A link between mitotic entry and membrane growth suggests a novel model for cell size control
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Addition of new membrane to the cell surface by membrane trafficking is necessary for cell growth. In this paper, we report that blocking membrane traffic causes a mitotic checkpoint arrest via Wee1-dependent inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1. Checkpoint signals are relayed by the Rho1 GTPase, protein kinase C (Pkc1), and a specific form of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A<sub>Cdc55</sub>). Signaling via this pathway is dependent on membrane traffic and appears to increase gradually during polar bud growth. We hypothesize that delivery of vesicles to the site of bud growth generates a signal that is proportional to the extent of polarized membrane growth and that the strength of the signal is read by downstream components to determine when sufficient growth has occurred for initiation of mitosis. Growth-dependent signaling could explain how membrane growth is integrated with cell cycle progression. It could also control both cell size and morphogenesis, thereby reconciling divergent models for mitotic checkpoint function.

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells show extraordinary diversity in size and shape, and they can maintain the same size even as their rate of growth changes. The mechanisms that underlie size control are largely unknown. It seems likely that these mechanisms are as ancient and conserved as the cell cycle because they would have been necessary for survival of the earliest eukaryotic cells. If so, there must be universal mechanisms for cell size control that are robust and adaptable so that they can function in cells of diverse shape and in cells that differ by many orders of magnitude in size. Although several proteins are known to be required for cell size control, it has not yet been possible to identify conserved core mechanisms that control cell size (Jorgensen and Tyers, 2004).

Cell size checkpoints play an important role in cell size control (Rupes, 2002; Kellogg, 2003; Jorgensen and Tyers, 2004). These checkpoints ensure that key cell cycle transitions are initiated only when sufficient growth has occurred. A cell size checkpoint that operates at entry into mitosis is thought to be mediated by the Wee1 kinase and the Cdc25 phosphatase (Nurse, 1975; Nurse et al., 1976). Wee1 delays mitosis by phosphorylating and inhibiting Cdk1 (Gould and Nurse, 1989). Cdc25 promotes entry into mitosis by removing the inhibitory phosphorylation (Russell and Nurse, 1986; Duphny and Kumagai, 1991; Gautier et al., 1991; Kumagai and Duphny, 1991). Early work in fission yeast discovered that Wee1 mutants enter mitosis before sufficient growth has occurred, leading to abnormally small cells (Nurse, 1975). Conversely, Cdc25 mutants delay entry into mitosis and become abnormally large (Nurse, 1975; Russell and Nurse, 1986). These observations led to the hypothesis that Wee1 delays mitosis until cells have reached a critical size.

The budding yeast homologues of Wee1 and Cdc25 are called Swe1 and Mih1. Loss of Swe1 causes premature mitosis and a reduced cell size (Lim et al., 1996; Jorgensen et al., 2002; Harvey and Kellogg, 2003; Harvey et al., 2005; Rahal and Amon, 2008). Loss of Mih1 causes delayed mitosis and an increased size (Russell et al., 1989; Jorgensen et al., 2002; Pal et al., 2008). Thus, the key functions of Wee1 and Cdc25 in fission yeast have been conserved in budding yeast, which suggests the existence of a conserved checkpoint. However, a role for Wee1 and Cdc25 family members in cell size control has been controversial because mutants may cause cell size defects indirectly by allowing more or less time for growth before entry into mitosis. Moreover, an alternative model has been proposed in which Wee1 and Cdc25 family members mediate a morphogenesis checkpoint that monitors the shape of the cell via the actin cytoskeleton (Lew and Reed, 1995a; Gachet et al., 2001; Lew, 2003; McNulty and Lew, 2005). The checkpoint functions of
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Wee1 and Cdc25 are uncertain because we lack a clear understanding of the upstream signals that control their activity. Elucidation of these signals is thus an essential step toward understanding G2/M checkpoints and conserved mechanisms that control entry into mitosis.

Recent work has led to a new understanding of the function and regulation of Wee1 and Cdc25 family members. In both vertebrates and yeast, Wee1 and Cdc25 function in a systems-level mechanism that generates and maintains a low level of Cdk1 activity during early mitosis (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010; Harvey et al., 2011). The underlying mechanism is best understood in yeast. Wee1 is initially phosphorylated by Cdk1 associated with mitotic cyclins, which stimulates Swe1 to bind, phosphorylate, and inhibit Cdk1 (Harvey et al., 2005, 2011). The initial phosphorylation of Swe1 is opposed by protein phosphatase 2A associated with the Cdc55 regulatory subunit (PP2A Cdc55, Harvey et al., 2011). The opposing activity of PP2A Cdc55 sets a threshold that limits activation of Wee1 by Cdk1, thereby allowing a low level of Cdk1 activity to escape Wee1 inhibition in early mitosis. A key early mitotic event that is initiated via low level activation of Cdk1 is a positive feedback loop in which Cdk1 promotes transcription of the mitotic cyclin Clb2, which leads to a rapid rise in Clb2 levels (Amon et al., 1993; Harvey et al., 2011). A second key event is a switch in the pattern of bud growth. Growth of the bud initially occurs in a polar manner, but when the mitotic cyclins appear, they trigger a switch to isotropic growth, in which growth occurs over the entire surface of the bud (Lew and Reed, 1993). The mitotic cyclins induce this switch by repressing transcription of the G1 cyclins Cln1 and Cln2, which drive polar bud growth (Lew and Reed, 1993; Amon et al., 1994; McCusker et al., 2007).

After the initial phosphorylation of Swe1 in early mitosis, subsequent phosphorylation events lead to full hyperphosphorylation of Swe1, which inactivates Swe1 and is likely necessary for full entry into mitosis (Harvey et al., 2005). In vertebrates and yeast, mitotic Cdk1 is capable of full hyperphosphorylation and inactivation of Wee1 family members when it is present at sufficiently high levels (Tang et al., 1993; Mueller et al., 1995; Harvey et al., 2005). However, multiple kinases are required for full hyperphosphorylation of Wee1 family members in vivo, and their relative contributions are poorly understood (Coleman et al., 1993; Wu and Russell, 1993; Shulewitz et al., 1999; Sreenivasan and Kellogg, 1999; Sakchaisri et al., 2004; Asano et al., 2005).

Cdc25 family members are also regulated by phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of vertebrate Cdc25 by mitotic Cdk1 stimulates Cdc25 activity in a feedback loop that promotes entry into mitosis (Kumagai and Dunphy, 1992; Izumi and Maller, 1993). A similar feedback loop may also work on Mih1 in budding yeast (Pal et al., 2008). Mih1 is also controlled by casein kinase 1, which is encoded by a pair of redundant genes called Yck1 and Yck2 (Pal et al., 2008). Early in the cell cycle, Mih1 undergoes hyperphosphorylation that is dependent on Yck1/2. During entry into mitosis, Yck1/2-dependent phosphorylation of Mih1 is removed by PP2A Cdc55. A pair of redundant regulatory proteins called Zds1 and Zds2 bind to PP2A Cdc55 and target it to Mih1 but are not required for the activity of PP2A Cdc55 against Swe1 (Wicky et al., 2011). A model that could explain these observations is that hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 early in the cell cycle reflects the action of a checkpoint that keeps Mih1 inactive before mitosis. In this model, dephosphorylation of Mih1 by PP2A Cdc55 relieves inhibition of Mih1 to promote mitotic entry. Although it has not yet been possible to assay the activity of differently phosphorylated forms of Mih1, genetic data support the idea that hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 is inhibitory (Pal et al., 2008; Wicky et al., 2011).

The dramatic cell cycle–dependent changes in phosphorylation of Mih1 and Swe1 likely reflect the action of upstream checkpoint signals that control their activity, yet the cellular events that send checkpoint signals to Mih1 and Swe1 are poorly understood. Here, we have explored the cellular events that send checkpoint signals to Mih1 and Swe1. A starting point for these analyses was the connection between Yck1/2 and Mih1. We found this connection to be intriguing because Yck1/2 are transported to the site of bud growth on secretory vesicles (Babu et al., 2002). Moreover, inactivation of Yck1/2 causes defects in bud growth and Cdk1 inhibitory phosphorylation (Robinson et al., 1993; Pal et al., 2008). These observations suggested that control of Cdk1 inhibitory phosphorylation could be linked to membrane traffic. In principle, mechanisms that link cell cycle progression to membrane traffic must exist to ensure that growth is coordinated with the cell cycle. We therefore set out to test for a connection between membrane traffic and control of Mih1 and Swe1.

**Results**

**Blocking membrane traffic triggers a rapid Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest**

We analyzed the effects of disrupting membrane traffic on progression through mitosis in synchronized rapidly dividing cells. To disrupt membrane traffic, we used a temperature-sensitive mutant of **SEC6 (sec6-4)** because previous work found that it causes a rapid arrest of membrane traffic. Sec6 is a component of the exocyst complex, which is required for docking and fusion of secretory vesicles at the site of membrane growth in the bud (TerBush et al., 1996). To assess mitotic progression, we assayed cleavage of the cohesin Mcd1, which normally occurs at the metaphase to anaphase transition, as well as levels of the mitotic cyclin Clb2.

Wild-type and sec6-4 cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature at 30 min after release, which was before bud growth had been initiated. Growth of a new bud was blocked in sec6-4 cells because membrane traffic is required for bud growth. Mcd1 cleavage failed to occur in sec6-4 cells, which indicated that they arrested before anaphase (Fig. 1A). To determine whether the arrest was caused by Swe1-dependent inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1, we also assayed Mcd1 cleavage in sec6-4 swe1Δ cells (Fig. 1A). The extent and timing of Mcd1 cleavage were similar in wild-type and sec6-4 swe1Δ cells, which revealed that swe1Δ caused complete checkpoint failure. As expected, the sec6-4 cells...
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Membrane traffic is required for cytokinesis (Xu et al., 2002). Together, these observations demonstrate that blocking membrane traffic triggers a Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest. They also suggest that the checkpoint blocks low level activation of Cdk1 in early mitosis, which leads to a failure in short spindle assembly and a failure to activate the positive feedback loop that promotes Clb2 transcription (Amon et al., 1993).

Normal signaling to Mih1 and Swe1 fails to occur when membrane traffic is blocked

A cell cycle arrest could be induced by preventing activation of Mih1, inactivation of Swe1, or both. We therefore tested whether blocking membrane traffic sends signals to Mih1 or Swe1. Mih1 and Swe1 undergo dramatic changes in phosphorylation

arrested with a single nucleus, whereas the sec6-4 swe1Δ cells underwent nuclear division within the unbudded mother cell to form binucleate cells (Fig. 1 B).

To further characterize the arrest, we analyzed accumulation of the mitotic cyclin Clb2. The sec6-4 mutant caused a severe delay in Clb2 accumulation that was rescued by swe1Δ (Fig. 1 C). We also analyzed mitotic spindles. In budding yeast, assembly of a short mitotic spindle is initiated in early mitosis by a low level of mitotic Cdk1 activity (Rahal and Amon, 2008). During anaphase, the spindle elongates to segregate the chromosomes. The sec6-4 mutant caused delayed and reduced assembly of short spindles as well as a complete block to spindle elongation (Fig. 1, D and E). Clb2 levels remained elevated, and long spindles did not disassemble normally in sec6-4 swe1Δ cells, which may be caused by a checkpoint that prevents exit from mitosis when cytokinesis is blocked by a checkpoint that monitors spindle orientation in the daughter bud (Balasubramanian et al., 2000; Pereira et al., 2000). Membrane traffic is required for cytokinesis (Xu et al., 2002).

Together, these observations demonstrate that blocking membrane traffic triggers a Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest. They also suggest that the checkpoint blocks low level activation of Cdk1 in early mitosis, which leads to a failure in short spindle assembly and a failure to activate the positive feedback loop that promotes Clb2 transcription (Amon et al., 1993).

Figure 1. Blocking membrane traffic triggers a checkpoint arrest. (A) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) at 30 min after release. Cleavage of Mcd1-6xHA was assayed by Western blotting. (B) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) at 30 min after release. DNA staining was used to determine the percentage of cells with multiple nuclei. (C) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) at 45 min after release. Levels of Clb2 were assayed by Western blotting. (D and E) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) at 30 min after release. The percentage of cells with short or long spindles was determined. Error bars represent SEMs for three biological replicates. Numbers shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.
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shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.

Figure 2. Normal signaling to Mih1 and Swe1 fails to occur when membrane traffic is blocked. (A and B) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) at 30 min after release. The behavior of Mih1 and Swe1 were assayed by Western blotting. Numbers shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.

during entry into mitosis that provide a readout for signals that control their activity or localization (Sreenivasan and Kellogg, 1999; Harvey et al., 2005; Pal et al., 2008). Phosphorylation of Swe1 and Mih1 can be assayed by Western blotting, which detects shifts in their electrophoretic mobility. In principle, changes in phosphorylation of Mih1 or Swe1 during a checkpoint arrest could be a cause of the arrest, or they could be an indirect consequence of the arrest. To test for the latter possibility, we included a control in which phosphorylation of Swe1, so cells carrying this allele fail to undergo checkpoint arrest.

Wild-type, sec6-4, and sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature at 30 min after release. The dephosphorylation of Mih1 that normally occurs during entry into mitosis failed to occur normally in sec6-4 cells (Fig. 2 A). Limited dephosphorylation of Mih1 occurred in sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells, but it was delayed and diminished. Swe1 underwent partial hyperphosphorylation in sec6-4 and sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells but failed to undergo the normal full hyperphosphorylation that is associated with inactivation of Swe1 (Fig. 2 B). It also failed to undergo destruction. The partial phosphorylation of Swe1 observed in sec6-4 and sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells likely corresponds to the initial activation of Swe1 by Cdk1 that occurs during entry into mitosis (Harvey et al., 2005, 2011).

Wee1 family members are inactivated when they are fully hyperphosphorylated, and it is thought that dephosphorylation of Mih1 plays a role in its activation (Mueller et al., 1995; Harvey et al., 2005; Pal et al., 2008; Wicky et al., 2011). Thus, these observations suggest that the checkpoint blocks entry into mitosis by coordinately preventing inactivation of Swe1 and activation of Mih1.

Blocking membrane traffic during early mitosis triggers rapid signaling to Mih1

We next examined the effects of inactivating membrane traffic during early mitosis. Wild-type and sec6-4 cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive temperature at 70 min after release. At this time, bud emergence was complete, and Mih1 dephosphorylation was just beginning. Because Mih1 dephosphorylation is initiated when the mitotic cyclin Clb2 first appears, the presence of dephosphorylated forms of Mih1 indicated that cells were initiating the G2 to M transition (Pal et al., 2008). Blocking membrane traffic at this point caused rapid reversal of Mih1 dephosphorylation (Fig. 3 A). The response was remarkably rapid: Mih1 hyperphosphorylation was complete within 5 min. The response time includes the time required for the cultures to reach the restrictive temperature and for protein inactivation to occur, so it is likely that the actual response time is even more rapid. Inactivation of sec6-4 at this time did not cause a rapid change in Swe1 phosphorylation, although at the time of the temperature shift, Swe1 was in the partially phosphorylated active form and had not yet undergone full hyperphosphorylation that is associated with Swe1 inactivation.

The rapid hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 was not affected by the cdk1-Y19F allele, which indicated that it was caused by signals upstream of Mih1 (Fig. 3 A). Dephosphorylated forms of Mih1 reappeared in sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells at longer times after the shift to the restrictive temperature. This is consistent with the experiment in Fig. 2 A, which showed that dephosphorylated forms of Mih1 appear in later time points in sec6-4 cdk1-Y19F cells shifted to the restrictive temperature in G1. One explanation for these observations is that mitotic Cdk1 activity may trigger a positive feedback loop that contributes to Mih1 dephosphorylation, but only when it reaches high levels.

To test whether rapid hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 is a general response to an arrest of secretion, we tested a mutant that affects intra-Golgi transport (sec7-4). The sec7-4 mutant caused hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 as rapidly as the sec6-4 mutant (Fig. 3 B). We also tested mutants that affect endocytosis, including a conditional allele of End3 (end3-1) and deletions of SYP1 and EDE1. None of the mutants caused hyperphosphorylation of Mih1.
The effects of blocking membrane traffic do not appear to be caused by indirect effects on actin

Previous work reached the conclusion that Swe1 mediates a checkpoint that monitors bud morphogenesis. This was based on the observation that depolymerization of actin causes a Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest (Lew and Reed, 1995a; Lew, 2003; Keaton and Lew, 2006). Because actin is required for bud morphogenesis, it was proposed that the checkpoint monitors bud morphogenesis. However, actin is required for delivery of vesicles to the growing bud, and depolymerization of actin therefore causes rapid cessation of growth (Mulholland et al., 1997; Karpova et al., 2000). Thus, depolymerization of actin could activate a checkpoint arrest indirectly by blocking membrane traffic. Conversely, blocking membrane traffic causes defects in actin organization, which suggests that blocking membrane traffic could cause a checkpoint arrest indirectly by causing defects in actin organization (Finger and Novick, 1997; Pruyne et al., 2004).

To learn more about the relative effects of depolymerizing actin versus blocking membrane traffic, we tested whether actin depolymerization caused rapid hyperphosphorylation of Mih1. Wild-type cells were released from a G1 arrest and latrunculin A was added at 70 min after release to depolymerize actin. The effects on Mih1 phosphorylation were indistinguishable from the effects caused by blocking membrane traffic (Fig. 4 A).

We next tested whether blocking membrane traffic caused rapid defects in the organization of actin. Wild-type and sec6-4 cells were shifted to the restrictive temperature, and phalloidin staining was used to monitor actin organization. There were no detectable effects on the organization of actin cables or patches after 5 min, when effects on Mih1 phosphorylation were maximal (Figs. 3 and 4 B).

Together, these observations suggest that the checkpoint arrest caused by actin depolymerization may be a consequence of a block to membrane traffic. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that sec6-4 causes rapid defects in actin organization that cannot be detected by fluorescence microscopy.

Overexpression of Zds1 drives cells through the checkpoint arrest caused by blocking membrane traffic

We next searched for the signals that control Swe1 and Mih1 in response to arrest of membrane traffic. PP2A<sup>Cdc55</sup> is a good candidate because it controls both Swe1 and Mih1 (Lin and Arndt, 1995; Minshull et al., 1996; Yang et al., 2000; Pal et al., 2008; Yasutis et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 2011; Wicky et al., 2011). PP2A<sup>Cdc55</sup> is regulated by a pair of redundant proteins called Zds1 and Zds2 that associate with PP2A Cdc55 and target it to Mih1 (Queralt and Uhlmann, 2008; Yasutis et al., 2010; Wicky et al., 2011). It appears that Zds1/2 can play both activating and inhibitory roles in regulation of PP2A Cdc55 (Pal et al., 2008; Queralt and Uhlmann, 2008). There are also hints that Zds1/2 inhibit the activity of PP2A Cdc55 against Swe1 (Wicky et al., 2011).

Previous work found that overexpression of Zds2 can override the checkpoint arrest caused by depolymerization of actin (Yasutis et al., 2010). The ability of Zds2 to override the checkpoint was dependent on Cdc55, which indicated that it works through PP2A<sup>Cdc55</sup> (Yasutis et al., 2010). We found that overexpression of Zds1 drove cells through the checkpoint arrest caused by blocking membrane traffic, as revealed by cleavage of Mcd1 (Fig. 5 A). Moreover, overexpression of...
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with a-factor at 25°C. Cells were released from the arrest into YEP with 2% 
raffinose at 25°C. When 10% of cells had undergone bud emergence, they 
were shifted to the restrictive temperature (34°C) to induce the checkpoint 
arrest. After 30 min, galactose was added to induce expression of ZDS1. 
Cleavage of Mcd1-3×HA and phosphorylation of Mih1 and Swe1 were 
assayed by Western blotting. The asterisks denote background bands that 
appear with some batches of purified anti-Mih1 antibody. Numbers shown 
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Zds1 in checkpoint-arrested cells caused dephosphorylation of 
Mih1 and hyperphosphorylation of Swe1 (Fig. 5, B and C). These observations suggest that PP2A^\text{Cdc55} coordinates dephosphorylate Swe1 and Mih1 and that Zds1/2 are key regulators of the checkpoint functions of PP2A^\text{Cdc55}. An attractive model is that 
Zds1/2 coordinate regulate Swe1 and Mih1 by shifting the activity of PP2A^\text{Cdc55} away from Swe1 to Mih1. This could 
initiate full hyperphosphorylation and inactivation of Swe1 as well as dephosphorylation of Mih1, which is likely necessary for Mih1 activation.

**Pkc1 controls entry into mitosis**

To better understand how arrest of membrane traffic triggers 
a checkpoint arrest, we searched for proteins that regulate 
PP2A^\text{Cdc55}-Zds1/2. Pkc1, the budding yeast member of the atypical 
PKC family, was a good candidate because it associates with Zds2 in a two-hybrid assay (Uetz et al., 2000; Drees et al., 2001; Yasutis et al., 2010). Moreover, Pkc1 functions in a signaling pathway that blocks ribosome biogenesis when membrane traffic is blocked, which demonstrates that it mediates a response to arrest of membrane traffic (Li et al., 2000; Nanduri and Tartakoff, 2001). Finally, the closest homologue of Pkc1 in 
vertebrates is PRK2 (PKC-related kinase 2). Depletion of PRK2 ys RNAi causes a G2/M block, most likely due to a failure to 
properly regulate Cdc25 (Roelants et al., 2004; Schmidt et al., 2007). Pkc1 is localized to the site of membrane growth and is 
therefore well positioned to relay signals regarding the status of growth (Andrews and Stark, 2000).

We first used coimmunoprecipitation to confirm the reported 
two-hybrid interaction between Zds2 and Pkc1. Pkc1 could be coprecipitated with PP2A^\text{Cdc55-3xHA} in wild-type cells but 
ot in zds1Δ zds2Δ cells, consistent with an interaction between 
Pkc1 and Zds1/2 (Fig. 6 A). Pkc1 was hyperphosphorylated in 
extracts made from zds1Δ zds2Δ cells, which suggests that 
PP2A^\text{Cdc55} may oppose phosphorylation of Pkc1 (Fig. 6 A).

We next analyzed the effects of inactivating Pkc1. The commonly used temperature-sensitive allele of PKC1 (pkc1-1) 
has a restrictive temperature of 37°C, which causes transient 
heat shock effects in wild-type cells that affect phosphorylation of 
Mih1 and Swe1. We therefore isolated a collection of 40 new 
pkc1 temperature-sensitive alleles to find alleles that cause rapid 
inactivation of Pkc1 at 34°C, which does not cause heat shock 
effects. We also hoped to identify mutants that preferentially affect different functions of Pkc1, which could provide new in-
formation on Pkc1 function.

We first screened the collection of alleles for mutants that 
affect mitosis. We reasoned that if Pkc1 relays signals that in-
activate Swe1 or activate Mih1, loss of Pkc1 could cause cells to 
become elongated because mitotic Cdk1 suppresses polar bud 
growth (Booher et al., 1993; Lew and Reed, 1995b; Ma et al., 
1996). Five pkc1 mutants caused a significant fraction of cells 
to become elongated when grown at semirestrictive tempera-
tures. In each case, the elongated cell phenotype was eliminated 
by swe1Δ, which indicated that it was caused by a failure to 
control Cdk1 inhibitory phosphorylation. The strongest pheno-
type was observed in pkc1-14 cells grown at a semirestrictive 
temperature of 30°C. The elongated phenotype became severe 
when cells were grown to high density, which suggests that 
pkc1-14 compromises functions of Pkc1 that are important when 
growth is slowed by nutrient limitation (Fig. 6 B). pkc1-14 was 
recessive to wild-type PKC1.

We next assayed Mih1 dephosphorylation in pkc1-14 cells. 
Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to the restrictive 
temperature at 75 min after release, when bud emergence was 
complete and cells were entering mitosis (Fig. 6 C). In both 
wild-type and pkc1-14 cells, Swe1 remained in the partially phos-
phorylated form. We were not able to analyze a more complete 
cell cycle in pkc1 mutants because they caused cell lysis after 
longer times at the restrictive temperature, as reported previously 
for other pkc1 alleles (Levin and Bartlett-Heubusch, 1992).

**Pkc1 controls Mih1 phosphorylation via PP2A^\text{Cdc55-Zds1}**

We next analyzed the effects of a PKC1 gain-of-function allele. 
A constitutively active form of Pkc1 (referred to as Pkc1* ) can 
be created by mutating an autoinhibitory phosphorylation site
Full hyperphosphorylation of Swe1 failed to occur in rho1-2 cells (Fig. 8 B). In addition, rho1-2 caused cells to arrest in mitosis, as revealed by a failure to degrade the mitotic cyclin Clb2 (Fig. 8 C). The arrest was dependent on Swe1. These observations are consistent with a role for Rho1 in signaling to Mih1 and Swe1. However, bud emergence and growth were delayed in rho1-2 cells at the semirestrictive temperature. Thus, defects in mitotic events caused by rho1-2 could be caused by defective signaling to Mih1 and Swe1 or to defects in bud growth.

To test for a role for Rho1 under conditions in which cell growth was not a complicating factor, we assayed the effects of a constitutively active form of Rho1 that was created by mutating glutamine 68 to histidine (referred to as Rho1*; Delley and Hall, 1999). Expression of RHO1* from the GAL1 promoter caused dephosphorylation of Mih1 in rapidly growing cells and in checkpoint-arrested cells (Fig. 8, D and E). Expression of RHO1* failed to induce Mih1 dephosphorylation in cells carrying a temperature-sensitive allele of PKC1 (pkc1-21; Fig. 8 D). Together, these data establish that Rho1 signals to Mih1 via Pkc1.

Rho1 signals to Mih1 via Pkc1
An important upstream regulator of Pkc1 is the Rho1 GTPase; the active GTP-bound form of Rho1 directly binds and activates Pkc1 (Kamada et al., 1996). A previous study identified temperature-sensitive alleles of RHO1 that appear to be defective in activation of Pkc1 (Saka et al., 2001). We assayed Mih1 phosphorylation in one of these alleles (rho1-2) and found that dephosphorylation of Mih1 failed to occur when cells were grown at a semirestrictive temperature (Fig. 8 A). A hyperphosphorylated form of Mih1 appeared in the rho1-2 cells that was not detected in control cells, which is consistent with a role for Rho1 in activation of PP2A* (Fig. 8 A, arrowhead).
that activation of Rho1 is dependent on fusion of vesicles at the site of bud growth suggests a direct connection between membrane growth and signals that control Mih1 phosphorylation.

**Signaling to Pkc1 may be proportional to the extent of polar bud growth**

To further investigate the link between membrane traffic and mitosis, we explored the nature of the signals that control Pkc1. We raised an antibody against Pkc1 and used it to assay the behavior of Pkc1 during the cell cycle after release from a G1 arrest. We also assayed levels of a G1 cyclin (Cln2) and a mitotic cyclin (Clb2) in the same samples. Cln2/Cdk1 is required for initiation and maintenance of polar bud growth; Cln2 therefore provides a molecular marker for the period of polar bud growth (Cross, 1990; McCusker et al., 2007). Clb2/Cdk1 represses Cln2 transcription and induces the switch from polar growth to isotropic growth; Clb2 therefore provides a molecular marker for the switch from polar to isotropic bud growth and entry into mitosis (Amon et al., 1993; Lew and Reed, 1995b). The time course was performed at 22°C to slow down the cell cycle, which provided a better resolution of cell cycle events.

Pkc1 began to undergo hyperphosphorylation when Cln2 first appeared (Fig. 9 A). Interestingly, the peak of Pkc1 phosphorylation was reached at 80 min. At this point, Cln2 levels were declining, and Clb2 was beginning to accumulate, which corresponds to the switch from polar to isotropic bud growth. Thus, Pkc1 hyperphosphorylation was correlated with polar bud growth.

The fact that peak Pkc1 phosphorylation was not correlated with peak levels of Cln2 or Clb2 suggested that it is not controlled by direct signals from either of these cyclins. To determine whether Pkc1 phosphorylation is dependent on membrane traffic, we released wild-type and sec6-4 cells from a G1 arrest.

---

### Figure 7. Pkc1 signals to Mih1 via PP2ACdc55-Zds1/2
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### Figure 7 (continued)
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<th></th>
<th>Empty Vector</th>
<th>GAL1-PKC1*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Numbers shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.*

---

The asterisks denote background bands that appear with some batches of purified anti-Mih1 antibody. Numbers shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.

---

*Published March 26, 2012*
and shifted them to the restrictive temperature at 70 min after release. Pkc1 phosphorylation was lost within 5 min, which is the same time scale observed for loss of Mih1 phosphorylation under these conditions (Figs. 3 and 9 B).

**Discussion**

**A Rho1-Pkc1 signaling axis links membrane traffic to entry into mitosis**

It has long been known that Wee1 and Cdc25 family members mediate a checkpoint that controls entry into mitosis, yet the cellular events that are monitored by the checkpoint have remained poorly understood. Here, we report that blocking membrane traffic causes a Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest. The arrest is triggered via concerted effects on the regulation of both Swe1 and Mih1. PP2A<sup>Cdc55</sup> appears to be the agent of concerted checkpoint control. Signals regarding the status of membrane traffic are relayed to PP2A<sup>Cdc55</sup> via a signaling axis that includes Rho1, Pkc1, and Zds1/2. Fig. 10 A summarizes dependency relationships in the axis defined by loss- and gain-of-function mutants. Fig. 10 B summarizes known binding interactions (Kamada et al., 1996; Uetz et al., 2000; Drees et al., 2001; Queralt and Uhlmann, 2008; Yasutis et al., 2010; Wicky et al., 2011). Rho1, Pkc1, Zds1, and Cdc55 are all localized to the site of membrane growth, so they are well positioned to relay checkpoint signals (Yamochi et al., 1994; Andrews and Stark, 2000; Gentry and Hallberg, 2002; Rossio and Yoshida, 2011). It is not known whether they are found together in a single complex, as shown in the hypothetical model in Fig. 10 B, or whether they assemble as dynamic subcomplexes.

Signaling to Mih1 could be detected within minutes upon inactivation of Sec6. The rapidity of the response suggests that the checkpoint monitors membrane traffic events, rather than events that are disrupted as a secondary consequence of a block to membrane traffic. The Rho1–Pkc1 signaling axis suggests further connections to membrane traffic. Rho1 is transported on post-Golgi vesicles in an inactive form and becomes activated at the site of membrane growth (Abe et al., 2003). A mutant that blocks vesicle fusion at the site of growth also blocks Rho1 activation (Abe et al., 2003). In addition, Rom2, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor known to activate Rho1, is localized to the site of bud growth independently of membrane traffic.

Figure 8. **Rho1 signals to Mih1 via Pkc1.** (A) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to a semirestrictive temperature (34°C) at 35 min after release. Mih1 phosphorylation was assayed by Western blotting. The arrowhead marks a hyperphosphorylated form that appears in the rho1-2 cells. At 34°C, rho1-2 cells grow slowly but are viable. (B) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to a semirestrictive temperature (34°C) at 45 min after release. Swe1 phosphorylation was assayed by Western blotting. (C) Cells were released from a G1 arrest and shifted to a semirestrictive temperature (34°C) at 45 min after release. Cb2 levels were assayed by Western blotting. (D) Cells were grown to log phase in YEP with 2% glycerol and 2% ethanol. Galactose was added, and the cells were shifted to 34°C at t = 0. Mih1 phosphorylation was assayed by Western blotting. The pkc1-21 allele was used because it was found to cause rapid inactivation of Pkc1. (E) Cells were grown to log phase in YEP with 2% glycerol and 2% ethanol. The cells were shifted to 34°C for 60 min to induce a checkpoint arrest, and galactose was then added. Mih1 phosphorylation was assayed by Western blotting. Numbers shown next to the Western blots indicate molecular mass in kilodaltons.
of neuroblasts and interacts with PP2ATwins (Chabu and Doe, 2012). In Drosophila melanogaster, the closest human homologue of Pkc1 is called PRK2 (also called PKN2). PRK2 was recently shown to control entry into mitosis, likely via regulation of Cdc25 (Nanduri and Tartakoff, 2001). Thus, membrane traffic is linked to ribosome biogenesis via Pkc1 (Li et al., 2000; Li et al., 2001; Abe et al., 2003). Active Rho1 directly binds Pkc1 and induces Pkc1 autophosphorylation, and Pkc1 undergoes hyperphosphorylation during the period of bud growth that is dependent on vesicle fusion. Pkc1, in turn, binds to PP2A-Cdc55, Zds1/2, which directly controls the phosphorylation states of Mih1 and Swe1 (Uetz et al., 2000; Drees et al., 2001; Pal et al., 2008; Yasutis et al., 2010; Wicky et al., 2011). Together, these observations connect Sec6-dependent vesicle fusion at the site of polar membrane growth to entry into mitosis.

A role for Rho1, Pkc1, and PP2A-Cdc55 in controlling entry into mitosis may be conserved. The closest human homologue of Pkc1 is called PRK2 (also called PKN2). PRK2 was found to control entry into mitosis, likely via regulation of Cdc25 (Schmidt et al., 2007). In Drosophila melanogaster, a close relative of Pkc1 controls proliferation and asymmetric division of neuroblasts and interacts with PP2A-Twinn (Chabu and Doe, 2009). Twins is the Drosophila homologue of Cdc55 and controls mitosis (Chen et al., 2007).

Previous genetic analysis suggested that the dephosphorylation of Mih1 is an important step in mechanisms required for activation of Mih1 during entry into mitosis (Pal et al., 2008; Wicky et al., 2011). The experiments reported here strengthen the link between Mih1 dephosphorylation and entry into mitosis, and they support a model in which hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 reflects the action of a checkpoint that keeps Mih1 inactive early in the cell cycle. It is not yet known whether hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 controls its localization or phosphatase activity. Thus far, it has not been possible to assay the activity of differently phosphorylated forms of Mih1 because it is a low abundance protein and shows poor solubility (unpublished data).

Disrupting membrane traffic caused cells to undergo a Swe1-dependent checkpoint arrest in early mitosis with low levels of mitotic cyclin. Interestingly, previous work has shown that inactivation of septins, or proteins that regulate the septins, causes a Swe1-dependent arrest or delay later in mitosis with high levels of mitotic cyclin (Carroll et al., 1998; Barral et al., 1999; Sreenivasan and Kellogg, 1999; Harvey et al., 2011). Together, these observations suggest that Swe1 may control multiple steps during entry into mitosis.

Key questions remain: How does phosphorylation control the activity or localization of Mih1? How does Pkc1 activate PP2A-Cdc55 to dephosphorylate Mih1? What is the functional significance of Zds1 phosphorylation? What are the kinases that phosphorylate Zds1? How do Zds1/2 target PP2A-Cdc55 to Mih1? These questions will be important directions for future analysis.

A growth-dependent signaling hypothesis for the G2-M checkpoint

Sec mutants block membrane traffic, which is essential for cell growth. Indeed, it is likely that the most ancient and conserved function of the secretory pathway is to generate membranes for cell growth. It is therefore tempting to speculate that the checkpoint monitors membrane growth to ensure that cell cycle progression is integrated with membrane growth. The checkpoint could also monitor membrane growth as part of a mechanism that controls cell size. Our observations, combined with previous observations, suggest interesting possibilities for how this could work. The fact that Rho1 is transported on vesicles and becomes activated at the site of membrane growth suggests a mechanism by which a signal could be generated that is dependent on and proportional to membrane growth: as more and more vesicles are delivered to the site of growth, the Rho1 signal could increase in strength (Fig. 10 C). Downstream components could read the signal and flip a switch when it reaches a threshold, thereby triggering cell cycle progression when sufficient growth has occurred. This model suggests that a cell size checkpoint could operate by monitoring the amount of growth that has occurred, rather than the absolute size of the cell. We refer to this as a growth-dependent signaling hypothesis for checkpoint function.

Interestingly, blocking membrane traffic causes rapid repression of ribosome biogenesis via Pkc1 (Li et al., 2000; Nanduri and Tartakoff, 2001). Thus, membrane traffic is linked to ribosome biogenesis. It is therefore conceivable that diverse aspects of cell growth and the cell cycle are regulated by signals generated via membrane growth.

There are several attractive features of a growth-dependent signaling hypothesis. A mechanism that monitors the extent of growth, rather than absolute cell size, would be adaptable to cells of diverse sizes and shapes. By linking signaling to the site of growth, the checkpoint could control the extent of growth at specific locations. In previous work, we found that the Swe1-dependent checkpoint specifically monitors the size or growth of the bud, which is consistent with the idea that the checkpoint can monitor growth at a specific site (Harvey and Kellogg, 2003). Growth-dependent signaling could also work in cells that do not increase their size via polar growth. In this case, growth at multiple sites over the surface of the cell could generate a signal that is read by downstream components. Another attractive
feature of growth-dependent signaling is that the proposed components are highly conserved and could carry out similar functions in all eukaryotic cells.

Although growth-dependent signaling is an appealing hypothesis, the data are currently consistent with alternative hypotheses. For example, the checkpoint may simply monitor whether membrane addition at the site of cell growth is occurring, rather than the extent of membrane growth. Another possibility is that the checkpoint monitors the concentration of active Rho1 or Pkc1 associated with the daughter bud membrane as a means of measuring absolute bud size. We also cannot yet rule out the possibility that blocking membrane traffic causes indirect effects on other cellular events that more directly trigger the checkpoint arrest.

Reconciling divergent views of the G2-M checkpoint

Pioneering work in fission yeast reached the conclusion that Wee1 and Cdc25 mediate a cell size checkpoint (Nurse, 1975). Subsequent studies found that budding yeast Swe1 and Mih1 are required for cell size control, which suggested the existence of a conserved cell size checkpoint (Russell et al., 1989; Jorgensen et al., 2002; Harvey and Kellogg, 2003; Pal et al., 2008). However, it has also been proposed that Swe1 and Mih1 mediate a checkpoint that monitors bud morphogenesis rather than size (Lew and Reed, 1995a; Lew, 2003; Keaton and Lew, 2006). The discovery that disrupting membrane traffic at the site of bud growth causes a checkpoint arrest suggests a way to reconcile these divergent views. Because localized membrane traffic is required for both cell growth and morphogenesis, the checkpoint could effectively monitor both events.

An appealing model is that the checkpoint controls the duration of polar growth by determining when the switch from polar to isotropic growth occurs. Because mitotic Cdk1 induces the switch, the timing of Cdk1 activation determines the timing of the switch. It is likely that the switch is induced early in mitosis by a low level of Cdk1 activity because G1 cyclins, which drive polar growth, begin to decline as soon as the mitotic cyclins appear (Fig. 9 A). Recent work defined a systems-level mechanism that generates and maintains low level Cdk1 activation in early mitosis (Deibler and Kirschner, 2010; Harvey et al., 2011). Interestingly, mathematical modeling suggests that low level activation of Mih1 is required for low level activation of Cdk1 (Harvey et al., 2011). Therefore, an interesting possibility is that hyperphosphorylation of Mih1 early in the cell cycle keeps it inactive. Pkc1-dependent dephosphorylation of Mih1 could then relieve inhibition of Mih1 and allow it to become active at a basal level that promotes low level activation of Cdk1/Cdc2, thereby triggering the switch from polar to isotropic growth.

We found no evidence that expression of constitutively active Rho1 or Pkc1 from the GAL1 promoter could trigger destruction of Mcd1 or hyperphosphorylation of Swe1 in checkpoint-arrested cells. This may be an uninformative negative result. For example, overexpression of constitutively active Rho1 or Pkc1 may activate PP2A/Cdc55 to an artificially high level that blocks hyperphosphorylation of Swe1. Alternatively, the function of the Rho1–Pkc1 pathway may be to initiate early

Figure 10. A model for signals that link mitotic entry to membrane growth. (A) Dependency relationships in the Rho1–Pkc1 signaling axis. (B) Known binding interactions in the Rho1–Pkc1 signaling axis. (C) A hypothetical model for generation of a signal that is proportional to membrane growth. Rho1 is activated at the site of membrane growth by a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF). As more Rho1-bearing vesicles are delivered to the site of growth, the amount of active Rho1 increases. Downstream components of the signaling axis read the signal and flip a switch to initiate mitosis when the signal reaches a threshold level.
mitotic events, including shutting off polar growth, without triggering full entry into mitosis.

A role for Wee1 and Cdc25 family members in determining the duration of polar growth could explain the paradoxical finding that loss of Wee1 causes a severe phenotype in fission yeast but only a mild phenotype in budding yeast. Fission yeast are completely dependent on polar growth. Thus, Wee1 mutants that disrupt the duration of polar growth should have severe consequences. In contrast, budding yeast have a short polar growth phase, which is followed by isotropic growth. Thus, loss of Swe1 would be expected to cause a mild decrease in cell size and formation of cells that are more round, which is the observed phenotype.

Cell growth, size, and shape are of fundamental importance, so it seems likely that they are controlled by conserved core mechanisms that appeared early in evolution. Wee1 and Cdc25 family members are highly conserved, yet a clear picture of their conserved functions in diverse cell types has remained surprisingly elusive. Further analysis of the signals that control Wee1 and Cdc25 family members is likely to lead to a more unified understanding of their functions in diverse cell types as well as a better understanding of the mysterious mechanisms that control cell growth, size, and shape.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and culture conditions

The genotypes of the strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. All strains are in the W303-1A background (leu2-3,112 ura3-1 can1-100 ade2-1 his3-11,15 trp1-1 bar1-1 GAL+) except where noted. Cells were grown in YPD (yeast extract-peptone-dextrose) media supplemented with 40 mg/liter adenine, except where noted. To facilitate moving the sec6-4 mutant allele into different strain backgrounds, the kanMX6 marker cassette was integrated 256 base pairs downstream of the sec6-4 allele in strain RSY2786 to create strain DK1473 (oligonucleotides [oligos] 5'-AAAGGATCAGG-3' and 5'-GGCGGTTAATTAA-3'). To transfer the sec6-4 mutant allele into different strain backgrounds, PCR was used to amplify sec6-4 along with the KanMX6 cassette and flanking DNA, and the resulting product was used to transform cells (oligos 5'-CCCGGGTTAATTAA-3' and 5'-GACCAACTTTCTCTTCCGAAAAAATTTCCAGGCAGTATTCCCGCGGA-TCCCGGGGTGAATTAA-3' and 5'-GTCCTGCTCGAGATAGACGACAGAGATCGGTACCATGGTGTTCCGGAACCCGAGCCGC-GAATTCGGATCC-3'). Transforms were selected on G418 and screened for temperature sensitivity to identify which ones recombined the sec6-4 allele along with the KanMX6 cassette.

Table 1. Strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DK186</td>
<td>MATa</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>Allman and Kellogg, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK177</td>
<td>MATa BAR1</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>Allman and Kellogg, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSY2786</td>
<td>MATa his3 Δ200 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-52 sec6-4 BAR1</td>
<td>S288C</td>
<td>R. Schekman*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1440</td>
<td>MATa sec6-4::His3MX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1473</td>
<td>MATa his3 Δ200 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-52 sec6-4::kanMX6 BAR1</td>
<td>S288C</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1475</td>
<td>MATa sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1606</td>
<td>MATa sec6-4::kanMX6 cdc28Y19F-HA::URA3</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1600</td>
<td>MATa sec6-4::kanMX6 swe1::His3MX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1731</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1732</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1733</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK936</td>
<td>MATa sec7-4 his3-11 leu2-3/112 ura3-1</td>
<td>S288C</td>
<td>A. Franzussof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1496</td>
<td>MATa cdc55-4::His3MX6 BAR1</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>Harvey et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1631</td>
<td>MATa PKC1::His3MX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1690</td>
<td>MATa pck1-14::His3MX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK2095</td>
<td>MATa pck1-14::His3MX6 swe1::URA3</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1729</td>
<td>MATa sec6-4::His3MX6 pDN3 [GAL1-RHO1-Q68H, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1786</td>
<td>MATa pDK20 [GAL1, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1788</td>
<td>MATa pSH32 [GAL1-3xHA, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1790</td>
<td>MATa pDNA4 [GAL1-PKC1-3xHA, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1834</td>
<td>MATa pDN5A [GAL1-PKC1-3xHA, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1803</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1805</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 sec6-4::kanMX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1809</td>
<td>MATa BAR1 pDNA4 [GAL1-PKC1-3xHA, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1807</td>
<td>MATa cdc55-4::His3MX6 pDNA4 [GAL1-PKC1-3xHA, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1895</td>
<td>MATa MCD1-6xHA::natNT2 pGAL1-ZDS1 [GAL1-ZDS1, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH761</td>
<td>MATa cdc28-1Y19F-HA::URA3</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT195</td>
<td>CDC55-3xHA::His3MX6</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK354</td>
<td>CDC55-3xHA::His3MX6 zds1 zds2</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>Pal et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1651</td>
<td>pDN3 [GAL1-RHO1*, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK2084</td>
<td>pck1-21 pDN3 [GAL1-RHO1*, URA3]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPH499</td>
<td>ura3 trp1 ade2 leu2 his3 gal-</td>
<td>S288C</td>
<td>Saka et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOC772</td>
<td>rho1Δ::His5 ade3::rho1-2::LEU2 ura3 trp1 ade2 lys2 leu2 his3 gal-</td>
<td>S288C</td>
<td>Saka et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK1938</td>
<td>pMT104 [CLN2-3xHA::LEU2]</td>
<td>W303</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA.
alleles into the genome. This approach was used to put the sec6-4 allele in strains DK186 and SH761, thereby creating strains DK1475 and DK1606, respectively. Strain DK936 was generated by switching the mating type of AFY39 to MA6 (Deitz et al., 2000). Strains DK1731, DK1732, and DK1733 were generated by putting a 6×HA tag at the C termini of MCD1 in DK186, DK1475, and DK1600 using a PCR-based approach (oligos 5′-ATGTCCGCGATCCACATTTGAAGATTGTTATACGCTGTACGGCGAGTTGATCGAC-3′ and 5′-TTGGTGGCACAAGGGATCCTCCGCGAGTTGATCGAC-3′). This plasmid can be cut with NcoI to target integration at the URA3 locus in strain DK1732. Strain DK1729 was created by digesting pDN5A with StuI to target integration at the URA3 locus in strain DK1440. Strains DK1725 and DK1768 were created by digesting pDK20 with StuI to target integration at the URA3 locus, in strains DK1440 and DK186. Strain DK1788 was created by digesting pHS32 with Apal to target integration at the URA3 locus in strain DK186. Strain DK1790, DK1807, and DK1809 were created by digesting pDN3A with Apal to target integration at the URA3 locus in strains DK186, DK1496, and DK177. Strain DK1834 was created by digesting pDNSA1 with Apal to target integration at the URA3 locus in strain DK186.

**Plasmid construction and generation of anti-Pkc1 antibodies**

An integrating plasmid that expresses ZDS1 from the GAL1 promoter was created by amplifying the ZDS1 open reading frame by PCR and cloning into the EcoR1 and BamH1 sites of pDK20 to create pGAL1-ZDS1 (oligos 5′-GCGTAACTAGGTTTTAATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG-3′ and 5′-GCTGCAGGTCGAC-3′). This plasmid can be cut with NcoI to target integration at the URA3 locus. An integrating vector that expresses wild-type RHO1* promoter was created by mutagenizing (Anderson et al., 1973; Harvey et al., 2011). All gels were run at 20 mA on the constant current setting. For Mhl1 and HA Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel until a 29-kD prestained marker ran to the bottom of the gel. For Swe1 Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel until a 66.5-kD marker ran to the bottom of the gel. For Zds1 Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel until a 65-kD marker ran to the bottom of the gel. For Pkc1 Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 9% polyacrylamide gel until a 57.6-kD marker ran to the bottom of the gel. Western blots were transferred for 90 min at 800 mA at 4°C in a transfer tank (Hoeffer) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris base, 150 mM glycine, and 20% methanol. Blots were probed overnight at 4°C with affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against Mhl1, Swe1, Zds1, Pkc1, or HA peptide. Blots were probed with an HRP-conjugated donkey anti–rabbit secondary antibody (GE Healthcare).

**Coimmunoprecipitation**

Coimmunoprecipitation of Pkc1 and PP2Ac-ds5-Zds1/2 was assayed as previously described (Harvey and Kellogg, 2003). At each time point, a minimum of 200 cells was scored for the presence of short or long spindles.

**Western blotting**

PAGE and Western blotting were performed as previously described (Anderson et al., 1973; Harvey et al., 2011). All gels were run at 20 mA on the constant current setting. For Mhl1 and HA Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel until a 29-kD prestained marker ran to the bottom of the gel. For Swe1 Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel until a 66.5-kD marker ran to the bottom of the gel. For Zds1 Western blots, electrophoresis was performed on a 9% polyacrylamide gel until a 65-kD marker ran to the bottom of the gel. Western blots were transferred for 90 min at 800 mA at 4°C in a transfer tank (Hoeffer) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris base, 150 mM glycine, and 20% methanol. Blots were probed overnight at 4°C with affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against Mhl1, Swe1, Zds1, Pkc1, or HA peptide. Blots were probed with an HRP-conjugated donkey anti–rabbit secondary antibody (GE Healthcare).

**Coimmunoprecipitation**

Coimmunoprecipitation of Pkc1 and PP2Ac-ds5-Zds1/2 was assayed as previously described with the following modifications (Mortensen et al., 2002). Strains DK186 (untagged control), HT195 (CDC55-3×HA), and DK354 (CDC55-3×HA zds1A zds2A) were grown to an OD of 0.7 in YPD media at room temperature. Cells from 50 ml of each cell culture were pelleted, resuspended in 1 ml YPD, pelleted again in a 2-ml tube, and frozen on liquid nitrogen.

**Immunoaffinity beads**

Immunoaffinity beads were made by binding mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) to protein A beads (Bio-Rad Laboratories) overnight at 4°C on a rotator (Labquake Rotisserie, Barnstead Thermolyne). For each immunoprecipitation, 10 µg anti-HA antibody was bound to 15 µl protein A beads in the presence of phosphate-buffered saline containing 500 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween 20. Cell extracts were made by adding 300 µl of acid-washed glass beads to frozen cell pellets followed by 200 µl of cold lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.6, 75 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol, 0.25% Tween 20, and 1 mM PMSF). The tubes were immediately placed into a disruptor (Multibeater-8) and shaken at top speed for 25 s. The tubes were briefly spun at 14,000 rpm in a microfuge to collect the sample at the bottom of the tube and then were placed on an ice-water bath for 10 min. 250 µl lysis buffer was added to a new 1.5-ml tube and replaced with 250 µl lysis solution. The tubes were beaten again for 25 s. 250 µl supernatant was removed, pooled with the rest of the sample, and transferred to a new tube. The tubes were immediately removed, centrifuged for 13 s at 14,000 rpm, and then placed in a boiling water bath for 5 min. After boiling, the tubes were centrifuged again for 5 min, and either 5 µl (for Zds1) or 20 µl was loaded on to the gel. The gels were then divided, 250-µl samples were collected, and the cells were pelleted and fixed by resuspending in 250 µl of 70% ethanol and 30% 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Nuclei were stained with Sytox green, and the presence of multiple nuclei was assayed by microscopy. To assay mitotic mitotic spindle assembly, cells were fixed with formaldehyde and stained with antitubulin as previously described (Harvey and Kellogg, 2003). At each time point, a minimum of 200 cells was scored for the presence of short or long spindles.


Figure S1. **Specificity of the anti-Pkc1 antibody.** Extracts from wild-type (WT) and PKC1-CFP cells were probed by Western blotting with the anti-Pkc1 antibody. The number shown next to the Western blot is the molecular mass in kilodaltons.