Mus81-mediated DNA cleavage resolves replication forks stalled by topoisomerase I–DNA complexes
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DNA topoisomerases are essential for removing the supercoiling that normally builds up ahead of replication forks. The camptothecin (CPT) Top1 (topoisomerase I) inhibitors exert their anticancer activity by reversibly trapping Top1–DNA cleavage complexes (Top1cc’s) and inducing replication-associated DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). In this paper, we propose a new mechanism by which cells avoid Top1-induced replication-dependent DNA damage. We show that the structure-specific endonuclease Mus81-Eme1 is responsible for generating DSBs in response to Top1 inhibition and for allowing cell survival. We provide evidence that Mus81 cleaves replication forks rather than excises Top1cc’s. DNA combing demonstrated that Mus81 also allows efficient replication fork progression after CPT treatment. We propose that Mus81 cleaves stalled replication forks, which allows dissipation of the excessive supercoiling resulting from Top1 inhibition, spontaneous reversal of Top1cc, and replication fork progression.

Introduction

Top1 (topoisomerase I) regulates DNA topology during replication, transcription, and chromatin remodeling (Champoux, 2001; Wang, 2002). It removes DNA torsional stress (supercoiling) by forming cleavage complexes (Top1–DNA cleavage complexes [Top1cc’s]) in which one DNA strand is cleaved by covalent binding of Top1 to a 3’ DNA phosphate. After DNA relaxation, Top1cc’s reverse rapidly, and Top1 is released as the DNA religates. The plant alkaloid camptothecin (CPT) and its clinical derivatives, topotecan and irinotecan, are highly selective Top1 inhibitors that reversibly trap Top1cc by binding at the enzyme–DNA interface (Hsiang et al., 1985; Pommier et al., 2010). At pharmacological concentrations, they kill cancer cells in a replication-dependent manner (Yang et al., 1996; Poulriot et al., 1999; Interthal et al., 2005; Dexheimer et al., 2008a). Genetic studies also indicate that Top1cc can be repaired by other TDP1-independent pathways involving the endonucleases Rad1XPF-Rad10ERCC1 and Mus81-Mms4EME1 (Liu et al., 2002; Vance and Wilson, 2002; Deng et al., 2005; Ciccia et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011).

Mus81-Eme1 is a heterodimeric endonuclease that acts preferentially on DNA substrates mimicking stalled replication forks and nicked Holliday junctions in vitro (Interthal and Heyer, 2000; Fricke et al., 2005; Ciccia et al., 2008; Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008). It cleaves such structures in the duplex region adjacent to the branched point (Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008). It cleaves these structures in the duplex region adjacent to the branched point (Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008). Mus81-Eme1 has been shown to play a critical role in both replication fork rescue and homologous recombination. It
stalling in the absence of RecQ helicases (Kaliraman et al., 2001; Mullen et al., 2001; Doe et al., 2002; Trowbridge et al., 2007; Franchitto et al., 2008; Shimura et al., 2008). Mus81 is also required for the proper completion of homologous recombination, both during meiosis (Smith et al., 2003; Jessop and Lichten, 2008; Oh et al., 2008) and mitosis (Blais et al., 2004; Roseaulin et al., 2008).

In the present study, we explore the role of Mus81 in the cellular response of human cells to Top1 inhibitors. We show that Mus81 is not involved in the direct excision of Top1cc but rather participates in the repair and recovery of damaged replication forks by incision of the stalled replication forks.

Results

Mus81-deficient cells are hypersensitive to CPT

To assess the potential role of Mus81 in the cellular responses to Top1 inhibitors, we compared survival of wild-type (WT) and Mus81-deficient (Mus81−/−) human HCT116 colon carcinoma cells (Fig. 1 A) after CPT treatment (Holm et al., 1989). Cells were exposed to CPT for 1 h, and CPT sensitivity was assessed by clonogenic survival assays. Fig. 1 B shows that Mus81-deficient cells are significantly more sensitive than WT cells to pharmacological concentrations (0.01, 0.1, and 1 µM) of CPT, which selectively target replicating cells (Huang et al., 2010). At high concentrations of CPT (10 µM), which exceed those achieved in cancer therapy and for which cell lethality is primarily related to transcription-induced damage (Sordet et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011), >98% of the WT cells were killed, and the impact of Mus81 knockdown was minimal (Fig. 1 B). These results demonstrate the involvement of Mus81 in the cellular response to Top1 inhibitors under conditions in which Top1 inhibitors primarily target S-phase cells.

To investigate whether the hypersensitivity of Mus81−/− cells resulted from an enrichment in S-phase cells, we determined the cell cycle distributions of WT and Mus81−/− cells by BrdU incorporation assays. WT and Mus81−/− cells showed similar cell cycle profiles, both in the absence and presence of 1 µM CPT for 1 h (Fig. 1 C). Thus, Mus81 deficiency did not result in a higher proportion of S-phase cells, which excludes the possibility that more cells in S phase could account for the increased sensitivity to CPT.

Mus81 does not excise Top1cc's

Because Mus81-Eme1 is a 3′ flap endonuclease, it has been postulated that it would cleave 5′ from the Top1cc and participate in the excision of trapped Top1 from the DNA (Liu et al., 2002; Vance and Wilson, 2002; Deng et al., 2005). To determine whether Mus81 is involved in Top1cc removal, we analyzed the formation of Top1cc's in WT and Mus81−/− cells treated with various CPT concentrations. Top1cc's were detected by Western blotting of cellular DNA fractions with an anti-Top1 antibody (Liu et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011). To determine whether Mus81 is involved in Top1cc removal, we analyzed the formation of Top1cc’s in WT and Mus81−/− cells treated with various CPT concentrations. Top1cc’s were detected by Western blotting of cellular DNA fractions with an anti-Top1 antibody (Miao et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011). Top1cc levels were similar in WT and Mus81−/− cells upon CPT treatment (Fig. 2, A and B). In addition, Top1cc reversed efficiently in both WT and Mus81−/− cells after CPT removal (Fig. 2 B).
Figure 2. Mus81-deficient cells are not defective in the removal of Top1cc. (A–C) Top1 trapping and reversal are similar in WT and Mus81\(-/-\) cells. (A) Top1cc levels in WT and Mus81\(-/-\) HCT116 cells treated with the indicated concentrations of CPT for 1 h. Data from three independent experiments are shown. (B) Detection of Top1cc in WT and Mus81\(-/-\) HCT116 cells treated with 1 µM CPT for 1 h. R30', cells were harvested 30 min after CPT removal. Different concentrations of genomic DNA (5, 2.5, and 1.25 µg) were probed with an anti-Top1 antibody. (left) Representative experiment. Dashed lines indicate that intervening wells have been spliced out. (right) Quantification of Top1cc (n = 3). (A and B, right) Dashed lines indicate Top1cc levels in WT untreated cells. (C) Measurement of DNA–Top1 cross-links by alkaline elution in WT and Mus81\(-/-\) cells treated with 1 µM CPT for 1 h. R30' and R60', cells were harvested 30 and 60 min after CPT removal. (left) Representative alkaline elution experiment. (right) Quantification of DNA–Top1 cross-linking (in rad equivalents [rad-eq]; Covey et al., 1989). Data from four independent experiments are shown. Mean values ± SEM are shown. A.U., arbitrary unit; Ctrl, control.

Consistent with these results, Mus81 silencing in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells did not impair the formation or reversal of Top1cc in response to CPT (Fig. S1, A and B). Under the same experimental conditions, TDPI silencing resulted in higher Top1cc levels, both during and after CPT treatment (Fig. S2; Miao et al., 2006).
Alkaline elution assays were used to detect Top1cc’s as DNA–protein cross-links (DPCs; Miao et al., 2006) in WT and Mus81−/− cells treated with CPT. DPCs formed similarly in WT and Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 2 C, left, top curves). 30 min after CPT removal, reversal of DPC was partial but was not significantly different in WT and Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 2 C). DPCs fully reversed after 60 min in both WT and Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 2 C, right). Together, these results demonstrate that Mus81 is not involved in the excision of Top1 from the DNA.

Mus81 generates DNA DSBs in CPT-treated cells

To elucidate the role of Mus81 in the cellular response to Top1-induced DNA damage, we next analyzed the influence of Mus81 on the formation of Top1-induced DNA DSBs. WT and Mus81−/− cells were exposed to various concentrations of CPT for 1 h, and the DSB marker γ-H2AX (Rogakou et al., 1998; Furuta et al., 2003) was examined by immunofluorescence. CPT-induced γ-H2AX levels were greatly reduced in Mus81-deficient cells (Fig. 3 A), indicating that Mus81 is involved in the formation of DSBs in CPT-treated cells. This result was further supported by neutral comet assays showing that Mus81−/− cells accumulated less DSBs than WT cells in response to CPT (Fig. 3 B). Time-course analysis showed reduced γ-H2AX induction in Mus81-deficient cells at both short and long time points after CPT treatment (Fig. 3 C).

Mus81−/− cells also demonstrated a lower and delayed induction of other DNA damage response signals in response to CPT, including phosphorylation of Chk2 at threonine 68 (ATM [ataxia telangiectasia mutated] substrate), and phosphorylation of RPA2 (Fig. 3 C). However, phosphorylation of Chk1 at serine 317 (ATR [ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related] substrate) was induced similarly in Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 3 C). This protocol allows the analysis of both replication and transcription machineries (Hsiang et al., 2007) or transcription (Sordet et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). To investigate whether Mus81-dependent DNA breaks are related to replication (Seiler et al., 2007) or transcription (Sordet et al., 2009), we analyzed whether Mus81−/− cells also demonstrated a lower and delayed induction of other DNA damage response signals in response to CPT, including phosphorylation of Chk2 at threonine 68 (ATM [ataxia telangiectasia mutated] substrate), and phosphorylation of RPA2 (Fig. 3 C). However, phosphorylation of Chk1 at serine 317 (ATR [ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related] substrate) was induced similarly in Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 3 C). This protocol allows the analysis of both replication and transcription machineries (Hsiang et al., 2007) or transcription (Sordet et al., 2009). To investigate whether Mus81-dependent DNA breaks are related to replication (Seiler et al., 2007) or transcription (Sordet et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011) in CPT-treated cells, we next analyzed γ-H2AX induction in replicating and nonreplicating cell subpopulations. WT and Mus81−/− cells were labeled with the thymidine analogue 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU; to detect replicating cells) before and during CPT treatment. EdU and γ-H2AX coimmunostaining showed that γ-H2AX was induced in both replicating (EdU positive) and nonreplicating (EdU negative) cells, with higher γ-H2AX levels being observed in the replicating cells (Fig. 4 A). Mus81 deficiency was associated with significantly lower γ-H2AX levels in replicating cells but did not affect γ-H2AX induction in nonreplicating cells (Fig. 4, A and B).

The occurrence of Mus81-dependent DNA breaks in replicating cells is consistent with the cleavage of stalled DNA replication forks by Mus81 (see Introduction). To address this possibility, we performed single-cell analyses of EdU and γ-H2AX foci. In WT cells treated with CPT, 68% of the replication foci (labeled with EdU) colocalized with γ-H2AX foci (Fig. 4, C and D; and Fig. S3, top), which is consistent with the preferential induction of DSBs by CPT in replication foci (Seiler et al., 2007). On the other hand, in Mus81-deficient cells, the fraction of damaged replication foci (i.e., those EdU foci colocalizing with γ-H2AX foci) was significantly decreased (39%; Fig. 4, C and D; and Fig. S3, bottom), and the fraction of γ-H2AX foci outside the EdU foci was significantly increased (Fig. 4, C and E; and Fig. S3). These results indicate the selective induction of Mus81-dependent DSBs at replication foci.

Mus81 promotes replication fork progression after Top1 inhibition

To study the effects of Mus81 on replication fork progression, we analyzed replication in single DNA molecules using DNA combing (Conti et al., 2007; Seiler et al., 2007). Incorporation of the thymidine analogues iododeoxyuridine (IdU) and chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU) was visualized on stretched DNA fibers prepared from untreated or CPT-treated WT and Mus81−/− cells. Cells were labeled with IdU and CldU for 30 min each, and CPT was added during the last 20 min of the IdU pulse (Fig. 5 A). This protocol allows the analysis of both replication fork slow down (by comparing replication fork velocity during CPT treatment and after CPT removal) and replication fork recovery (by comparing fork velocity during CPT treatment and after CPT removal). In both WT and Mus81−/− cells, CPT induced a marked reduction in replication fork velocity (Fig. 5, B and C; and Fig. S4). After CPT removal, CldU incorporation was measured in both WT and Mus81−/− cells (Fig. 5, B and C). In WT cells, replication fork velocity resumed to ~50% of its mean value before CPT (Fig. 5 B, left), whereas, in Mus81−/− cells, there was no detectable recovery upon CPT removal (Fig. 5 B, right). These results show that Mus81 is associated with recovery of replication fork velocity after CPT removal.

Discussion

CPT and its clinically used derivatives topotecan and irinotecan are primarily cytotoxic to S-phase cells at pharmacological concentrations (Holm et al., 1989; Hsiang et al., 1989), as they induce replication-associated DSBs that are primarily processed by homologous recombination (Eng et al., 1988; Nitsiss and Wang, 1988; Vance and Wilson, 2002;
Mus81 inactivation either by stable knockout (Hiyama et al., 2006) or siRNA reduces CPT-induced DSBs selectively in replicating cells and at replication foci (Fig. 4). Our conclusion is consistent with the known biochemical activities of Mus81-Eme1, which preferentially processes substrates mimicking replication forks (Kaliraman et al., 2001; Doe et al., 2002; Fricke et al., 2005; Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008). The Mus81-dependent DSBs are not lethal but are associated with DNA repair. Indeed, inactivation of Mus81 results in more cell killing (Fig. 1 B) and at least some of those DSBs have been shown to result from the conversion of Top1-associated single-strand breaks into DSBs by replication runoff (Fig. 6 A; Hsiang et al., 1989; Tsao et al., 1993; Shao et al., 1999; Strumberg et al., 2000).

Our study proposes an alternative by showing that the structure-specific endonuclease Mus81-Eme1 is responsible for the generation of DSBs at stalled replication forks in response to Top1 trapping. This conclusion is based on our findings that Mus81 inactivation either by stable knockout (Hiyama et al., 2006) or siRNA reduces CPT-induced DSBs selectively in replicating cells and at replication foci (Fig. 4). Our conclusion is consistent with the known biochemical activities of Mus81-Eme1, which preferentially processes substrates mimicking replication forks (Kaliraman et al., 2001; Doe et al., 2002; Fricke et al., 2005; Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008). The Mus81-dependent DSBs are not lethal but are associated with DNA repair. Indeed, inactivation of Mus81 results in more cell killing (Fig. 1 B) and...
Figure 4. **Mus81-dependent DNA DSBs form at replication foci.** Replication factories were labeled with EdU for 90 min. 1 µM CPT was added during the last 60 min. (A and B) Analysis of CPT-induced γ-H2AX in replicating (EdU positive) or nonreplicating (EdU negative) WT or Mus81−/− cells. (A) Representative microscopy images showing Mus81-dependent γ-H2AX response in replicating cells. (B) Quantification of γ-H2AX fluorescence signals in individual cells. 90–100 cells were scored per sample. Each dot represents a single cell. Mean values ± SEM are shown in red. Dotted lines indicate γ-H2AX mean levels in untreated cells. (C) Representative microscopy images showing the colocalization of EdU and γ-H2AX foci in EdU-positive cells. (right) Magnified images of squared areas from left images. (D and E) Detailed analysis of EdU and γ-H2AX colocalization in EdU-positive cells demonstrating that Mus81 is involved in γ-H2AX formation at replication foci. (D) Percentages of replication foci colocalized with γ-H2AX (defined as 100% x [number of EdU foci colocalizing with γ-H2AX foci divided by the total number of EdU foci]). (E) Percentages of γ-H2AX foci outside replication foci (defined as 100% x [number of γ-H2AX foci that do not colocalize with EdU foci divided by the total number of γ-H2AX foci]). 20 individual cells were scored per sample. Mean percentages ± SD are shown. **, P < 0.005; ***, P < 0.0001; Student’s t test. Blue, DAPI nuclear staining; red, EdU; green, γ-H2AX. A.U., arbitrary unit.
Involvement of Mus81 in the formation of replication-associated DSBs after CPT treatment rather than in the direct excision of Top1 from the DNA. Our findings are best explained by the possibility that Top1 inhibition leads to replication fork stalling, which is resolved by Mus81-dependent DNA cleavage (Fig. 6, B–H). This interpretation is consistent with an independent publication proposing that Top1 inhibition by CPT in yeast...
leads to supercoiling accumulation ahead of replication forks, leading to replication fork stalling (Koster et al., 2007).

Because Mus81 is responsible for only a fraction of the replication-associated DSBs after Top1 inhibition (Fig. 4, C and D), it is plausible that Top1-mediated replication-associated DSBs are produced both by Mus81-dependent and Mus81-independent mechanisms. Thus, it is likely that Top1 trapping induces replication-associated DSBs either by replication runoff (Fig. 6 A) or by Mus81-dependent cleavage of replication forks stalled by positive supercoils (Fig. 6 C). Replication fork cleavage by Mus81-Eme1 could allow the dissipation of the excessive superhelical tension (Fig. 6, C and D), which could resolve the topological block resulting from Top1 deficiency ahead of the fork. This hypothesis is supported by genetic experiments in yeast, showing that mutations in both Mus81 and Mms4^{Eme1} result in growth defects in top1Δ strains (Mullen et al., 2001). It is also supported by the recent findings that Top1 deficiency in yeast, murine, and human cells is associated with genomic instability (Christman et al., 1993; Miao et al., 2007; Tuduri et al., 2009). Top1 deficiency in yeast is associated with enhanced genomic instability in the ribosomal DNA cluster, suggesting that Top1 may be particularly important in highly transcribed regions (Christman et al., 1993). In mammalian cells, Top1 deficiency leads to an accumulation of stalled replication forks and DNA breakage at replication sites (Miao et al., 2007; Tuduri et al., 2009). Because Top1 is required for efficient DNA relaxation during replication (Kim and Wang, 1989; Koster et al., 2007), Top1-deficient cells probably accumulate unresolved supercoiling in replicating DNA, which further leads to replication fork stalling and breakage.

We also show that Mus81 is required for efficient replication fork progression after CPT removal. Parallel observations have been made in cells treated with hydroxyurea or aphidicolin (Hanada et al., 2007; Shimura et al., 2008), indicating that Mus81-induced DSBs act as resolution intermediates for replication fork recovery. DSBs arising during replication are repaired primarily by homologous recombination (Takata et al., 1998; Arnaudeau et al., 2001; Rothkamm et al., 2003; Sonoda et al., 2006). Thus, Mus81-dependent DSBs could support strand invasion and initiate sister chromatid exchange. Mus81 is also required for the resolution of Holliday junctions (Blais et al., 2004; Fricke et al., 2005; Ehmsen and Heyer, 2008; Jessop and

Figure 6. Model for the processing of stalled replication forks by Mus81-Eme1. (A) Classical model illustrating Mus81-independent induction of replication-associated DNA DSE by replication fork runoff (Strumberg et al., 2000). (B–H) Novel model showing Mus81-mediated DSBs. A Top1cc on the leading strand is shown, but the same would apply if the Top1cc was on the lagging strand. (B) Top1 trapping by CPT (green rectangle) results in the accumulation of positive supercoiling (Sc+), which stalls the replication fork. Purple triangle, DNA polymerase complex. (C) Cleavage of the stalled fork by Mus81-Eme1. (D) Cleavage of the template DNA allows supercoiling relaxation and 5’-end exonuclease resection. (E) After spontaneous dissociation of CPT, Top1cc’s reverse, and the newly synthesized strands hybridize, forming a “chicken foot”; alternatively, the fork cleaved by Mus81 can be processed by homologous recombination. (F) Annealing of the template strands. (G) Gap filling by DNA polymerase. (H) Fork reestablishment and replication restart.
Lichten, 2008; Oh et al., 2008; Roseaulin et al., 2008) and could therefore participate in both initiation and completion of homologous recombination during S phase (Fig. 6 E). Alternatively, Mus81–dependent fork cleavage could enable replication progression by allowing retraction of the replication machinery (Fig. 6, D–H), possibly in association with the Bloom helicase. After nucleolytic resection of the 5′ end of the DNA, annealing of the two newly synthesized DNA strands would initiate replication fork regression, allowing reannealing of the two parental DNA strands and gap filling by DNA polymerase.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the Mus81-Eme1 endonuclease is involved in the repair of Top1-mediated DNA damage by promoting the cleavage and restoration of stalled replication forks. This mechanism may not be limited to CPT-induced DNA damage, as Top1 can be trapped by a variety of endogenous DNA lesions, such as oxidized bases, mismatches, abasic sites, adducts, and strand breaks (Pourquier and Pommier, 2001; Pommier et al., 2006; Dexeheimer et al., 2008b). Mus81 is therefore a novel and important determinant of the cellular response of cancer cells to Top1 inhibitors and replication fork stalling by Top1 deficiency and excessive supercoiling.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and drugs

Human MDA-MB-231 breast adenocarcinoma cells were obtained from the Developmental Therapeutics Program (National Cancer Institute) and were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Human HCT11 colorectal carcinoma cells and HCT116 Mus81−/− cells (Hiyama et al., 2006) were grown in DME supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. CPT was obtained from the Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch (National Cancer Institute).

Clonogenic survival assay

After drug treatment, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 50, 250, or 500 cells/well and incubated for 10–13 d to allow colony formation. Colonies were fixed with methanol and stained with 0.05% methylene blue (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min. The surviving fraction was calculated by dividing the number of colonies in treated wells by the number of colonies in untreated wells.

Alkaline elution assays

DNA was detected using alkaline elution as previously described (Covey et al., 1989). In brief, cells were radiolabeled overnight with 0.02 μCi/ml [3H]thymidine and chased with radiosotope-free medium 4 h before drug treatment. Cell aliquots were placed in ice-cold HBSS and irradiated with 60 Gray. Cells were incubated in ice-cold HBSS and irradiated with 0.3 M NaCl. Radioactivity in fractions and filters was measured with a liquid scintillation analyzer (2200A Tri Carb Scintillation Analyzer; Packard Instruments).

BrdU incorporation assays

Cells were pulse labeled with 50 μM BrdU (EMD) during the last 10 min of CPT treatment. Cells were harvested by trypsinization, fixed in 70% ice-cold ethanol, and stored at −20°C. Cells were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in 2 N HCl–0.5% Triton X-100 to allow DNA denaturation. The medium was neutralized in 0.1 M sodium borate, pH 8.5, and cells were washed twice in PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and 0.5% bovine serum albumin. Cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with an FITC-conjugated anti-BrdU antibody (BD) and were treated with 0.5 mg/ml RNase A and 50 μg/ml proteinase K. Samples were analyzed with a flow cytometer (FACScan; BD) using the CellQuest software (BD).

Detection of Top1cc's

After drug treatment, cells were lysed in a reagent (DNAzol; Invitrogen), and genomic DNA was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were sonicated briefly to shear the DNA. Varying concentrations of DNA were spotted on transfer membranes (Immobilon-FL; Millipore) using a slot-blot manifold (GE Healthcare). Membranes were blocked in blocking buffer (Odyssey; LI-COR Biosciences) and incubated successively with a C21 anti-Top1 antibody (gift from Y.-C. Cheng, Yale University; New Haven, CT) and with the goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (IRDye 800CW; LI-COR Biosciences). Both antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer. Membranes were imaged with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence assays, cells were grown in chamber slides (Lab-Tek; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The staining for γH2AX was performed as previously described (Zhang et al., 2011). After drug treatment, cells were fixed and permeabilized by a 20-min incubation at room temperature in 2% paraformaldehyde and an overnight incubation at 4°C in 70% ethanol. Slides were blocked in 8% bovine serum albumin and stained successively with anti-γH2AX antibody (Abcam) and with a fluorescent secondary antibody (anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488; Invitrogen). Both antibodies were diluted in 1% bovine serum albumin. Slides were mounted in mounting medium containing DAPI (Vectorshied; Vector Laboratories) and visualized using a confocal microscope (Eclipse TE300; Nikon). Fluorescent signals in individual cells were quantified using Photoshop CSS (Adobe).

For the simultaneous detection of γH2AX and replication foci, cells were labeled with 30 μM EdU for 90 min. 1 μM CPT was added during the last 60 min of the EdU pulse. γH2AX staining was performed as described in the previous paragraph, and EdU was detected with a flow cytometry assay kit (Click-IT Edu Alexa Fluor 647 Flow Cytometry Assay; Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Confocal images were sequentially acquired with ZEN (2009, SP1; Carl Zeiss) software on a confocal system (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss) with an inverted microscope (Axio Observer.Z1; Carl Zeiss) and a UV laser tuned to 364 nm, a 25-mW argon visible laser tuned to 488 nm, and a 5-mW HeNe laser tuned to 633 nm. A 63× Plan Apochromat 1.4 NA oil immersion objective was used. Emission signals after sequential excitation of DAPI, Alexa Fluor 488, and Alexa Fluor 633 by the 364-, 488-, or 633-nm laser lines were collected with a band pass 385–470, band pass 505–550, or long pass 650 filter, respectively, using individual photomultipliers. Images were acquired at room temperature, and the mounting medium was Vectashield with DAPI. Images were adjusted using Photoshop and combined using Illustrator (Adobe).

Neutral comet assay

DNA DSBs were detected using the neutral comet assay according to the gel electrophoresis kit protocol (CometAssay; Trevigen; Zhang et al., 2008). Comet tail moments were measured with CometScore 1.5 software (TriTek Corporation).

Western blotting

Whole-cell extracts were obtained by homogenization of cell pellets in lysis buffer (1% SDS and 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) supplemented with proteases and phosphatases inhibitors (Roche). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and immunoblotted with the following antibodies: anti-Mus81 (Abcam), anti-γH2AX, anti–phospho-RPA2 (SA/8; Novus Biologicals), anti–phospho-Chk1 S317, anti–phospho-Chk2 T68, anti–glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich).

siRNA transfection

Cells seeded in 6-well plates or LabTek chambers were transfected with targeting or nontargeting siRNAs using transfection reagent (DharmaFECT; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mus81-targeting, Eme1-targeting, and nontargeting siRNAs were products of Thermo Fisher Scientific. TDP1-targeting siRNAs were purchased from QIAGEN.

DNA replication profiling by molecular combing

Molecular combing was performed as previously described (Conti et al., 2007; Seiler et al., 2007). In brief, asynchronous exponentially growing cells were labeled sequentially with the thymidine analogues IdU and CldU for 30 min each. To study replication fork arrest and restart, 1 μM CPT was added during the last 20 min of the IdU pulse. At the end of the CldU pulse, cells were harvested by trypsinization and embedded in low-melting agarose plugs. Agarose plugs were treated with proteinase K and then melted at 70°C in the presence of 4-oximorpholinepropanesulfonic acid (6.5).
After β-agarase digestion, DNA was combed on silanized surfaces (MicroSurfaces, Inc.). DNA fibers were denatured in 0.5 M NaOH and probed with the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-β-Ⅲ Fluorescein isothiocyanate (EdU specific; BD) and rat anti-β-Ⅲ Alexa Fluor 488 (anti-α rat Alexa Fluor 594; Invitrogen), slides were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium. Images were captured with the AttoVision software (BD) using an epifluorescence microscope (Pathway; BD). Replication signals were measured using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) with custom-made modifications. Measurements were converted from micrometers to kilobases according to a constant stretching factor (1 µm = 2 kb). Fork velocities were calculated by dividing the length of a replication signal (in kilobases) by the labeling time (in minutes).

Statistical analyses
All results are presented as means ± SEM or SD. Differences between samples were assessed using the Mann–Whitney test or the Student’s t test, depending on the distribution of the sample. The normal distribution of a sample was tested with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All analyses were conducted with Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software). P-values were two sided and considered statistically significant when <0.05.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows the effect of Mus81 and Emel silencing on the cellular response to CPT. Fig. S2 shows the effect of TDP1 silencing on the formation and progression of CPT-induced Top 1 cc’s. Fig. S3 shows additional immunofluorescence images of replication foci (EdU foci) and γH2AX foci in CPT-treated WT and Mus81−/− cells. Fig. S4 shows the frequency distribution of replication fork velocities in WT and Mus81−/− cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201104003/DC1.
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