Nonpolarized signaling reveals two distinct modes of 3D cell migration
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We search in this paper for context-specific modes of three-dimensional (3D) cell migration using imaging for phophatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3) and active Rac1 and Cdc42 in primary fibroblasts migrating within different 3D environments. In 3D collagen, PIP3 and active Rac1 and Cdc42 were targeted to the leading edge, consistent with lamellipodia-based migration. In contrast, elongated cells migrating inside dermal explants and the cell-derived matrix (CDM) formed blunt, cylindrical protrusions, termed lobopodia, and Rac1, Cdc42, and PIP3 signaling was nonpolarized. Reducing RhoA, Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK), or myosin II activity switched the cells to lamellipodia-based 3D migration. These modes of 3D migration were regulated by matrix physical properties. Specifically, experimentally modifying the elasticity of the CDM or collagen gels established that nonlinear elasticity supported lamellipodia-based migration, whereas linear elasticity switched cells to lobopodia-based migration. Thus, the relative polarization of intracellular signaling identifies two distinct modes of 3D cell migration governed intrinsically by RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II and extrinsically by the elastic behavior of the 3D extracellular matrix.

Introduction

How normal cells move efficiently through chemically and structurally diverse 3D environments in vivo is not well understood. In contrast, findings of metazoan cells migrating on uniform 2D surfaces in vitro have led to a comprehensive model of cell motility wherein polarized signaling orchestrates cell movement by directing lamellipodial protrusion at the leading edge, adhesion to the underlying substrate, and retraction at the trailing edge (Lauffenburger and Horwitz, 1996; Ridley et al., 2003). The second messenger phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3) is enriched at the leading edge (Haugh et al., 2000), where it can recruit downstream effectors, such as guanine exchange factors (Côté et al., 2005) that activate the Rho family of GTPases. Rho family members Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA are active at the leading edge and coordinate protrusion and adhesion (Kraynov et al., 2000; Nalbant et al., 2004; Pertz et al., 2006; Machacek et al., 2009).

Disrupting the subcellular localization of Rac1, Cdc42, or RhoA can lead to defects in adhesion and motility (van Hennik et al., 2003; ten Klooster et al., 2006; Bass et al., 2007), whereas the light-mediated activation of photosensitive guanine exchange factor, Rac1, or Cdc42 constructs at discrete regions of the plasma membrane triggers protrusion and directional cell migration (Levskaya et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2009). Discrepancies in the localization of Rho family GTPase activities during cell migration in vivo versus on 2D surfaces might reveal differences in the mechanisms that drive cell motility. Studies of cancer cell migration in 3D environments show that metastatic cells can switch between adhesion-dependent mesenchymal (elongated) and adhesion-independent amoeboid (rounded) cell motility (Table S1), driven by actin polymerization and actomyosin contraction, respectively (Wolf et al., 2003; Lämmermann and Sixt, 2009). Although these two different modes of cancer cell migration have specific requirements for Rho family GTPase signaling, how that signaling is organized is not known. Furthermore, it is unclear how the mesenchymal–amoeboid
transition relates to normal 3D cell migration (Sanz-Moreno and Marshall, 2010).

Some aspects of intracellular signaling organization during cell migration in vivo can differ from the organization seen on 2D surfaces. Chemotaxing primordial germ cells display randomly distributed regions of RhoA activity and a uniform distribution of PIP3 in the plasma membrane (Dumstrei et al., 2004; Kardash et al., 2010). However, Rac1 activity is enriched at the leading edge of migrating border cells and primordial germ cells during development, and PIP3 is abundant at the leading edge of neutrophils during interstitial migration toward wounded tissue (Kardash et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Yoo et al., 2010). The reason for these differences is not clear, but they may result from structural differences in the surrounding ECM (Friedl and Wolf, 2010). Two structural parameters that characterize the ECM are stiffness, defined by the elastic or Young’s modulus (E; Engler et al., 1979), and strain stiffening, a measurement of how the stiffness of a material depends on the magnitude of force applied to it (here measured as $E_{high}/E_{med}$; Storm et al., 2005; Winer et al., 2009). Strain stiffening ($E_{high}/E_{med} > 1$) is a form of nonlinear elasticity; thus, materials that do not undergo strain stiffening ($E_{high}/E_{med} = 1$) are considered linearly elastic.

Tissue explants and in vitro models of the 3D ECM, such as the cell-derived matrix (CDM) and type I collagen, can closely mimic different complex tissue environments (Elsdale and Bard, 1972; Cukierman et al., 2001; Even-Ram and Yamada, 2005; Ahlfors and Billiar, 2007; Wolf et al., 2009) and permit high-resolution live-cell imaging to visualize intracellular signaling. We used primary human fibroblasts in these models to test the hypothesis that structurally distinct 3D ECM environments support different modes of normal cell migration. We find that the degree of polarization of PIP3 and Rho family GTPase signaling at the leading edge identifies two distinct modes of normal cell motility. We used primary human fibroblasts migrating inside 3D in vitro models of the ECM (Storm et al., 2005). Cells migrating in the soft 1.7 mg/ml of 3D collagen formed multiple branched protrusions tipped with small lamellipodia (100%, $n = 33$; Fig. 2 D and Video 2). Lobopodia-based migration appeared specific to 3D because HFFs migrating on top of the CDM (2D CDM) formed broad lamellipodia and flat lamellae of cells migrating in 2D were not apparent, and many cells instead featured large blunt, cylindrical protrusions characteristic of lobopodia (Kudo, 1977), an intracellular pressure-driven protrusion (Fig. 1 C and Video 1; Yanai et al., 1996). This morphology of human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) in dermal explants was similar to that of cells in wounded tissue (Singer et al., 1984). In addition, cells often displayed small blebs along their sides that we termed lateral blebs (Fig. 1 C, arrowheads). Imaging a dual-chain Rac1 biosensor based on intermolecular fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) revealed that, contrary to findings using 2D surfaces (Kraynov et al., 2000), active Rac1 was not targeted to the leading edge during HFF migration in both proximal or distal regions of the explant (85%, $n = 26$; Rac1 polarization index $[\pi] = -0.04 \pm 0.09$, in which 1 = forward polarization, 0 = nonpolarization, and $-1 = $ rear polarization; Figs. 1 D, S1, and S2). Thus, Rac1 activity was not polarized in elongated HFFs migrating within the structurally heterogeneous, physiological 3D environment of dermal explants. To study the mechanistic basis of this apparently novel mode of lamellipodia-independent 3D cell motility (hereafter referred to as lobopodia-based migration), we recapitulated it using 3D in vitro models of the ECM.

Lobopodia and lamellipodia in 3D in vitro models of the ECM

The CDM contains fibronectin, collagen I and III, hyaluronic acid, heparan sulfate proteoglycan, and thrombospondin in parallel fibers 50–500 nm thick (Fig. 2 A; Hedman et al., 1979; Allio and McKeown-Longo, 1988). Polymerized collagen I forms a nonaligned 3D meshwork (Fig. 2 A) of single or bundled collagen fibers 2–500 nm in diameter (Elsdale and Bard, 1972; Gelman et al., 1979). We compared the mechanical properties of dermal explants, the CDM, and collagen by characterizing their stiffness and elastic behavior. Dermal explants (6,427 Pa, range of 277–19,400 Pa) and CDMs (627 Pa, range of 224–2,454 Pa) were stiffer than 1.7 mg/ml collagen (15 Pa, range of 11–21 Pa), did not undergo strain stiffening ($E_{high}/E_{med} = 1.01$), and were thus linearly elastic (Fig. 2 B and C). In contrast, 1.7 mg/ml collagen displayed the strain-stiffening behavior ($E_{high}/E_{med} = 1.12$) characteristic of nonlinear elastic materials as previously established (Storm et al., 2005). Cells migrating in the soft 1.7 mg/ml of 3D collagen deformed the collagen fibers as described in a previous study of collagen remodeling by motile cells (Grinnell and Lamke, 1984), whereas the arrangement of fibers within the stiffer CDM was largely unaffected by migrating HFFs (Fig. 2 A).

Consistent with the similar stiffness and elastic behavior of the CDM and dermal explants, the majority of HFFs migrating inside the CDM also lacked obvious lamellipodia and instead displayed the blunt cylindrical protrusions and lateral blebs observed during lamellipodia-independent migration in dermal explants (77%, $n = 31$; Fig. 2 D and Video 2). Lobopodia-based migration appeared specific to 3D because HFFs migrating on top of the CDM (2D CDM) formed broad lamellipodia with prominent ruffles (100%, $n = 33$; Fig. 2 D and Video 2). In contrast to cells migrating in the 3D CDM, cells migrating in 1.7 mg/ml of 3D collagen formed multiple branched protrusions tipped with small lamellipodia (100%, $n = 33$; Fig. 2 D and Video 2). These results suggest that the stiff CDM and dermal explants support a novel lobopodia-based migration that is distinct from the lamellipodia-dependent migration seen on 2D surfaces. The mechanical properties of the ECM play a critical role in dictating the mode of cell migration in 3D.
Figure 1. **Lobopodia-based 3D migration occurs in the mammalian dermis.** (A) A 3D reconstruction of a mouse ear dermal explant labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (grayscale). Stratum corneum (SC), basal keratinocytes (BK), papillary dermis (PD), and reticular dermis (RD) are indicated. Sebaceous gland (SG) and hair follicle (HF) are outlined in gray. (B) Examples of ECM structures proximal (left) and distal (right) to the basal surface of a dermal explant labeled with Alexa Fluor 633. Images are from the same confocal stack, 9 µm (left) and 30 µm (right) from the basal surface. AC, adipocyte. (C) 3D reconstructions of lobopodia-bearing HFFs migrating in proximal and distal collagen; GFP-actin is shown in green, and second harmonic imaging of collagen appears in grayscale. Arrowheads indicate lateral blebs. (D) Active Rac1 is not targeted to the leading edge of HFFs migrating in the mammalian dermis. Rac1 activity was imaged in HFFs migrating in proximal or distal ECMs; active Rac1, representing the Fc image, was pseudocolored according to the 16-color scale shown to the right of the figure, and the explant was labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (grayscale). All cells are oriented with the leading edge toward the right of the figure. Bars, 5 µm.

\[ n = 12; \text{Fig. 2 D, right panels; and Video 3,} \]

consistent with a previous study (Bard and Hay, 1975). To confirm that these morphological differences corresponded to distinct cellular structures, we compared the distribution of the actin-binding protein cortactin (Kaksonen et al., 2000) in HFFs migrating in collagen and the CDM. Although cortactin was enriched at the leading edge during migration on the 2D CDM and in 3D collagen, no such enrichment was detected during migration in the 3D CDM (Fig. 2 E). Similar distributions were observed for vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP; Bear et al., 2002) and F-actin (by phalloidin staining; Small, 1981). Together, these data indicate that normal fibroblasts use at least two distinct modes of 3D cell migration: lobopodia-based migration in the stiff, linear elastic environment of dermal explants and the 3D CDM and lamellipodia-based migration in soft, nonlinear elastic 3D collagen.
Figure 2. **CDM and type I collagen support lobopodia- and lamellipodia-based 3D migration, respectively.** (A) HFF-generated CDM has an aligned, fibrillar structure (top left), whereas polymerized 1.7 mg/ml type I collagen forms a random meshwork (bottom left). Both images are maximum projections of 30-µm confocal stacks. Collagen is remodeled by migrating HFFs (GFP, green) along the axis of migration (bottom right), whereas the organization of the CDM is unaffected by migrating HFFs (top right). The CDM was labeled with Alexa Fluor 633, and collagen was visualized by reflection microscopy. (B) Matrix stiffness (Young’s modulus [E]) of the indicated 3D matrices. (C) Strain-stiffening (E_{high}/E_{med}) behavior of the indicated 3D matrices. E_{high}/E_{med} > 1 indicates nonlinear elasticity, whereas E_{high}/E_{med} = 1 indicates linear elasticity (dashed red line). (D) Collagen and 2D CDM support lamellipodia-based migration, whereas 3D CDM triggers lobopodia-based motility. (top) Maximum projections of HFFs expressing GFP migrating inside the 3D CDM, on top of the 2D CDM, or inside type I collagen. LM, lamellipodium; LB, lobopodium. (bottom) The orthogonal views of the corresponding panel above, with the CDM (Alexa Fluor 633) and type I collagen (reflection microscopy) in red. Arrowheads indicate lateral blebs. (E) Cortactin is not enriched at the leading edge during lobopodia-based migration. HFFs migrating in the indicated ECM were fixed and immunostained for cortactin. Arrows indicate the local accumulation of cortactin at the leading edge. Bottom graphs correspond with their respective top images and represent the mean cortactin intensity measured from the leading edge (0 µm) toward the cell center. Each cortactin intensity profile was averaged from 13 cells, with three measurements per cell. Bars: (A and E) 5 µm; (D, top left and middle) 10 µm; (D, top right) 20 µm. All cells are oriented with the leading edge toward the right of the figure. Error bars show means ± SEM. *, P < 0.001 versus the dermal explant. a.u., arbitrary unit.
Nonpolarized signaling during lobopodia-based migration

We investigated whether the distinct morphological features of lobopodia- versus lamellipodia-based 3D migration were linked to different signaling mechanisms. We compared the canonical polarization of PIP3, Rac1, and Cdc42 signaling during 2D lamellipodia-based migration (Haugh et al., 2000; Kraynov et al., 2000; Nalbant et al., 2004) by imaging the relative distribution of PIP3 and active Rac1 and Cdc42 in live HFFs migrating in the CDM and collagen. The intracellular localization of PIP3 was imaged by binding of the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of Akt fused to GFP (Haugh et al., 2000). PIP3 was highly enriched near the leading edge of the elongated cells migrating on top of the CDM (PI = 0.69, n = 13; Fig. 3, A and B), as reported for cells migrating on 2D surfaces using lamellipodia (Haugh et al., 2000). This enrichment was specific compared with localization of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP2) using GFP-PLC-δPH and was confirmed by immunolocalization of PIP3 (Fig. S3; Vármai and Balla, 1998; van Rheenen and Jalink, 2002). Importantly, PIP3 was not polarized toward the leading edge of HFFs using lamellipodia-based motility in the CDM but was instead distributed around the cell in clusters at the plasma membrane in a pattern indistinguishable from PIP2 (PI = 0.05, n = 18; Figs. 3, A and B; and S3). In contrast, PIP3 was concentrated at the leading edge of small lamellipodia during migration in 3D collagen (PI = 0.47, n = 13; Fig. 3, A and B). Thus, lobopodia-based migration in the 3D CDM does not require the polarization of PIP3 at the leading edge.

Active Rac1 and Cdc42 were imaged using dual-chain FRET-based biosensors (Kraynov et al., 2000; Picard et al., 2009). Both the single- and dual-chain versions of these biosensors have been used to image the distribution of Rho family GTPase activities in living cells (Pertz and Hahn, 2004). To validate the FRET-based biosensors, we determined that their expression in HFFs did not affect the amount or activity of the corresponding endogenous GTPase (Boulter et al., 2010), and the magnitude of the corrected FRET (Fc) signal imaged in cells expressing constitutively active, nonfunctional, or wild-type versions of the biosensors correlated with the amounts of activity detected by a GTPase pull-down assay (Fig. S1, A, B, D, and E; Benard et al., 1999). Plotting Fc versus donor intensity for the constitutively active and nonfunctional versions of each biosensor showed the signal from the wild-type Rac1 and Cdc42 biosensors could be considered positive when Fc > 500 arbitrary units (Fig. S1, C and F). We confirmed that their expression did not significantly affect cell velocity or downstream signaling (Fig. S2, A and B). Additionally, the subcellular localization of the CFP-tagged Rac1 and Cdc42 was predominantly cytosolic and consistent with the corresponding endogenous protein (Fig. S2 C); excessive GTPase expression would have resulted in inappropriate membrane targeting (Michaelson et al., 2001).

Active Rac1 and Cdc42 were both polarized near the leading edge of cells on the 2D CDM (Rac1: PI = 0.73, n = 27; Cdc42: PI = 0.49, n = 14; Fig. 3, C–F) and in 3D collagen (Rac1: PI = 0.49, n = 34; Cdc42: PI = 0.38, n = 21; Fig. 3, C–F), as previously reported for lamellipodia-based migration on 2D surfaces (Kraynov et al., 2000; Nalbant et al., 2004). However, during lobopodia-based migration in the 3D CDM, active Rac1 and Cdc42 were no longer restricted to the leading edge; instead, they were localized to patches of unknown function around the perimeter of the cell (Rac1: PI = 0.22, n = 44; Cdc42: PI = 0, n = 21; Fig. 3, C–F), consistent with the nonpolarized distribution of active Rac1 in HFFs in dermal explants (Fig. 1 D). The distribution of Rac1 and Cdc42 activity in lobopodia could not be attributed to failure of the biosensors because nonfunctional versions reported uniform Fc patterns in HFFs on 2D and in 3D CDMs (100%; Fig. S2 D). Together, these data show that the canonical polarizations of PIP3, Rac1, and Cdc42 signaling during 2D migration are not necessary for lobopodia-based 3D migration in dermal explants and the CDM but are observed during lamellipodia-based migration in 3D collagen.

RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II are required for lobopodia-based 3D migration

To define further the mechanistic basis of lobopodia-based 3D migration, the roles of Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA in motility in the 3D CDM were tested by specific siRNA-mediated knockdown (Figs. 4, A and B; and S4). Reducing Rac1 or Cdc42 protein levels moderately increased or decreased the velocity of HFFs migrating in and on the CDM, respectively, without affecting the mode of 3D cell motility (Fig. 4, C and D). Cells that were inside the 3D CDM continued to migrate without lamellipodia (94% for Rac1 siRNA and 92% for Cdc42 siRNA), and many of the cells retained lateral blebs. In contrast to Rac1 and Cdc42 siRNA, knockdown of RhoA protein and activity dramatically switched the mode of migration in the 3D CDM from lobopodia to lamellipodia based. RhoA-depleted cells migrated in the 3D CDM with distinct lamellipodia at the leading edge (100%; Figs. 4 C and S4 A and Video 4) and without any lateral blebs. The role of RhoA in regulating the mode of migration was confirmed with independent single siRNAs (Fig. S4, B–D) and a single siRNA from the original RhoA siRNA pool (Fig. S4, E–G). Additionally, treating cells migrating in the 3D CDM with a RhoA inhibitor switched the cells to lamellipodia-based motility (Fig. S4, H and I). Despite switching the mode of 3D cell migration in the CDM, knocking down RhoA did not affect the velocity of HFF migration in or on the CDM (Fig. 4 D).

Chemical inhibition of the RhoA effector ROCK also switched the mode of HFF migration in the 3D CDM, transitioning cells from lobopodia- to lamellipodia-based migration within 20 min of treatment (50%, n = 10; Video 5). Similar to RhoA siRNA, ROCK inhibition switched the mode without affecting the velocity of cells migrating in or on the CDM (Fig. 4 E). In contrast, ROCK inhibition significantly reduced HFF migration in 3D collagen, as previously observed for epithelial cells (Provenzano et al., 2008). Thus, ROCK inhibition functionally distinguishes lobopodia- from lamellipodia-based 3D motility. Inhibition of the ROCK target myosin II by blebbistatin also switched the mode to lamellipodia-based migration in the 3D CDM (Fig. 4, G and H). In contrast to RhoA and ROCK inhibition, myosin II inhibition significantly reduced the velocity of elongated cells migrating in the 3D CDM, apparently...
Figure 3. Nonpolarized PIP3, Rac1, and Cdc42 signaling during lobopodia-based 3D migration. (A) Maximally projected confocal stacks of HFFs expressing GFP-AktPH to detect PIP3, migrating on the 2D CDM, in the 3D CDM, or inside 1.7 mg/ml of 3D collagen. Images were pseudocolored according to the 16-color scale. Bars, 10 µm. (B) Mean PI of GFP-AktPH in HFFs migrating in the indicated ECM environments. (C–F) Localization of active Rac1 and Cdc42 away from the leading edge during lobopodia-based 3D migration inside the CDM. (C and E) Maximally projected confocal stacks of HFFs expressing Rac1 (C) or Cdc42 (E) biosensors migrating on the 2D CDM (left), in the 3D CDM (middle), or in 3D collagen (right). The Fc images, representing the total activity of
Figure 4. RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II are required for lobopodia-based 3D migration inside CDM. (A) A representative Western blot demonstrating the specificity of siRNA-mediated knockdown of Rac1, Cdc42, or RhoA. HFFs were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and lysed 72 h after transfection, and the lysates were blotted with the indicated antibodies. (B) Quantification of Western blots represented in A. (C) RhoA siRNA treatment switches HFFs to lamellipodium-based 3D migration in the CDM. The percentage of lobopodia-bearing HFFs migrating inside the CDM after the indicated treatments. (A and B) *, P < 0.001 versus the siGLO control. 48 h after siRNA treatment, HFFs were transfected with GFP-actin and imaged migrating in the 3D CDM. (D) Quantification of the velocity of siRNA-treated HFFs in the CDM 72–84 h after transfection. *, P < 0.05 versus the siGLO control. (E) ROCK dependence distinguishes HFF migration in collagen from the CDM. Quantification of the velocity of HFFs migrating in the CDM or 1.7 mg/ml collagen treated with FBS or FBS + 10 µM Y-27632. *, P < 0.03 versus the FBS control. (F) Quantification of HFF velocity in the CDM when treated with FBS or FBS + 25 µM blebbistatin. Blebbistatin treatment resulted in two subsets of HFFs, rapidly moving spread cells on top of the CDM (2D), and slowly moving elongated cells inside the CDM (3D). *, P < 0.001 versus the FBS control. (G and H) Myosin II is required for 3D lobopodia-based migration. (G) Cortactin localization in HFFs in the 3D CDM, either untreated or treated with 25 µM blebbistatin. The arrow indicates the local accumulation of cortactin at the leading edge. Bars, 5 µm. (H) The mean cortactin intensity profile, measured from the leading edge (0 µm) toward the cell center, of cells treated with FBS or FBS + 25 µM blebbistatin. Each cortactin intensity profile was averaged from 13 cells, with three measurements per cell. All cells are oriented with the leading edge toward the right of the figure. Error bars show means ± SEM. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; a.u., arbitrary unit.
Figure 5. **Lobopodia-based migration is distinct from cancer cell motility.** (A) Amoeboid and mesenchymal HT1080 cells in the CDM. Phase-contrast image showing amoeboid (rounded) and mesenchymal (elongated) HT1080 cells in the CDM. (B) The percentage of amoeboid and mesenchymal HT1080 cells in the CDM (n = 1,001). (C and D) HT1080 cells do not form lobopodia in the 3D CDM. Round amoeboid cells lack matrix adhesions, whereas
impeding translocation of the nucleus through the matrix, whereas the migration of cells spread on top of the matrix was unaffected (Fig. 4 F and Video 6) and was consistent with the effect of blebbistatin on 2D fibroblast migration (Even-Ram et al., 2007). Therefore, RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II form part of the mechanistic basis of lobopodia-based migration. RhoA and ROCK inhibition switched the mode of migration without affecting the efficiency of migration, whereas myosin II activity was required for both lobopodia formation and efficient migration in the 3D CDM.

3D matrix adhesions and lobopodia-based migration
To determine that amoeboid cancer cell motility (Table S1) was distinct from the lobopodia-based migration of normal cells, despite their shared requirement for RhoA activity (Sahai and Marshall, 2003), we imaged the HT1080 human fibrosarcoma cell line within the CDM (Wolf et al., 2003). HT1080 cells adopted a mixture of amoeboid and mesenchymal morphologies in aligned, fibrillar regions of the CDM (Fig. 5, A–D) similar to those reported for breast cancer cells (Deakin and Turner, 2011). Round amoeboid cells in the CDM lacked 3D matrix adhesions (90%, n = 20) but had large prominent blebs (100%, n = 20; Fig. 5 C). Elongated mesenchymal cells exhibited actin stress fibers and fan-shaped protrusions consistent with lamellipodia (100%, n = 20; Fig. 5 D). These cells also formed 3D matrix adhesions (100%, n = 20; Fig. 5 D). In contrast to primary fibroblasts in the same 3D environment, no lobopodia-bearing HT1080 cells were observed migrating in the CDM. Unlike the two modes of cancer cell motility, HFFs formed 3D matrix adhesions containing paxillin and vinculin during both lamellipodia (100%, n = 18) and lobopodia (100%, n = 20)-based 3D migration (Fig. 5 E). Blocking αvβ3 and β1 integrins significantly decreased the velocity and directionality of HFFs migrating in and on the CDM and collagen, indicating that integrin-mediated adhesion contributed to the efficient directional migration of these cell populations (Fig. 5 F). Therefore, lobopodia-based 3D migration was distinct from amoeboid and mesenchymal cancer cell motility, based on the formation of 3D matrix adhesions, its integrin dependence, morphology, and regulation by the ECM.

Matrix elastic behavior dictates the mode of 3D migration
To define the relationship of matrix stiffness and/or elastic behavior to the mode of normal 3D cell migration, we manipulated these physical characteristics without affecting the organization of the matrices (Fig. 6, A–D). Tryptosination decreased the stiffness of the CDM (8 Pa, range of 4–10 Pa) and rendered it nonlinearly elastic (Ehigh/Emed = 1.23) compared with the untreated CDM (Fig. 2, B and C) and permitted fiber remodeling by migrating cells. Cross-linking the trypsinized CDM increased the stiffness (143 Pa, range of 19–243 Pa; Fig. 6, B and C), restored the linear elasticity of the matrix (Ehigh/Emed = 1.03; Fig. 6 D), and prevented cells from remodeling the fibers. Although cross-linking the 1.7 mg/ml collagen gels only marginally increased their stiffness (28 Pa, range of 12–201 Pa [Fig. 6 C] versus 15 Pa, range of 11–21 Pa for uncross-linked 1.7 mg/ml collagen [Fig. 2 B]), it restored the material linearly elastic (Ehigh/Emed = 1.03; Fig. 6 D) compared with uncross-linked 1.7 mg/ml collagen (Fig. 2 C). Elongated fibroblasts used lamellipodia-based migration inside the trypsinized CDM (92 versus 23% in the untreated CDM; Fig. 6 G and Video 7), with Rac1 activity polarized toward the leading edge (PI = 0.45, n = 17; Fig. 6, E and F). Cells migrated inside the trypsinized and cross-linked CDM without polarization of active Rac1 at the leading edge (PI = 0.11, n = 16; Fig. 6, E and F), consistent with lobopodia-based motility (100%; Fig. 6 G and Video 8). Importantly, cross-linked 1.7 mg/ml collagen supported lobopodia-based migration of the majority of cells (59 versus 0% in untreated collagen; Fig. 6 G and Video 9), with a loss of polarized Rac1 activity in leading protrusions (PI = −0.17, n = 6; Fig. 6, E and F).

To determine whether matrix stiffness, capacity for remodeling, or elastic behavior govern lobopodia formation, we examined HFF migration in 8.6 mg/ml collagen. Cells in 8.6 mg/ml collagen underwent lamellipodia-based 3D migration, including enrichment of cortactin at the leading edge (Fig. 6 H). The 8.6 mg/ml collagen was substantially stiffer than 1.7 mg/ml collagen (∼19×, P < 0.001) and was not remodeled during cell migration, as expected (Fig. 6, B and C; and Video 10; Miron-Mendoza et al., 2010), similar to the CDM. Significantly, like 1.7 mg/ml collagen, 8.6 mg/ml collagen exhibited nonlinear elastic behavior, undergoing strain stiffening (Ehigh/Emed = 1.2; Fig. 6 D). Together, these data show that 3D matrix linear elasticity is a key structural property necessary for nonpolarized Rac1 activity during lobopodia-based migration.

Lobopodia and efficient migration in the CDM
Given that RhoA or ROCK inhibition in 10% FBS switched the mode of HFF migration in the CDM without affecting velocity, it was not clear why more than one mode of migration was necessary. To test the hypothesis that lobopodial cells migrated more efficiently in the linear elastic ECM under suboptimal environmental conditions, we assessed HFF migration.
Figure 6. Matrix elastic behavior governs the mode of normal 3D migration. (A) HFFs can remodel trypsinized CDM (middle), whereas the structure of the untreated (left) or the trypsinized (tryp) and cross-linked CDM (XL; right) is unaffected by HFF migration. CFP-Rac1 is shown in green, and Alexa Fluor 633–labeled matrix is in gray. Bars, 40 µm. (B) Cross-linked 1.7 mg/ml collagen (middle) and 8.6 mg/ml collagen (right) are not remodeled during HFF migration. GFP-actin is shown in green, and collagen is shown in gray (reflection). Bars, 10 µm. (C) Matrix stiffness (Young’s modulus [E]) of the indicated modified matrices. (D) Strain-stiffening (E_high/E_med) behavior of the indicated native and modified matrices. The dashed red line indicates a value of E_high/E_med corresponding to 1 (linear elasticity). [C and D] *, P < 0.05 versus trypsinized CDM; **, P < 0.05 versus cross-linked collagen. (E–G) Trypsinization and chemical cross-linking redistribute Rac1 activity and switch the mode of cell migration. (E) Maximally projected confocal stacks of HFFs expressing the Rac1 biosensor migrating in the trypsinized CDM (top), trypsinized and cross-linked CDMs (middle), or cross-linked collagen (bottom). The Fc images, representing the total activity of each GTPase, were pseudocolored according to the 16-color scale. Arrowheads indicate regions of intracellular signaling. Bars, 5 µm. (F) Mean Pi of active Rac1 in HFFs migrating in the indicated ECM environments. *, P < 0.05 versus 3D trypsinized CDM. (G) The percentage of lobopodia-bearing HFFs migrating inside the CDM or collagen treated as indicated. *, P < 0.001 versus untreated CDM; **, P < 0.001 versus trypsinized CDM; ***, P < 0.007 versus untreated collagen. (H) 8.6 mg/ml collagen supports lamellipodia-based 3D cell migration. (top) A representative image of cortactin enrichment at the leading edge of cells migrating in 8.6 mg/ml collagen (arrow). (bottom) The mean cortactin intensity profile measured from 13 cells, with three measurements per cell. Cells are oriented with their leading edge toward the top right (A and B) or the right (E and H) of the figure. a.u., arbitrary unit.
driven by PDGF in the presence of different levels of glucose. 10 ng/ml PDGF with 25 mM glucose was sufficient to replace 10% FBS for rapid migration in and on the CDM and collagen compared with DME alone, with the cells in the CDM forming lobopodia (Fig. 7 A–C). However, treatment of cells with PDGF in glucose-deficient medium reduced cell velocity in and on the CDM without affecting the velocity of lamellipodium-based migration in collagen (Fig. 7 A). This loss of migration efficiency in and on the CDM was associated with a switch to lamellipodium-based 3D motility (Fig. 7, B and C) and a reduction of RhoA activity to 51% of control, significantly less than in cells treated with PDGF with glucose (P < 0.05; Fig. 7 D). Therefore, lobopodia may be required for efficient motility in the CDM under low-glucose conditions. Thus, the external signaling environment can regulate the mode and efficiency of normal cell migration in the CDM as well as the relative activation of RhoA.

Discussion

The major differences in polarization of active Rac1, Cdc42, and PIP3 identified in this study reveal lobopodial and lamellipodial migration as two distinct modes of normal 3D cell motility governed intrinsically by RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II and extrinsically by the elastic behavior of the ECM (Fig. 8 A). The lobopodia we find associated with 3D mesenchymal cell motility appear to be distinct from other cellular protrusions in addition to lamellipodia, including filopodia (Nobes and Hall, 1995),
and -independent migration in normal mesenchymal cells as well as its regulation. We demonstrate that the canonical polarization of PIP3, Rac1, and Cdc42 activity during 2D migration (Ridley et al., 2003) is not required for normal mesenchymal cells to migrate equally efficiently in the 3D ECM. During lobopodia-based migration in the 3D CDM, nonpolarized Rac1 and Cdc42 activity can modulate the velocity of migration independently of lamellipodia formation. Enrichment of active Rac1 and Cdc42, along with PIP3, at the tips of fan-shaped protrusions during 3D collagen migration identified these structures as podosomes (Tarone et al., 1985), invadopodia (Mueller et al., 1992), eupodia (Fukui and Inoué, 1997), and membrane blebs (Charras et al., 2005). The decision between lamellipodia- and lobopodia-based motility during normal mesenchymal cell migration can be conceptualized as a series of three binary questions evaluated by migrating cells (Fig. 8 B): what is the dimensionality of the matrix, what is the level of RhoA activity, and is the 3D matrix linearly elastic? This study also provides the first description of lobopodia-based movement coupled to 3D matrix adhesions and the first demonstration of a transition between lamellipodia-dependent and -independent migration in normal mesenchymal cells as well as its regulation.

We demonstrate that the canonical polarization of PIP3, Rac1, and Cdc42 activity during 2D migration (Ridley et al., 2003) is not required for normal mesenchymal cells to migrate equally efficiently in the 3D ECM. During lobopodia-based migration in the 3D CDM, nonpolarized Rac1 and Cdc42 activity can modulate the velocity of migration independently of lamellipodia formation. Enrichment of active Rac1 and Cdc42, along with PIP3, at the tips of fan-shaped protrusions during 3D collagen migration identified these structures as...
lamellipodia, consistent with a previous morphological study (Small, 1981). These features in 3D collagen mimic the 2D signaling and lamellipodial dynamics that control directional cell movement (Haugh et al., 2000; Kraynov et al., 2000; Nabant et al., 2004; Pertz et al., 2006; Petrie et al., 2009). Despite the nonpolarized distribution of Rac1, Cdc42, and Pip3 intracellular signaling, lobopodial cells can still be considered polarized based on their elongated morphology and directionally persistent migration in the 3D CDM. Although the mechanism that sustains this polarity is not clear, the polarization of the microtubule-organizing center, Golgi apparatus, and/or membrane trafficking might be maintained in these cells independent of the distribution of Pip3, Rac1, and Cdc42 activity (Kupfer et al., 1982; Bergmann et al., 1983; Gundersen and Bulinski, 1988).

Lobopodia formation was governed intrinsically by RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II, consistent with other contractility-based modes of cell migration (Sahai and Marshall, 2003; Klopopka and Redowicz, 2004; Yoshida and Soldati, 2006; Lämmermann et al., 2008). In fact, the lateral blebs we often observed during lobopodia-based motility, which were absent after RhoA or ROCK inhibition, may be a manifestation of increased cytoplasmic pressure (Charras et al., 2005) because of RhoA-mediated actomyosin contraction (Chrzanowska-Wodnicka and Burridge, 1996; Lämmermann and Sint, 2009). Lobopodial migration was controlled extrinsically by soluble signals and the elastic behavior of the ECM. Cells in the CDM without motogens present formed lamellipodia, but these structures were not associated with significant cell movement. Thus, normal fibroblasts require a motogenic signal, e.g., 10% FBS or 10 ng/ml PDGF with 25 mM glucose, in combination with the linear elastic 3D ECM to undergo lobopodia-based migration. Stimulation with PDGF in glucose-deficient medium or inhibition of RhoA, ROCK, or myosin II switched the mode of migration independently of the elastic behavior of the matrix. Interestingly, we found that lobopodia were associated with efficient migration in the CDM when motility was triggered in low glucose, a condition that did not affect lamellipodia-based migration in 3D collagen. This suggests that lobopodia are required for rapid movement in the linear elastic environment of the CDM under certain environmental conditions. However, rapid motility in the CDM did not depend on a single mode of migration in 25 mM glucose, suggesting that lobopodia might also be involved in other aspects of normal fibroblast function, such as matrix production and remodeling.

An example of migration mode regulation is the amoeboid–mesenchymal transition during cancer cell migration (Wolf et al., 2003). Importantly, lobopodia-bearing HFFs differ from amoeboid cancer cells on the basis of morphology, the use of 3D matrix adhesions, and their regulation by the ECM (Table S1; Wolf et al., 2003; Sabeh et al., 2009). Determining whether the transition between lobopodia- and lamellipodia-based integrin-dependent migration is restricted to normal cells may help us to understand what signaling pathways are subverted to promote metastasis through the mesenchymal–amoeboid transition (Bissell, 1981; Sanz-Moreno and Marshall, 2010). For example, the integrin independence of amoeboid cancer cell migration could represent a regulatory defect promoting metastatic disease.

In summary, the differential organization of intracellular signaling in lobopodia and lamellipodia identifies these cellular structures as mediating two distinct modes of normal 3D cell migration. The transition between these modes can be regulated externally by the elastic behavior of the ECM via intracellular RhoA, ROCK, and myosin II, and it may represent a universal property of 3D cell motility.

Materials and methods

Reagents, cell culture, and transfection

The following reagents were used in this study: rhodamine-phalloidin (Invitrogen), Y27632 (EMD), blebbistatin (EMD), cell-permeable C3 transferase (Cytoskeleton), human recombinant PDGF-BB (Sigma-Aldrich), and glucose-free DMEM (Invitrogen). HFFs (used at passages 8–20) and HT1080 cells were maintained in phenol red–free DMEM (HyClone) containing 10% FBS (HyClone), 4.5 g/liter glucose, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 2 mM l-glutamine (Invitrogen) at 37°C and in 10% CO2. All cDNA constructs were generated it by subcloning the full-length sequence into the EcoRI–BamH1 sites of pECPF-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.). The Ypet sequence was amplified from pCEP4Ypet-MAMM (plasmid 14032; Addgene; Nguyen and Daugherty, 2005). pGFp-actin was purchased from Takara Bio Inc. pGFp-PLC-3PH was a gift from T. Balla (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD), who generated it by subcloning the cDNA sequence corresponding to the vinculin–tension sensor (plasmid 26019; Addgene) was generated by Grasshoff et al. (2010) by inserting a FRET-based sensor module between the vinculin head and vinculin tail domains of vinculin. pY3p-paxillin was generated by subcloning the sequence encoding human paxillin into the HindIII–XbaI sites of pEYPet-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.) that contained a modified multiple cloning site. cDNA constructs and siRNAs

The pECPF-Rac1 and Cdc42 constructs (Picard et al., 2009) were generated by subcloning the full-length sequence into the EcoRI–BamH1 sites of pECPF-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.). pYpet-p21-binding domain (PBD) was generated by subcloning the sequence encoding amino acids 65–150 of human Pak1 into the EcoRI–BamH1 sites of pYpet-C1. pYpet-C1 was constructed by subcloning the sequence corresponding to the fluorescent protein Ypet into the AgeI–XbaI sites of pECPF-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.). The Ypet sequence was amplified from pCEP4Ypet-MAMM (plasmid 14032; Addgene; Nguyen and Daugherty, 2005). pGFp-actin was purchased from Takara Bio Inc. pGFp-PLC-3PH was a gift from T. Balla (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD), who generated it by subcloning the cDNA sequence corresponding to the PH domain of PLC-δ1 (amino acids 1–170) into pECPF-N1 (Takara Bio Inc.; Várnai and Balla, 1998). To create pGFp-AktPH, the Akt PH domain (amino acids 1–148) was subcloned into pECPF-C1 (Pankov et al., 2005). Vinculin–tension sensor (plasmid 26019; Addgene) was generated by Grasshoff et al. (2010) by inserting a FRET-based sensor module between the vinculin head and vinculin tail domains of vinculin. pY3p-paxillin was generated by subcloning the sequence encoding human paxillin into the HindIII–XbaI sites of pEYPet-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.) that contained a modified multiple cloning site.

Rac1, 5′-GAAGAGAAGUGUGCGCGGUA-3′, 5′-GAAGAGAAGUGUGCGCGGUA-3′, and 5′-GAAGAGAAGUGUGCGCGGUA-3′; Cdc42, 5′-CGAAGAUGUGAGCGAGU-3′, 5′-CGAAGAUGUGAGCGAGU-3′, 5′-GAUGAGCGGUCGCAGA-3′, and 5′-GAUGAGCGGUCGCAGA-3′; and RhoA, 5′-CGCACGCCCCUGAAUAUAUA-3′, 5′-CGCACGCCCCUGAAUAUAUA-3′, 5′-CGCACGCCCCUGAAUAUAUA-3′, and 5′-CGCACGCCCCUGAAUAUAUA-3′, and 5′-CGCACGCCCCUGAAUAUAUA-3′.

Live-cell imaging in dermal explants

Dermal explants (Lämmermann et al., 2008) were prepared by separating the dorsal and ventral halves of ears from euthanized ICR (Institute of Cancer Research) mice. The cartilage-free halves were washed extensively in PBS plus 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. For some experiments, the explant was labeled as previously described for the CDM (Hakkinen et al., 2011). In brief, explants were incubated with 20 µg of the succinimidyl ester of Alexa Fluor 633 (Invitrogen) in 2 ml of 50 mM NaHCO3 for 20 min. The labeled explant was washed with PBS plus...
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100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and any unreacted Alexa Fluor 633 dye was quenched with 200 mM Tris, pH 7.4, for 20 min and washed with PBS. 5 × 10^5 HFFs were transfected with the indicated constructs and plated in a 60-mm dish. 18 h later, the transfected cells were added to the unlabeled or Alexa Fluor 633–labeled dermal explants immediately after explant preparation. The next day, the explants with adherent HFFs were transferred to a new dish and imaged.

Image stacks of dermal explants in media at 37°C and 10% CO_2 were captured using a confocal microscope (LSM 510 NLO META with Axiovert 200M, Carl Zeiss) with a Plan Apochromat 63×, 1.4 NA oil objective (Carl Zeiss). A 488-nm argon and 633-nm HeNe laser (Carl Zeiss) excited GFP-actin and Alexa Fluor 633, respectively. The two-photon laser was set to 800 nm for visualizing collagen through second harmonic generation (Friedl et al., 2007). Image stacks were imported into Velocity software (PerkinElmer) to generate the 3D reconstructions.

Time-lapse fluorescence imaging of GFP-actin–transfected HFFs in dermal explants in media at 37°C and 10% CO_2 was performed by spinning-disc confocal microscopy using a microscope (Axiovert 200M) equipped with a confocal scanning unit (CSU-X1; Yokogawa), a Plan Apochromat 100×, 1.4 NA oil objective (Carl Zeiss), and an EM charge-coupled device camera (C9100; Hamamatsu Photonics). Hardware control, image acquisition, and linear brightness and contrast adjustments were performed using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). GFP-actin was imaged with a 488-nm argon laser, and a 647-nm krypton-argon laser was used for Alexa Fluor 633.

Live-cell imaging in 3D in vitro models CDMs were prepared from HFFs as follows (Cukierman et al., 2001). MatTek dishes were coated with 0.2% gelatin for 1 h at 37°C, treated with 1% glutaraldehyde for 30 min at RT, and incubated with DME with 30% FCS for 30 min at RT. Three washes with PBS followed each treatment. 4 × 10^5 HFFs were plated per MatTek dish, which were maintained for 10 d adding fresh media with 50 µg/ml ascorbic acid every other day. The cells were removed from the CDM with extraction buffer (20 mM NH_4OH and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 5 min at RT and washed with PBS. The cell-free CDM was treated with 10 U/ml DNase (Roche) for 30 min at 37°C, washed, and stored at 4°C in PBS with 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. 10^5 HFFs, transfected with the indicated constructs, were plated on the CDM and blocked with 1% heat-denatured BSA (MP Biomedical) for imaging the next day. For some experiments, the CDM was labeled with 5 µg Alexa Fluor 633 in 2 ml of 50 mM NaHCO_3 as for dermal explants immediately before the addition of HFFs. 1.7 mg/ml collagen gel was prepared by combining 3.32 mg/ml rat tail type I collagen (BD) with 10× reconstitution buffer [0.26 M NaHCO_3 and 0.2 M Hepes] and 10× DME (Sigma-Aldrich) at an 8:1:1 ratio, the pH was adjusted to 7.5, and the collagen was diluted to 1.7 mg/ml with media. MatTek dishes were coated with 50 µl of 1.7 mg/ml collagen, which was then polymerized at 37°C for 30 min. 200 µl of 1.7 mg/ml collagen containing 10^5 HFFs, transfected the previous day with the indicated constructs, was added to the first layer and polymerized at 37°C for 30 min. 2 ml of medium was added to the dish, and cells were imaged the next day.

Live-cell fluorescence imaging of GFP-actin was performed as described for the dermal explants except for the excitation of the FRET signal. HFFs were either untransfected or transfected with the indicated constructs and plated in a 60-mm dish. 18 h later, the transfected cells were added to the first layer and polymerized at 37°C for 30 min. 2 ml of medium was added to the dish, and cells were imaged the next day.
**PI**

To determine the PI of a cell, its center of mass was placed at the origin of an x-y axis, with the leading edge perpendicular to the positive x axis. The positions of discrete regions of Fc (considered positive when >500 but typically between 700 and 1,300) or GFP-AktPH intensity (considered positive when 1.5x greater than the cytoplasmic background fluorescence) were plotted relative to the cell’s center of mass. The PI for each cell was calculated using

\[
\text{PI} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i}{\text{SLD}} \right) / n
\]

in which \(x_i\) is the distance of the region from the origin along the x axis, \(\text{SLD}\) is the straight line distance of the region from the origin, and \(n\) is the number of regions per cell. In this scheme, a PI of 1 = forward polarization (all regions lie on the positive x axis), 0 = nonpolarization (regions are uniformly distributed), and -1 = rearward polarization (all regions lie on the negative x axis).

**siRNA treatment, Western blotting, and motility assays**

2 x 10^5 HFFs were plated in each of three 60-mm dishes and transfected the next day with a 20-nM solution of the indicated siRNA preparation. 48 h later, 10^4 siRNA-treated cells from the first 60-mm dish were transfected with pGFP-actin, plated on the CDM labeled with Alexa Fluor 633, and imaged the next day with a spinning-disc confocal microscope. 10^4 HFFs transfected with pCAB-ROCK1 and pCAB-ROCK2 or pCAB-GFP were plated on the cross-linked and/or cross-linked matrix and imaged the next day. GFP-actin dynamics were analyzed by spinning-disc confocal microscopy, and Rac1 activity was visualized using the confocal microscope (LSM 710 Axio Examiner.Z1) as described in Live-cell imaging in dermal explants.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are reported as the mean ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance with Tukey posttests was used to compare three or more variables; otherwise, unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t tests were performed. Nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests were used with a Dunn’s multiple comparisons posttest when comparing more than two groups of measurements with bounded values when analyzing the PI. All comparisons were performed using Prism5 (GraphPad Software). Differences were considered statistically significant at \(p < 0.05\).

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 and S2 detail the validation of the Rac1 and Cdc42 FRET-based biosensors. Fig. S3 shows the relative distribution of GFP-PLCδ1PH in HFFs in different environments, along with endogenous PIP2 and PIP3. Fig. S4 provides additional evidence that RhoA activity is required for lobopodia formation in the 3D CDM. Table S1 compares the lobopodia- and lamellipodia-based 3D migration of normal cells with previously published work examining cancer cell motility. Video 1 shows lobopodia-based 3D migration in the mammalian dermis. Video 2 shows lobopodia-based 3D migration in the CDM and lamellipodia-based 2D migration on top of the CDM. Video 3 that shows HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in type I collagen. Video 4 shows that reduction of RhoA protein switches the mode to lamellipodia-based 3D migration in the CDM. Video 5 shows that ROCK activity is required for lobopodia-based migration. Video 6 is a phase-contrast video of HFFs migrating in and on the CDM during blebbistatin treatment. Video 7 shows that HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in a pliable CDM. Video 8 shows that cross-linking the trypsinized CDM restores lobopodia-based 3D migration. Video 9 shows that HFFs use lobopodia-based 3D migration in cross-linked collagen. Video 10 shows lamellipodia-based 3D HFF migration in 8.6 mg/ml collagen. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201201124/DC1.
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Video 10 shows lamellipodia-based 3D HFF migration in 8.6 mg/ml collagen. Video 2 shows lobopodia-based 3D migration in the CDM and lamellipodia-based 2D migration on top of the CDM. Video 3 that shows HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in type I collagen. Video 4 shows that reduction of RhoA protein switches the mode to lamellipodia-based 3D migration in the CDM. Video 5 shows that ROCK activity is required for lobopodia-based migration. Video 6 is a phase-contrast video of HFFs migrating in and on the CDM during blebbistatin treatment. Video 7 shows that HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in a pliable CDM. Video 8 shows that cross-linking the trypsinized CDM restores lobopodia-based 3D migration. Video 9 shows that HFFs use lobopodia-based 3D migration in cross-linked collagen. Video 10 shows lamellipodia-based 3D HFF migration in 8.6 mg/ml collagen. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201201124/DC1.
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Figure S1. Partial validation of the Rho GTPase FRET-based biosensors. (A and D) Representative Western blots showing the pull-down assay used to detect the activation-dependent binding of the Pak1 Cdc42/Rac1 interactive binding domain to the endogenous or transfected Rac1 (A) or Cdc42 (D). HFFs were either untransfected or transfected with the constitutively active (CA), nonfunctional (NF), or wild-type (WT) versions of the indicated FRET-based biosensor. The open arrowheads indicate the CFP-GTPase fusion proteins, and the closed arrowheads indicate the endogenous GTPase. (B and E) The intensity of the corrected FRET (Fc) signal detected in cells expressing the Rac1 (B) or Cdc42 (E) biosensor. Error bars represent SEM based on a minimum of 119 measurements from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.01 versus the constitutively active control. (C and F) The dynamic ranges of the FRET-based biosensors. Plot of Fc versus FRET donor intensity (a.u., arbitrary units) measured in HFFs expressing constitutively active or nonfunctional versions of the Rac1 (C) or Cdc42 (F) biosensors. The dashed line indicates the cut-off Fc value, above which the values were considered positive when calculating the PI.
Figure S2. Further validation of the Rho GTPase FRET-based biosensors. (A) The velocities of HFFs expressing the indicated wild-type biosensor were not less than those of untransfected cells in the same field of view; P > 0.05; n ≥ 19 paired measurements per biosensor. Biosensor expression was determined by imaging the cells with the FRET acquisition settings. (B) Expression of Rac1 and Cdc42 biosensors did not significantly affect extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation. Lysates of HFFs, either untransfected or transfected with the indicated constructs, probed for GFP, total p44/42 MAP kinase (ERK), and phosphorylated (active) ERK. The intensity of the phospho-ERK was normalized to ERK for each lane. No significant differences were found (P = 0.8; n = 3). (C) Subcellular localization of the Rac1 and Cdc42 CFP fusion proteins is consistent with the corresponding endogenous GTPase. To compare the localization of endogenous GTPases in fixed cells with the corresponding CFP fusion proteins in live cells, HFFs were fixed and immunolabeled with antibodies specific for the indicated GTPase (top) and compared with live HFF cells imaged after transfection with CFP-Rac1 or Cdc42 (bottom). The subcellular localization of each CFP-tagged Rho family GTPase was predominantly cytosolic and consistent with the corresponding endogenous protein. Excessive GTPase expression would have resulted in inappropriate membrane targeting (Michaelson et al., 2001). (D) Relatively uniform Fc distribution is reported by nonfunctional biosensors on 2D and in 3D CDMs. Images show the nonlocalized patterns of Fc intensity reported by the nonfunctional versions of the indicated biosensors on 2D and in 3D CDMs. The output of each Fc image was linearly adjusted before application of the 16-color spectrum to fill the display range as had been done for the wild-type biosensors. n = 20 cells per biosensor. Bars, 5 μm. Error bars show means ± SEM. NF, nonfunctional.
Figure S3. **Validation of the PIP3 biosensor GFP-AktPH.** (A) Maximally projected confocal stacks of HFFs migrating on the 2D CDM, in the 3D CDM, or inside 1.7 mg/ml of 3D collagen expressing GFP-PLC-δPH to detect PIP2. The nonpolarization of PIP2 in all environments demonstrated the specificity of GFP-AktPH polarization during 2D and 3D lamellipodia-based migration. (B) The degree of phosphatidylinositol polarization in 2D and 3D CDMs was confirmed by immunofluorescence imaging of endogenous PIP2 and PIP3 in fixed cells \(n = 13\). Arrowheads indicate regions of polarized PIP3. Images were pseudocolored according to the 16-color scale. Cells are oriented with the leading edge toward the right of the figure. Bars, 10 µm.
Figure S4. **Confirmation that RhoA activity is required for lobopodia-based migration.** (A) The RhoA siRNA pool specifically reduces RhoA activity. The activity levels of Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA were measured by G-LISA activation assays after treatment of HFFs with the indicated siRNA pools from Fig. 4. Absorbance values were normalized to the relative amount of actin in each sample before being compared with the untreated control. *, P < 0.05 versus the siGLO control. (B) Western blot demonstrating the specificity of siRNA-mediated knockdown of Rac1, Cdc42, or RhoA using independent single siRNA sequences. HFF cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and lysed 72 h after transfection, and the lysates were blotted with the indicated antibodies. (C) Quantification of Western blots represented in B. *, P < 0.001 versus the siGLO control. (D) Single RhoA siRNA treatment switches cells to lamellipodia-based 3D migration in the CDM. 48 h after siRNA treatment, HFFs were transfected with GFP-actin and plated on the CDM. Though Cdc42 protein levels were reduced by both RhoA and Cdc42 siRNA treatments, only reduction of RhoA protein by RhoA siRNA decreased the percentage of lobopodia-bearing cells. *, P < 0.01 versus the negative control. (E–G) A single member of the RhoA siRNA pool used in Fig. 4 is sufficient to specifically reduce RhoA protein levels and switch cells to lamellipodia-based migration. (E) A Western blot demonstrating the specificity of siRNA-mediated knockdown of Rac1, Cdc42, or RhoA using independent single siRNA sequences. (F) Quantification of the blots represented in E. *, P < 0.001 versus the siGLO control. (G) Quantification of the percentage of cells without enrichment of cortactin at the leading edge after treatment with the indicated siRNA. *, P < 0.003 versus the negative control. (H) Cortactin localization in HFFs in the 3D CDM, either untreated or treated with 5 µg/ml cell-permeable C3 transferase. The arrow indicates the local accumulation of cortactin at the leading edge. The bottom graphs are the mean cortactin intensity profiles, measured from the leading edge (0 µm) toward the cell center of cells treated as indicated in the corresponding top images. Each intensity profile was averaged from 15 cells, with three measurements per cell. Bars, 10 µm. Cells are oriented with the leading edge toward the right of the figure. (I) Quantification of the percentage of cells without enrichment of cortactin at the leading edge in H. *, P < 0.002 versus untreated. Error bars show means ± SEM. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; a.u., arbitrary unit.
### Table S1. Comparison of cancer cell and normal cell 3D migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of 3D migration</th>
<th>Amoeboid cancer cellsa</th>
<th>Mesenchymal cancer cellsb</th>
<th>Lobopodia basedb</th>
<th>Lamellipodia basedb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Rounded (Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Elongated (Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Elongated</td>
<td>Elongated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can occur on 2D</td>
<td>Yes (Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Yes (Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D matrix adhesions</td>
<td>No (Sahai and Marshall, 2003; Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Yes (Sahai and Marshall, 2003; Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin stress fibers</td>
<td>No (Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Yesb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrin dependence</td>
<td>No (Carragher et al., 2006; Zaman et al., 2006)</td>
<td>Yes (Wolf et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear elastic</td>
<td>Nonlinear elastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Rac1 localization</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Not restricted to the leading edge</td>
<td>Polarized toward the leading edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Cdc42 localization</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Not restricted to the leading edge</td>
<td>Polarized toward the leading edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP3 localization</td>
<td>Uniformly distributed (Sahai and Marshall, 2003)</td>
<td>Ends of cell protrusions (Sahai and Marshall, 2003)</td>
<td>Not restricted to the leading edge</td>
<td>Polarized toward the leading edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of RhoA inhibition</td>
<td>Triggers a mesenchymal transition; cells become elongated and are less invasive in Matrigel (Sahai and Marshall, 2003)</td>
<td>Triggers a mesenchymal transition; cells become elongated and are less invasive in Matrigel (Sahai and Marshall, 2003)</td>
<td>Cells remain elongated, transition to lamellipodia-based motility, and migrate with equal efficiency in the CDM</td>
<td>Cells remain elongated, transition to lamellipodia-based motility, and migrate with equal efficiency in the CDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of Rac1 inhibition</td>
<td>Triggers an amoeboid transition; cells become rounded, are less invasive in collagen, and migrate faster on 2D (Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008; Yamazaki et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Triggers an amoeboid transition; cells become rounded, are less invasive in collagen, and migrate faster on 2D (Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008; Yamazaki et al., 2009)</td>
<td>No transition in 3D collagen (unpublished data) or the CDM; cells remain lamellipodial and lobopodial, respectively, and migrate faster in the CDM</td>
<td>No transition in 3D collagen (unpublished data) or the CDM; cells remain lamellipodial and lobopodial, respectively, and migrate faster in the CDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of Cdc42 inhibition</td>
<td>Triggers a mesenchymal transition; cells become elongated, are less invasive, and migrate slower (Gadea et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Triggers a mesenchymal transition; cells become elongated, are less invasive, and migrate slower (Gadea et al., 2008)</td>
<td>No transition; cells remain lobopodial and migrate slower in the CDM</td>
<td>No transition; cells remain lobopodial and migrate slower in the CDM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSome of these parameters may differ between specific cancer cell lines (Sahai and Marshall, 2003).
bThe results summarized in these columns are based on the current study.

---

**Video 1.** **Lobopodia-based 3D migration in the mammalian dermis.** Time-lapse video of an elongated HFF expressing GFP-actin (green) migrating in a dermal explant labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (red). Note the absence of lamellipodia and the rapid blebbing perpendicular to the axis of migration. GFP-actin was captured at one frame/10 s, and Alexa Fluor 633 was captured at one frame/30 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

---

**Video 2.** **Lobopodia-based 3D migration in the CDM and lamellipodia-based 2D migration on top of the CDM.** Time-lapse video of an HFF transfected with GFP-actin (green) migrating on top of (top frame) or inside (bottom frame) the CDM labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (red). Note the absence of lamellipodia and the rapid blebbing perpendicular to the axis of migration during migration in the 3D CDM. GFP-actin images were captured at one frame/10 s, and Alexa Fluor 633 was captured at one frame/60 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.
Video 3. **HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in type I collagen.** Time lapse of an elongated HFF expressing GFP-actin (grayscale) migrating inside 1.7 mg/ml collagen. GFP-actin was captured at one frame/10 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 4. **Reduction of RhoA protein switches the mode to lamellipodia-based 3D migration in the CDM.** This time-lapse video shows an elongated HFF expressing GFP-actin migrating inside the CDM 72 h after transfection with RhoA siRNA. Note the lamellipodia and absence of rapid lateral blebbing after RhoA siRNA treatment. GFP-actin was captured at one frame/10 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 5. **ROCK activity is required for lobopodia-based migration.** Time-lapse video of an HFF transfected with GFP-actin (grayscale) migrating inside the CDM. 10 µM Y-27632 addition caused the cell to briefly go out of focus. The imaging area was moved left after 201 frames to maintain focus on the leading edge. Note the loss of lateral blebbing followed by the formation of a lamellipodium at the leading edge. All cells that continued to protrude after Y-27632 treatment used lamellipodia (100%; n = 5). GFP-actin images were captured at one frame/10 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 6. **Myosin II inhibition reduces migration in 3D but not 2D CDMs.** Phase-contrast time-lapse of HFFs treated with 25 µM blebbistatin migrating in the CDM. Note that the nucleus of the (3D CDM) top cell is significantly impeded by the surrounding matrix, which may contribute to its reduced velocity, whereas the middle cell (2D CDM) migrates rapidly on the surface of the CDM. Phase images were captured at one frame/2 min with a phase Axiovert 40 C (Carl Zeiss). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 7. **HFFs use lamellipodia-based 3D migration in pliable CDMs.** Time-lapse of an HFF expressing GFP-actin (green) migrating in trypsinized CDM labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (red). Note the alignment of the CDM fibers along the axis of migration that is not evident during cell migration in the untreated CDM (Video 3) and the absence of lateral blebbing. GFP-actin was captured at one frame/10 s, and Alexa Fluor 633 was captured at one frame/60 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 8. **Cross-linking trypsinized CDM restores lobopodia-based 3D migration.** Time lapse of an HFF expressing GFP-actin (green) migrating inside the CDM labeled with Alexa Fluor 633 (red) that was chemically cross-linked after trypsinization. Note the absence of lamellipodia, presence of rapid, lateral blebbing, and the apparent rigidity of the surrounding matrix. GFP-actin was captured at one frame/10 s, and Alexa Fluor 633 was captured at one frame/60 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 9. **HFFs use lobopodia-based 3D migration in cross-linked collagen.** Time lapse of an HFF expressing GFP-actin (grayscale) migrating inside cross-linked 1.7 mg/ml type I collagen. GFP-actin images were captured at one frame/10 s using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.

Video 10. **Lamellipodia-based 3D HFF migration in 8.6 mg/ml collagen.** HFFs expressing GFP-actin (red–gold) do not remodel dense 8.6 mg/ml collagen during lamellipodia-based 3D migration. Collagen (reflectance) is shown in grayscale. Images were captured at one frame/60 s using a scanning confocal microscope (510 NLO META Axiovert 200M). The video plays at 14 frames/s.
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