Asymmetric distribution of Echinoid defines the epidermal leading edge during Drosophila dorsal closure
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During Drosophila melanogaster dorsal closure, lateral sheets of embryonic epidermis assemble an actomyosin cable at their leading edge and migrate dorsally over the amnioserosa, converging at the dorsal midline. We show that disappearance of the homophilic cell adhesion molecule Echinoid (Ed) from the amnioserosa just before dorsal closure eliminates homophilic interactions with the adjacent dorsal-most epidermal (DME) cells, which comprise the leading edge. The resulting planar polarized distribution of Ed in the DME cells is essential for the localized accumulation of actin regulators and for actomyosin cable formation at the leading edge and for the polarized localization of the scaffolding protein Bazooka/PAR-3. DME cells with uniform Ed fail to assemble a cable and protrude dorsally, suggesting that the cable restricts dorsal migration. The planar polarized distribution of Ed in the DME cells thus provides a spatial cue that polarizes the DME cell actin cytoskeleton, defining the epidermal leading edge and establishing its contractile properties.

Introduction

Tissue morphogenesis is driven by coordinated cell movements, shape changes, and rearrangements, which in turn depend on local control of actin dynamics (Zallen, 2007; Harris et al., 2009). Elucidating the spatial cues that define the cell populations that will undergo these changes and that lead to the required remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton is thus important for understanding the regulation of epithelial movements in vivo.

An important model for epithelial morphogenesis is the process of dorsal closure during Drosophila melanogaster embryogenesis, in which two lateral sheets of epidermis move dorsally over the extraembryonic amnioserosa and converge at the dorsal midline (Young et al., 1993; Kiehart et al., 2000; Jacinto et al., 2001; Harden, 2002; Jacinto et al., 2002b). These movements are the result of contractile forces and cell shape changes in both the epidermis and amnioserosa, which culminate in direct filopodial interactions between the opposing epidermal edges that ultimately establish a continuous epidermis (Kiehart et al., 2000; Jacinto et al., 2002b; Franke et al., 2005; Solon et al., 2009; Blanchard et al., 2010).

At the onset of dorsal closure, the dorsal-most epidermal (DME) cells, which lie at the leading edge of the epidermal sheets and therefore abut the amnioserosa, elongate within the dorsal–ventral (DV) plane of tissue (Ring and Martinez Arias, 1993; Young et al., 1993; Kiehart et al., 2000). This planar polarity of their shape is also reflected at the molecular level by the planar polarized localization of several factors, such as actin regulators that become enriched at tricellular junctions along the DME cell leading edge and septate junction proteins that are absent from the leading edge (Kalschmidt et al., 2002; Homem and Peifer, 2008; Narasimha et al., 2008). The DME cells also accumulate filamentous actin (F-actin) and non-muscle myosin II (hereafter referred to as myosin II) at their leading edge, forming a supracellular actomyosin cable that confers contractile properties upon the leading edge and provides one of the forces orchestrating the tissue movements that
drive dorsal closure (Young et al., 1993; Kiehart et al., 2000; Jacinto et al., 2002b).

Although the morphological and molecular properties of the DME cells have been extensively characterized and their contribution to dorsal closure well studied, how their identity and polarity are established remains unclear. Positionally, they can be recognized by their location at the leading edge of the tissue, but the molecular information that provides the spatial cue distinguishing the DME cells from other epidermal cells and how this information leads to their planar polarization is not understood. Wingless signaling is required for DME cell planar polarization but does not provide the positional input that establishes this polarity (Kaltschmidt et al., 2002). JNK signaling has been implicated in planar polarity in other tissues and is required for normal dorsal closure (Glise et al., 1995; Riesgo-Escovar et al., 1996; Sluss et al., 1996; Strutt et al., 1997; Boutros et al., 1998; Noselli and Agnès, 1999) but does not appear to play an instructive role in DME cell polarization (Glise et al., 1995; Kaltschmidt et al., 2002; Stronach and Perrimon, 2002).

As a candidate for such a cue, we have investigated the transmembrane protein Echinoid (Ed; Bai et al., 2001; Wei et al., 2005; Laplante and Nilson, 2006). Clones of ed mutant epithelial cells form smooth actomyosin-rich interfaces with neighboring Ed-expressing cells, suggesting that a contractile actomyosin cable assembles at the border between cells that express Ed and those that lack Ed. Ed undergoes homophilic interactions via its extracellular domain, which contains seven immunoglobulin domains and a fibronectin type III domain, which is consistent with a role for Ed in mediating interactions between neighboring cells (Bai et al., 2001; Islam et al., 2003; Spencer and Cagan, 2003; Wei et al., 2005; Laplante and Nilson, 2006). An endogenous interface between Ed-expressing and nonexpressing cells arises in the embryo during dorsal closure when Ed expression is lost from the amnioserosa but persists in the epidermis, but whether this differential expression of Ed leads to cable formation at the leading edge remains unclear (Laplante and Nilson, 2006; Lin et al., 2007).

In this study, we show that ectopic expression of Ed in the amnioserosa abolishes actomyosin cable formation at the DME cell leading edge, indicating that in a normal developmental context, juxtaposition of cells with and without Ed leads to cable formation at their interface. We also show that loss of Ed from the amnioserosa eliminates Ed homophilic interactions at the epidermis/amnioserosa interface, resulting in the disappearance of Ed from the DME cell leading edge and a planar polarized distribution of Ed within the DME cells. This polarized distribution, rather than the presence or absence of Ed itself, is required for the localization of actin regulators to the leading edge, where they mediate actomyosin cable assembly. The Ed intracellular domain, but not its C-terminal PDZ-binding motif, is required for this function. The planar polarized distribution of Ed is also required for the planar polarized localization of the scaffolding protein Bazooka/PAR-3 (Baz) in the DME cells, and this polarity precedes cable formation, suggesting that Ed may function by regulating Baz localization. We also show that DME cells lacking a cable exhibit enhanced dorsal migration, suggesting that the cable restricts the forward movement of the leading edge. Collectively, our data suggest that the disappearance of Ed from the amnioserosa provides the initial spatial cue that molecularly distinguishes the cells at the dorsal edge of the epidermis; the resulting loss of homophilic interaction generates a polarized distribution of Ed in the DME cells, which in turn mediates proper localization of Baz and actin regulators, which direct localized actomyosin cable assembly to the leading edge. The homophilic binding properties of Ed thus allow it to function as a “sensor,” allowing a cell to detect whether its neighbors also express Ed, thus providing information to the cell about its position within the tissue.

**Results**

**Differential expression of Ed is essential for actomyosin cable assembly**

During embryogenesis, Ed is initially detectable uniformly at the apical domain of all epidermal and amnioserosa cells but just before dorsal closure, while the germ band is fully extended (embryonic stage 11), levels of Ed begin to decrease in the amnioserosa (Fig. 1, A–C). During the initiation phase of dorsal closure (stage 12), the peripheral amnioserosa (pAS) cells, those that abut the DME cells, are the first to exhibit complete loss of Ed (Fig. 1, D and D*). By the epithelial sweeping phase (stage 13), when the actomyosin cable is assembled at the DME cell leading edge (Jacinto et al., 2002b). Ed is absent throughout the amnioserosa (Fig. 1, E and E’; Laplante and Nilson, 2006; Lin et al., 2007). Ed remains undetectable during the zippering (stage 14) and termination (stage 15) phases (Fig. 4 C and not depicted). Loss of Ed from the amnioserosa thus coincides temporally with the appearance of the cable, which is consistent with a model in which loss of Ed from the amnioserosa leads to differential expression of Ed between the amnioserosa and epidermis, which in turn induces the assembly of a contractile actomyosin cable at their interface (Laplante and Nilson, 2006).

To test this hypothesis, we used the upstream activating sequence (UAS)/GAL4 system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) and an amnioserosa-specific GAL4 driver (c381-GAL4) to determine whether ectopically expressing Ed in the amnioserosa would block cable formation. Expression of a full length Ed transgene (Ed-Full) in the amnioserosa resulted in levels of ectopic expression comparable with endogenous levels in the epidermis (Fig. 1 G). Interestingly, however, the pAS cells often failed to express Ed-Full (Fig. 1, G [arrow] and H). This pattern does not result from variable expression of the c381-GAL4 driver (see Fig. S1), and the underlying cause remains unclear. However, the presence of these Ed nonexpressing cells provides an internal control that allows us to directly compare interfaces between DME cells and amnioserosa cells that express or lack Ed. Consistent with our model, actomyosin cable formation in the DME cells was abolished when Ed-Full was expressed in the adjacent pAS cells (Fig. 1 G, arrowhead) but remained detectable when the adjacent pAS cell failed to express the transgene (Fig. 1 G, arrow).

To investigate this effect further, we tested the requirement for the Ed intracellular region, which contains no obvious domains except for a PDZ-binding motif at the C terminus (Bai et al., 2001). A transgenic form of Ed lacking the C-terminal PDZ-binding motif (Ed-ΔP; Fig. 1 F) was variably expressed in
the pAS cells but capable of abolishing cable formation (not depicted) and was thus indistinguishable from Ed-Full in this assay. We then generated a transgene encoding a form of Ed lacking most of the intracellular domain and bearing a C-terminal GFP tag (Ed-C; Fig. 1 F). Unlike Ed-Full, Ed-C is detectable uniformly in amnioserosa cells, including the pAS cells, throughout dorsal closure (Fig. S1). To reflect this uniform expression of Ed in the amnioserosa, we refer to these as Ed-C expressing cells. However, like Ed-Full, expression of Ed-C in the amnioserosa abolished actomyosin cable formation: the leading edge did not exhibit enrichment of the actomyosin cable markers F-actin, myosin heavy chain (MHC), and active phosphorylated myosin II light chain (pMLC; Fig. 2, B, E, and H) and appeared markedly jagged (Fig. 1, I and I’) compared with wild type (Fig. 1, E and E’; and Fig. 2, A, D, and G), which is consistent with a failure of actomyosin cable formation and a lack of tension at the leading edge. In addition, the elongation of the DME cells along the DV axis, which has been linked to the tension exerted by the contractile actomyosin cable (Jacinto et al., 2002a), fails to occur in Ed-AS embryos (Fig. 1 I’ and Fig. S2). In contrast, these embryos exhibit little or no defect in the elongation of the more-ventral rows of epidermal cells (Fig. S2), which is thought to be regulated by the JNK and Decapentaplegic pathways and independent of the actomyosin cable (Riesgo-Escovar and Hafen, 1997; Ricos et al., 1999). The DME cells also exhibited frequent premature contact at the dorsal midline (Fig. S2). In contrast, wild-type embryos are abundant and protrude from the leading edge (Fig. 2 B; Jacinto et al., 2000; Kalt Schmidt et al., 2002).

We also used the paired-GAL4 driver (Yoffe et al., 1995) to express Ed-ΔC in segmental stripes that extend around the entire circumference of the embryo, including both epidermal and amnioserosa cells, allowing us to compare within the same embryo the phenotype of DME cells adjacent to amnioserosa cells without Ed to DME cells adjacent to amnioserosa cells with Ed (the Ed-AS stripe; Fig. 1 K, arrow). The DME cells of the Ed-AS stripe adopt a fan shape with their leading edge splayed wide along the anterior–posterior (AP) axis compared with the narrow leading edge of the wild-type cells (n = 37;
at the dorsal midline (Fig. 1, L and L’). This observation suggests that the actomyosin cable restrains rather than promotes forward movement of the leading edge (Jacinto et al., 2002a).

Fig. 1 K’, arrow). These cells also appear to acquire a migration advantage and extend further dorsally than their neighbors (Fig. 1 K’), and in later stages, they establish premature contacts

Figure 2. Polarized Ed distribution is essential for actomyosin cable assembly but not for the overall planar polarity of the DME cells. Zippering phase wild-type embryos (A, D, G, J, and M), Ed-AS embryos (B, E, H, K, and N), and edM/Z embryos (C, F, I, L, and O) stained to visualize F-actin (A–C), MHC (D–F), pMLC (G–I), Coracle (Cor; J–L), and Fmi (M–O). Arrows point to the leading edge of the DME cells. Bars, 10 µm.
Strikingly, the phenotype of Ed-AS embryos appears identical to that of embryos lacking both maternal and zygotic ed contributions (ed^{MZ} embryos; Laplante and Nilson, 2006; Lin et al., 2007). The leading edge of ed^{MZ} embryos is not smooth (Fig. 1 J and J’) and does not exhibit actomyosin cable markers or filopodia (Fig. 2, C, F, and I), and DME cell elongation is similarly impaired (Fig. S2). These observations support the hypothesis that the juxtaposition of cells with and without Ed leads to assembly of an actomyosin cable at their interface. If we eliminate this differential Ed expression between the epidermis and amnioserosa either by ectopic Ed expression in the amnioserosa or removal of Ed from the epidermis, actomyosin cable formation at the leading edge is abolished.

**Ectopic Ed expression in the amnioserosa maintains endogenous Ed at the leading edge**

To investigate how such different genetic manipulations might both result in such a similar effect on the leading edge, we looked at the distribution of Ed in the DME cells. In wild type, the loss of Ed from the amnioserosa is followed by the disappearance of Ed from the epidermal leading edge (Fig. 1, D and E’; and see Fig. 4, A and B; Laplante and Nilson, 2006; Lin et al., 2007). We therefore asked whether the disappearance of Ed from the leading edge is because of the loss of stabilizing homophilic interactions with Ed in the neighboring amnioserosa cells. We analyzed Ed-AS embryos because Ed-ΔC is uniformly expressed throughout the amnioserosa and is sufficient to inhibit cable formation and because it is not recognized by our anti-Ed antiserum, allowing us to specifically visualize the effect on endogenous Ed in the epidermis. In Ed-AS embryos, endogenous Ed is detectable at the leading edge of the DME cells (Fig. 1 I’, arrow), indicating that expression of Ed-ΔC in the amnioserosa is sufficient to maintain endogenous Ed at the leading edge, presumably through homophilic interaction. Similar results were obtained for both Ed-Full and Ed-ΔP (Fig. 1 G and not depicted), except where the adjacent pAS cells failed to express the transgenes.

These observations offer a potential explanation for the strikingly similar loss of actomyosin cable formation in both ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos, even though they differ markedly in terms of Ed expression. In wild type, when Ed is lost from the epidermal leading edge, the distribution of Ed in the DME cells becomes polarized in the plane of the tissue (Fig. 1 E’). In both ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos, the planar polarized distribution of Ed in the DME cells is eliminated; Ed is absent from all DME cell interfaces in ed^{MZ} embryos and present at all DME cell interfaces in Ed-AS embryos. We therefore propose that the planar polarized distribution of Ed, rather than simply its presence or absence, is necessary for the normal regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and cell shape in DME cells.

**Planar polarity of the DME cells is not disrupted in ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos**

To determine whether DME cell planar polarity is generally disrupted in ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos, we investigated the distribution of other polarized proteins. For example, septate junctions are present at contacts between epidermal cells but are lost from the leading edge during dorsal closure (Fig. 2 J; Magie et al., 1999; Kaltschmidt et al., 2002). In both ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos, the localization of septate junction markers Coracle and Discs Large is indistinguishable from wild type (Fig. 2, J–L and not depicted). In addition, the nonclassical cadherin Flamingo (Fmi), a component of the planar polarity core complex, displays a similar planar polarized distribution (Fig. 2 M; Kaltschmidt et al., 2002), which is also unaffected in both ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos (Fig. 2, N and O). Together these data indicate that the defects in actomyosin cable formation in ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos do not reflect an overall disruption of DME cell planar polarity and suggest that asymmetric distribution of Ed in the DME cells is specifically required for the planar polarization of the actin cytoskeleton.

**Asymmetric localization of Ed is required for the planar polarized distribution of actin regulators in DME cells**

Actomyosin cable formation during dorsal closure requires the accumulation of known regulators of actin filament assembly and contractility at the leading edge (Harden et al., 1999; Magie et al., 1999; Wood et al., 2002). To investigate how altering Ed distribution might interfere with cable formation, we examined the distribution of such factors in ed^{MZ} and Ed-AS embryos. We reasoned that if Ed functions upstream of these regulators, then their localization within the DME cells will be disrupted. Alternatively, Ed might function downstream of or in parallel to these factors.

We looked at the distribution of RhoGEF2, one of the guanine nucleotide exchange factors known to activate the Rho small GTPase Rho1 in *Drosophila* (Grosshans et al., 2005), because actomyosin cable assembly requires signaling from Rho1 (Harden et al., 1999; Magie et al., 1999; Wood et al., 2002). Wild-type embryos exhibit an enrichment of RhoGEF2 at the leading edge during dorsal closure at the stages when the actomyosin cable is present (Fig. 3 A), which is consistent with local activation of Rho1 at the leading edge. This RhoGEF2 accumulation is abolished in both Ed-AS and ed^{MZ} embryos (Fig. 3, A’ and A’’). Similarly, Diaphanous (Dia), a formin that functions as a Rho1 effector and nucleates and elongates unbranched actin filaments (Pruyne et al., 2002; Sagot et al., 2002; Grosshans et al., 2005; Pollard, 2007) accumulates at leading edge actin-nucleating centers (ANCs) during wild-type dorsal closure (Fig. 3, B and B’, arrows; Kaltschmidt et al., 2002; Homem and Peifer, 2008) but not in ed^{MZ} embryos or adjacent to Ed-ΔC-expressing amnioserosa cells in embryos expressing Ed-ΔC in paired stripes (Fig. 3, B, B’ [arrowheads], and C). Enrichment of the actin regulator Enabled/VASP (Ena) at the ANC (Fig. 3 D; Gates et al., 2007) is also abolished in Ed-AS and ed^{MZ} embryos (Fig. 3, D’ and D’’). Ena is dispensable for actomyosin cable assembly but influences actin filament stability in the leading edge filopodia (Gates et al., 2007), which is consistent with the lack of filopodia in Ed-AS and ed^{MZ} embryos (Fig. 2, B and C). Together these observations indicate that the polarized distribution of Ed within the DME cells is necessary for proper accumulation of actin regulators at their...
leading edge, which in turn promotes actomyosin cable and filopodia assembly.

The polarized distribution of Ed in DME cells affects the localization of Baz

As another candidate Ed effector, we looked at the distribution of the polarity protein Baz, which can interact with Ed via the Ed PDZ-binding motif (Wei et al., 2005). In wild-type embryos, Baz is detectable at all faces of the DME cells during initiation phase (Fig. 4 A’, arrow) but is gradually lost from the leading edge as the embryo proceeds to sweeping phase (Fig. 4 B’, arrow), resembling spatially and temporally the loss of Ed from this interface (Fig. 4, A and B).

During their removal from the leading edge, Ed and Baz exhibit three interesting staining patterns (Fig. 4 G). In most DME cells (46%), Ed and Baz appear to extend uniformly along the leading edge (n = 276; Fig. 4 G, uniform). In others (37%), Baz is enriched in a large focus at the middle of the leading edge (n = 276; Fig. 4 G, aggregate); Ed also appears somewhat enriched in these aggregates. In some cells (17%), this focus of Baz appears elongated along the DV axis of the DME cell (n = 276; Fig. 4 G, internalization); Ed appears mildly enriched in these foci. Although the relevance of these patterns is not clear, based on their shape and position, we speculate that they may reflect the internalization of Baz/Ed aggregates into the cytoplasm of the DME cell as Baz and Ed disappear from the leading edge. Later, during sweeping, Baz becomes enriched approximately halfway along the AP interfaces between DME cells (Fig. 4 B, inset).

We then further examined the onset of Ed and Baz planar polarity and its relationship to actin cable formation by analyzing wild-type embryos coimmunostained for Ed, Baz, and MHC. From initiation to sweeping stage, we found a strong temporal correlation between Ed and Baz localization. In most DME cells (88%), Ed and Baz are either both present or both absent at the leading edge (n = 1,239; Fig. 4 F), making it difficult to conclude whether loss of Ed precedes the loss of Baz. We then asked whether the localization of Baz is influenced by the distribution of Ed. In both edMZ and Ed-AS embryos, Baz displays a wild-type cortical distribution during initiation (not depicted) but fails to be redistributed during the sweeping phase, remaining at the leading edge of DME cells (Fig. 4, D’ and E’). These results suggest that the asymmetric distribution of Ed is essential for the planar polarized localization of Baz in DME cells during dorsal closure. Consistent with this interpretation, during the termination phase, when the two lateral sheets of epidermis join at the dorsal midline, Ed accumulates at the new contacts created between the epidermal
there (n = 979 DME cells from late initiation to early zippering embryos), indicating that the loss of Ed from the leading edge and the consequent planar polarized distribution of Ed and Baz precede actomyosin cable assembly. Together with our observation that altering the distribution of Ed disrupts MHC accumulation at the leading edge, these data support the hypothesis that cells (Fig. 4 C, arrowhead) before the appearance of Baz, which is detectable in mature contacts more distal to the zippering front (Fig. 4 C', arrow).

When we analyzed the distribution of MHC in these embryos, the temporal relationship was more clear. MHC is never up-regulated at the DME cell leading edge while Ed is still present
the polarized localization of Ed and/or Baz leads to actomyosin cable formation.

The loss of Baz and enrichment of MHC at the epidermal leading edge during dorsal closure is reminiscent of their polarized distribution during the epithelial cell intercalation events that drive germ band extension, where MHC accumulates at the shrinking AP interfaces and Baz becomes enriched at DV interfaces (Bertet et al., 2004; Zallen and Wieschaus, 2004). The epidermal leading edge during dorsal closure thus resembles the AP interfaces during germ band extension, both in its enrichment of MHC and its contraction. However, in contrast to dorsal closure, we did not observe a corresponding planar polarized localization of Ed in the epidermis in embryos during germ band extension (Fig. 4, H and H'). Therefore, although Ed can regulate the planar polarized localization of Baz and MHC during dorsal closure, the uniform distribution of Ed during germ band extension suggests that different factors contribute to their polarization during this process.

The Ed intracellular domain but not the PDZ-binding motif is required for actomyosin cable formation

Our data support a model in which the planar polarized distribution of Ed influences the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, directing actomyosin cable formation to the epidermal leading edge during dorsal closure. A simple prediction of this model is that in the cells with the planar polarized distribution of Ed, the Ed intracellular domain will be required for localized actomyosin cable assembly. To test this idea, we induced clones of ed mutant cells in the ovarian follicular epithelium to create ectopic interfaces between wild-type Ed-expressing cells and ed mutant cells. Such interfaces are analogous to the epidermis/amnioserosa interface in terms of differential Ed expression, smooth morphology, and enrichment for actomyosin cable markers (Laplante and Nilson, 2006). These interfaces also lack detectable Ed, and therefore, the wild-type cells abutting the clone border exhibit a planar polarized distribution of Ed (Laplante and Nilson, 2006). Thus, in many aspects, these cells resemble DME cells during dorsal closure. However, in the follicle cell clone system, the Ed-expressing and nonexpressing cells are of the same cell type, confirming that the interface phenotypes result from differences in Ed expression rather than differences in cell type.

To manipulate Ed expression in this system, we used the mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) system (Lee and Luo, 2001) to generate mitotic clones of homozygous ed mutant follicle cells that also express GAL4, which in turn drives expression of a UAS-GFP marker and a UAS-Ed transgene exclusively in the ed mutant cells. In terms of Ed expression, expression of transgenic Ed in ed mutant clones is analogous to ectopic expression of Ed in the amnioserosa. As predicted, expression of Ed-Full in ed mutant clones rescues the ed mutant phenotype; the clone border is not smooth and does not exhibit enriched pMLC (29/29 interfaces in 21 egg chambers; Fig. 5, A and A’, arrows). This rescue occurs even though Ed-Full is expressed at considerably higher levels than endogenous Ed in the wild-type cells (Fig. 5 A), indicating that a difference in Ed levels between neighboring cells is not sufficient to trigger cable formation, and is consistent with our previous observation that a smooth boundary is not observed between cells with one and two copies of wild-type ed (Laplante and Nilson, 2006). The same phenotype is observed with Ed-ΔP (14/14 interfaces in 15 egg chambers; Fig. 5, B and B’, arrows). Consistent with our analysis of dorsal closure, expression of Ed-ΔC in ed mutant cells maintains endogenous Ed in the nonmutant cells at this interface and abolishes the smooth interface phenotype (21/21 interfaces in 21 egg chambers; Fig. 5, C–C”, arrows), further supporting the hypothesis that the planar polarized distribution of Ed leads to localized actomyosin cable formation.

When analyzing these mosaic epithelia, we noted that a subset of the ed mutant follicle cells occasionally fail to express the transgene. The cause of this lack of expression is unknown, but we can identify these cells unambiguously through their lack of Ed staining. Such events are useful because they generate interfaces between cells lacking Ed and cells expressing transgenic Ed, allowing us to ask whether the polarized distribution of truncated forms of Ed can lead to actomyosin cable assembly at the clone border. As predicted, at interfaces between ed mutant cells lacking Ed and ed mutant cells expressing transgenic Ed-Full, a smooth border and an actomyosin cable are detected (41/41 interfaces in 21 egg chambers; Fig. 5, A and A’, arrowheads). The same phenotype was observed at interfaces between cells lacking Ed and those expressing Ed-ΔP (42/42 interfaces in 15 egg chambers; Fig. 5, B and B’, arrowheads), indicating that, although previous work has invoked a role for the PDZ-binding motif of Ed in cable assembly through its ability to interact with Baz and the actin-interacting protein Canoe (Wei et al., 2005), Ed-ΔP behaves like Ed-Full in this assay. However, interfaces between ed mutant cells expressing Ed-ΔC and those that fail to express the transgene, and therefore lack Ed, remain jagged, and no actomyosin cable is detected (17/17 interfaces in 21 egg chambers; Fig. 5, D–D”, arrows), indicating that the Ed intracellular domain is required in the Ed-expressing cell for actomyosin cable assembly at the interface. These data indicate that the Ed cytoplasmic domain but not the PDZ-binding motif is required for regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by the polarized distribution of Ed (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Loss of Ed from the amnioserosa triggers actomyosin cable assembly at the epidermal leading edge

Our data demonstrate that an actomyosin cable forms at the epidermal leading edge because the disappearance of Ed from the amnioserosa generates an interface between cells with Ed (the epidermis) and those without Ed (the amnioserosa). Ectopic expression of transgenic Ed in the amnioserosa abolishes cable formation at the leading edge of the epidermis, indicating that loss of Ed is required to induce cable assembly. Moreover, creating ectopic interfaces between cells with and without Ed, by generating ed mutant clones in the follicular epithelium, also leads to cable formation (Laplante and Nilson, 2006), indicating that differential expression of Ed, as opposed
to other cell type–specific differences, is sufficient for this effect. Although a previous study suggested that ectopic expression of Ed in the amnioserosa does not affect actomyosin cable assembly (Lin et al., 2007), this discrepancy may reflect the fact that the work used a full-length Ed transgene, which we show is not expressed efficiently in pAS cells. Differential expression of Ed between DME cells and the adjacent amnioserosa cells may therefore have been maintained in those experiments, thus explaining the observed failure to block actomyosin cable formation. Our analysis of Ed function in dorsal closure indicates that in multiple developmental contexts, the juxtaposition of Ed-expressing and -nonexpressing cells leads to actomyosin cable formation at their interface (Laplante and Nilson, 2006).

### Figure 5. The PDZ-binding motif of Ed is dispensable for actomyosin cable assembly. (A–D’)

Mosaic follicular epithelia with MARCM clones were stained for Ed (A, B, C’, and D’) and pMLC (A’, B’, C”, and D”). The clones express Ed-Full (A and A’), Ed-ΔP (B and B’), or Ed-ΔC (C–D’). Diagrams (right) illustrate the different cell genotypes within the mosaic epithelia. Arrows indicate interfaces between ed mutant cells expressing an Ed transgene and wild-type (wt) cells with endogenous Ed. Arrowheads indicate interfaces between ed mutant cells expressing an Ed transgene and ed mutant cells that do not express Ed (no-Ed). [A and A’] Ed/no-Ed and Ed-Full/no-Ed interfaces are smooth and exhibit an actomyosin cable; Ed-Full/no-Ed interfaces do not show this phenotype. [B and B’] Ed-ΔP is indistinguishable from Ed-Full in this assay. [C–C’] Expression of Ed-ΔC, which bears a GFP tag, in ed mutant clones stabilizes endogenous Ed at the clone border [C’]; the Ed/Ed-ΔC interface is not smooth and no cable forms. [D–D’] Unlike Ed-Full/no-Ed interfaces, Ed-ΔC/no-Ed interfaces are not smooth and do not exhibit a cable. There is no signal in D’ because the anti-Ed antiserum does not recognize Ed-ΔC. Bars, 10 µm.
The ability of the absence of Ed from the amnioserosa to influence the actin cytoskeleton of the neighboring DME cells is a consequence of the homophilic binding properties of Ed. Loss of Ed from the amnioserosa eliminates Ed-mediated homophilic interactions with the adjacent DME cells, leading to the disappearance of Ed from their leading edge. Absence of Ed from the leading edge is in turn required for cable formation because ectopic expression of Ed-ΔC in the amnioserosa is sufficient to retain Ed at the leading edge and abolish cable formation. The absence of Ed from the amnioserosa thus provides the positional cue that molecularly distinguishes the epidermal cells that lie at the leading edge of the tissue: the DME cells are the only epidermal cells that abut Ed-nonexpressing cells and consequently have a planar polarized distribution of Ed.

Based on these observations, we propose that the function of Ed in this context is to act as a sensor, detecting through its homophilic binding capability whether its neighbors also express Ed, and thus providing information to the cell about its position within the tissue. Homophilic binding is typically interpreted as mediating cell adhesion, and indeed, Ed can mediate aggregation in an S2 cell assay (Islam et al., 2003; Spencer and Cagan, 2003). However, loss of Ed in vivo does not result in any physically apparent defects in adhesion (Wei et al., 2005; Laplante and Nilson, 2006). The smooth border of ed mutant clones has been proposed to reflect differential adhesion of wild-type and mutant cells (Wei et al., 2005), but we show that expression of different levels of Ed between neighboring cells does not generate a smooth interface or result in cell sorting. Therefore, we speculate that the homophilic binding ability of the Ed extracellular domain may reflect a recognition function rather than a role in cell adhesion. Alternatively, Ed might serve both functions simultaneously; for example, subtle alterations in adhesion could underlie the cytoskeletal and morphological changes that occur at Ed/no-Ed interfaces. In addition, Ed may serve different functions in different developmental contexts, such as those with no apparent differential expression of Ed (Bai et al., 2001; Escudero et al., 2003; Hortsch, 2003; Rawlins et al., 2003a;b; Spencer and Cagan, 2003; Swan et al., 2006; Laplante et al., 2010).

The polarized distribution of Ed establishes the planar polarity of the actin cytoskeleton

The assembly of the actomyosin cable at the leading edge upon the loss of Ed could imply that Ed functions locally to negatively regulate cable assembly. However, such a scenario would predict that embryos that lack Ed entirely would exhibit actomyosin cable assembly at all epidermal interfaces, and we do not detect this effect in ed<sup>ΔC</sup> embryos. Moreover, ed mutant cells in mosaic follicular epithelia exhibit cable formation only where they abut neighboring Ed-expressing cells and not at interfaces with adjacent ed mutant cells (Laplante and Nilson, 2006). Also inconsistent with such a hypothesis is our observation that both ed<sup>ΔC</sup> embryos, which lack Ed altogether, and Ed-AS embryos, which retain Ed at the DME cell leading edge, fail to accumulate actin regulators and to assemble an actomyosin cable. A common feature of these two situations is the loss of the planar polarized localization of Ed in the DME cells; Ed is uniformly present in Ed-AS embryos and uniformly absent in ed<sup>ΔC</sup> embryos. Therefore, we favor instead the hypothesis that the planar polarized distribution of Ed within the DME cells, which results from a loss of Ed from the amnioserosa, directs cable assembly to the leading edge. This process appears to be independent of the JNK pathway because expression of a JNK pathway reporter is unchanged in ed<sup>ΔC</sup> embryos (Lin et al., 2007) and Ed expression is unaffected in embryos with JNK pathway defects (unpublished data). Localized actomyosin cable assembly requires the Ed intracellular domain because follicle cells with a polarized distribution of Ed-ΔC do not exhibit a cable or a smooth interface with neighboring ed mutant.
cells. Interestingly, the Ed PDZ-binding motif is not required for Ed function in this process, although several known PDZ domain–containing proteins, such as Baz and Cno, can interact with Ed through this motif. However, this region may be important for Ed function in other processes (Swan et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007).

The distribution of Ed is important for establishing the planar polarity of the actin cytoskeleton but is not required for the overall planar polarity of the DME cells. Distinct aspects of DME cell planar polarity are thus genetically separable, suggesting that Ed functions downstream of, or in parallel to, other DV positional information that defines DME cell polarity. The nature of this additional information, however, is unknown. For example, although the Wingless signaling pathway is required for establishment of multiple aspects of DME cell planar polarity, it functions in a permissive rather than an instructive manner and does not provide spatial information (Kallitschmidt et al., 2002). Therefore, Ed is the first example of a spatial cue that establishes, at least in part, DME cell planar polarity.

It has recently been shown that Ed is required for proper ommatidial rotation in the retinal epithelium of the eye imaginal disc, which establishes planar polarity in this tissue (Fetting et al., 2009; Ho et al., 2010). One study proposes that Ed functions in this process through regulation of Fmi internalization in interommatidial cells and that the consequent up-regulation of Fmi in these cells in the absence of Ed can account for the misrotation of photoreceptor clusters in ed mutant discs (Ho, 2010). In the embryo, however, our data show that Fmi exhibits a wild-type planar polarized distribution in both ed^{izz} and Ed-LE DME cells, suggesting that Ed does not regulate Fmi distribution in this context.

**Baz functions downstream of Ed and upstream of polarized myosin enrichment**

Ed may regulate cable formation by influencing the localization of Baz. We show that wild-type embryos exhibit a planar polarized distribution of Baz in the DME cells during dorsal closure. Like Ed, Baz disappears from the leading edge and therefore exhibits a planar polarized distribution that is complementary to that of the actomyosin cable. Manipulating the distribution of Ed, as in ed^{izz} and Ed-AS embryos, generates a uniform distribution of Baz within the DME cells, suggesting that Ed localization influences that of Baz and therefore acts upstream. This relationship is likely to be indirect because it is the polarized distribution of Ed, rather than simply its presence or absence, that is required for normal Baz localization.

A wild-type planar polarized distribution of Baz may in turn direct localized actomyosin cable formation. The complementary polarized localization of Baz and the actomyosin cable during dorsal closure is reminiscent of their planar polarized distributions during germ band extension, when Baz and MHC are enriched at reciprocal faces of ectodermal cells (Bertet et al., 2004; Zallen and Wieschaus, 2004). MHC accumulates at AP interfaces where constriction occurs, whereas Baz accumulates at DV-expanding interfaces. A similar reciprocal localization is seen in the amnioserosa cells, as they elongate during gastrulation (Pope and Harris, 2008). Our data show that during dorsal closure loss of Baz from the DME cell leading edge precedes the assembly of the actomyosin cable, which is consistent with the hypothesis that Baz acts upstream of cable assembly and suggesting that the complementary localization of Baz and MHC in various morphogenetic processes may reflect regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by the distribution of Baz. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that the polarized distribution of Ed influences Baz localization and actomyosin cable assembly independently, we propose that the polarized distribution of Ed generates a polarized distribution of Baz, which in turn regulates actin cable formation.

**The actin cable restricts the movement of the leading edge**

The prevailing models for the function of the actin cable in dorsal closure propose that the tension associated with the cable promotes the dorsal movement of the leading edge. Initial studies suggested that the cable drives dorsal movement by providing a purse string-like force (Young et al., 1993; Kiehartz et al., 2000), and more recent live imaging and modeling studies suggest that pulsed contractions of the amnioserosa cells provide the primary force driving leading edge migration and that myosin-mediated contraction of the cable instead acts to stabilize forward progress between contractions (Kiehartz et al., 2000; Solon et al., 2009; Blanchard et al., 2010; David et al., 2010). These models differ, but each predicts that the leading edge would recede ventrally in the absence of the actin cable.

Our ability to specifically block cable formation through ectopic expression of Ed in the amnioserosa provides a novel tool for addressing this question. Particularly informative are embryos where we specifically abolish cable formation in alternating regions of the leading edge by expressing UAS-Ed in circumferential stripes. In such embryos, the DME cells that lack an actomyosin cable move dorsally in advance of those with an intact cable, suggesting that the cable restricts, rather than promotes, the forward movement of the leading edge. This phenotype is also seen when Rhô1 function is abolished in alternating epidermal stripes, which also locally disrupts cable formation (Jacinto et al., 2002a). Together these observations suggest that the actin cable provides tension that coordinates the dorsal migration of the leading edge, thus ensuring that DME cells reach the dorsal midline in a sequential and coordinated manner and thus align with the appropriate corresponding contralateral segment. In the final stage of dorsal closure, such an alignment mechanism would be further reinforced by filopodial interactions between opposing segments at the dorsal midline (Millard and Martin, 2008).

**Conclusion**

Our work identifies differential expression of Ed as the event that distinguishes the DME cells and generates the planar polarity of their actin cytoskeleton, thus defining the contractile leading edge of the epidermis. Differential expression of a homophilic adhesion molecule may represent a general mechanism for distinguishing the cells at a tissue interface or boundary and initiating the subcellular changes that execute the cellular behaviors appropriate for their position.
Materials and methods

Generation of transgenes

Transgenes were generated by PCR amplification from cDNA of Ed (Drosophila Genomics Resource Center [DGRC]) and inserted in the pENTR vector (Invitrogen), sequenced (Génome Québec Innovation Center), and recombined into the destination vector pTVG (for Ed-ΔC and Ed-AP) or pTVH (for Ed-Full; DGRC). Forward primer for all constructs, 5'-CACCC-GTGGTGCAAGCAACAACATCGAG-3'; reverse primer for Ed-Full, 5'-CTAGA-CATATACTGTCTGCTGATG-3'; reverse primer for Ed-ΔP, 5'-GGCGATAG-CGCGGACGGTTCGCGC-3'; reverse primer for Ed-ΔC, 5'-GCGCTCTGTC-GATTGTAGGCGCTG-3'. The Ed-ΔC protein lacks the Ed cytoplasmic domain except for the first nine amino acids. Multiple transgenic lines were generated for each construct and yielded similar results.

Drosophila strains

Germline clones were generated as described previously using w; FRT40A [Laplante and Nilson, 2006], embryos were collected and aged at 25°C for 8–12 h after egg deposition to enrich for dorsal closure stages. For fixation, 10 ml boiling hot Triton X-100 salt solution (TSS; 70 mM NaCl, 50 mM Na2HPO4, fixed at room temperature for 20 min in 4% formaldehyde (EM grade), methanol free; Polysciences, Inc.) in PBS/1% NP40 saturated with heptane, washed three times for 10 min in PBS (0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), blocked at room temperature for 1 h in PBS with 1% Triton X-100, then incubated for 1 h in PBS + 1% BSA. Ovaries were then incubated overnight at 4°C in PBST with primary antibody, washed three times for 20 min each at room temperature in PBST, incubated for 1 h in PBST + 1% BSA, then incubated for 90 min in the dark with the primary antibody containing the appropriate secondary antibody. Samples were washed three times for 20 min in PBST, incubated for 30 min in PBST with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen), and the samples mounted in SlowFade Gold Antifade medium (Invitrogen).

Before immunostaining, embryos were collected and aged at 25°C for 8–12 h after egg deposition to enrich for dorsal closure stages. For F-actin and pMLC stainings, embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach for 8–12 h after egg deposition to enrich for dorsal closure stages. For fixation, 10 ml boiling hot Triton X-100 salt solution (TSS; 70 mM NaCl, 50 mM Na2HPO4) fixed at room temperature for 20 min in 4% formaldehyde (EM grade), methanol free; Polysciences, Inc.) in PBS/1% NP40 saturated with heptane, washed three times for 10 min in PBS (0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), incubated at room temperature for 1 h in PBS with 1% Triton X-100, then blocked for 1 h in PBS + 1% BSA. Ovaries were then incubated overnight at 4°C in PBST with primary antibody, washed three times for 20 min each at room temperature in PBST, incubated for 1 h in PBST + 1% BSA, then incubated for 90 min in the dark with the primary antibody containing the appropriate secondary antibody. Samples were washed three times for 20 min in PBST, incubated for 30 min in PBST with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen), and the samples mounted in SlowFade Gold Antifade medium (Invitrogen).

Immunochemistry

Ovaries were dissected in PBS (1 mM KH2PO4, 154 mM NaCl, and 3 mM Na2HPO4), fixed in room temperature for 20 min in 4% formaldehyde (EM grade, methanol free; Polysciences, Inc.) in PBS/1% NP40 saturated with heptane, washed three times for 10 min in PBS (0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), incubated at room temperature for 1 h in PBS with 1% Triton X-100, then blocked for 1 h in PBS + 1% BSA. Ovaries were then incubated overnight at 4°C in PBST with primary antibody, washed three times for 20 min each at room temperature in PBST, incubated for 1 h in PBST + 1% BSA, then incubated for 90 min in the dark with the primary antibody containing the appropriate secondary antibody. Samples were washed three times for 20 min in PBST, incubated for 30 min in PBST with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (dried of methanol and diluted 1:800 in PBST + 1% BSA; Invitrogen) and 5 min with 0.1 mg/ml DAPI (Invitrogen). After manual removal of stage 14 egg chambers, samples were mounted using SlowFade Gold Antifade medium (Invitrogen).

Antibodies used in this study were anti-Arm N2 7A1 supernatant (mouse; 1:100), anti-Ena S5G2 supernatant (mouse; 1:200; DSHB), anti-pMLC (Thr18 Ser19; rabbit; 1:250; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pMLC (Ser19; egg chamber staining; rabbit; 1:250; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-zipper (rabbit; 1:600; provided by D. Kiehart, Duke University, Durham, NC); Kiehart and Feghali, 1986), anti-Dia (rabbit; 1:500; provided by S. Wasserman, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA; Kiehart et al., 2000), anti-Ed (rat; 1:1,000; Laplante and Nilson, 2006), anti-Ed (rabbit; 1:1,000, anti-Discs Large 4F3 supernatant (mouse; 1:100; DSHB), anti-Coracle (mouse; 1:500; provided by R. Feigon, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Feigon et al., 1994), anti-Baz (rat; 1:1,000; provided by A. Wodarz, University of Gottingen, Gottingen, Germany; Wodarz et al., 1999), anti-fmi #74 supernatant (mouse; 1:50; DSHB), and anti-RhoGEF2 (rabbit; 1:2,000; provided by S. Rogers, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; Rogers et al., 2004). The recombinant Ed protein was generated and purified as described previously (Laplante and Nilson, 2006) and used to immunize rabbits. All secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were highly cross-adsorbed Alexa Fluor-conjugated anti-IgG, preblocked against fixed embryos, and used at a final concentration of 1:1,000 overnight at 4°C.

Microscopy and image analysis

Images were acquired on a confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) on an microscope (Axiovert 200M; Carl Zeiss, Inc.; McGill Cell Imaging and Analysis Network facility) at 25°C using the following objective lenses: Plan Neofluar 40× 1.3 NA differential interference contrast oil, C-Apochromat 63× 1.4 NA differential interference contrast oil, C-Apochromat 100× 1.4 NA differential interference contrast oil, C-Apochromat 63× 1.2 NA water. Images were analyzed using the imaging software Velocity (PerkinElmer). Adjustments to brightness and contrast were minimal and were applied to the whole image.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 demonstrates uniform expression of Ed-ΔC in the amnioserosa in Ed-AS embryos. Fig. S2 shows length measurements for dorsal epidermal cells in wild-type, edw27, and ED-AS embryos. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201009022/DC1.
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Figure S1. **Expression of Ed-ΔC is uniform in the amnioserosa.** Sweeping phase wild-type embryo expressing Ed-ΔC-GFP in the amnioserosa stained for Ed (left) and Arm (right). Ed signal in the epidermis reflects endogenous Ed recognized by the anti-Ed antiserum, and the signal in the amnioserosa is fluorescence of Ed-ΔC-GFP, albeit diminished by heat fixation. Bar, 50 µm.
Figure S2. **Epidermal cell length measurements.** Length measurements of the DME cells and the third to fourth rows of epidermal cells ventral to the leading edge. Measurements were taken along the DV axis of the cell. The mean cell length and the number of cells measured for each genotype are shown. Genotypes with significantly different cell lengths (P < 0.001 by Student t test) are indicated (*). Error bars indicate SEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>DME (μm)</th>
<th>3rd-4th row (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edM/Z</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed-AS</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>