Centrosome docking at the immunological synapse is controlled by Lck signaling
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Docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane directs lytic granules to the immunological synapse. To identify signals controlling centrosome docking at the synapse, we have studied cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) in which expression of the T cell receptor–activated tyrosine kinase Lck is ablated. In the absence of Lck, the centrosome is able to translocate around the nucleus toward the immunological synapse but is unable to dock at the plasma membrane. Lytic granules fail to polarize and release their contents, and target cells are not killed. In CTLs deficient in both Lck and the related tyrosine kinase Fyn, centrosome translocation is impaired, and the centrosome remains on the distal side of the nucleus relative to the synapse. These results show that repositioning of the centrosome in CTLs involves at least two distinct steps, with Lck signaling required for the centrosome to dock at the plasma membrane.

Introduction

Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) destroy virally infected and tumorigenic cells by polarized secretion of lytic granules. Secretion occurs within the immunological synapse formed between CTLs and their target (Stinchcombe et al., 2001). The centrosome plays an important role in directing secretion to this site by contacting the plasma membrane (referred to as docking) and identifying the point of secretion (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). Lytic granules move along microtubules in a minus-end direction toward the centrosome (which is the microtubule-organizing center [MTOC] within CTLs) and are delivered to the plasma membrane at the point determined by the centrosome. Our previous experiments have shown that the centrosome contacts the plasma membrane at the edge of the central supramolecular activation complex (SMAC [cSMAC]), where T cell receptor (TCR) signaling takes place (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). The centrosome is exquisitely sensitive and able to polarize in response to very low avidity signals via the TCR (Jenkins et al., 2009).

Centrosome positioning is important in cell polarity in many different cell types, with the centrosome assuming specific positions in migrating fibroblasts and epithelial and neuronal cells. For example, in migrating fibroblasts, the centrosome relocates to the front of the nucleus toward the leading edge of the cell (Kupfer et al., 1982; Gomes et al., 2005), whereas in migrating neurons, the centrosome is positioned between the leading edge of the cell and the nucleus (Bellion et al., 2005). In migrating T cells, the centrosome has the opposite orientation, between the nucleus and uropod, at the trailing edge of the cell (Dustin et al., 1997; Ratner et al., 1997). What is distinctive about T cells is the ability to polarize the centrosome right up to the plasma membrane during synapse formation (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). Centrosome docking at the plasma membrane is unusual, having previously been observed only during cilia and flagella formation and cytokinesis, when the centrosome contacts the plasma membrane via appendages on the mother centriole (Bornens, 2008).

The signals that control centrosome docking at the synapse in CTLs are not known. Engagement of the TCR triggers a...
signaling cascade in which Lck and Fyn are two of the first kinases to be recruited. Previous studies examined the roles of signaling proteins in the relocation of the MTOC from the uropod to the synapse side of T cells but did not ask whether the centrosome contacts the plasma membrane. Fyn (Martin-Cófreces et al., 2006), Lck (Lowin-Kropf et al., 1998), LAT, ZAP-70 and Slp76 (Dumont et al., 2002; Kuhné et al., 2003), and DAG production (Quann et al., 2009) have all been implicated in MTOC translocation toward the synapse in CD4 cells. Results from these studies gave some conflicting results, with Lck and ZAP-70 required for MTOC translocation with some stimuli and cell lines but not others (Lowin-Kropf et al., 1998; Blanchard et al., 2002; Kuhné et al., 2003; Martin-Cófreces et al., 2006). Many of these studies took advantage of the Jurkat T cell line and variants produced by ethyl methane sulfate mutagenesis (Weiss and Stobo, 1984). Subsequently, the cell lines used in many of these studies were shown by Western blotting to express undetectable levels of endogenous Fyn (Denny et al., 2000), raising the possibility that lack of Fyn signaling in addition to the protein being investigated contributed to the phenotype. More importantly, these studies predated our observations that lytic granule secretion from CTLs is directed by centrosome docking at the cSMAC of the immunological synapse (Stinchcombe et al., 2006) and can be triggered by very low doses of the antigen (Jenkins et al., 2009). We therefore set out to examine the signals required to control centrosome docking at the cSMAC on the plasma membrane of the immunological synapse.

The first signaling molecules to be activated upon TCR engagement are the Src family tyrosine kinases Lck and Fyn. Lck associates with the cytoplasmic domains of the coreceptors CD8 (or CD4), which associate with the TCR upon binding peptide–major histocompatibility complex. This engagement activates Lck, leading to the phosphorylation of immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif residues in CD3 polypeptides associated with the TCR and the recruitment and activation of the downstream signal cascade. In the absence of Lck, TCR signaling is compromised. Lck-null mice have a block in thymus differentiation and lack mature CD8 and CD4 T cells (Zamoyska et al., 2003). This block can be overcome by an inducible expression of Lck under the control of a tetracycline-responsive promoter in the presence of a T cell–specific trans-activator and doxycycline. Removal of doxycycline results in the loss of Lck expression (Legname et al., 2000). The absence of Lck leads to a profound reduction in phosphorylation of ZAP-70, PLC-γ1, Slp76, and Shc (Lovatt et al., 2006; Salmond et al., 2009). Ca2+ signaling is severely reduced, but Akt and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation and some sites of LAT phosphorylation are less severely affected. These residual signals are mediated by Fyn, which can compensate, to some extent, for the absence of Lck (Lovatt et al., 2006).

Lck-inducible mice have been crossed to TCR transgenic mice (F5) that recognize the influenza-specific peptide NP68 bound to major histocompatibility complex class I (H-2Db), making it possible to study antigen-specific responses. Using this system, we have examined centrosome polarization and lytic granule release from CTLs in an antigen-specific response. We find that, in the absence of Lck, CTLs lose the ability to kill targets. Surprisingly, the centrosome is still able to translocate around the nucleus to face the synapse in cells lacking Lck, and this movement is only blocked when Lck and Fyn are both absent. When Lck alone is deficient, the translocated centrosome remains proximal to the synapse but does not reach the plasma membrane. The killing defect in the absence of Lck lies in the inability of the centrosome to dock at the plasma membrane and deliver lytic granules to the immunological synapse.

Results

CTL-mediated killing is severely impaired in Lck-deficient cells

To examine the signals that control centrosome relocation during the formation of the immunological synapse in T cells, we first examined the role of the proximal tyrosine kinase Lck. We isolated CTLs from F5 TCR transgenic mice, which respond to the NP68 antigenic peptide, with Lck expressed under the doxycycline-inducible promoter so that T cells could develop normally (Legname et al., 2000). Doxycycline was removed 7 d before T cells were isolated. Lckoff CTLs were then generated with 10-fold higher doses of the NP68 peptide in vitro compared with wild type (WT) to compensate for reduced levels of Lck protein at the time of activation (Filby et al., 2007). Using these conditions, we were able to generate CTLs in which Lck was ablated.

Figure 1. F5 Lckoff CTLs lack killing ability. (A) Western blot of CTL lysates (7 d after stimulation) for F5 WT (1) and Lckoff (2) CTLs probed with antibodies as shown. (B) Immunofluorescence images displaying flattened z stacks of conjugated F5 WT and Lckoff CTLs with NP68-pulsed EL4 targets labeled for Lck (green, i and v), nuclei (blue, ii and vi), and CD8 (white, iii and vii). (C) Killing assay of WT (diamonds) and Lckoff (squares) F5 CTLs using NP68-pulsed EL4 as targets. Error bars show standard deviation from the means of triplicates. The assay is representative of over three independent experiments. Also see Fig. S1. Bars, 10 µm.
Conjugates were fixed and stained with antibodies against CD8 (Fig. 2A, white) and LAMP1 (CD107a; Fig. 2A, red), with Hoechst to stain the nuclei. Although 39% of conjugates (n = 465) from F5 WT showed the majority of granules tightly clustered at the synapse, this phenotype was only observed in 11% of F5 Lckoff conjugates (n = 484), demonstrating that granule polarization is impaired in the absence of Lck (Fig. 2, B and C).

We assessed the ability of Lck-deficient CTLs to release lytic granules using uptake of anti–LAMP1-phycoerythrin (PE) by CD8 cells to monitor granule release at 30 min (Fig. 2D). This assay revealed that although 47.1% of F5 WT CTLs took up LAMP1 in response to peptide pulse compared with unpulsed targets, only 14.4% of Lckoff CTLs did so. The low level of granule polarization and release is consistent with the low level of residual Lck occasionally detected. These results show that both granule polarization and degranulation are defective in Lck-deficient CTLs.

Lck is required for docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane

Our previous experiments have revealed a correlation between the formation of the distal SMAC (dSMAC) and the docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). We examined the position of the centrosome in F5 WT and Lckoff CTLs. Conjugates formed between WT and Lckoff CTLs with peptide-pulsed EL4 targets were fixed and labeled with antibodies against CD8 (Fig. 3A, white) to identify the T cell, talin (Fig. 3A, green) to identify the peripheral SMAC (pSMAC), and γ-tubulin (Fig. 3A, red) to identify the centrosome. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst dye, and the position of the centrosome was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy.

Lckoff CTLs differentiated normally with equivalent levels of granzymes A and B and perforin as well as the equivalent expression of activation markers CD69, CD25, CD44, CD62L, and CD11a compared with F5 WT CTLs (Fig. S1).

Lck mRNA was not detected (unpublished data), and protein was undetectable in Lckoff CTLs (Fig. 1A). Expression in single cells, analyzed by immunofluorescence (Fig. 1B), showed that Lck clustered to form the cSMAC in 44% of all F5 WT conjugates with peptide-pulsed EL4 targets, with no expression seen in almost all Lckoff CTLs. Very low levels of residual Lck could occasionally be detected in individual Lckoff CTLs visible only when clustered at the synapse of conjugates (<10%), suggesting that very low levels of residual protein occasionally remained in isolated CTLs. Lckoff CTLs formed conjugates with peptide-pulsed EL4 targets as effectively as WT CTLs, with 25% of CTLs forming conjugates in each case (n > 3,000). However, the killing of peptide-pulsed targets by Lckoff was severely impaired compared with killing by F5 WT CTLs (Fig. 1C). These results show that loss of Lck results in loss of CTL-mediated killing, even though Lckoff CTLs express all of the lytic granule proteins required for killing and, upon re-expression of Lck in vivo, are capable of killing targets (unpublished data).

Conjugates were fixed and stained with antibodies against CD8 (Fig. 2A, white) and LAMP1 (CD107a; Fig. 2A, red), with Hoechst to stain the nuclei. Although 39% of conjugates (n = 465) from F5 WT showed the majority of granules tightly clustered at the synapse, this phenotype was only observed in 11% of F5 Lckoff conjugates (n = 484), demonstrating that granule polarization is impaired in the absence of Lck (Fig. 2, B and C).

We assessed the ability of Lck-deficient CTLs to release lytic granules using uptake of anti–LAMP1-phycoerythrin (PE) by CD8 cells to monitor granule release at 30 min (Fig. 2D). This assay revealed that although 47.1% of F5 WT CTLs took up LAMP1 in response to peptide pulse compared with unpulsed targets, only 14.4% of Lckoff CTLs did so. The low level of granule polarization and release is consistent with the low level of residual Lck occasionally detected. These results show that both granule polarization and degranulation are defective in Lck-deficient CTLs.

Lck is required for docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane

Our previous experiments have revealed a correlation between the formation of the distal SMAC (dSMAC) and the docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). We examined the position of the centrosome in F5 WT and Lckoff CTLs. Conjugates formed between WT and Lckoff CTLs with peptide-pulsed EL4 targets were fixed and labeled with antibodies against CD8 (Fig. 3A, white) to identify the T cell, talin (Fig. 3A, green) to identify the peripheral SMAC (pSMAC), and γ-tubulin (Fig. 3A, red) to identify the centrosome. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst dye, and the position of the centrosome was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy.

Granule polarization and degranulation are defective in Lck-deficient CTLs

To identify the stage at which secretion was blocked in Lckoff CTLs, we first examined whether the lytic granules were able to polarize to the immune synapse in Lckoff CTLs when forming conjugates with peptide-pulsed EL4 targets (Fig. 2A).
Centrosome relocation is impaired in Lck-deficient CTLs

To understand the unusual positioning of the centrosome in Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs, we used EM to examine centrosome positioning in unconjugated CTLs and CTLs forming synapses with target cells. In unconjugated CTLs, which have the morphology of rounded nonmotile cells, the two centrioles of the centrosome appeared to be associated with the nucleus. The centrosome was often seen next to a small invagination in the nuclear structure (Fig. 4 A), with the MTOC, and associated organelles radiated out from the centrosome. The lytic granules were distributed along microtubules throughout the cell. Unconjugated CTLs with migratory morphologies show a distinctive leading edge and uropod (Fig. 4 B). In these CTLs, the centrosome was seen in the uropod, displaced from the nucleus by some distance, as previously noted in low resolution experiments (Ratner et al., 1996; Dustin et al., 1997). Microtubules and MTOC-associated organelles radiated out from the centrosome, and the lytic granules were distributed along microtubules throughout the cell. No differences were apparent between unconjugated F5 WT and Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs.

Upon interaction with targets, T cells receive a stop signal, and the cells round up as they form conjugates (Negulescu et al., 1996; Dustin et al., 1997). This was apparent in EM images from both WT and Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs (Fig. 4 C). In EM images of WT CTLs, the centrosome was often in contact with the plasma membrane, which we refer to as docking, and was separated from the nuclear envelope. The MTOC and associated organelles radiated out from this point and had the appearance of streaming toward the point of centrosome contact with the plasma membrane (docking). Lytic granules also focused toward the point of centrosome contact at the plasma membrane. All of these organelles appeared to be aligned along microtubules, which converged at the centrosome (Fig. 4 C, WT).

In EM images of conjugates formed by F5 Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs, the centrosome was located between the nucleus and the synapse but was not in contact with the plasma membrane (Fig. 4 C). The centrosome appeared closer to the nucleus than in WT conjugates, although not as close as in the rounded nonmigratory cells (Fig. 4 A). The microtubule-associated organelles radiated out from the centrosome but lacked the streaming organization seen in WT CTL conjugates, with lytic granules dispersed throughout the cell (Fig. 4 C, Lck<sup>−/−</sup>).
Figure 4. The centrosome fails to dock at the immunological synapse in Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs. (A–C) EM micrographs from thin (50–100 nm) lead-stained sections of nonmotile (A), motile (B), and target-conjugated (C) F5 WT or Lck<sup>−/−</sup> CTLs loaded with HRP to reveal the endocytic pathway. The Golgi complex (G), lytic granules (white asterisks), secretory cleft (black asterisks), nuclei (N), and centrosome (arrows) are indicated in each image. Insets are magnified images of the centrosome area marked by white boxes. Bars: (main panels) 2 µm; (insets) 0.5 µm.
To verify the defect in centrosome docking in Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs at a higher resolution, we quantitated centrosome docking at the plasma membrane from electron micrographs prepared from samples in which CTLs were allowed to conjugate for 20, 40, or 60 min. Only sections in which at least one of the centrioles and a clear synapse were seen were counted. Centrioles have approximate dimensions of 0.45 × 0.2 µm. The centrosome was classified as being docked when one centriole was within 0.5 µm of the plasma membrane and proximal if it was further than 0.5 µm from the plasma membrane but still on the synapse side of the nucleus. When the centrosome was observed on the far side of the nucleus relative to the synapse, it was classified as distal. Using these criteria, the centrosome was found to be proximal to the synapse in 10–15% of conjugates and docked in 85–90% of conjugates formed using F5 WT CTLs, whereas the centrosome remained proximal to the synapse in 70–89% of conjugates formed by F5 Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs, and was only found to be docked in 10–14% at the different time points examined (Table I).

These numbers are consistent with the quantitation of centrosome positioning obtained from the immunofluorescence images (Fig. 3 C) in revealing the loss of centrosome docking in the absence of Lck. It is important to remember that EM images are derived from thin sections (50–100 nm) of cells compared with immunofluorescence images in which the entire depth of the cell can be viewed. Because EM images were selected to show the centrioles and synapse in the same section, images in which the centrosome might have been distal or even sometimes proximal to the synapse relative to the nucleus were inevitably under represented. What these images reveal is the difference in centrosome positioning close to the synapse between WT and Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs, with Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs failing to bring the centrosome next to the plasma membrane at the synapse. The loss of centrosomal docking at the plasma membrane of conjugates formed by F5 Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs correlates with the loss of target cell killing (Fig. 1 A), demonstrating the need for centrosomal docking for granule delivery and CTL-mediated killing.

### Table I. Quantitation of electron micrographs of conjugates formed between F5 WT or Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs with NP68-pulsed EL4 target cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Proximal percentage</th>
<th>Distal percentage</th>
<th>Docked percentage</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F5 WT CTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5 Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of conjugates analyzed at each time point is given ([n]).

TCR and non-TCR signals can trigger centrosomal translocation relative to the nucleus

We were struck by our finding that, in the absence of Lck-mediated signals, the centrosome was nevertheless able to translocate around the nucleus toward the synapse. Centrosome localization plays a key role in cell polarity in nonimmune cell types, and the centrosome is able to polarize around the nucleus in response to wound healing in fibroblast cells in the absence of TCR-activated signaling. For this reason, we wanted to know whether TCR-mediated and non-TCR-mediated signals were able to trigger the centrosome repositioning that we observed in Lck-deficient CTLs.

To provide only TCR signaling to the CTLs, we used latex beads coated with antibodies to the CD3 subunit of the TCR. CTLs were allowed to form conjugates with beads for 20 min before being fixed and labeled with antibodies to γ-tubulin, which forms the dSMAC (Freiberg et al., 2002). We therefore asked whether actin clearance was disrupted in Lck-deficient CTLs. By staining F5 WT and Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTL conjugates for actin and examining these by 3D confocal microscopy, we were able to visualize the dSMAC ring of actin in 58% ([n = 121]) of conjugates formed by F5 WT CTLs. However, in conjugates formed by F5 Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs, the actin dSMAC was only found in 22% of conjugates ([n = 110]), with the remainder only partially reorganizing actin or failing to do so (Fig. 5, A and B; and Videos 1 and 2).

Because dSMAC organization was disrupted, we asked whether pSMAC formation was affected by the loss of Lck by examining staining with talin, the cytosolic protein that associates with integrins forming the ring of the pSMAC in the immunological synapse. Talin staining revealed the characteristic ring of the pSMAC in 47% ([n = 117]) of conjugates formed by F5 WT CTLs, but the pSMAC ring could only be seen in 20% ([n = 119]) of conjugates formed by Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs (Fig. 5, C and D; and Videos 3 and 4). These results indicate that Lck signaling is required for the clearance of actin and talin into the dSMAC and pSMAC regions of the synapse, respectively. Loss of actin clearance correlates with the loss of centrosome movement to the plasma membrane and is consistent with a model in which actin reorganization into the dSMAC generates forces that pull the centrosome forward to the plasma membrane from a site proximal to the synapse (Stinchcombe et al., 2006).

### Actin reorganization at the immunological synapse is impaired in Lck-deficient CTLs

Our previous studies have demonstrated a correlation between the clearance of actin and centrosome docking at the plasma membrane (Stinchcombe et al., 2006), which forms the dSMAC (Freiberg et al., 2002). We therefore asked whether actin clearance was disrupted in Lck-deficient CTLs. By staining F5 WT and Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTL conjugates for actin and examining these by 3D confocal microscopy, we were able to visualize the dSMAC ring of actin in 58% ([n = 121]) of conjugates formed by F5 WT CTLs. However, in conjugates formed by F5 Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs, the actin dSMAC was only found in 22% of conjugates ([n = 110]), with the remainder only partially reorganizing actin or failing to do so (Fig. 5, A and B; and Videos 1 and 2).

Because dSMAC organization was disrupted, we asked whether pSMAC formation was affected by the loss of Lck by examining staining with talin, the cytosolic protein that associates with integrins forming the ring of the pSMAC in the immunological synapse. Talin staining revealed the characteristic ring of the pSMAC in 47% ([n = 117]) of conjugates formed by F5 WT CTLs, but the pSMAC ring could only be seen in 20% ([n = 119]) of conjugates formed by Lck\textsuperscript{off} CTLs (Fig. 5, C and D; and Videos 3 and 4). These results indicate that Lck signaling is required for the clearance of actin and talin into the dSMAC and pSMAC regions of the synapse, respectively. Loss of actin clearance correlates with the loss of centrosome movement to the plasma membrane and is consistent with a model in which actin reorganization into the dSMAC generates forces that pull the centrosome forward to the plasma membrane from a site proximal to the synapse (Stinchcombe et al., 2006).
18% with beads coated with antitransferrin receptor antibodies. Both cross-linking of CD11a (48%) and CD28 (39%) elicited centrosome translocation to the bead-proximal side of the nucleus above background levels (Fig. 6 D), which was consistent with previous observations in CD4 cells (Sedwick et al., 1999; Barnard et al., 2005; Nejmeddine et al., 2009). This demonstrates that centrosome translocation can be triggered by both TCR and non-TCR signaling pathways in CTLs.

**Lck and Fyn are required for centrosomal polarization around the nucleus**

The observation that PP2 could inhibit centrosomal translocation in Lck off CTLs indicated that additional tyrosine kinases contributed to centrosome translocation toward the synapse. The tyrosine kinase Fyn is also expressed in CD8 cells and is dispensable for CTL differentiation, providing Lck is expressed. Fyn-deficient CTLs kill targets effectively (Filby et al., 2007). However, like F5 Lck off CTLs, Lck off Fyn−/− CTLs are unable to kill targets (Fig. 7 A) even though they are activated and express perforin and granzymes A and B (Fig. 6 B, red). The nucleus was stained with Hoechst (Fig. 6 B, blue). The position of the centrosome relative to the bead was then scored as proximal or distal (Fig. 6 A). Quantitation of the bead conjugates revealed that 78% of WT and 72% of Lck off CTLs polarized the centrosome toward the CD3-coated bead. In the presence of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor PP2, only 24% of bead conjugates were able to reposition the centrosome toward the bead (Fig. 6 C). These results show that TCR signaling alone can mediate polarization of the centrosome to the proximal side of the nucleus in the presence or absence of Lck. However, ablation of additional Src family tyrosine kinase activity with PP2 abolished the ability of the centrosome to translocate around the nucleus to face the bead.

We also asked whether non-TCR–mediated signals might also trigger centrosomal translocation in T cells. We therefore compared centrosome polarization in CTLs using anti-CD3–coated beads coated with antibodies to the integrin chain CD11a, the coreceptor CD28, and the transferrin receptor. Anti-CD3–coated beads gave similar results to those in Fig. 6 C, with 74% of conjugates showing the centrosome proximal to the bead compared with background levels of 18% with beads coated with antitransferrin receptor antibodies. Both cross-linking of CD11a (48%) and CD28 (39%) elicited centrosome translocation to the bead-proximal side of the nucleus above background levels (Fig. 6 D), which was consistent with previous observations in CD4 cells (Sedwick et al., 1999; Barnard et al., 2005; Nejmeddine et al., 2009). This demonstrates that centrosome translocation can be triggered by both TCR and non-TCR signaling pathways in CTLs.
Discussion

Centrosome polarization toward the immunological synapse plays an important role in directing polarized secretion from T cells (Geiger et al., 1982; Kupfer et al., 1983; Kupfer and Dennert, 1984). Our own experiments have shown that the centrosome not only polarizes toward but also contacts the plasma membrane within the synapse (Stinchcombe et al., 2006), determining the point of lytic granule secretion. In this study, we examine the signals required for centrosome docking. We find that, in the absence of Lck signaling, the centrosome is able to translocate from the rear of the cell around the nucleus toward the synapse. However, the centrosome is no longer able to dock at the plasma membrane.

Previous studies have indicated that Lck, Fyn, LAT, ZAP-70, and Slp76 were all involved in the translocation of the centrosome from the rear of the cell toward the synapse (Lowin-Kropf et al., 1998; Blanchard et al., 2002; Kuhné et al., 2003; Martín-Cófreces et al., 2006). The majority of these studies were performed in the CD4 Jurkat cell line, in which it was not possible to study antigen-specific responses. Additionally, the demonstration of extremely low levels of Fyn in Jurkat cell lines used in these studies (Denny et al., 2000) complicated the interpretation, as Fyn was also likely to have been deficient in these cells. Studies in antigen-specific CD4 T cells have made use of...
Western blotting and by immunofluorescence to confirm the complete absence of Lck before analysis. We were able to restore degranulation in Lck-depleted cells by nucleofection of Lck-YFP (see Materials and methods), demonstrating that the defect is simply caused by the loss of Lck.

Using this system to generate CTLs lacking only Lck, we found that these cells were able to translocate the centrosome toward the synapse; however, the centrosome failed to reach the plasma membrane. Only in CTLs doubly deficient in both Lck and Fyn was centrosomal translocation, from the rear of the cell to the synapse, impaired.

It was surprising to find that the centrosome polarization was still able to translocate toward the synapse in the absence of Lck, as it is the tyrosine kinase most proximal to TCR. However, although TCR-mediated signaling is compromised in Lck-deficient cells, it is not completely absent. Akt, ERK, and JNK phosphorylation and calcium flux are all reduced but not absent (Fig. S2; Lovatt et al., 2006; Filby et al., 2007; Salmond et al., 2009), and these may provide sufficient signals to trigger the repositioning of the centrosome toward the synapse. By using anti-CD3–coated beads to trigger only via the TCR, we were...
able to demonstrate that the residual TCR signaling was sufficient to mediate centrosome repositioning.

In the current study, we find that only CTLs doubly deficient in both Lck and Fyn lose the ability to translocate the centrosome from the rear of the cell, around the nucleus, toward the synapse. Therefore, our results are consistent with previous studies examining the translocation of the MTOC from the rear of the cell toward the synapse (Lowin-Kropf et al., 1998; Martin-Cofreces et al., 2006) when you take into account that the JCaM1 cells deficient in Lck would also have been deficient in Fyn (Denny et al., 2000). These cell lines would, therefore, correspond to the doubly deficient Lck\textsuperscript{−/−} Fyn\textsuperscript{−/−} CTLs used in our experiments in which centrosomal translocation toward the synapse is inhibited. Our results, which discriminate between Lck and Lck-plus-Fyn signaling, demonstrate that centrosome polarization to the synapse is a multistep process. The centrosome translocates around the nucleus toward the synapse, and then, in a distinct step requiring Lck signaling, the centrosome moves forward to contact the plasma membrane.

The important finding from our experiments is that, although the centrosome translocates toward the synapse in the absence of Lck, it is unable to dock at the plasma membrane. Conjugates formed at 20, 40, and 60 min all reveal a loss of centrosomal docking at the plasma membrane, demonstrating that centrosomal docking at the plasma membrane is lost in the absence of Lck rather than simply being delayed. Furthermore, a 4-h killing assay revealed that Lck-deficient CTLs fail to kill targets even after this prolonged time, supporting the idea that centrosome polarization is not simply delayed in Lck-deficient CTLs. Lck-deficient CTLs also show defects in granule polarization to the synapse. Although the loss of granule polarization in Lck-deficient CTLs may result from reduced signaling in these cells, these results rather support the idea that centrosomal docking itself is a prerequisite for granule delivery to the synapse.

Our EM images indicate that the centrosome is tightly associated with the nucleus in rounded nonmigratory CTLs but positioned some distance from the nuclear envelope in the uropod of migratory T cells. In Lck-deficient CTLs, the centrosome is positioned on the synapse side of the nucleus surrounded by a loose array of MTOC-associated organelles but does not appear to be more closely associated with the nuclear membrane than in WT. This suggests that the loss of centrosomal migration toward the plasma membrane is unlikely to arise from an increased association with the nuclear envelope but rather from the loss of forces required to pull the centrosome up to the plasma membrane.

How might loss of centrosome docking arise in the absence of Lck? One striking difference between WT and Lck\textsuperscript{−/−} conjugates is that pSMAC and dSMAC formation is impaired, which is consistent with Lck-mediated signaling preceding synapse formation (Lee et al., 2002). In Lck\textsuperscript{−/−} conjugates, actin accumulates across the synapse but often fails to clear into a dSMAC. These results are reminiscent of the correlation observed between actin clearance into the dSMAC and docking of the centrosome at the plasma membrane previously observed (Stinchcombe et al., 2006). These results support a model of centrosome polarization in which forces generated at the synapse as actin is cleared into the dSMAC bring the centrosome forward to contact the plasma membrane (Stinchcombe and Griffiths, 2007).

The polarization of the centrosome around the nucleus occurs in many nonlymphoid cell types in response to external stimuli, which is distinct from lymphoid antigen receptor engagement. One well-studied example is wound healing in fibroblasts, when centrosome polarization toward the wound is mediated by the rotation of the nucleus within the cell (Gomes et al., 2005) with the centrosome staying fixed relative to the nucleus. Cdc42, actin, and dynein have all been implicated in MTOC polarization in both fibroblasts (Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2001; Palazzo et al., 2001) and T cells (Stowers et al., 1995; Kuhn and Poenie, 2002; Combs et al., 2006; Stinchcombe et al., 2006), and these mediators are thought to act by controlling nuclear rotation in fibroblasts (Gomes et al., 2005; Levy and Holzbaur, 2008).

We do not know whether the centrosome maintains its position relative to a rolling nucleus or whether the centrosome moves around the nucleus as it approaches the immunological synapse in T cells. However, it is clear that signals for centrosome polarization can be triggered by non-TCR–mediated signals (Fig. 6 D). Anti–ICAM-1–coated beads can trigger MTOC polarization in HTLV-I-infected T cells at the virological synapse (Nejmeddine et al., 2009), and E-cadherin has also been shown to be able to trigger centrosome polarization in epithelial cells (Desai et al., 2009). Our experiments suggest that the initial translocation of the centrosome toward the immunological synapse is triggered readily, by multiple and minimal signals. However, the distinctive step in CTLs in which centrosomal docking at the plasma membrane occurs is tightly controlled by the TCR signaling pathway, with Lck playing a key role.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

Mouse antibodies were against actin (AC-40), talin (BD4; Sigma-Aldrich), and Lck (3A5; Millipore) or rabbit antiactin [A2066], γ-tubulin (T5192; Sigma-Aldrich), Fyn (MAB8900; Millipore), and perforin (Zd4; Baetz et al., 1995). Rat antibodies were against LAMP1 (IDB4; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), CD8 (YTS192; provided by H. Waldmann, Oxford University, Oxford, England, UK), granzyme A (7.1; Kramer et al., 1989), granzyme B (C-19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and CD3 (2C11; BD). Rabbit antibodies against calnexin and actin (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for Western blotting, anti-pERK (9101; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-actin (AC-40; Sigma). Mouse antibodies were against actin (AC-40), talin (8D4; Sigma-Aldrich), and Lck (3A5; Millipore) or rabbit antiactin (Zd4; Baetz et al., 1995). NP68 peptide (366-ASNENMDAM-374) was added to the culture medium (for Lckoff) to stimulate the expression of the Lck-deficient allele. After 5 d, lymphocytes were purified over Histopaque-1083 (Sigma-Aldrich) and washed twice before further culture in complete medium. H-2b EL4 target cells were maintained in complete medium (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in serum-free RPMI 1640, and suspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine) and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine).

Cell culture

Lck-inducible mice (Filby et al., 2007) were kept on a doxycycline-supplemented diet from gestation through to adulthood (1 and 3 mg/kg) for Lck and Lck/Fyn\textsuperscript{−/−} mice, respectively. Doxycycline was removed 7 d before spleen extraction for Lckoff mice. Spleens were disrupted through 40-µm cell strainers (BD), washed twice in serum-free RPMI 1640, and resuspended in complete mouse CTL media (RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, 1% glucose, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% kanamycin, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 µM L-arginine). After 5 d, lymphocytes were purified over Histopaque-1083 (Sigma-Aldrich) and washed twice before further culture in complete medium. H-2b EL4 target cells were maintained in DME, 10% FCS, and 1% kanamycin. OT-I spleens were activated as F5 but using the ovalbumin peptide (257-264; GenScript) at 10−6 M as previously described (Jenkins et al., 2009).
Cytotoxicity assay

CTL cytotoxicity was measured using the Cytotox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega). NP68-pulsed EL4 target cells were incubated in killing assay media (phenol red-free RPMI 1640 and 2% FCS) at 10^5 cells/ml in a round-bottom 96-well plate. CTLs were added to peptide-pulsed EL4 target cells at the ratios shown in Figs. 1 C and 7 A and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Lactate dehydrogenase release was measured from 50 µl of supernatant, and absorbance was measured at 490 nm. The percentage of target lysis was calculated as sample target release - target spontaneous)/(maximal release from targets + detergent). All samples were plated in triplicate.

Conjugation of CTLs to targets for immunofluorescence

5 × 10^5 CTLs and EL4 targets (pulsed with 10^{-4} M NP68 peptide for 1 h at 37°C and washed three times with media) were in serum-free RPMI 1640 for 5 min before 40 µl aliquots were dropped onto each well of a multwell slide (CA Hendley) and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Conjugates were fixed and permeabilized with methanol (precooled to −20°C) on ice for 5 min, washed six times with PBS, and blocked in blocking buffer (PBS and 1% BSA) for ≥30 min at RT. Primary antibodies, diluted in blocking buffer, were incubated for ≥1 h at RT (or overnight at 4°C). Secondary antibodies, diluted in blocking buffer, were incubated for 45 min at RT and washed extensively in blocking buffer and then PBS. Nuclei were stained using 1 µg/ml Hoechst 33342, trihydrochloride, and rhodamine (Invitrogen) in PBS for 5 min at RT and washed in PBS before mounting using 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DBACO) mounting media (90% glycerol and 10% PBS + 2.5% DABCO) with No. 5 coverslips (Scientific Laboratory Supplies). Conjugates were counted, double-blind scored, by two independent operators.

Fixed images were acquired at RT using either a confocal microscope (S10; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) or a spinning-disk confocal system (Revolution; Andor) equipped with Axiovert 200M (Carl Zeiss, Inc., and IX81 (Olympus) microscopes, respectively, using 100x objectives from the same companies with numerical apertures of 1.4 (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) and 1.45 (Olympus). The spinning-disk confocal system (Revolution) used a 512 × 512, 16 µm²-pixel camera (Xon; Andor) and a spinning-disk unit (CSU-X1; Yokogawa). Images were acquired using Image Pro (Carl Zeiss, Inc., or IQ (Andor) software, respectively, and analyzed using an image browser (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) or Volocity (PerkinElmer) and edited using Photoshop software (CS4; Adobe).

EM

CTLs 5–6 d after stimulation were incubated for 15–16 h in 1 mg/ml HRP (Boehringer Ingelheim) in culture medium to load the compartments of the endocytic pathway, including lytic granules. CTLs were washed three times and resuspended in serum-free RPMI 1640 at 10^5 cells/ml and mixed 1:1 with EL4 target cells unpulsed or pulsed with a 10^{-5} M NP68 peptide. Cells were left in suspension at RT for 5 min and then plated at 0.5 ml of 5 × 10^5 cells/well in 24-well dishes and incubated at 37°C for either 20, 40, or 60 min. Cells were fixed at RT in 1% glutaraldehyde/2% PFA in PBS for 50 min. Washed in PBS followed by 0.1-M cacodylate, and processed for DAB cytochemistry as described previously (Stinchcombe et al., 2001). Postfixation procedures with 1% osmium and staining with urynal acetate were performed as previously described (Stinchcombe et al., 2001). Postfixation procedures were incubating for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO2 before the assay.

Activation of CTLs using an antibody-coated surface

96-well round-bottom plates were coated with 1 µg/ml anti–CD3 antibodies (145–2C11; BD) in PBS overnight at 4°C. Washed, and blocked with PBS/1% BSA for 30 min at RT. 5 × 10^5 CTLs were added to antibody-coated wells in a maximum volume of 200 µl of culture media.

Western blotting

Cell lysates were prepared in PBS with 2% Triton X-100, 150-mM NaCl, 50-mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 1-mM MgCl2, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) with 2 × 10^7 cells/ml denatured with an equal volume of 2× SDS loading buffer added (NoveX Tris-Glycine SDS Sample Buffer; Invitrogen) at 95°C for 10 min. Proteins were separated on a 12% acrylamide gel with rainbow molecular weight markers (GE Healthcare), transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond C; GE Healthcare) using the Mini Trans-Blot Cell system (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and washed with PBST (PBS/0.02% Tween) before blocking with PBST and 5% BSA blocking buffer. Primary antibodies, diluted in blocking buffer, were added at RT for ≥1 h (or overnight at 4°C). Membranes were washed with PBST- and incubated with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody in blocking buffer for 45 min. Membranes were then washed and laid onto ECL developing solution (GE Healthcare) or a Visualizer Western Blot Detection kit (Millipore) following the provided protocols. Blots were developed on medical x-ray film (FujiFilm).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that F5 WT, Lckoff, and Lckoff Fyn−/− CTLs express similar levels of cytolytic proteins. Fig. S2 shows ERK activation in F5 CTLs with NP68-pulsed EL4. Fig. S3 shows quantitation of centrosome polarization without 3D reconstruction. Video 1 shows a 3D rotation of centrosome position in F5 WT CTL-target conjugates. Video 2 shows a 3D rotation of centrosome position in F5 Lck−/− CTL-target conjugates. Video 3 shows a 3D rotation of actin labeling in F5 WT CTLs conjugated with NP68-pulsed EL4 cells. Video 4 shows a 3D rotation of actin labeling in F5 Lck−/− CTLs conjugated with NP68-pulsed EL4 cells. Video 5 shows a 3D rotation of talin distribution in F5 WT CTLs conjugated with NP68-pulsed EL4 cells. Video 6 shows a 3D rotation of talin distribution in F5 Lck−/− CTLs conjugated with NP68-pulsed EL4 cells. Video 7 shows a 3D rotation of centrosome position in F5 lck−/− F5 lck−/− CTLs conjugated with target cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201008140/DC1.

Submitted: 24 August 2010
Accepted: 25 January 2011

References


In addition to the results shown in Fig. 2 D, Lck−/− CTLs were tested for degranulation in response to peptide-pulsed or unpulsed targets. Lck−/− CTLs gave 1.6% LAMP1-positive cells with unpulsed targets and 13.3% with pulsed targets (presumably reflecting the very small amounts of lck remaining in a small number of cells even after depletion). However, Lck−/− CTLs nucleofected with Lck-YFP gave 8.9% LAMP1-positive cells with unpulsed targets but 26.1% LAMP1-positive cells with peptide-pulsed targets. WT cells gave 59.3% LAMP1-positive cells in response to peptide-pulsed targets.

Flow cytometry

For analysis of activation markers, CTLs were stained in FACS buffer (PBS and 2% FCS) for 20 min at RT with primary antibodies diluted at 1:100, washed in FACS buffer, and analyzed using a FACS calibur and FlowJo software. For analysis of ERK activation, 5 × 10^5 CTLs and 5 × 10^6 NP68-pulsed EL4 targets were incubated in a round-bottom 96-well plate in a maximum volume of 200 µl mCTL media for 0, 15, and 30 min before 2% PFA fixation and methanol permeabilization (precooled to −20°C). Samples were then immunostained and analyzed as described for degranulation experiments except using anti–CD8 primary and goat anti–rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibodies. The ERK inhibitor U0126 (Promega) was used throughout the assay at 10 µM, preincubating CTLs for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO2 before the assay.

Degranulation analysis by monitoring CD107a presentation

Peptide-pulsed EL4 targets (presumably reflecting the very small amounts of Lck remaining in a small number of cells even after depletion). However, Lck−/− CTLs nucleofected with Lck-YFP gave 8.9% LAMP1-positive cells with unpulsed targets but 26.1% LAMP1-positive cells with peptide-pulsed targets. WT cells gave 59.3% LAMP1-positive cells in response to peptide-pulsed targets.
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