Spindle assembly checkpoint proteins are positioned close to core microtubule attachment sites at kinetochores
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Spindle assembly checkpoint proteins have been thought to reside in the peripheral corona region of the kinetochore, distal to microtubule attachment sites at the outer plate. However, recent biochemical evidence indicates that checkpoint proteins are closely linked to the core kinetochore microtubule attachment site comprised of the Knl1–Mis12–Ndc80 (KMN) complexes/KMN network. In this paper, we show that the Knl1–Zwint1 complex is required to recruit the Rod–Zwilch–Zw10 (RZZ) and Mad1–Mad2 complexes to the outer kinetochore. Consistent with this, nanometer-scale mapping indicates that RZZ, Mad1–Mad2, and the C terminus of the dynein recruitment factor Spindly are closely juxtaposed with the KMN network in metaphase cells when their dissociation is blocked and the checkpoint is active. In contrast, the N terminus of Spindly is ~75 nm outside the calponin homology domain of the Ndc80 complex. These results reveal how checkpoint proteins are integrated within the substructure of the kinetochore and will aid in understanding the coordination of microtubule attachment and checkpoint signaling during chromosome segregation.

Introduction

The Mad1–Mad2 pathway of the spindle assembly checkpoint in animal cells depends on the protein module of Zwint1, Rod–Zw10–Zwilch (RZZ), Mad1–Mad2, Spindly, and dynein–dynactin (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). In prometaphase, Zwint1 helps recruit RZZ, RZZ helps recruit Mad1–Mad2 and Spindly, and Spindly recruits dynein–dynactin to kinetochores (Starr et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2004; Buffin et al., 2005; Kops et al., 2005; Griffis et al., 2007; Gassmann et al., 2008; Chan et al., 2009; Barisic et al., 2010). Kinetochore-bound Mad1–Mad2 produces a modified Mad2 that binds and inhibits the ability of Cdc20 to activate the APC/C (anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome; Musacchio 2011). The inhibition of APC/C that prevents anaphase disappears when Mad1–Mad2 becomes depleted from all kinetochores as they acquire a full complement of kinetochore microtubules (kMTs) and come under tension as a result of chromosome biorientation (Musacchio and Salmon, 2007; Maldonado and Kapoor, 2011). Tension is thought to be important for causing loss of kinetochore Mad1–Mad2 by promoting both stabilization of kMT attachment and destabilization of Zw10 through an Aurora B kinase–dependent regulatory system (Famulski and Chan, 2007; Maresca and Salmon, 2010; Kasuboski et al., 2011; Lampson and Cheeseman, 2011). In addition, in animal cells, depletion of Mad1–Mad2 from kinetochores and inactivation of the checkpoint depend critically on microtubule motor activity of the dynein–dynactin complex, which is linked to Spindly (Griffis et al., 2007; Gassmann et al., 2008; Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). The formation of kMTs provides MT roadways for dynein motor activity to “strip” Mad1–Mad2, RZZ, and Spindly from kinetochores (Howell et al., 2001; Wojcik et al., 2001; Basto et al., 2004). Zwint1 appears to be stable at kinetochores (Famulski et al., 2008), whereas a full complement of kMTs at metaphase destabilizes RZZ and substantially
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levels at kinetochores—Knl1 was present only at (Fig. 1, A–C). Zwint1 depletion also significantly reduced Knl1 based on kinetochore immunostaining and immunoblotting for all subsequent experiments.

We first compared the effects of individual depletion of Knl1 and Zwint1 on the localization of the other in nocodazole-treated cells (Fig. 1, F–H; and Fig. S2 A). By comparison, there was an essentially complete loss of Rod and Zwilch in Knl1-depleted cells in both conditions (Fig. 2, E–G; and Fig. S2 A). The partial versus near-complete effect on RZZ localization of Zwint1 versus Knl1 depletion was mirrored in analysis of Mad1 kinetochore targeting, which requires RZZ (Buffin et al., 2005; Kops et al., 2005). RNAi of Zwint1 caused only ~35% loss of Mad1 from kinetochores of both prometaphase and nocodazole-treated cells (Fig. 1, I and J; and Fig. S2 A), whereas RNAi of Knl1 caused ~99% loss of Mad1 from prometaphase kinetochores and ~85% after nocodazole treatment (Fig. 2, H and I; and Fig. S2 A).

These results show that Knl1 and Zwint1 both contribute to the proper kinetochore recruitment of RZZ and Mad1–Mad2 to unattached kinetochores. Zwint1, initially identified as a yeast two-hybrid interaction partner for ZW10, has been suggested to be the major recruitment factor for the RZZ complex (Starr et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2004). Work in multiple species, including yeasts that completely lack RZZ, has shown that Zwint1 family proteins are conserved interaction partners of the KNL-1 protein family (Pagliuca et al., 2009; Petrovic et al., 2010). To explore the relationship between Zwint1 and Knl1 in targeting of RZZ in a system other than HeLa cells, we analyzed the Zwint1 orthologue KBP-5 in Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. C. elegans RZZ, like human RZZ, requires KNL-1 for its kinetochore localization (Gassmann et al., 2008). A mutant allele of kbp-5 in C. elegans (which deletes 75% of the KBP-5 coding sequence; Fig. 2 J and Fig. S1 A) is viable, enabling analysis of RZZ localization in a genetic loss of function of a Zwint1 orthologue. We crossed an integrated transgene expressing GFP-Zw10 from the kbp-5 mutant strain and analyzed kinetochore localization of GFP-Zw10 in early embryos, which showed no significant effect of the kbp-5 deletion (Fig. 2 J and Fig. S1 B). Thus, in C. elegans, RZZ localization is not dependent on the Zwint1 orthologue KBP-5. Whether the partial loss of RZZ and Mad1 in Zwint1-depleted HeLa cells is caused by a direct contribution from Zwint1 to RZZ recruitment that has been lost in C. elegans KBP-5 or is a result of an indirect effect of the reduction in kinetochore-localized Knl1 in Zwint1-depleted cells remains to be resolved.

**Results and discussion**

Knl1 and Zwint1 are partially codependent for their kinetochore localization and recruit RZZ and Mad1 to kinetochores

We first compared the effects of individual depletion of Knl1 and Zwint1 on the localization of the other in nocodazole-treated HeLa cells, where dynein-dependent stripping is prevented. The immunofluorescence procedure we employed involved a brief prefixation followed by detergent extraction and a longer fixation. To ensure that the extraction did not influence the observed localizations, we also fixed cells for a longer period first before extraction. We found that kinetochore labeling of two kinetochore proteins—Zwint1 and Zwilch—was not significantly different between the two procedures (unpublished data). Fixing cells with paraformaldehyde alone without any extraction yielded images of poor quality that were unsuitable for reliable quantification of kinetochore fluorescence (unpublished data). We therefore employed the brief prefixation, extraction, and fixation method, which resulted in the best quality kinetochore labeling, for all subsequent experiments.

Zwint1 was reduced after siRNA transfection by >98% based on kinetochore immunostaining and immunoblotting (Fig. 1, A–C). Zwint1 depletion also significantly reduced Knl1 levels at kinetochores—Knl1 was present only at ~40% of the level observed in control cells (Fig. 1, D and E). In the converse scenario, depletion of Knl1 eliminated both Knl1 and Zwint1 kinetochore immunostaining by >97% (Fig. 2, A–D). These results suggest interdependency of Knl1 and Zwint1 kinetochore localization, with Knl1 being required for Zwint1 localization and Zwint1 exerting a partial but significant effect on Knl1 localization.

Zwint1 depletion resulted in ~60% reduction at kinetochores of the RZZ complex subunits Rod and Zwilch in both prometaphase and nocodazole-treated cells compared with controls (Fig. 1, F–H; and Fig. S2 A). Previous work has proposed that Hec1 recruits Zwint1, which in turn recruits RZZ to kinetochores (Lin et al., 2006). This claim appears difficult to reconcile with the tight interaction between
Figure 1. Partial loss of Knl1, RZZ, and Mad1 at Zwint1-depleted kinetochores. (A) Immunoblot of endogenous Zwint1 and α-tubulin (loading control) from HeLa cells treated with control or Zwint1 siRNA for 48 h. (B, E, F, H, and I) Nocodazole-treated HeLa cells treated with either control luciferase or Zwint1 siRNA were immunostained using anti-Hec1 9G3 (B, E, F, and H) or anti-Zwint1 (I) and either anti-Zwint1 (B), anti-Knl1 (E), anti-Rod (F), anti-Zwilch (H), or anti-Mad1 (I) antibodies. (C, D, G, and J) Kinetochore fluorescence was normalized relative to Hec1 (C, D, and G) or ACA (J) in control and Zwint1-depleted cells. n = 100 kinetochores; P < 0.001. Error bars are SD from the means. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure 2. **Complete loss of Zwint1, RZZ, and Mad1 at Knl1-depleted kinetochores.** (A–I) Nocodazole-treated HeLa cells treated with either control luciferase or Knl1 siRNA were immunostained using anti-Hec1 9G3 (A, C, E, and G) or anti-Knl1 (H) and either anti-Knl1 (A), anti-Zwint1 (B), anti-Rod (E), anti-Zwilch (G), or anti-Mad1 (H) antibodies. Kinetochore fluorescence was normalized relative to Hec1 (B, D, and F) or ACA (I) in control and Knl1-depleted cells. \( n = 100 \) kinetochores; \( P < 0.001 \). Error bars are SD from the means. (J) Images showing kinetochore localization of GFP-Zw10 in metaphase one-cell-stage embryos of control and *kbp-5Δ* (ok1358) alleles expressing mCherry-H2B. Bars, 5 μm.
Table 1. Summary of Delta values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epitope</th>
<th>To 9G3</th>
<th>To CENP-I</th>
<th>Average to CENP-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwint1 (normal)</td>
<td>51 ± 4</td>
<td>13 ± 9</td>
<td>15 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwint1 (motif mutant)</td>
<td>49 ± 8</td>
<td>16 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwint1-C (normal)</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>17 ± 9</td>
<td>19 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwint1-C–GFP (normal)</td>
<td>44 ± 6</td>
<td>21 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwilch (normal)</td>
<td>6 ± 11</td>
<td>59 ± 14</td>
<td>64 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwilch (motif mutant)</td>
<td>-3 ± 13</td>
<td>68 ± 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zw10-C (motif mutant)</td>
<td>29 ± 15</td>
<td>36 ± 17</td>
<td>36 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP–Zw10-N (normal)</td>
<td>13 ± 14</td>
<td>52 ± 16</td>
<td>52 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod-N (motif mutant)</td>
<td>10 ± 14</td>
<td>55 ± 16</td>
<td>55 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP–Spindly-N (Motif mutant)</td>
<td>-74 ± 14</td>
<td>140 ± 17</td>
<td>140 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9G3 (motif mutant)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65 ± 8</td>
<td>65 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mad1 (motif mutant)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34 ± 15</td>
<td>34 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindly-C (motif mutant)</td>
<td>-4 ± 25</td>
<td>69 ± 25</td>
<td>69 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hec1-C-tdTomato (normal)</td>
<td>40 ± 5</td>
<td>25 ± 9</td>
<td>25 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of Delta values obtained from measurements of 13 different epitopes spanning the Zwint1–RZZ complex, Mad1, and Spindly made relative to either the Hec1 CH (head) domain or the constitutive centromere-associated network protein CENP-I. The average distance of an epitope to CENP-I in the rightmost column refers to the average of the measurements between normal and Spindly motif metaphase kinetochores. NA, not applicable.

Knl1 and Zwint1 observed in biochemical analysis (Petrovic et al., 2010) and the close relationship between Knl1 and Zwint1 in their kinetochore localization dependency (Fig. 1, D and E; and Fig. 2, B and C). We therefore reexamined the relationship between Hec1/Ndc80 and Knl1/Zwint1 as well as the effect of Hec1 depletion on RZZ localization. For HeLa cells, we found that Zwint1 was recruited to kinetochores before Hec1 (Fig. S2 B). Depletion of Hec1 in nocodazole-treated and prometaphase HeLa cells (Guimaraes et al., 2008) did not produce any appreciable increase in Zwint1 staining at kinetochores (Fig. S2 C and not depicted); the reason for the discrepancy with the previous work (Lin et al., 2006) is unclear. Moreover, depletion of Hec1 from nocodazole-treated HeLa cells (Fig. S2, A, D, and E) or PtK1 cells (Fig. S2 F) resulted in only a minor reduction of RZZ and Mad1 at kinetochores. Thus, Hec1 is not required to recruit Zwint1 or RZZ to kinetochores although it is required to retain these proteins at unattached prometaphase kinetochores in the presence of dynein stripping (Fig. S2 A; DeLuca et al., 2003; Guimaraes et al., 2008; Sundin et al., 2011).

A dynein binding–deficient Spindly motif mutant enables mapping Zwint1, RZZ, and Mad1 location within the substructure of the metaphase kinetochore

The aforementioned data predict a close relationship between Knl1/Zwint1, the RZZ complex, Mad1–Mad2, Spindly, and dynein–dynactin. To test this prediction, we used our previously described Delta analysis method (Wan et al., 2009) to map the location of Zwint1 and Zwilch, the N terminus of Rod, and the N and C termini of Zw10. The Delta method currently requires paired metaphase kinetochores, which poses a challenge for analyzing proteins such as Mad1–Mad2 and RZZ that are completely or partially removed from kinetochores after microtubule attachment (Hoffman et al., 2001). To circumvent this challenge, we used cells expressing a point mutant (F258A) in a conserved motif of Spindly, which prevents dynein recruitment to kinetochores and causes checkpoint proteins to persist at metaphase kinetochores (Gassmann et al., 2010). Notably, the metaphase persistence of checkpoint proteins is associated with active checkpoint signaling, indicating that the location analysis performed in the Spindly motif mutant reflects a functional state. Previous Delta analysis showed that the distance between the outer, MT-binding head domain of Hec1 and the inner kinetochore protein CENP-I is unchanged in the Spindly mutant, suggesting that its presence does not alter kinetochore architecture (Gassmann et al., 2010). To confirm this, we mapped the location of Zwint1 and the RZZ component, Zwilch, relative to the Hec1 head domain (9G3 antibody epitope) in both the control metaphase and Spindly motif mutant–expressing HeLa cells. Zwint1 is not appreciably depleted between prometaphase and metaphase cells (Famulski et al., 2008), whereas Zwilch is partially depleted after attachment (Gassmann et al., 2010). There were no significant differences in Delta between 9G3 and Zwint1 or 9G3 and Zwilch for the two states (see Fig. 4 G and Table 1; Gassmann et al., 2010), indicating that use of the Spindly mutant state accurately reports physiological kinetochore architecture.

To demonstrate that the methods used to extract and fix the cells before Delta analysis did not interfere with the measurements, we performed live-imaging experiments in HeLa cells transfected with two different pairs of fluorescent-labeled kinetochore protein markers and measured Delta for both pairs. The mean Delta measured for the mCherry–CENP-C/Hec1-GFP pair was 40 ± 19 nm (n = 209) for live cells and 36 ± 11 nm (n = 100) for fixed cells (Fig. 3, A and C; and Video 1). The mean Delta measured for the Zwint1-GFP/Hec1-tdTomato pair was 0 ± 15 nm (n = 194) for live cells and 3 ± 10 nm (n = 100) for fixed cells (Fig. 3, B and C; and Video 2). Neither of these measurements exhibited a statistically significant difference between live and fixed cells (paired Student’s t test).

In Fig. 4 (A–F’), we show example Delta measurements of RZZ, Mad1, and Spindly relative to the Hec1 head domain. We note that the diffraction-limited images of kinetochores
The origin along the inner–outer kinetochore axis is set to zero at the average CENP-I label position of metaphase control or Spindly motif mutant cells (Fig. 5 A and Table 1). None of the proteins tested (Zwint1, Rod, Zwilch, Zw10, Mad1, and Spindly) showed any dependence of Delta on centromere tension, based on the near zero slopes of plots of Delta as a function of interkinetochore separation (Fig. 4); for comparison, analyzed for each protein label are typically wider than their thickness and are occasionally tilted relative to the sister–sister kinetochore axis (Fig. 4 H). Table 1 lists the final average Delta values for each fluorescent label pair after minor correction based on kinetochore tilt (Wan et al., 2009). In the summary map in Fig. 5 A, the new measurements are integrated with previous measurements of inner and outer kinetochore proteins (Wan et al., 2009). The origin along the inner–outer kinetochore axis is set to zero at the average CENP-I label position of metaphase control or Spindly motif mutant cells (Fig. 5 A and Table 1).

None of the proteins tested (Zwint1, Rod, Zwilch, Zw10, Mad1, and Spindly) showed any dependence of Delta on centromere tension, based on the near zero slopes of plots of Delta as a function of interkinetochore separation (Fig. 4); for comparison,
Figure 4. Kinetochore location of Zwint1, RZZ complex, Mad1, and Spindly in metaphase HeLa cells expressing Spindly containing the motif mutation (GFP-SpindlyG258A). [A, C, E, and F] Example red and green immunofluorescence image pairs used for Delta analysis. Bars, 5 µm. [A’, B’, C’, D’, E’, and F’] Example plots of measured Delta values as a function of interkinetochore (K-K) stretch. [G] Comparison of distances measured between CENP-I and three different epitopes in control and Spindly motif mutant metaphase cells. The Hec1–CENP-I separation measurements are replotted from Gassmann et al. (2010). Error bars are SD from the means. [H] Images of a typical sister kinetochore pair for each of the epitopes whose centroids have been measured (indicated by the labels at the bottom) using Delta analysis. Bar, 1 µm.
Figure 5. Summary of protein label position along the kMT axis for 26 epitopes in 23 kinetochore proteins in control and Spindly motif mutant (Spindly F258A)-expressing cells. (A) The positions of the newly measured proteins/epitopes obtained from mean values of Delta measurements in Table 1, whereas the previously published measurements are from Wan et al. (2009). Scale on the left side is set to 0 at the position of CENP-I; positive values are outward (toward the spindle microtubules), whereas negative values are inward (toward the centromeric chromatin). Color-coded boxes indicate complexes and large proteins. Black dots indicate the average Delta values that have been corrected for tilt. The vertical lines indicate the minimum and maximum Delta values measured across the variation in centromere stretch. (B) A schematic view of kinetochore protein architecture for the RZZ and Mad1–Mad2 checkpoint protein module relative to the KMN network for metaphase spindly motif mutant cells based on measurements in A. KK, interkinetochore; N, N terminus; C, C terminus.
Zwint1 is located close to the junction between the Mis12 complex and the Spc24/Spc25 end of the Ndc80 complex. Zwint1 is a small (30 kD and 277 aa) protein. For both control metaphase and Spindly motif mutant cells, a polyclonal antibody to Zwint1 was located on average 15 ± 9 nm outside of CENP-I (Fig. 5 A). The mean positions of an antibody specific for the Zwint1 C terminus or GFP fused to the C terminus of Zwint1 were 19 ± 10 nm outside of CENP-I (Fig. 5 A). The polyclonal antibody for Zwint1 likely provides a good measure of the mean position of the C terminus of Knl1 based on in vitro binding experiments (Petrovic et al., 2010). Thus, the position of the C-terminus of Knl1 and its bound Zwint1 is ~30 nm on average inside the N terminus of Knl1 along the kMT axis (Fig. 5 A). This also indicates that Knl1 extends along the axis of kMTs in metaphase human kinetochores as does the Ndc80 complex (Wan et al., 2009). Knl1 is a large protein of ~300 kD, and its 3D structure is yet to be elucidated.

RZZ subunits localize in proximity to the N termini of Knl1 and Hec1

In biochemical reconstitutions, RZZ forms a tight complex (Civril et al., 2010). Rod is a large protein (242 kD and 2,200 aa) with a β-propeller structure at its N terminus, a Sec39p domain in its middle, and repeated α-helical domains from the middle to the C terminus, making it an elongated structure (Civril et al., 2010). An antibody directed against the N-terminal region of Rod was on average located 55 ± 16 nm outside of CENP-I, which is ~10 nm inside of the 9G3 label on Hec1 calponin homology (CH) domain (Fig. 5 A).

Zwilch is a slightly elongated globular protein (~65 kD) that binds to the N-terminal region of Rod (Civril et al., 2010). A polyclonal antibody to Zwilch was located at ~64 ± 15 nm from CENP-I, ~9 nm outside of the N-terminal of Rod, and coincident with the 9G3 on Hec1 (Fig. 5 A).

Zw10 is a slightly larger globular protein (~86 kD) than Zwilch. The C terminus of Zw10 binds the Sec39p domain in the middle of Rod (Civril et al., 2010). We measured in Spindly motif mutant cells the mean position of a peptide antibody directed against the C terminus of Zw10 at 36 ± 17 nm outside of CENP-I and ~29 nm inside of 9G3 on Hec1 (Fig. 5 A). Thus, the C terminus of Zw10 (and possibly the middle of Rod) is ~20 nm on average inside of the mean position of the N terminus of Rod.

We used a HeLa cell line stably expressing GFP-Zw10 (Kops et al., 2005) to measure the location of N terminus of Zw10. Although partially depleted at metaphase kinetochores, sufficient levels of Zw10 persist in normal cells for accurate measurements (Fig. S3 C; Famulski and Chan, 2007). The mean position of antibodies to GFP was 13 ± 14 nm inside of Hec1 9G3 and ~33 nm distal to the Zwint1 C terminus. This significant separation between Zw10 and Zwint1 measured at metaphase may be produced by the release of the N terminus of Zw10 from Zwint1 between prometaphase and metaphase as proposed previously (Kasuboski et al., 2011). If so, this must occur independently of force generated by dynein anchored to the RZZ complex via Spindly, as the same results are observed for both control and Spindly motif mutant–expressing cells (Table 1).

The Mad2-binding domain of Mad1 is in close proximity to the C terminus of Zw10, the N terminus of Knl1, and Knl1-associated Bub1

Mad1 (718 aa) forms a homodimer and has a globular C-terminal domain and an α-helical coiled-coil domain that extends from about 600 aa to the N terminus (Kim et al., 2012). The Mad2-binding domain is located before the C-terminal domain at aa 530–560. To label the Mad2 binding site of Mad1, we used a monoclonal antibody whose epitope is located in the region encompassing aa 578–589 (Musacchio, A., personal communication), which is close to the Mad2-binding domain. In Spindly motif mutant–arrested metaphase cells, the average position of the centroid of this antibody was 34 ± 15 nm outside of CENP-I and ~31 nm inside Hec1 9G3 (Fig. 5 A). This places the Mad2-binding domain on Mad1 near the position measured previously for Bub1 bound to the N terminus of Knl1 along the kMT axis (Wan et al., 2009) and in close proximity to the C terminus of Zw10.

Spindly extends from the RZZ complex into kinetochore domains peripheral to the outer plate

Spindly is a largely coiled-coil and predicted elongated protein. In Spindly motif mutant cells that fail to recruit dynein–dynactin, the average position of antibodies generated against the C terminus of Spindly was 4 ± 25 nm outside the position of 9G3, which is close to the measured position of Zwilch (Fig. 5 A). In contrast, GFP fused to the N terminus of Spindly was 74 ± 14 nm outside of 9G3, extending well into the kinetochore domain peripheral to the outer plate (Fig. 5 A). This places the attachment site on Spindly for dynein–dynactin well outside of the KMN network, potentially to help accommodate the large size of dynein–dynactin. These measurements also suggest Zwilch and its bound N terminus of Rod as the potential recruitment site on RZZ for Spindly.

Summary and conclusions

The depletion and mapping experiments for human kinetochores implicate Knl1 as the key scaffold for checkpoint protein recruitment, a finding supported by results for C. elegans embryos. We also find that Zwint1, RZZ, Mad1–Mad2, and the C terminus of Spindly are in close proximity to and extend along the core kMT attachment site at metaphase when dynein stripping is blocked and the spindle assembly checkpoint is not silenced (Fig. 5, A and B). If the checkpoint proteins are associated with the components of the fibrous corona that remain in metaphase, such as CENP-E and CENP-F (Wan et al., 2009), these coronal fibers overlap with the KMN network.
Our data also suggest that control of Mad1 levels at kinetochores is likely more complicated than release of binding of Zw10 to Zwint1 as proposed recently (Kasuboski et al., 2011). How Mad1–Mad2, Spindly, and dynein–dynactin are substantially depleted from normal metaphase kinetochores but leave moderate levels of RZZ with Zw10 located ∼33 nm or more outside of Zwint1 is an important unresolved issue (Fig. S3, A–F; Chan et al., 2000; Famulski and Chan, 2007; Musacchio and Salmon, 2007; Gassmann et al., 2010). The answer to this puzzle likely depends on contributions from multiple low affinity binding sites for Mad1 provided by proteins associated with the KMN network, including RZZ, Bub1 bound to Knl1, the Hec1 CH domain (Fig. S2 A; Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012), and in C. elegans, Spindly (Yamamoto et al., 2008). In addition, the activities of kinases, such as Mps1 and Aurora B, are involved (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). The results described here should aid development of mechanistic insights into this critical aspect of checkpoint signaling and silencing.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfections

HeLa cells (normal and stably expressing a GFP-tagged protein of interest) were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. Spindly motif mutant HeLa cells were analyzed after endogenous Spindly depletion and tetracycline induction of the motif mutant as in Gassmann et al. (2010). cDNA transfections were performed using Effectene (QIAGEN) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. siRNA transfections were performed with a total of 100 nM of each siRNA duplex or SMARTpool of oligonucleotides using either DharmaFECT 1 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Synthetic duplexed RNA oligonucleotides for Zwint1 (Lin et al., 2006) and Hec1 depletion (Deluca et al., 2005) were synthesized by Thermo Fisher Scientific. A separate SMARTpool of siRNA oligonucleotides was also used for Zwint1 depletion (catalog no. L006830000005; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Stealth siRNA oligonucleotides for Knl1 depletion (Kiyomitsu et al., 2007) were synthesized by Invitrogen, and an siRNA for Spindly (S’-GAAAGGGU-CUCAACUGAA-3’) was synthesized by Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Antibodies

Anti-Zwint1 polyclonal, anti-Zw10 polyclonal, and anti-Mad1 monoclonal rabbit antibodies were provided by A. Musacchio (Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany), anti-Zw10 C-terminal rabbit polyclonal antibody was provided by R. Vale (Columbia University, New York, NY), anti-Rod N-terminal rabbit polyclonal antibody was provided by G. Chan (University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada), anti–human anti-CREST antiserum (ACA) antibody was provided by B. Brinkley ( Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX), anti–CENP-I rabbit polyclonal antibody was provided by S.-T. Liu (The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH), anti–Knl1 rabbit polyclonal antibody has been previously described (Cheeseman et al., 2008), and Spindly C-terminal rabbit polyclonal antibody was affinity purified from serum obtained using aa 450–605 of Spindly as the antigen. Other primary antibodies used in this study include anti-Hec1 mouse monoclonal antibody (Abcam), anti-GFP rabbit polyclonal (Invitrogen), and mouse monoclonal (EMD Millipore) antibodies, anti-mCherry (Takara Bio Inc.) and anti-mRFP (MBL) rabbit polyclonal antibodies, and the anti-Zwint1 C-terminal rabbit polyclonal antibody (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.). Alexa Fluor 488–, Rhodamine Red-X–, or Cy5-labeled donkey secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.

Immunofluorescence microscopy and Delta analysis

Cells were rinsed briefly in PHEM buffer (120 mM Pipes, 50 mM Hepes, 20 mM EGTA, and 4 mM magnesium acetate, pH 6.9) before fixation. Cells were typically prefixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30–60 s, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min, and then fixed for 20 min using 4% paraformaldehyde. We also employed alternate fixation procedures to ensure that the extraction did not influence the observed kinetochore staining patterns. Cells were then included fixing cells with 4% paraformaldehyde for a longer period (20 min) first before extraction using 0.5% Triton X-100 and also with 4% paraformaldehyde alone for 20 min without any extraction. For Zw10 antibody staining, the cells were fixed for 6 min at −20°C with ice-cold methanol. All the antibody incubations and washes were also performed in PHEM buffer plus 0.05% BSA. All antibody incubations were at 37°C for 1 h, and washes were performed for 10 min at RT. DAPI staining (0.1 µg/ml) was performed for 10 min, and cells were mounted using either ProLong Antifade or ProLong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen) or a solution of 0.5% p-phenylenediamine in 90% glycerol and 20 mM Tris, pH 8.8. Nocodazole treatment of cells was for 30 min at 37°C at a final concentration of 10 µM. For image acquisition, 3D stacks were obtained sequentially at 200-nm steps along the z axis through the cell using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices) and a high-resolution inverted microscope (TE300; Nikon) equipped with a spinning disk (CSU-10; Yokogawa Corporation of America) with image magnification yielding a 65-nm pixel size from the cooled charge-coupled device camera (Orca ER; Hamamatsu Photonics; Maddox et al., 2003) and an 100x/1.4 NA (Plan Apochromat) differential interference contrast oil immersion objective (Nikon). For kinetochore fluorescence quantifications, the average values for integrated fluorescence minus background for control and experimental cell samples were normalized by the average value obtained from the normal cells (Hoffman et al., 2001). Bars are 5 µm in all figures and supplemental figures unless otherwise defined in the figure legends.

For Delta analysis, 3D centroid positions were first measured by 3D Gaussian-fitting function for the fluorescent signals of protein epitopes of different colo. (Wan et al., 2009). For each kinetochore, centroids of one color were projected to the axis defined by the centroid of the other color, usually the centroid of either the Hec1 9G3 epitope or CENP-I. The average separation of the projection distance (Delta) between the signals of different colors for that pair was then calculated (Wan et al., 2009). For image acquisition from GFP-Spindly motif mutant-expressing cells, secondary antibodies conjugated with Rhodamine Red-X and Cy5 fluorophores were used to detect the epitopes subjected to Delta analyses, whereas for all other Delta measurements, Alexa Fluor 488– or Rhodamine Red-X–conjugated secondary antibodies were used.

Live-cell imaging

HeLa cells were synchronized by double thymidine treatment and double transfected (using Effectene) with the required live kinetochores marker pair. Zwint1-GFP/Hec1-cTomato (Zwint1-GFP was a gift from G. Chan) or mCherry–CENP-C/Hec1-GFP (mCherry–CENP-C was a gift from A. Straight, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA), during the first round of thymidine treatment. Live imaging was performed at 9 h after the second thymidine washout, and image stacks of double-transfected metaphase cells with kinetochore labeling of both the markers were obtained using the same imaging set up as for fixed cell image acquisition. A focal plane with maximum number of kinetochore pairs was selected for Delta analysis. Same kinetochore pairs were measured multiple times until they bleached out to average the effect of kinetochore movement and eliminate the difference caused by imaging the two channels at different times. Duplicate dishes treated identically as the live-imaged samples were fixed (using the standard fixation protocol described earlier in this paper) to acquire the stacks for making the same Delta measurements from fixed cells. Both live and fixed sample measurements applied the 2D Gaussian-fitting function to find the centroids of the kinetochore signal (Wan et al., 2012).

C. elegans strains and imaging

The following C. elegans strains were used in this study: OD1254 (unc-119(ed3) III; unc-119(ed3) III; lfs37 [pAA64; pie-1/mCherry::his-58]; lfs21 [pie-1/GFP-TEVStg::czw-1; ksp-5(k1358)]; unc-119(ed3) III; lfs37 [pAA64; pie-1/mCherry::his-58]; lfs21 [pie-1/GFP-TEVStg::czw-1; unc-119 [+]]). For imaging embryos expressing mCherry-H2B and GFP–CZW-10, 5 × 2-µm z stacks were collected with 2 × 2 binning and a 60x/1.4 NA Plan Achromat every 20 s at 21°C on a spinning-disk confocal mounted on an inverted microscope (TE2000-E; Nikon) equipped with an interline charge-coupled device (Clara; Andor Technology).

Statistical analyses

All experiments including the RNAi-mediated depletion analysis of protein localization and the nanometer-scale epitope mapping analysis were performed three times to generate the numbers that are reported in the respective figures or figure legends. Test of significance was performed for all the quantifications, and measurements were performed using Student’s t test, the values of which are also reported in the figure legends.
Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows additional examples of GFP-Zw10 kinetochore localization in C. elegans one-cell stage metaphase embryos of control and kbp-5Δ alleles. Data in Fig. S2 support the observation that Hec1 is not required for RZZ and Mad1 kinetochore localization in nucodazole-treated cells. Fig. S3 provides data to demonstrate that the components of the RZZ complex are retained at higher levels at metaphase kinetochores as compared with Mad1. Videos 1 and 2 are examples of metaphase HeLa cells expressing Hec1-GFP/mCherry-CENP-C and Zwint1-GFP/Hec1-tTomato construct pairs, respectively, used to make live Delta measurements. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201304197/DC1. Additional data are available in the JCB Data-Viewer at http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201304197.dv.
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Figure S1. RZZ localization to kinetochores is normal in C. elegans mutants lacking the Zwint1 homologue KBP-5. (A) Schematic of the kbp-5 genomic locus and the ok1358 deletion mutant allele. Chr., chromosome. (B) Images showing kinetochore localization of GFP-Zw10 in metaphase one-cell-stage embryos expressing mCherry-H2B. Three examples are shown for both control (top) and the ok1358 mutant (bottom) allele. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure S2. **Hec1 is not required for Zwint1–RZZ recruitment in nocodazole-treated cells.** (A) Summary of the analysis of kinetochore recruitment of the RZZ complex and Mad1 in normal and nocodazole-treated prometaphase HeLa cells. KD, knockdown. (B) HeLa cells in early prophase (top) or late prophase (bottom) were immunostained using anti-Zwint1 and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (C) Control and Hec1 siRNA–treated HeLa cells were immunostained using anti-Zwint1 and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (D) Control and Hec1 siRNA–treated HeLa cells were immunostained using anti-Rod and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (E) Rod fluorescence was normalized relative to that of Hec1 in control and Hec1-depleted cells. *n* = 130 kinetochores; *P* > 0.4 (not significant). Error bars are SD from the means. (F) Control and Hec1 siRNA–treated Ptk2 cells were immunostained using anti-Zwilch and anti-Hec1 antibodies. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure S3. Components of the RZZ complex are retained substantially at metaphase kinetochores unlike Mad1. (A) HeLa cells in prometaphase (top) or metaphase (bottom) were immunostained using anti-Rod and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (B) Rod fluorescence (Fl.) was normalized relative to that of Hec1 in prometaphase (Prometa; $n = 65$) versus metaphase cells (Meta; $n = 100$). $P < 0.001$. Error bars are SD from the means. (C) HeLa cells as in A were immunostained using anti-Zw10 and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (D) HeLa cells as in A were immunostained using anti-Zwilch and anti-Hec1 antibodies. (E) Summary of the analysis of the levels of RZZ complex retained at prometaphase and metaphase kinetochores of HeLa cells. (F) HeLa cells as in A were immunostained using anti-Mad1 and either the anti-ACA (top) or Knl1 antibody (bottom). Bars, 5 µm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RZZ Component</th>
<th>Prometaphase (PM)</th>
<th>Metaphase (relative to PM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rod</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zw10</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwilch</td>
<td>100%*</td>
<td>50%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*also from Gassmann et al., 2010
Video 1. Example of metaphase HeLa cells expressing Hec1-GFP/mCherry–CENP-C used to make live Delta measurements. Double thymidine-synchronized HeLa cells were transfected with cDNA plasmids encoding Hec1-GFP and mCherry–CENP-C and subjected to continuous tandem two-color live imaging during metaphase using an inverted microscope (TE300). Videos were generated from merged z stacks of images obtained from both the channels in which each frame was played for 1/6 s.

Video 2. Example of metaphase HeLa cells expressing Zwint1-GFP/Hec1-tdTomato used to make live Delta measurements. Double thymidine-synchronized HeLa cells were transfected with cDNA plasmids encoding Zwint1-GFP and Hec1-tdTomato and subjected to continuous tandem two-color live imaging during metaphase using an inverted microscope (TE300). Videos were generated from merged z stacks of images obtained from both the channels where each frame was played for 1/6 s.