Apoptotic regulators promote cytokinetic midbody degradation in C. elegans
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Cell death genes are essential for apoptosis and other cellular events, but their nonapoptotic functions are not well understood. The midbody is an important cytokinetic structure required for daughter cell abscission, but its fate after cell division remains elusive in metazoans. In this paper, we show through live-imaging analysis that midbodies generated by Q cell divisions in Caenorhabditis elegans were released to the extracellular space after abscission and subsequently internalized and degraded by the phagocyte that digests apoptotic Q cell corpses. We further show that midbody degradation is defective in apoptotic cell engulfment mutants. Externalized phosphatidylserine (PS), an engulfment signal for corpse phagocytosis, exists on the outer surface of the midbody, and inhibiting PS signaling delayed midbody clearance. Thus, our findings uncover a novel function of cell death genes in midbody internalization and degradation after cell division.

Introduction

Midbody, a singular organelle formed between the two nascent daughter cells by the cleavage furrow ingression, constitutes a tightly packed, antiparallel microtubule bundle covered with electron-dense periphery material (Glotzer, 2009; Steigemann and Gerlich, 2009). A proposed function of the midbody is to provide an anchoring point for the final abscission, in which microtubules in the cytoplasmic bridge or membrane connecting the daughter cells are severed by microtubule-severing enzymes or by the endosomal sorting complex required for transport machinery (Yang et al., 2008; Elia et al., 2011). After cytokinesis, the midbody can be either shed to the extracellular space for degradation or asymmetrically retained by one daughter cell.

A recent study suggests that midbody degradation is an important cellular process and that defects of midbody clearance are associated with human lysosomal storage disorders (Pohl and Jentsch, 2009). Midbody degradation may also contribute to cell fate determination; stem cell markers, such as CD133, are present in midbodies, and daughter cells that remain as stem cells retain their midbodies, whereas those that differentiate degrade or shed the midbodies (Eitinger et al., 2011; Kuo et al., 2011; Schink and Stenmark, 2011). However, most of our current knowledge of the midbody was obtained from cultured cell lines, and the fate of the midbody, in live animals, remains largely unknown.

Programmed cell death, an integral component of metazoan development, selectively destroys a subpopulation of excessively produced cells in developing tissues or damaged cells for adult tissue maintenance (Conradt, 2009). Genetic studies in Caenorhabditis elegans uncovered that three sequential pathways specify the fate of cell death, activate the suicide program, and degrade the dying cells (Conradt and Xue, 2005; Conradt, 2009). Transcriptional regulatory networks first activate the expression of the death-inducing geneegl-1in cells fated to die. EGL-1–mediated protein interaction cascade then activates a crucial caspase CED-3, which destroys subcellular structures and fragments nuclear DNA. Finally, the externalization of phosphatidylserine (PS) in the dying cell triggers two parallel signaling pathways (CED-1, CED-6, and CED-7; CED-2, CED-5, CED-10, and CED-12) in the phagocyte, which engulfs and degrades apoptotic cell corpses (Conradt and Xue, 2005; Conradt, 2009).

Cell death genes are also essential for various nonapoptotic cellular processes (Galluzzi et al., 2012; Hyman and Yuan, 2012). For example, a restricted and local modulation of caspase contributes to axon pruning and synapse elimination, which may refine mature neuronal circuits (Hyman and Yuan, 2012).
During axon pruning, the phagocytic receptor, Draper/CED-1 functions inside glial cells to engulf pruned or injured axons and dendrites in Drosophila melanogaster and axons undergoing Wallerian degeneration (Awasaki et al., 2006; Hooper et al., 2006; MacDonald et al., 2006; Logan et al., 2012). Compared with the investigation of apoptotic function of cell death genes, our understanding of their nonapoptotic functions is limited.

This study, for the first time, followed the dynamic behavior of the midbody, generated by C. elegans Q neuroblast asymmetric division, by spinning-disk confocal microscopy. We showed that Q cell midbodies are released after abscission and engulfed and degraded by a phagocyte that also degrades Q cell corpse. We found that externalized PS exists on the outer surface of the midbody and is important for midbody clearance. We provided genetic evidence that midbody degradation in Q cell and other C. elegans lineages requires the function of apoptotic cell corpse engulfment genes but not caspase.

Results and discussion

Midbody release in C. elegans Q neuroblast lineage

We developed fluorescence markers and live-imaging techniques to study the fate of the midbody generated by asymmetric divisions in the C. elegans Q neuroblast lineage. Each of the two Q cells on the left (QL) or the right (QR) sides of L1 larvae undergoes four asymmetric divisions to create three distinct neurons and two apoptotic cells, producing four midbody remnants through these divisions (Fig. 1 A; Sulston and Horvitz, 1977; Ou and Vale, 2009; Ou et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012). To follow the dynamic behavior of Q cell midbody, we expressed GFP-tagged ZEN-4 (mammalian MKLP1 homologue), a widely used midbody marker across species (Kaitna et al., 2000; Glotzer, 1977; Ou and Vale, 2009) and mCherry-tagged plasma membrane and histone in Q cell. As expected, GFP::ZEN-4 accumulated in the midbody during cytokinesis (Video 1).

We first examined the fate of the midbody after Q cell divisions by imaging at a single-cell resolution. Because the two daughter cells were well separated as a result of their migration, we were able to determine whether the midbody was associated with the neural progenitor, the apoptotic cell, or shed to extracellular space (Sulston and Horvitz, 1977; Ou and Vale, 2009). We found that GFP::ZEN-4 signals in the QR, Q.a, Q.p, or Q.pa were outside of the cell contour outlined by mCherry-tagged plasma membrane after division, indicating that the midbody remnants in the QR lineage were released to the extracellular space (Fig. 1 B and Video 1). To be different from the cell name, we used mQR, mQR.a, mQR.p, or mQR.pa to name related midbodies. For example, mQR (Q.a and Q.p) is generated by QR division.

The Q cell midbodies are internalized and degraded by the hyp7 cell

We examined midbody dynamics after release. We have recently found that the epithelial hyp7 cell in C. elegans is the phagocyte that internalizes apoptotic Q cell corpse (Li et al., 2012), so we examined the involvement of hyp7 cell in midbody engulfment. A hallmark for Q cell corpse internalization is the formation of an “actin halo” around the apoptotic cell corpse in the hyp7 cell (Li et al., 2012). Strikingly, we observed that GFP-tagged actin, specifically expressed in the hyp7 cell, also formed an actin halo around the Q.a midbody (mQ.a) at 37 ± 13 min (mean, SD; n = 23) after its birth (Fig. 1, C and E; and Video 2), indicating that mQ.a was internalized by the hyp7 cell.

We followed the behavior of Q cell midbody inside the hyp7 cell. After the apoptotic cell corpse is engulfed, the endocytic machinery in the phagocyte, such as RAB GTPases, mediates maturation events, culminating in lysosome delivery and the final digestion of cell corpse (Kinchen and Ravichandran, 2008). We examined whether such events also occur to Q cell midbodies. Indeed, live imaging revealed that GFP-tagged RAB-5 or RAB-7, an early or late endosome component, was recruited onto the mCherry-labeled mQ.a at 70 ± 20 min (n = 20) or 74 ± 22 min (n = 29) from the hyp7 cell after midbody birth (Videos 3 and 4). We further observed that the hyp7 cell lysosome, labeled by CTNS-1::GFP (Li et al., 2012), was recruited onto the Q cell midbody at 89 ± 18 min (n = 18) after its birth, and the CTNS-1::GFP aggregate lasted until the midbody was completely digested (Fig. 1, D and F; and Video 5). We further confirmed that the mQ.a was degraded in the hyp7 cell after internalization by using a different lysosome marker, NUC-1::mCherry (Video 6; Liu et al., 2012).

We systematically analyzed the fate of midbodies after each division in Q cell lineages in wild-type (WT) animals. Midbody remnants in QL and QR lineages had identical fates, and the degradation of Q, Q.a, Q.p, and Q.pa midbody remnants followed the order of their birth (Fig. 2 A). QR midbody (mQR), the first ones to be created in the Q cell lineage, were all eliminated at the three-cell stage of Q cell development (i.e., after Q.ap, Q.paa, and Q.pap were born; Fig. 2, A and C). mQR.a and mQR.p are generated by the second round of asymmetric cell division. 92 and 80% of mQR.a and mQR.p, respectively, disappeared at the three-cell stage, and none of the mQR.a or mQR.p was visible at the three-neuron stage (i.e., when neurites of Q.ap, Q.paa and Q.pap were visible; Fig. 2, A and C). mQR.pa formed in the last Q cell division, and 72% of them were detectable at the three-cell stage, but only 13% remained at the three-neuron stage. The fate and degradation pattern of midbodies from the QL lineage is similar to the fate of midbodies from the QR lineage (Fig. 2, A and C; and Fig. S1 B).

Apoptotic cell engulfment genes are required for midbody degradation

Given that the Q cell midbody is internalized and degraded by the hyp7 cell, the same phagocyte that digests apoptotic Q cell corpses, we asked whether cell death genes are essential for midbody clearance. Apoptosis is initiated by the activation of a caspase, C. elegans CED-3. Subsequently, two signaling pathways (CED-1, CED-6, and CED-7; CED-2, CED-5, and CED-12) activate a Rac GTPase (CED-10) that reorganizes the actin cytoskeleton to engulf apoptotic cell corpse (Conradt and Xue, 2005).
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We examined midbody degradation in mutants defective in the aforementioned pathways. In *ced-1(e1735), ced-6(n2095),* or *ced-7(n2094)* mutants, the clearance of all Q cell midbodies was significantly delayed; mQR normally disappeared at the three-cell stage, but >65% of mQR remained in *ced-1* and *ced-6* mutants, or 34% of mQR were retained in *ced-7* worms, and about half of the mQR were still visible even at the three-neuron stage in *ced-1* and *ced-6* mutants. The mQR.a, mQR.p, and mQR.pa also showed significant defects in degradation defective in the *ced-1* pathway (Fig. 2, B and C; and Fig. S1 A). All the midbody degradation in the QR lineage was similarly delayed in *ced-2(n1994), ced-5(n1812),* and *ced-10(n3246)* mutants (Fig. 2, B and C; and Fig. S1 A). However, midbody degradation in *ced-3(n717)* mutants was indistinguishable from that of the WT animals (Fig. 2, B and C). A similar impairment in midbody clearance of the QL lineage was observed in the cell corpse engulfment mutants but not *ced-3* mutants (Fig. S1 B). Thus, apoptotic cell engulfment genes, but not the caspase, were required for Q cell midbody degradation.

We performed kinetic analysis of Q cell midbody clearance in *ced-1* mutants to pinpoint which step was defective. Our time-lapse analysis (>150 min after midbody birth, *n* = 10) did not detect actin halo formation around the Q cell midbody in *ced-1* and *ced-6* mutants, or 34% of mQR were retained in *ced-7* worms, and about half of the mQR were still visible even at the three-neuron stage in *ced-1* and *ced-6* mutants. The mQR.a, mQR.p, and mQR.pa also showed significant defects in degradation defective in the *ced-1* pathway (Fig. 2, B and C; and Fig. S1 A). All the midbody degradation in the QR lineage was similarly delayed in *ced-2(n1994), ced-5(n1812),* and *ced-10(n3246)* mutants (Fig. 2, B and C; and Fig. S1 A). However, midbody degradation in *ced-3(n717)* mutants was indistinguishable from that of the WT animals (Fig. 2, B and C). A similar impairment in midbody clearance of the QL lineage was observed in the cell corpse engulfment mutants but not *ced-3* mutants (Fig. S1 B). Thus, apoptotic cell engulfment genes, but not the caspase, were required for Q cell midbody degradation.

We performed kinetic analysis of Q cell midbody clearance in *ced-1* mutants to pinpoint which step was defective. Our time-lapse analysis (>150 min after midbody birth, *n* = 10) did not detect actin halo formation around the Q cell midbody in *ced-1* worms, and the midbody did not degrade...
PS functions as an engulfment signal for midbody internalization

During apoptosis, externalized PS can function as an engulfment signal to induce cell corpse phagocytosis (Mapes et al., 2012). We asked whether PS also exists on the outer surface of the midbody and acts as an “eat me” signal to trigger midbody engulfment. (Fig. 2 D; Fig. S2, A and B; and Fig. S3). In contrast, we found that actin halo formation, lysosome recruitment, and the final degradation of the midbody in ced-3 mutants were as normal as those in WT (Fig. 2 D; Fig. S2, A and B; and Fig. S3). Thus, the engulfment of the midbody by the phagocyte was defective in ced-1 but not ced-3 mutants.
AnxV::GFP or sGFP::LactC1C2 was first recruited onto the midbody 26 ± 11 min (n = 11) or 28 ± 9 min (n = 14) after midbody birth (Fig. 3, A–D; and Videos 7 and 8). The recruitment of the two exPS markers on the midbody was indistinguishable but earlier than actin halo formation during midbody engulfment (37 min after midbody birth; Fig. S3 D), suggesting that exPS could provide an engulfment signal for the hyp7 cell to internalize the midbody.

We validated the specificity of sAnxV::GFP in C. elegans larvae. For this experiment, we deleted the secretion signal to test this idea, we used C. elegans heat shock promoters to express two PS-binding proteins, secreted Annexin V (AnxV; sAnxV)::GFP (Fig. 3 A) and secreted GFP (sGFP)::lactadherin C1 and C2 domains (sGFP::LactC1C2; Fig. 3 B; Mapes et al., 2012). Both markers were previously shown to recognize exposed PS (exPS) on apoptotic cell corpses in C. elegans with high sensitivity. We found that the sAnxV::GFP was associated with the mCherry::ZEN-4–tagged Q cell midbody (Fig. S2 C). We then performed time-lapse analysis of exPS dynamics on mQ.a.

sAnxV::GFP or sGFP::LactC1C2 was first recruited onto the midbody 26 ± 11 min (n = 11) or 28 ± 9 min (n = 14) after midbody birth (Fig. 3, A–D; and Videos 7 and 8). The recruitment of the two exPS markers on the midbody was indistinguishable but earlier than actin halo formation during midbody engulfment (37 min after midbody birth; Fig. S3 D), suggesting that exPS could provide an engulfment signal for the hyp7 cell to internalize the midbody.

We validated the specificity of sAnxV::GFP in C. elegans larvae. For this experiment, we deleted the secretion signal.
from sAnxV::GFP. We found that AnxV::GFP is largely diffusive, whereas sAnxV::GFP forms puncta (Video 9). Our time-lapse analysis showed that AnxV::GFP was not associated with the Qa midbody within 1 h since its birth (n = 13; Video 9), suggesting that sAnxV::GFP reliably marks exPS in *C. elegans* larvae.

We examined the importance of exPS signaling in midbody degradation. In mammalian cells, pretreatment of macrophages with AnxV masks PS and blocks apoptotic cell internalization (Callahan et al., 2003). An expression of sGFP::LacC1C2, but not sAnxV::GFP, inhibits PS signaling and causes a delay of apoptotic cell clearance in *C. elegans* (Mapes et al., 2012). We measured the duration of sAnxV::GFP and sGFP::LacC1C2 on the midbody. sAnxV::GFP only stained the midbody for 21 ± 10 min (n = 11) after its first appearance, whereas sGFP::LacC1C2 stayed on the midbody for 52 ± 24 min (n = 13; Fig. 3, C and E; and Fig. S3 E). We compared the lifetime of the midbody in the presence of sAnxV::GFP or sGFP::LacC1C2. The midbody was degraded 91 ± 16 min (n = 14) after its birth in the presence of sAnxV::GFP, similar to the WT midbody lifetime (97 min). However, the expression of sGFP::LacC1C2 significantly prolonged midbody lifetime to 145 ± 25 min (n = 10; Fig. 3 E and Fig. S3 C). The association of sGFP::LacC1C2 with exPS on the midbody may block the interaction of exPS with its receptor, inhibiting the downstream signal transduction for midbody internalization. Thus, exPS presence on the midbody is important for midbody degradation.

### The requirement of cell death genes for midbody degradation in other *C. elegans* lineages

To further explore whether cell death genes are required for midbody clearance in other *C. elegans* lineages, we examined midbody degradation in embryos. Most embryonic cell divisions are completed within 6 h after fertilization, and a *C. elegans* hermaphrodite generates 671 cells (Sulston et al., 1983) by 670 cell divisions. The rapid generation and large amount of embryonic midbody remnants precluded an accurate analysis of midbody degradation in embryos. We thus counted the number of embryonic midbodies in *C. elegans* L1 young hatchlings (0–4 h after hatching) because the postembryonic cells do not divide until 4 h after hatching. Using a strain expressing ZEN-4::GFP under its endogenous promoter to track all *C. elegans* midbody remnants (Kaitna et al., 2000), we found that only 6 ± 3 (n = 10) out of 670 embryonic midbody remnants were visible in L1 young hatchlings, ranging from 2 to 11 (Fig. 4, A and C). In contrast, embryonic midbody clearance was defective in *ced-1* mutants, which contained 33 ± 7 (n = 10) midbody remnants in young hatchlings, ranging from 23 to 47 (Fig. 4, A and C). Like that of the Q lineage, embryonic midbody clearance was normal in *ced-3* mutants (Fig. 4, A and C).

We further quantified midbody number in the pharynx terminal bulb and the tail of WT L4 larvae and that in cell death mutants. Zero to one midbody was visible around the pharynx terminal bulb or tail in the WT or *ced-3* mutants, but four to nine midbody remnants were detected in *ced-1* mutants (n = 10 for each measurement; Fig. 4, B and C). Thus, the requirement of the apoptotic cell engulfment genes in midbody clearance appears to be a general mechanism in *C. elegans* embryonic and postembryonic lineages.

### Conclusion

In summary, our findings show that the midbody generated in divisions by cells of the Q cell lineage in *C. elegans* is released after abscission and appears to use the same molecular and cellular mechanisms—namely the same “eat me” signal and the phagocyte—as those for an apoptotic cell for engulfment and degradation (Fig. 5). Although cell death genes are involved in midbody degradation, each of them may contribute to this process differently. For example, the defects of midbody clearance in *ced-7*, *ced-2*, and *ced-10* mutants were less severe than *ced-1*, *ced-6*, and *ced-5* mutants (Fig. 2 C and Fig. S1 B); TTR-52, a PS-binding bridging molecule, critical for apoptotic cell corpse degradation, was not essential for midbody clearance (unpublished data; Mapes et al., 2012); and *ced-1* and *ced-2* function in two parallel pathways in cell corpse clearance, but *ced-1*/*ced-2* double mutants did not enhance the *ced-1* single mutant phenotype in midbody degradation (Fig. S3 F). Such differences might be caused by the different sizes or other properties between cell corpses and midbodies. The diameter of cell corpses is ~3 µm, whereas the diameter of the midbody is only ~0.5 µm (Fig. 1, B–D; Mullins and Biesele, 1977). The smaller size of the midbody may make it an easier target to be engulfed, even without PS bridging molecules or with less help from other factors.

Our finding of Q cell midbody release is consistent with the notion that the midbody is shed or degraded in the differentiating cells (Ettinger et al., 2011; Kuo et al., 2011; Schink and Stenmark, 2011) because Q cells differentiate into neurons after three rounds of division. Interestingly, the midbody formed by the *C. elegans* first embryonic cell division is retained by the PI cell (Oegema, K., and R. Green, personal communication; Raich et al., 1998), suggesting that it may not use apoptotic mimicry for degradation. Midbody remnants can be degraded by autophagy in mammalian cells (Pohl and Jentsch, 2009), so it is possible that distinct mechanisms are used to degrade midbodies, depending on specific cell types or development stages.

Nevertheless, our finding expands the nonapoptotic functional repertoire of cell death genes. A range of cellular processes require the nonapoptotic function of cell death genes (Galluzzi et al., 2012; Hyman and Yuan, 2012). For example, during axon pruning or neural injury, Draper/CED-1 and CED-6 are required for the degradation of axon debris (Awasaki et al., 2006; Hoopfer et al., 2006; MacDonald et al., 2006), whereas the entry of vaccinia virus into the host cell critically depends on the exPS on the viral membrane (Mercer and Helenius, 2008). However, the engulfment signal from the damaged axons is largely unknown, and whether cell death genes are involved in viral entry was not examined. Our study has identified both PS signaling and apoptotic cell engulfment genes as essential components for midbody internalization, suggesting that a complete apoptotic mimicry functions in this process. The same genes may also play a broader role in engulfing other exPS-containing cellular debris; many exPS-positive...
particles are detectable in our live-imaging analysis of *C. elegans* larva (Videos 7 and 8).

Although vertebrates carrying mutations of cell death genes exhibit severe development disorders (Schafer and Kornbluth, 2006), apoptotic cell corpse engulfment genes in *C. elegans* are not essential for the viability of this organism (Conradt and Xue, 2005). Thus, the functional importance of midbody clearance in *C. elegans* remains unclear. It is, however, worth pointing
out that midbody degradation was known to be associated with human lysosomal storage disorders or mammalian cell fate determination (Pohl and Jentsch, 2009; Ettinger et al., 2011; Kuo et al., 2011; Schink and Stenmark, 2011).

Materials and methods

**C. elegans** strains, genetics, and DNA manipulations

We raised **C. elegans** strains, genetics, and DNA manipulations with human lysosomal storage disorders or mammalian cell ways, and phagocyte are used for midbody engulfment and degradation.
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**Figures**

*Figure 5. Apoptotic mimicry in midbody degradation.* An apoptotic cell externalizes phosphatidylserine (PS) as an engulfment signal to activate two signaling pathways (CED-1, -6, and -7; CED-2, -5, and -10) for apoptotic cell corpse internalization. The same PS signal, transduction pathways, and phagocytosis are used for midbody engulfment and degradation.

*Figure S1.** Transgenic L1 larvae (0–2 h after hatching) were placed at 33°C for 45 min. Heat shock treatment and lineage. mQR.a is more anterior than mQR.p. mQR is the most posterior, and mQR.ap is the most anterior in the QR lineage. mQR.a is more anterior than mQR.p. Heat shock treatment Transgenic L1 larvae (0–2 h after hatching) were placed at 33°C for 45 min followed by recovery of 2–3 h at 20°C.

**Statistical analysis**

We used the Student’s t test and χ² analysis to examine statistical differences of the midbody degradation, actin and lysosome recruitment, and PS recruitment and duration in WT and different genetic backgrounds as indicated in the figure legends.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows Q cell midbody degradation in cell death mutants. Fig. S2 shows actin, lysosome, and PS recruitment on the midbody. Fig. S3 shows statistical analysis of Q cell midbody degradation in WT and different genetic backgrounds. Table S1 shows C. elegans strains used in this study. Table S2 shows primers and plasmids for C. elegans transgenesis. Video 1 shows the birth and release of QR.a and QR.p midbodies. Video 2 shows the engulfment of the Q.a cell midbody by the hyp7 cell. Videos 3–8 show the recruitment RAB-5 (Video 3), RAB-7 (Video 4), CTN-1 (Video 5), NUC-1 (Video 6), sAnxV::GFP (Video 7), and sGFP::LaC1C2 (Video 8) onto the Q.a midbody. Video 9 shows the dynamics of AnxV::GFP in the C. elegans larva. Online supplemental material is available at [http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201209050/DC1](http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201209050/DC1).
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Figure S1.  **Q cell midbody degradation in cell death mutants.** (A) Defective Q cell midbody degradation in cell death mutants. Midbodies (ZEN-4/MKLP1 and GFP::ZEN-4) are shown in green, and the plasma membrane (mCherry with a myristoylation signal) and histone (his-24::mCherry) are shown in red. Bar, 5 µm. (B) Quantifications of midbody degradation in QL cell lineage in WT and cell death mutants at the three-cell [left] or three-neuron [right] developmental stage. *, P < 0.05, χ² test (mutant paired with WT). For each data point, n = 15–46 from a single experiment.
Figure S2. **Actin, lysosome, and PS recruitment on the midbody.** (A) Still images of actin recruitment on the midbody (red) in ced-1 (top) and ced-3 (bottom) mutants. (B) Still images of lysosome recruitment in ced-3 mutants. In A and B, the arrows point to the midbody, and the cell name is adjacent. GFP-tagged ACT-5 and CTNS-1 proteins were expressed under a hyp7 cell–specific promoter, and the midbodies in the Q cell lineage (mCherry::ZEN-4) were specifically labeled by the egl-17 promoter. (C) The PS marker (sAnxV::GFP) was recruited onto midbodies (mCherry::ZEN-4). The midbody name is adjacent. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure S3. Statistic analysis of Q cell midbody degradation in WT and different genetic backgrounds. (A) Actin recruitment time. (B) Lysosome recruitment time. (C) Midbody degradation time. (D and E) PS recruitment and duration. *; P < 0.01, the Student’s t test. (F) Quantifications of midbody degradation in QR (top) and QL (bottom) cell lineage in WT, ced-1, and ced-2 single mutants and ced-1; ced-2 double mutants at the three-cell (left) or three-neuron (right) developmental stage. N.S. by χ² test (mutant paired with WT). For each data point, n = 15–46 from a single experiment. Error bars represent SDs.
Table S1. C. elegans strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain name</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOU53</td>
<td>casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Microinjection and integration</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU494</td>
<td>ced-1(e1735); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU496</td>
<td>ced-10[n3246]; casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU499</td>
<td>ced-2(n1994); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU530</td>
<td>ced-1(e1735); casIs19[Ppgr::zen-4::gfp]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU572</td>
<td>ced-5(n1812); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU573</td>
<td>tr-52[m2078]; casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU575</td>
<td>ced-3(n717); casIs19[Ppgr::zen-4::gfp]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU576</td>
<td>ced-3(n717); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU600</td>
<td>qxIs281[Ppgr::cht-1::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU601</td>
<td>qxIs289[Ppgr::act-5::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU604</td>
<td>smIs76[Ppgr::AnxV::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU606</td>
<td>ced-7(n2094); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU609</td>
<td>ced-6(n2095); casIs15[Pegl::mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU612</td>
<td>ced-3(n717); qxIs281[Ppgr::cht-1::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU614</td>
<td>ced-1(e1735); qxIs289[Ppgr::act-5::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU616</td>
<td>ced-3(n717); qxIs289[Ppgr::act-5::gfp]; casIs13[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU618</td>
<td>smIs434[Ppgr::gal::lacr::2]; casIs130[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU675</td>
<td>qxIs318[Ppgr::gfp::rab-5]; casIs131[Ppgl::mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU677</td>
<td>casEx2001[Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::nuc-1::mCherry]</td>
<td>Microinjection</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU678</td>
<td>casIs169[Ppgr::zen-4::gfp]</td>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU813</td>
<td>qxIs317[Ppgr::gfp::rab-7]; casIs130[Ppgr::myr-mCherry::zen-4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU814</td>
<td>ced-1(e1735); ced-2(e1792); casIs15[Ppgl::myr-mCherry, Ppgr::gfp-TEV::zen-4, Ppgr::mCherry-TEV::his-24, pRF4]</td>
<td>Genetic cross</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOU815</td>
<td>casEx1134[Ppgr::AnxV::gfp, Ppgr::myr-mCherry::zen-4, unc+4]</td>
<td>Microinjection</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2. Primers and plasmids for C. elegans transgenesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid name</th>
<th>Primer 5'</th>
<th>Primer 3'</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pDONR P4-P1 R &lt;br&gt; Ppgr:17</td>
<td>gggggaatttatatagaaagtgcc-CTTCGCTCTATGGAAACACTC</td>
<td>ggggagctggctggtagaaacagcgtgCATAGCTCACAATTCGGCCACCTGA</td>
<td>The promoter of egli-17 (4.6 kb) was amplified from N2 and cloned into pDONR P4-P1 R via BP recombination reaction. mCherry-TEVS was amplified from plasmid and inserted into the pDONR P4-P1 R Ppgr:17 plasmid via the In-Fusion Advantage PCR Cloning kit (Takara Bio Inc.). zen-4 was amplified from N2 and inserted into the pDONR P4-P1 R Ppgr:17::mCherry-TEVS plasmid via the In-Fusion Advantage PCR Cloning kit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDONR P4-P1 R &lt;br&gt; Ppgr::mCherry-tev-s</td>
<td>cccaggaattgtaacaATGCTCTCAAGGGTGAAGAAAGATAAC</td>
<td>aggtgacttctacttacAGTACCTCACCCT-CCGCTGCTCCATGTTT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDONR P4-P1 R &lt;br&gt; Ppgr:17::mCherry-tev-s::zen-4</td>
<td>ggggagggggaatttatatagaaagtgcc-CTTCGCTCGCGTAAAGGAAGGAAATTA</td>
<td>ggggagctggctggtagaaacagcgtgCATAGCTCACAATTCGGCCACCTGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lowercase part of the primers is the adapter sequence designed for In-Fusion reaction, and the uppercase part is gene specific to amplify the C. elegans genomic DNA.
Video 1. **The birth and release of QR.a and QR.p midbodies.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU53) expressing GFP-tagged ZEN-4/midbody (green) and mCherry-tagged plasma membrane and histone in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every minute for 150 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 2. **The engulfment of the Q.a cell midbody by hyp7 cell.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU601) expressing GFP-tagged actin cytoskeleton (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every minute for 107 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 3. **The recruitment of RAB-5 onto the Q.a midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU675) expressing GFP-tagged RAB-5 (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every 30 s for 76 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 4. **The recruitment of RAB-7 onto the Q.a midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU813) expressing GFP-tagged RAB-7 (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every 45 s for 84 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 5. **The recruitment of CTNS-1 (lysosome) onto the Q.a midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU600) expressing GFP-tagged CTNS-1 (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every minute for 90 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 6. **The recruitment of NUC-1 (lysosome) onto the Q.a midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU677) expressing mCherry-tagged NUC-1 (red) in hyp7 cell and GFP-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (green). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every 30 s for 34 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 7. **The recruitment of sAnxV::GFP (PS) onto the Q.a midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU604) expressing sAnxV::GFP (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every minute for 72 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.
Video 8. **The recruitment of sGFP::LacZ1C2 (PS) onto the Q cell midbody.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU618) expressing sGFP::LacZ1C2 (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by a time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every 30 s for 51 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.

Video 9. **The dynamics of AnxV::GFP in *C. elegans* larva.** Transgenic *C. elegans* strain (GOU815) expressing AnxV::GFP (green) in the hyp7 cell and mCherry-tagged ZEN-4/midbody in Q cells (red). Images were taken by time-lapse fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer.Z1 microscope) attached to a spinning-disk confocal scan head (CSU-X1). Frames were taken every minute for 56 min. The display rate is seven frames per second.