and 15 min. Myosin IIa RLC_S19-P, myotilin, and actin were normalized to the respective control.
The data clearly show functional deficits in flotillin 1−/− neutrophils, but it is possible that other factors also contribute to the in vivo neutrophil recruitment phenotype—recruitment of neutrophils is a complex process involving multiple functional interactions between neutrophils, endothelial cells, and other cell types. Both our data and separate experiments on Rac 1−/− neutrophils (Filippini et al., 2007) imply that the uropod itself is dispensable for neutrophil chemotaxis on planar surfaces. Our data do not, however, prove a direct link between uropod formation and the ability to migrate through resistive environments—it is possible that appropriate regulation of myosin IIa activity underlies both processes, without one being causally related to the other. Indeed, the finding that myosin II activity is needed for fibroblasts (which do not form uropods) to migrate through matrices implies that myosin II has roles in cell migration independent of uropod formation (Doyle et al., 2009).

The reduction in myosin IIa phosphorylation in both flotillin 1−/− neutrophils and in flotillin siRNA-treated HeLa cells, the biochemical association between flotillin microdomains and myosin IIa, and the effect of overexpression of flotillins on myosin IIa phosphorylation all indicate that flotillin microdomains are important in regulation of myosin IIa activity. Spectrin function in uropod formation is not well characterized, but, as these proteins may restrict lateral diffusion in the plasma membrane, recruitment of spectrins could play an important role in establishment of the uropod as a distinct membrane domain (Gregorio et al., 1992; Seaveu et al., 2001; Tang and Eddin, 2003; Sánchez-Madrid and Serrador, 2009). We propose that flotillin microdomains regulate uropod formation by spatially organizing the plasma membrane and the cortical cytoskeleton during neutrophil polarization, thereby allowing activation of myosin IIa and other uropod components at one specific location in the cell cortex.

Materials and methods

Mice
All animal experiments were performed in compliance with Irish Department of Health and Children regulations and approved by the Trinity College Dublin’s BioResources ethical review board, or with the approval of the UK Home Office and MRC-UKB ethical review board. Flotillin 1−/− C57Bl/6/J mice were generated by Artemis GmbH. Gene targeting was performed in C57Bl/6/J embryonic stem cells. The selectable cassette used for gene targeting (Fig. 1 a) was removed by crossing with C57Bl6/J mice that express cre recombinase (a gift from A. McKenzie, MRC-UMB). Experiments were performed both using age- and gender-matched C57Bl6/J mice as controls and comparing flotillin 1−/− and flotillin 1+/− littermates.

Antibodies
Mouse monoclonal antibodies against flotillin 1 (610820) and flotillin 2 (610383), rat anti-CD162 (PSGL-1; 555306), and rat anti-CD44 (560452) antibodies were from BD. Antibodies against myosin IIa (ab24762), α-spectrin (fodrin; ab11755), and pan-cadherin (ab6529) were from Abcam. Phospho-myosin light chain 2 (Ser19; 3671) and phospho-myosin light chain 2 (Thr18/Ser19; 3674) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-transferrin receptor antibody (13–8600) was from Invitrogen. Mouse anti-phospho-Erk1/2 (MB159) and polyclonal anti-actin (A2066) antibodies were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture and immunofluorescence
HeLa cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts were cultured in DME, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C, 10% CO2. For immunofluorescence, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 3–4 min followed by 6 min in −20°C methanol (MeOH). Cells were stained with primary and secondary antibodies in PBS, pH 7.4, 5% FBS, and 0.2% saponin.

Primary mouse neutrophils were stimulated with fMLP suspended in Hepes-buffered saline solution (HBSS), 15 mM Hesper, pH 7.2, and 0.05% fatty acid–free bovine serum albumin (FAF-BSA), and allowed to settle for the time indicated (typically 15 min) onto FBS-coated coverslips. They were then fixed and processed as described for HeLa cells above.

siRNA knockdown in HeLa cells
HeLa cells were transfected with 50 nM flotillin siRNAs using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The following siRNAs were used: F1.1: 5’-GCAGAGAGCCUCAACUAA-3’; F1.2: 5’-GUGGUAGCUCAUCUGA-3’; F2.1: 5’-UGAGGUUGUGGCGCCCA-3’; F2.2: 5’-AGCGAGCCUGACCGGGAU-3’. All siRNAs were ordered from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer 72 h after transfection and lysates precipitated with MeOH/Chloroform.

Equal protein amounts were subjected to Western blotting.

Image acquisition and processing
All fluorescence images were obtained using a confocal microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss, Inc.), 63x 1.4 NA objective, and standard filter sets, with the exception of Fig. 4 a and Video 1. These were obtained using a total internal reflection microscope (Olympus), 100x 1.49 NA objective. Image contrast (black and white levels) was adjusted in Adobe Photoshop without gamma adjustment. Fluorescence intensities were quantified using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Isolation of neutrophils
Primary mouse neutrophils were isolated from bone marrow of age- and gender-matched mice. In brief, bone marrow cells were harvested into HBSS, 15 mM Hesper, pH 7.2, and 0.05% FAF-BSA. The cell suspension (10 ml) was loaded onto a discontinuous 60/70% Percoll gradient (in HBSS; 10 ml each) and spun at 1,300 g for 30 min at 20°C methanol (MeOH). Cells were resuspended in HBSS at 779

(e) Quantification of the proportion of cells with a uropod, defined using CD44 as in panel d, adjacent. Data from one experiment is shown; each point represents one field of cells, n > 70 cells in each case. The experiment was repeated three times with equivalent results. (f) Comparison of phosphospecific anti-myosin IIa RLC antibody staining in control (+/+) and flotillin 1−/− neutrophils. The antibody is specific to myosin IIa RLC phosphorylated at serine 19. Bar, 20 μm. (g) Quantification of mean fluorescence intensity per cell in cells labeled with the antibody specific to phosphorylated myosin IIa RLC. Data from one experiment is shown, each point represents one cell. The experiment was repeated three times with equivalent results. (h) Western blot of lysates from control (+/+) and flotillin 1−/− neutrophils after stimulation with fMLP for the indicated length of time, to confirm the reduction in phosphorylation of myosin IIa RLC. (i) Densitometric quantification of Western blot of lysates from control (+/+) and flotillin 1−/− neutrophils as in panel h. Signal intensities are normalized using the signal from the loading control (actin). Data from two separate experiments are shown; error bars indicate the range of signals observed.
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migrate into the Matrigel in the presence or absence of 50 ng/ml fMLP for chemotaxis in Dunn chambers, 50,000 bone marrow–derived neutrophils suspended in chemotaxis buffer. 2 × 10^5 cells were added to Biocoat with Calcein AM in chemotaxis buffer for 30 min, washed twice, and re-stained on an inverted microscope (Eclipse TE2000-E; Nikon) equipped using the Chemotaxis tool in ImageJ.

The Dunn chamber was assembled and an fMLP gradient of 0–100 nM in chemotaxis buffer (HBSS, 15 mM Hepes, pH 7.2, and 0.05% FAF-BSA). After 1 h, blood was collected by cardiac puncture.

For chemotaxis through Matrigel, purified neutrophils were labeled with eBioscience mAbs; APC anti-CD11b (Mac-1; ICRF44), PE anti-Ly6G (B6;8C5), and PerCP anti-F4/80 (B220). Flow buffers used contained 2 mM EDTA to exclude doublets. Using appropriate isotype controls, gates were drawn and data were plotted on logarithmic scale density plots or dot plots.

**Slide preparation and differential cell counting**

Slides were prepared from the lavage fluid (50,000 cells/slide) from air pouches using a cytospin (ThermoShandon). Blood smears were prepared after cardiac puncture. All slides were stained with Wright-Giemsa (ThermoShandon) to depict leukocyte subsets. A total of 200 leukocytes were counted per slide.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are representative of mean ± SEM of between 3 and 7 replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using a Student’s t test with InStat software. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows hematological analysis of leukocyte populations in blood obtained by cardiac puncture of wild-type and flotillin 1−/− mice. Fig. S2 shows that stable overexpression of flotillin 2-GFP increases expression of flotillin 1. Fig. S3 shows that Flotillin 1−/− neutrophils chemotax on glass and detect fMLP in the same way as controls. Panel e shows comparison of α-spectrin staining in control (+/+) and Flotillin 1−/− neutrophils. Video 1 shows co-migration of flotillin microdomains and myosin IIa. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201005140/DC1.
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