A Nup133-dependent NPC-anchored network tethers centrosomes to the nuclear envelope in prophase
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Centrosomes are closely associated with the nuclear envelope (NE) throughout the cell cycle and this association is maintained in prophase when they separate to establish the future mitotic spindle. At this stage, the kinetochore constituents CENP-F, NuD, NuDEL, dynein, and dynactin accumulate at the NE. We demonstrate here that the N-terminal domain of the nuclear pore complex (NPC) protein Nup133, although largely dispensable for NPC assembly, is required for efficient anchoring of the dynein/dynactin complex to the NE in prophase. Nup133 exerts this function through an interaction network via CENP-F and NuD/E/EL. We show that this molecular chain is critical for maintaining centrosome association with the NE at mitotic entry and contributes to this process without interfering with the previously described RanBP2–BICD2-dependent pathway of centrosome anchoring. Finally, our study reveals that tethering of centrosomes to the nuclear surface at the G2/M transition contributes, along with other cellular mechanisms, to early stages of bipolar spindle assembly.

Introduction

In eukaryotic cells, the nuclear envelope (NE) separates the cytoplasm and the nucleus, where the chromosomes are confined. The NE is composed of a double membrane, the inner and outer nuclear membranes, which are connected at particular sites, the nuclear pore complexes (NPCs). These mediate all nucleocytoplasmic exchanges during interphase (Tran and Wente, 2006).

During cell division cytoplasmic microtubules nucleated from the centrosomes need to access mitotic chromosomes in order to establish a mitotic spindle and allow proper chromosome segregation in the two daughter cells. In vertebrates, this is achieved in prophase by nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD), when the NE and its underlying lamina dismantle, whereas the NPC constituents—the nucleoporins—are dispersed into the mitotic cytoplasm during interphase (Tran and Wente, 2006).

In mammalian cells, efficient depletion of this complex from kinetochores leads to an altered recruitment of a subset of CENP-F, NudE, NudEL, dynein, and dynactin to the NE. We demonstrate here that the N-terminal domain of the nuclear pore complex (NPC) protein Nup133, although largely dispensable for NPC assembly, is required for efficient anchoring of the dynein/dynactin complex to the NE in prophase. Nup133 exerts this function through an interaction network via CENP-F and NuD/E/EL. We show that this molecular chain is critical for maintaining centrosome association with the NE at mitotic entry and contributes to this process without interfering with the previously described RanBP2–BICD2-dependent pathway of centrosome anchoring. Finally, our study reveals that tethering of centrosomes to the nuclear surface at the G2/M transition contributes, along with other cellular mechanisms, to early stages of bipolar spindle assembly.
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kinetochore constituents and impairs mitotic progression (Zuccolo et al., 2007; Platani et al., 2009; Mishra et al., 2010; Wozniak et al., 2010).

A mitosis-specific interaction partner of the Nup107–160 complex is CENP-F (also called mitosin; Zuccolo et al., 2007), a large cell cycle–regulated protein that shows a very dynamic localization pattern: CENP-F is mainly found in the nucleus in G2, then binds to the NE at the G2/M transition before its accumulation at nascent kinetochores in early prophase, where it remains until anaphase onset. CENP-F subsequently localizes to the spindle midzone, and finally undergoes proteasome degradation in early G1 (Varis et al., 2006). Several studies, focusing on the mitotic role of CENP-F at kinetochores, showed that its depletion leads to a checkpoint-dependent mitotic delay, a phenotype likely underlying its requirement as an upstream recruitment factor for the kinetochore motor proteins dynein and CENP-E (Varis et al., 2006; Mao et al., 2010). Although CENP-F has also been implicated in centrosomal microtubule nucleation in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Moynihan et al., 2009) and in timely G2/M progression (Hussein and Taylor, 2002), nothing was known so far about its specific function at the NE at the G2/M transition.

At the G2/M transition, the morphology of the NE is dramatically affected by mitotic microtubules, which are nucleated by the separating centrosomes that push on the nuclear surface and form pocket-like distortions of the NE, also termed prophase NE invaginations (PNEI; Robbins and Gonatas, 1964). At that stage, microtubules were found to facilitate NEBD by exerting pulling forces on the NE and thus contributing to its disruption, and by subsequently clearing the chromatin area from the NE membrane network (Beaudouin et al., 2002; Salina et al., 2002; Mühlhäuser and Kutay, 2007). The minus end–directed motor dynein and its regulatory complex dynactin, which interact with microtubules and are recruited to the NE specifically in early prophase, were shown to contribute to these processes (Busson et al., 1998; Salina et al., 2002; Mühlhäuser and Kutay, 2007). More recently, Ndel1 and Lis1, which associate with cytoplasmic dynein, were reported to localize at the NE in prophase cells and to contribute to dynein–dependence PNEI (Hebbar et al., 2008).

Although these studies suggested that NEBD and spindle formation are closely coordinated processes, how Ndel1 and in turn dynein/dynactin bind to the NE and how the localization of a subset of proteins at the NE in prophase may help to coordinate proper cell division remained unanswered. Recently, Splinter et al. (2010) demonstrated that RanBP2/Nup358, a nucleoporin that localizes at the cytoplasmic side of the NPC, contributes to dynein/dynactin recruitment and centrosome positioning, by recruiting BICD2 to NPCs in G2. We report here the characterization of a distinct prophase pathway, operating via the Nup133 subunit of the Nup107–160 nuclear pore subcomplex. We show that the N-terminal domain of Nup133 recruits CENP-F, which itself tethers NudE/NudEL to NPCs in prophase. The contribution of this molecular chain, along with the previously described RanBP2/Nup358–BICD2 pathway, in maintaining centrosome association with the NE at mitotic entry is further characterized.

Results

The N-terminal domain of hNup133 is largely dispensable for NPC assembly

Structural studies have revealed that hNup133 is composed of an N-terminal domain with a β-propeller structure and an α-solenoid C-terminal domain, which is able to bind to hNup107 and to localize at NPCs (Berke et al., 2004; Boehmer et al., 2008; see also Fig. 2 A). Consistently, the C-terminal domain of hNup133 (aa 545–1156, subsequently referred as hNup133CTD) fused to GFP accumulated at the nuclear rim in interphase and colocalized with CENP-F and Hec1 at kinetochores in mitotic cells, like the full-length protein (Fig. 1 B and Fig. S1 A).

To dissect the function of the N-terminal domain of hNup133, we established HeLa cell lines stably expressing RNAi-resistant GFP-hNup133CTD or full-length mouse GFP-Hup133. After depleting endogenous hNup133 with specific siRNAs (Fig. 1 A), these cells allowed us to test which functions of hNup133 specifically depend on the N-terminal β-propeller domain. Depletion of hNup133 is known to cause the degradation and mislocalization of the other members of the Nup107–160 complex, as well as a number of additional nucleoporins (Walther et al., 2003; Fig. 1, A and B). hNup107 was stabilized when depletion of endogenous hNup133 (reduced to ~20% as compared with the level of hNup133 present in wild-type HeLa cells) was rescued with either GFP-hNup133CTD or GFP-mNup133 (Fig. 1 A). In addition, both Nup133CTD and full-length Nup133 restored the NE localization of Nup107 and the subset of nucleoporins recognized by the mAb414 antibody (Fig. 1 B). In some cells solely expressing GFP-hNup133CTD, the increased occurrence of cytoplasmic foci labeled by both the anti-Nup107 and mAb414 antibodies and likely corresponding to annulate lamellae may reflect a contribution of the N-terminal domain of Nup133 to some aspects of NPC biogenesis. Consistently, a membrane curvature-sensing domain (ALPS) located within the β-propeller domain of Nup133 (Drin et al., 2007) was recently demonstrated to be dispensable for post-mitotic NPC formation but required for the incorporation of the Nup107–160 complex into newly assembled NPCs during interphase (Doucet et al., 2010). Nevertheless, our study showed that the C-terminal domain of Nup133 is sufficient to assemble stable Nup107–160 complexes and that the N-terminal domain of Nup133 is largely dispensable for NPC assembly.

The N-terminal domain of hNup133 mediates the targeting of CENP-F to the NPC at the G2/M transition

We previously identified CENP-F as an interaction partner of hNup133 by yeast two-hybrid and immunoprecipitation experiments (Zuccolo et al., 2007). Further two-hybrid mapping of hNup133 domains showed that the N-terminal domain is necessary to interact with CENP-F whereas, as previously reported, the C-terminal domain mediates the interaction with hNup107 (Belgareh et al., 2001; Berke et al., 2004; Boehmer et al., 2008; Fig. 2 A). Moreover, a two-hybrid screen using the N-terminal domain of Nup133 (aa 1–500) as a bait identified the C-terminal domain of CENP-F as the only prey with very high confidence.
in the interaction (representing 25 out of 38 positive clones), indicating that this domain is sufficient to mediate interaction with CENP-F (Fig. 2 A).

We next asked whether the NE localization of CENP-F at the G2/M transition relies on the N-terminal domain of hNup133. Because CENP-F localizes at the NE only at the G2/M transition, we selected late G2 and prophase HeLa cells based on phospho-histone H3 staining. All control cells showed a distinct NE labeling of CENP-F (Fig. 2, B and C). In GFP-hNup133CTD cells treated with a scramble siRNA or with a hNup133 siRNA that does not target hNup133CTD and only mildly impacts mNup133 expression. After 3 d, whole-cell extracts were analyzed by Western blot using anti-hNup133 (which also recognizes mouse Nup133, albeit less efficiently than human Nup133) or anti-hNup107 antibodies. Anti-γ-tubulin was used as loading control. To allow quantifications, decreasing amounts of the reference sample were loaded (0.2-, 0.5-, and 1-fold equivalent). (B) Cells transfected with siRNAs as in A were fixed after 3 d and processed for immunofluorescence using anti-Nup107 and mAb414 antibodies. Bar, 20 µm.
Figure 2. The N-terminal domain of hNup133 tethers CENP-F at the NE in prophase. (Aa) Schematic representation of hNup133 constructs used in this study outlining its previously described β-propeller (N-terminal domain, NTD, blue) and α-solenoid (C-terminal domain, CTD, red) domains (adapted from Berke et al., 2004). (Ab) Yeast two-hybrid interactions between hNup133 (aa 12–1156), hNup133CTD (aa 466–1156) or hNup133NTD (aa 1–500), and hNup107 (aa 784–924), or CENP-F (aa 2644–3065) were analyzed as described in Materials and methods. Empty bait and prey vectors were used as negative controls (−). (B and C) Control HeLa cells (wild-type) or cell lines stably expressing GFP-hNup133CTD or GFP3x-mNup133 were transfected with a scramble siRNA (siScr) or with an siRNA duplex targeting the N-terminal domain of human Nup133. Cells were fixed after 3 d and processed for immunofluorescence using anti-RanGAP1, anti-CENP-F, and anti–phospho-H3 antibodies. Typical G2/M phospho-H3–positive cells are presented. Bars, 10 µm. In C, the anti-RanGAP1 signal is shown for cells on the bottom row. Line scans (with distances in pixels) measuring the intensity of CENP-F (red lines) and RanGAP1 (blue lines) reveal the peak of CENP-F that colocalizes with RanGAP1 at the NE in GFP-hNup133CTD treated with the scramble siRNA duplexes (left panels) or in GFP3x-mNup133 cells depleted for endogenous Nup133 (right panels), but not in Nup133-depleted GFP-hNup133CTD cells (middle panels). In some prophase cells, the bright intranuclear foci reflect the early recruitment of CENPF at kinetochores.
The interaction of CENP-F with dynein/dynactin at the NE in prophase requires the N-terminal domain of hNup133 (see Fig. 4 B). The effect of Nup133 depletion was specific to prophase NE localization of CENP-F because its localization at kinetochores was not affected under those conditions (Fig. 2, B and C). Together with previous studies (Hussein and Taylor, 2002), we can conclude that the NPC targeting of CENP-F at the G2/M transition is not required for its accumulation at kinetochores, but likely has a separate function.

CENP-F anchors dynein/dynactin at the nuclear envelope via NudE/EL in prophase

Recent studies have demonstrated a role for CENP-F in indirectly recruiting dynein/dynactin to kinetochores via NudE and/or NudEL (also termed Nde1), two highly related proteins that interact with both CENP-F and dynein (Liang et al., 2007; Stehman et al., 2007; Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007). Moreover, Nde1, dynein, and dynactin accumulate at the NE in prophase cells (Busson et al., 1998; Salina et al., 2002; Hebbell et al., 2008). We therefore investigated whether NudE/EL could mediate the interaction of CENP-F with dynein/dynactin at the NE. As anticipated, a fraction of NudE/EL localized at the NE specifically at the G2/M transition, a stage at which NudE/EL already localizes at kinetochores in most cells (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2). Both NE and kinetochore targeting of NudE/EL were abolished by CENP-F knockdown in HeLa cells (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2). Furthermore, the NE localization of the dynactin subunit p150Glued was reduced in prophase cells expressing GFP-hNup133CTD as the only form of Nup133 (in which CENP-F is still expressed but no longer localized at the NE), as well as in CENP-F–depleted cells (Fig. 4 A and Fig. S3). Combined depletion of both NudE and NudEL (siNudE/EL) more severely reduced p150Glued localization at the NE in prophase (Fig. 4 Ab and Fig. S3). Consistent with previous studies (Guo et al., 2006), NudE/EL depletion also impaired the recruitment of p150Glued at the centrosome. In contrast, centrosomal localization of p150Glued was not significantly altered upon depletion of endogenous Nup133 in GFP-hNup133CTD cells or in CENP-F–depleted cells (Fig. 4 A and Fig. S3).

Together, our results demonstrate that an interaction network starting from the N-terminal domain of Nup133 via CENP-F and NudE/EL contributes to the recruitment of dynein/dynactin...
Figure 4. **hNup133 contributes to dynactin anchoring at the NE at the G2/M transition via CENP-F and NudE/EL.** (A) GFP-hNup133_CTD or GFP3x-mNup133 cells (a) or wild-type HeLa cells (b) transfected with the indicated siRNA duplexes were processed for immunofluorescence using anti-p150<sub>Glued</sub> and anti–phospho-H3 antibodies. Bars, 10 µm. See also Fig. S3. (B) Schematic representation of the interaction networks connecting Nup133 to dynein/dynactin. Proteins are represented on approximate scale except for CENP-F. Boxes indicate the minimal domains involved in the interactions between Nup133 and CENP-F (black boxes; this paper and Zuccolo et al., 2007), CENP-F and NudE/EL (gray boxes), and between NudE/EL and dynein (dashed area overlapping with the CENP-F interaction domain; Liang et al., 2007; Stehman et al., 2007; Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007). Although not represented on this scheme, association of CENP-F with the pool of Nup133 localized on the nuclear side of NPCs cannot be excluded.
represented by p150\(^{Glued}\) to the NE in late G2/prophase HeLa cells (Fig. 4 B).

**The Nup133-CENP-F-NudE/EL-dynein/dynactin network tethers the centrosomes to the nucleus in prophase**

To understand the function of the Nup133-anchored dynein/dynactin network at the nuclear pore in prophase, we assayed mitotic progression by confocal time-lapse microscopy in cells where chromosomes (H2B-mCherry) and microtubule plus tips (EB3-GFP) were fluorescently labeled. The increased levels of EB3 and its accumulation around centrosomes in late G2/prophase cells (Ban et al., 2009) enabled us to identify cells about to enter mitosis.

Five different methods to disrupt the NPC–dynein/dynactin interaction, namely hNup133 depletion in the GFP-hNup133CTD cell line (n = 10 cells recorded at high resolution), transfection of siRNAs targeting CENP-F (n = 14) or NudE/EL (n = 6), or...
videos performed at lower resolution revealed a significant (>3 µm) detachment of centrosomes from the NE in 70% of CENP-F–depleted prophase cells, with more than 50% of the cells displaying at least one centrosome >3 µm apart from the NE for at least 5 min, a phenotype only rarely observed in cells overexpression of dominant-negative dynactin inhibitors, CFP-p50/dynamitin (n = 10) and dsRed-p150cc1 (n = 9), all frequently caused centrosomes to detach from the nuclear periphery at the G2/M transition, albeit to various extents (Fig. 5, Videos 1–6, and unpublished data). Quantitative analysis of
Centrosome movement away from the nuclear periphery requires microtubules and Eg5 activity

(A) HeLa cells transfected with scramble, CENP-F, or NudE/EL siRNA duplexes were either fixed (top row) or incubated with 20 µM nocodazole for 30 min or with 100 µM monastrol for 1 h before fixation. They were then stained with anti-pericentrin and anti-Phospho-H3 antibodies. Note that under those conditions, all phospho-H3–positive cells had entered prophase in the absence of microtubules or before Eg5 activation. All images arise from a single experimental dataset, although they were captured at different times using slightly different acquisition settings. Either a unique plane or maximum intensity projections of stacks are presented, as needed, depending on the locations of the centrosomes relative to the focal plane. Bar, 10 µm. (B) Distances between centrosomes and the NE, measured in phospho-H3–positive cells processed as above, are represented as box-plots using KaleidaGraph (see Materials and methods). The black and red bars indicate the median and mean values, respectively. The total number of cells quantified is indicated (n). ***, P < 10⁻³; **, P < 10⁻²; *, P < 0.05 obtained using the Student’s t test.

Figure 7. Centrosome movement away from the nuclear periphery requires microtubules and Eg5 activity. (A) HeLa cells transfected with scramble, CENP-F, or NudE/EL siRNA duplexes were either fixed (top row) or incubated with 20 µM nocodazole for 30 min or with 100 µM monastrol for 1 h before fixation. They were then stained with anti-pericentrin and anti-Phospho-H3 antibodies. Note that under those conditions, all phospho-H3–positive cells had entered prophase in the absence of microtubules or before Eg5 activation. All images arise from a single experimental dataset, although they were captured at different times using slightly different acquisition settings. Either a unique plane or maximum intensity projections of stacks are presented, as needed, depending on the locations of the centrosomes relative to the focal plane. Bar, 10 µm. (B) Distances between centrosomes and the NE, measured in phospho-H3–positive cells processed as above, are represented as box-plots using KaleidaGraph (see Materials and methods). The black and red bars indicate the median and mean values, respectively. The total number of cells quantified is indicated (n). ***, P < 10⁻³; **, P < 10⁻²; *, P < 0.05 obtained using the Student’s t test.

Centrosome movement away from the nuclear periphery was not completely abolished, upon nocodazole or monastrol treatment (Fig. 5 Ab–Ac and B; Videos 2–4). In contrast, unseparated centrosomes were more frequently observed far away from the NE, sometimes reaching cell borders, in NudE/EL-depleted cells (Fig. 5, Ad–d; Video 5) or, consistent with a recent study by Splinter et al. (2010), in cells overexpressing CFP-p50/dynamitin (Fig. 5 Ae; Video 6). Analysis of cells recorded over 24 h (Fig. 5 Ad’) indicated that NudE/EL depletion induces either an earlier detachment of centrosomes from the NE or a delayed recruitment of EB3-GFP to centrosomes in prophase (a feature reminiscent of the decreased recruitment of p150Glued previously observed in these cells; Guo et al., 2006; Fig. 4 Ab and Fig. S3). Nevertheless, as observed in other conditions that disrupt the NPC–dynein/dynactin interaction (this paper and Splinter et al., 2010), centrosome movement away from the NE specifically occurred at the G2/M transition in NudE/EL-depleted cells (Fig. 5 Ad’).

We confirmed and further quantitated these phenotypes by measuring the centrosome–NE distance in fixed cells at various stages of the cell cycle (Fig. 6). In control cells centrosomes were rarely >2 µm away from the nuclear periphery in prophase. The mean centrosome–NE distance was significantly increased in prophase cells solely expressing GFP-hNup133CTD or depleted for CENP-F (Fig. 6, Aa–b and B). Consistent with our observations on live cells, this phenotype was even more pronounced upon depletion of NudE/EL (Fig. 6, Ab and B) or upon overexpression of CFP-p50 or DsRed-p150cc1 (Fig. 6, Ac and B; see also Splinter et al., 2010). In contrast, centrosome position was indistinguishable in S and early G2 between control cells and cells depleted for either CENP-F or NudE/EL (Fig. 6, Ab and B). Together, our data show that Nup133-mediated NE recruitment of dynein/dynactin is required to anchor centrosomes to the NE at the G2/M transition.

Centrosome detachment from the NE in CENP-F or NudE/EL-depleted cells requires microtubules and Eg5 activity

Tracking centrosomes in cells in which the Nup133-anchored dynein/dynactin pathway is impaired revealed that centrosomes moved in a directional manner with velocities around 0.4 µm/min, although peak velocities up to 0.8 µm/min were observed (Fig. 5 B), consistent with microtubule motor-mediated movements. In addition, centrosome movement appeared to be most pronounced after centrosome separation (Fig. 5 A and B), suggesting the implication of Eg5, a plus end–directed kinesin involved in centrosome separation (Tanenbaum et al., 2008; Splinter et al., 2010). Live-cell analysis revealed that acute depolymerization of microtubules by nocodazole at the onset of prophase microtubule nucleation as well as acute inhibition of Eg5 with the specific inhibitor monastrol (Mayer et al., 1999) largely abolished centrosome movement in CENP-F–depleted cells (Fig. S4). These results were confirmed quantitatively in fixed CENP-F–depleted prophase cells (Fig. 7, A and B). This quantitative analysis also revealed that centrosome detachment was strongly reduced, albeit not completely abolished, upon nocodazole or monastrol treatment of NudE/EL-depleted prophase cells (Fig. 7, A and B). Together, our data show that microtubules and Eg5 activity move centrosomes away from the nucleus in prophase cells if they are not tethered by the Nup133-anchored dynein/dynactin network.
the NE (Fig. S5). Although this might reflect the existence of distinct modes of centrosome tethering among various cell lines, we could not exclude a nonoptimal depletion of BICD2 in HeLa cells (Fig. S5 B, see also the following paragraphs and Discussion). We thus decided to perform these studies in the U2OS cell line, used by Splinter et al. (2010), which has a more robust centrosome position adjacent to the nucleus (compare control cells in Fig. 6 B with those in Fig. 8 A) and sustains two successive rounds of siRNA depletion.

**Analysis of prophase U2OS cells revealed that CENP-F depletion leads to a mild detachment of the centrosome from**

**The Nup133-CENP-F-NudE/EL network tethers centrosomes to the NE in G2/prophase cells without interfering with the RanBP2-BICD2-dependent pathway**

Because two distinct NPC-anchored networks (Nup133-CENP-F-NudE/EL, this study; and RanBP2-BICD2, Splinter et al., [2010]) contribute to dynein/dynactin recruitment at the NE and to centrosome positioning in G2/prophase cells, we next investigated whether there could be a cross talk between these two pathways. Unlike anticipated, BICD2 depletion in HeLa cells did not induce a significant detachment of the centrosomes from the NE (Fig. S5). Although this might reflect the existence of distinct modes of centrosome tethering among various cell lines, we could not exclude a nonoptimal depletion of BICD2 in HeLa cells (Fig. S5 B, see also the following paragraphs and Discussion). We thus decided to perform these studies in the U2OS cell line, used by Splinter et al. (2010), which has a more robust centrosome position adjacent to the nucleus (compare control cells in Fig. 6 B with those in Fig. 8 A) and sustains two successive rounds of siRNA depletion.

**Analysis of prophase U2OS cells revealed that CENP-F depletion leads to a mild detachment of the centrosome from**
the NE, similar to the one recorded in HeLa cells (Fig. 8 A). As already observed in HeLa cells (Figs. 6 and 7), NudE/EL depletion led to a more pronounced phenotype as compared with CENP-F or BICD2 depletion (Fig. 8 A). In this cell line, however, NudE/EL depletion phenocopied the phenotypes observed upon dynein heavy chain depletion or overexpression of CFP-p50 or DsRed-p150ct1 (Splinter et al., 2010 and Fig. 6 B). Somehow unexpectedly, the combined use of siRNAs targeting CENP-F and BICD2 did not lead to an additive or synergistic effect (Fig. 8 A). Although various cellular mechanisms may explain the lack of additive effect (see Discussion), we cannot formally rule out interference from multiple siRNA treatments done simultaneously as revealed by the slightly less efficient depletion of BICD2 under these conditions (Fig. 8, B–D). Immuno-fluorescence analyses further revealed that perturbation of the Nup133-dependent pathway does not significantly affect the localization of RanGAP1 whose NPC localization relies on RanBP2/Nup358 (Saitoh et al., 1997; Fig. 8 C, see also Fig. 2, B and C, Fig. 3 A, and Fig. S3). In addition, neither CENP-F nor NudE/EL depletion altered the NE localization of BICD2 (Fig. 8 D and Fig. S5). This indicates that the Nup133-anchored network does not interfere with the NE recruitment of RanBP2 or BICD2. Conversely, BICD2 depletion did not affect the recruitment of CENP-F at the NE (Fig. 8 C).

Together, these data reveal the lack of cross talk between the Nup133–CENP-F–NudE/EL and RanBP2–BICD2 pathways for tethering of centrosomes to the NE at the G2/M transition. **Centrosome tethering to the nucleus at the G2/M transition is required for timely establishment of a properly positioned mitotic spindle**

CENP-F or NudE/EL RNAi, as well as overexpression of dynactin subunits, all impair kinetochore assembly and function. In contrast, as far as we can judge, the lack of the Nup133 N-terminal domain did not interfere with kinetochore assembly (Fig. S1). The GFP-hNup133CTD cell line thus provided a unique tool to specifically assess the consequences of centrosome detachment on spindle assembly and chromosome segregation. We assayed these functions in GFP-hNup133CTD cells with fluorescently labeled centromeres (GFP-CENP-A) and microtubules (mCherry-MAP4; Fig. 9 Aa and Video 7).

Time-lapse analysis of cells lacking the N-terminal domain of Nup133 revealed that spindle assembly initiated abnormally to various degrees depending on the position of centrosomes relative to the nucleus before NEBD (Fig. 9 A and Videos 8 and 9; see also Fig. 5 A and Video 2). When both centrosomes were on the same side and at similar distance from the nucleus, a horseshoe-shaped spindle-like structure was frequently observed (5 out of 18 cells; Fig. 9 Ab and Video 8; see also Fig. 5 Ab and Video 2). When one centrosome was closer to the NE than the other, microtubules nucleated by the proximal centrosome captured most chromosomes, leading to a transient formation of a monopolar spindle and sometimes an “isolated centrosome” (6 cells; Fig. 9 Ac and Video 9). More rarely, the two centrosomes were positioned opposite sides of the nucleus, from where they effectively formed a bipolar spindle, despite the increased distance from the nuclear periphery at NEBD onset (1 cell; unpublished data). Despite these early defects, a bipolar spindle eventually formed after NEBD, and chromosomes aligned on the metaphase plate and were correctly segregated to the two daughter cells. Time-lapse analysis of a broader number of Nup133CTD cells stably expressing GFP-CENP-A and treated with either scrambled or Nup133 siRNA duplexes did not reveal any significant mitotic delay or chromosome segregation defect in Nup133-depleted cells as compared with control cells (Fig. 9 B). These data indicate that, unlike recently reported for cells with multipolar spindle poles (Ganem et al., 2009; Silkworth et al., 2009), the mild and transient detachment of centrosomes recorded in cells lacking the N-terminal domain of Nup133 does not, per se, lead to major alterations of cell cycle progression and chromosome missegregation (see Discussion).

Immunofluorescence analysis of mitotic NudE/EL-depleted U2OS cells revealed similar albeit more drastic mitotic defects: in most prometaphase cells, at least one centrosome was away from the chromosome mass, and major alteration of the mitotic spindles was also apparent upon RanGAP1 staining (Fig. 9 C). Nevertheless, 50% of the NudE/EL-depleted prometaphase/metaphase cells displayed a bipolar spindle (Fig. 9 C), indicating that even major alteration of centrosome positioning can be subsequently compensated by additional mechanisms to ensure bipolar spindle formation (see Discussion). Because of the additional contribution of NudE/EL to kinetochore functions (Liang et al., 2007; Stehman et al., 2007; Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007), the specific contribution of centrosome positioning to chromosome segregation could not be analyzed in these cells. However, the altered centrosome positioning in mitosis likely contributes, along with the reported kinetochore alterations, to the defects in metaphase chromosome alignment previously recorded upon NudE depletion (Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007).

**Discussion**

Nup133 is the base of a molecular network that tethers dynein/dynactin to the NE at the G2/M transition in mammalian cells

The Nup107–160 complex had previously been implicated at various stages of the cell cycle, notably spindle assembly, proper kinetochore function, cytokinesis, NPC reassembly at mitotic exit, and de novo NPC assembly in interphase (Doucet et al., 2010; Wozniak et al., 2010). This study uncovers an additional function, carried by a structurally defined domain of the Nup133 subunit, at the G2/M transition.

The CENP-F–NudE/EL–dynein/dynactin network, defined using biochemical and two-hybrid approaches had so far been shown to function at kinetochores in prometaphase (Liang et al., 2007; Stehman et al., 2007; Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007). We show here that the same molecular network is also used at the nuclear pore to tether centrosomes to the nucleus just before NEBD. The nucleoporin Nup133—structurally embedded in the NPC via its C-terminal α-solenoid domain (Boehmer et al., 2008)—anchors the C-terminal domain of CENP-F (residues 2644–3065; Zuccolo et al., 2007) via its N-terminal domain. CENP-F in turn uses a second, more central domain (residues 2122–2447; published March 7, 2011
Figure 9. Centrosome disconnection from the NE causes transiently aberrant spindle structures. (A) Cells stably expressing GFP-hNup133_{CTD} and GFP-CENP-A (green) were transfected with a plasmid encoding the microtubule-binding protein mCherry-MAP4 (red) and with scramble (a) or hNup133 (b and c) siRNAs. Cells were imaged from prophase on. Top panels: mCherry-MAP4 signal; bottom panels: overlay of the mCherry-MAP4 and GFP-CENP-A + GFP-hNup133_{CTD} signals (note that the GFP-hNup133_{CTD} signal is hardly detectable over the GFP-CENP-A signal). Time is in min:sec. Times of NEBD, metaphase plate formation, and metaphase/anaphase transition are indicated. Bar, 10 µm. See also Videos 7–9. (B) Time spent from chromosome condensation to metaphase/anaphase transition for cells stably expressing GFP-hNup133_{CTD} and GFP-CENP-A treated with scramble or hNup133 siRNA duplexes as indicated. Each dot represents a single cell, and the dashed line represents the average mitotic duration. Cells that display major mitotic defects (red dots) or minor chromosome segregation defects (one mis-segregated or lagging chromosome; black dots) are indicated. The number of cell quantified, the average mitotic duration, and standard deviation are indicated. ns, the difference between control and Nup133-depleted cells was not statistically
Vergnolle and Taylor, 2007) to bind to NudE/EL (Fig. 4 B). These nonoverlapping binding domains suggest that there is a direct molecular link from the NPC to NudE/EL, and in turn dynein/dynactin using bifunctional interactors. What could regulate the assembly of this link specifically at the onset of mitosis? Interestingly, both the N-terminal domain of hNup133 and the interacting C-terminal domain of CENP-F are specifically phosphorylated in mitosis (Zhu et al., 1995; Nousiainen et al., 2006; Glavy et al., 2007). It is therefore likely that, as recently reported for Nudel1 or p150Glued (Hebbar et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010), mitotic phosphorylation of Nup133, CENP-F, or both, temporally regulates the recruitment of CENP-F, and in turn NudE/EL and dynein/dynactin to the NPC at the G2/M transition.

**Metazoans have evolved multiple, distinct cell cycle-specific pathways to tether the centrosome to the nucleus**

Among the multiple functions of dynein and dynactin (Vallee et al., 2004), centrosome tethering to the NE appears to be an evolutionarily conserved function that relies, at least in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and mammalian cells, on dynein localization at the NE (Gönczy et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 1999; Malone et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2009; Splinter et al., 2010; and this paper). The molecular details of this regulation are, however, different. In *C. elegans* early embryos, ZYG12, a divergent KASH domain protein anchored in the outer nuclear membrane by the inner nuclear membrane protein SUN-1, is responsible for dynein localization and centrosome tethering to the NE (Malone et al., 2003). However, ZYG12 is not present in *C. elegans* hyp7 cells in which a distinct KASH domain protein, UNC-83, contributes to nuclear migration by recruiting dynein to the NE through two distinct adaptor complexes (Fridolfsson et al., 2010). Similarly, mammals have apparently evolved distinct pathways to link dynein and/or centrosomes to the nucleus. In human dermal fibroblasts, constitutive centrosome–NE association was proposed to rely on emerin and microtubules (Salpingidou et al., 2007); however, this pathway does not significantly contribute to centrosome tethering in HeLa cells (unpublished data). More recently, SUN1/2 and the KASH domain proteins Syne/Nesprin-1/2 were shown to mediate the coupling between the nucleus and the centrosome in primary cultured neurons or glial cells (Zhang et al., 2009). Our data and the recent study from Splinter et al. (2010) reveal the existence of novel pathways for dynein/dynactin recruitment and centrosome positioning in G2/prophase cells that rely on nucleoporins rather than on SUN/KASH pairs. RanBP2/Nup358, a nucleoporin that localizes to the cytoplasmic side of the NPC, was demonstrated to contribute to this process by recruiting BICD2 to NPCs from early G2 on (Splinter et al., 2010). We show here that Nup133 anchors CENP-F that in turn recruits NudE/EL, recently shown to contribute to dynein localization at the NE in prophase cells (Hebbar et al., 2008). Because kinetochores were frequently positive for CENP-F or NudE/EL in cells that also exhibited NE localization for these proteins (Fig. 2, B and C, Fig. 3, and Fig. 52), we anticipate that this network might be recruited to NPCs later in G2 as compared with BICD2. At that stage, the extremely stable association of Nup133 with NPCs (Rabut et al., 2004) may be required to efficiently counteract the activity of Eg5 that pushes the two centrosomes away from the nucleus and apart from each other.

Importantly, perturbation of the Nup133-dependent pathway did not affect the NPC localization of BICD2 and conversely, BICD2 depletion did not affect CENP-F recruitment to the NE. This indicates that, as also observed at kinetochores (Mao et al., 2010) and at the NE in *C. elegans* hyp7 cells (Fridolfsson et al., 2010), two distinct pathways independently recruit dynein/dynactin to the NPC in G2/prophase cells. These two distinct NPC-anchored pathways are not redundant, as inhibition of either results in defects in centrosome–nucleus interactions. Although centrosome displacement was less pronounced for either case than for dynein/dynactin inhibition, combined RNAi depletion of CENP-F and BICD2 did not lead to a more pronounced phenotype as compared with each of the single depletions. Although this might possibly be attributed to the slightly less efficient depletion of BICD2 under these conditions (Fig. 8, B and C), it may also reflect the presence of counteracting forces mediated by kinesin-1 (which also interacts with BICD2; Splinter et al., 2010). Indeed, Splinter et al. (2010) previously reported that combined depletion of BICD2 and dynein leads to a less severe defect on centrosome positioning as compared with dynein depletion alone.

The specific recruitment of CENP-F and NudE/EL to the NE in prophase and the consequences of their depletion are clearly consistent with a role for these proteins at the NE. However, NudE/EL depletion leads to a more pronounced phenotype as compared with CENP-F–depleted cells, notably in U2OS cells in which it phenocopies dynein/dynactin inactivation (Figs. 5–8). These data suggest the participation of NudE/EL in another dynein/dynactin–dependent but CENP-F–independent process. Possible hypotheses include: (1) the destabilization of BICD2–dynein/dynactin interaction, (2) the contribution of NudE/EL to centrosomal functions, such as MT nucleation or anchoring (Guo et al., 2006; see also Fig. 4 B), (3) the implication in this process of an additional kinesin (in addition to kinesin-1 and Eg5; see for instance Tsai et al., 2010), or (4) alteration of the actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, Whitehead et al. (1996) previously reported movements of Eg5-immunoactive centrosomes that frequently impinged on the plasma membrane upon cytochalasin-induced disassembly of actin fibers in prostate HeLa cells.

Finally, it is noteworthy that in *C. elegans* hyp7 cells a unique protein (the KASH protein UNC-83) anchors BICD1 and NUD2 (respectively homologous to mammalian BICD2 and NUD2) to the NE, thus ensuring the maintenance of centrosomal polarity.
cloned into the pLEX12 vector and the pB29-hNup133CTD plasmid were transformed into L40αGal4 strain, while hNup107 (aa 784–924) and CENP-F (aa 2644–3065; Zuccollo et al., 2007) fused in frame with Gal4 AD in pP6 vectors (obtained from Hybrigenics) were transformed into the Y187 strain as described previously (Belgareh et al., 2001). Bait and prey strains were mated in rich medium, and diploids were grown on minimum medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine and containing 1 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (–LWH + 1 mM 3AT).

Cell culture and plasmid transfections

HeLa and U2OS cells were grown at 37°C in DME (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% glutamine, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 100 U/ml penicillin. Plasmid transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). To establish stable cell lines, individual colonies were isolated by G418 (0.5 mg/ml) or Hygromycin (0.4 mg/ml) selection.

The following plasmids were used in this study: the pEGFP-Nup133CTD plasmid (encoding aa 545–1156 of hNup133) was obtained by deletion of a SacI fragment from the pEGFP-Nup133 vector (Belgareh et al., 2001). To generate pEGFPp-mNup133, an AllII (blunted)–NotI fragment was purified from the IMAGE Consortium (LINL) cDNA clone (IMAGE: 1180761), obtained from the Resource Center of the German Human Genome Project, and subcloned in the pEGFP-3C vector digested with Sall (blunted) and NotI. The GFP-NH133CTD and GFPp-mNup133 fusions were subsequently inserted into the pIRE5-neo vector (Takara Bio Inc.). The pBOS2-H2B-GFP-IRE5-neo vector was constructed by inserting the N-NotI–blunted fragment of pIRE5-neo (Takara Bio Inc.) into the pBOS:H2B-GFP vector (BD) digested by NotI and AatII (blunted). To generate the pBOS:H2B-mCherry-IRE5-neo plasmid, the coding sequence of mCherry (Shaner et al., 2004) was amplified by PCR adding BamHI sites both at the 3′ and 5′ ends and inserted in pBOS:H2B-GFP-IRE5-neo vector digested with BamHI. The pEGFP-CENP-A plasmid, in which full-length human CENP-A HA-tagged at its 5′ end is inserted into Smal–Xbal sites of pEGFP-C1, was generously provided by K.F. Sullivan (University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA). The EB3-GFP construct (pEGFP-N3-EB3; Stepanova et al., 2003) was a kind gift from N. Galjart (Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands). The H2B-mCherry-CENP-A-IRE5-neo vectors were subsequently subcloned into the pIRE5-hygro vector (Takara Bio Inc.). MAP4 tagged with mCherry at its N terminus (Keppler and Ellenberg, 2009) was constructed by A. Keppler (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany), the pEGFP-centrin1 plasmid (Piel et al., 2000) was provided by M. Piel and M. Bornens (Institut Curie, Paris, France), the DiRed p150-c1 construct (DiRedp150-c1; Quinoty and Schroer, 2002) was a kind gift of T. Schroer (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD), and CFP-p50/dynactin was a kind gift from A. Popov and E. Karsenti (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany).

Stable cell lines generated for this study are: GFP-hNup133CTD, GFP2x-mNup133CTD, EB3-GFP + H2B-mCherry, GFP-centrin1, and GFP-hNup133CTD + mCherry-CENP-A. The EB3-GFP cell line was generously provided by Lucia Sironi (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany).

siRNA transfections

The following siRNA duplexes (purchased from Eurogentec) were used in this study: scramble siRNA sequence (5′-CGTGAACCCGGCTTGTGTA-3′), hNup133 5′-AAGTCTGATCAGCACCAGCTCATT-3′; Walther et al., 2003), CENP-F 5′-CAGAATCTTACGTACGACTAAT-3′, NuDE 5′-GGACCAC-CCAGTCAAGTTAAT-3′ and 5′-GGAAAAGCTGCACAGTACT-3′; Veronelle and Taylor, 2007), NudEL 5′-GCTAGAGTACGACGTACAT-3′ and 5′-GGACCCAGACATACCAAAT-3′, NuDE (5′-GGUAAGUGACAUUGACGUAUC-3′; BIDC2; Splinter et al., 2010), and BIDC5 5′-GGGAGAUCUAAGAGCUAU-3′; BIDC2#2 (Splinter et al., 2010). siRNA duplexes were transfected in HeLa cells using HiPerfect reagent (QiAGEN) with 10 nM siRNA. siRNA-treated HeLa cells were analyzed 2 d after siRNA transfection, except Nup133-depleted cells, for which efficient depletion was achieved after 3–4 d of siRNA treatment (Walther et al., 2003). When combined with plasmid transfections, siRNA transfections were performed 12–24 h before plasmid transfections. U2OS cells were transfected as described previously (Splinter et al., 2010) using 20 nM siRNA and two successive rounds of siRNA depletion (during plating and 1 d after transfection) and analyzed 3 d after plating. For silencing both NuDE and NuDEL, a mixture of each of the four siRNA duplexes targeting these proteins was used.

Western blot analysis

For Western blot analysis, whole-cell lysates resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer were separated on 4–12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose filters. The resulting blots were saturated with TBS, 0.1% Tween, and 5% dried milk and probed with affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit...
For live-cell imaging, HeLa cells were seeded onto 35-mm glass dishes. Live-cell imaging images were acquired with a scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 without camera binning, with a plane spacing of 0.2 µm. A unique plane was acquired by a piezoelectric motor (LVDT; Physik Instruments). Widefield microscopic images were acquired using an upright motorized microscope (Eclipse TE300; Nikon Instruments, Inc.). For Fig. 3, B and C, time-lapse sequences (20 Z-stack planes at a 1-µm interval every 2–4 min for up to 3 h (mCherry-MAP4/GFP-CENP-A) with either a Leica 100x/1.4 NA objective and an interlaced cooled interlaced CCD camera (CoolSnap HQ; Roper Industries). Rapid and precise Z-positioning was accomplished by a piezoelectric motor (LVDT; Physik Instrumente) mounted underneath the objective lens. Image stacks were acquired without camera binning, with a plane spacing of 0.2 µm. A unique plane is shown except for Figs. 6 A, 7 A (Nocodazole-treated treated), and 9 C, in which maximum intensity projections performed using MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging Corp.) are presented. For Fig. 3 and Fig. S2, confocal images were acquired with a scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 NLO; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) using a 40×/1.3 Plan-Neofluar objective.

Live-cell imaging

For live-cell imaging, HeLa cells were seeded onto 35-mm glass dishes (Iwaki) or in LabTek chambers (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in Leibovitz’s CO2-independent medium without phenol red and imaged at 37°C. Prophase cells randomly chosen based on their typical EB3-GFP or m-Cherry-MAP4 staining were imaged every 30 s for up to 1 h (EB3-GFP/2B-mCherry) or every 2–4 min for up to 3 h (mCherry-MAP4/GFP-CENP-A) with either a spinning disk confocal microscope (Universal/VIEW ERS; PerkinElmer) mounted on an Axiovert200M body (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) and equipped with a 63×/1.4 NA objective (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) or a CSU22 spinning head (Yokogawa) mounted on a TE2000 microscope (Nikon) equipped with a 100×/1.4 NA objective and a CoolSnap HQ2 camera (Roper Industries). Maximum intensity projections of 15 Z-stack planes at a 2-µm interval for each time point are presented. Nocodazole (20 µM final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich) and monastrol (100 µM final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich) were added between two image acquisitions. For Fig. 5 Ad and C, time-lapse sequences were taken every 2.5 min for 48 h using a spinning disk microscope (PerkinElmer) as above with a 40× 1.3 NA oil objective (Carl Zeiss, Inc.). For Fig. 9 B, time-lapse sequences (20 Z-stack planes at a 1-µm interval every 4 min for 9 h) were acquired using a CSU22 spinning head (Yokogawa) mounted on a DIW6000 microscope (Leica) equipped with a Leica 100×/1.4 NA objective and a QuantEM 512SC camera (Photometrics). Microscopes were controlled either by MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging Corp.) or with UniversalVIEW ERS software (PerkinElmer).

Image analysis

The mean distances between the NE and each of the two centrosomes were calculated on fixed cells using MetaMorph software in 2D on single planes or on maximum intensity projections of ~20 Z-stacks at a 0.2-µm interval. Box-plots were generated using KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software); each box encloses 50% of the obtained distances centered on the median value. The bars extending from the top and bottom of each box mark the minimum and maximum values within the dataset falling within an acceptable range. Values falling outside of this range are displayed as an individual point. Unpaired Student’s t tests were used to evaluate differences in centrosome–NE distances between the various conditions.

Centrosome tracking on videos was performed with an ImageJ macro (http://weeman.inf.ethz.ch/ParticleTracker). For Fig. 5 C, the centrosome to NE distance was then calculated with a Matlab macro, taking as input the NE outline created with an ImageJ macro based on the H2B-mCherry signal. Quantifications and tracks were analyzed with Excel (Microsoft). Figures were assembled with Photoshop CS (Adobe).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the kinetochore localization of Hec1, CENPF, p150Glued, and Mad1 in mitotic GFP3x-mNup133 and GFP-HnNup133 cells depleted for Nup133. Fig. S2 shows NudE/EL levels and localization in prophase HeLa cells treated with scramble, CENPF, or NudE/EL siRNA duplexes. Fig. S3 shows the localization of p150Glued and RanGAP1 in prophase HeLa cells treated with scramble, CENPF, or NudE/EL siRNAduplexes. Fig. S4 shows centrosome movements in CENPF–depleted HeLa cells treated with nocodazole or monastrol. Fig. S5 shows the localization and expression of BICD2 and the centrosome to NE distances in HeLa cells treated with scramble, BICD2, or CENPF siRNA duplexes. Videos 1–9 and 7–9 show the videos of cells presented in Fig. 5 A and Fig. 9 A, respectively. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201007118/DC1.
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