The bone morphogenetic protein axis is a positive regulator of skeletal muscle mass
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Although the canonical transforming growth factor β signaling pathway represses skeletal muscle growth and promotes muscle wasting, a role in muscle for the parallel bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling pathway has not been defined. We report, for the first time, that the BMP pathway is a positive regulator of muscle mass. Increasing the expression of BMP7 or the activity of BMP receptors in muscles induced hypertrophy that was dependent on Smad1/5-mediated activation of mTOR signaling. In agreement, we observed that BMP signaling is augmented in models of muscle growth. Importantly, stimulation of BMP signaling is essential for conservation of muscle mass after disruption of the neuromuscular junction. Inhibiting the phosphorylation of Smad1/5 exacerbated denervation-induced muscle atrophy via an HDAC4-myogenin–dependent process, whereas increased BMP–Smad1/5 activity protected muscles from denervation-induced wasting. Our studies highlight a novel role for the BMP signaling pathway in promoting muscle growth and inhibiting muscle wasting, which may have significant implications for the development of therapeutics for neuromuscular disorders.

Introduction

In developing and adult mammalian skeletal muscle, the TGF-β signaling network functions as a dominant repressor of protein anabolism and a principal driver of protein catabolism underlying muscle wasting. These effects have been attributed to TGF-β family members (most notably myostatin and activin) that engage activin receptors and stimulate the Smad2/3 signaling proteins to mediate the transcriptional activity of genes controlling cell size. Inhibition of the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 axis has been proposed as a potential therapeutic strategy for treating conditions associated with loss of muscle mass and strength as (a) deletion of myostatin (Kambadur et al., 1997; McPherron et al., 1997; Lee and McPherron, 2001; Lee, 2007); (b) antagonism of myostatin by inhibitory proteins, antibodies, or soluble activin receptors (Bogdanovich et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2010; Winbanks et al., 2012); or (c) inhibition of Smad2/3 (Sartori et al., 2009; Winbanks et al., 2012) can promote muscle growth and ameliorate muscle wasting. Within the TGF-β network, the parallel signaling axis controlled by bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) regulates the transcription of target genes distinct to those regulated by the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 axis (Massagué et al., 2005). Although some studies suggest that BMP signaling may regulate embryonic muscle development (Pourqué et al., 1996; Amthor et al., 1998) or the regeneration of skeletal muscle (Clever et al., 2010; Ruschke et al., 2012), research to date provides limited insight into whether or not BMP signaling operates in postmitotic myofibers to directly regulate the skeletal muscle phenotype.

BMP ligands engage specific membrane-bound serine/threonine kinase receptors that convey signals to intracellular Smad proteins 1, 5, and 8. Phosphorylation of Smad1/5
by BMP-stimulated receptors promotes complex formation with Smad4 and nuclear retention where, in cooperation with transcriptional coregulators, they govern gene expression in a cell- and context-dependent manner (Massagué et al., 2005). BMP signaling is negatively regulated by Smad proteins 6 and 7, which prevent receptor-mediated activation of Smad1/5/8 (Hayashi et al., 1997; Imamura et al., 1997; Nakao et al., 1997). The complexity of the TGF-β signaling network includes cross-regulation between the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 and BMP–Smad1/5/8 axes. Ligands can compete for common serine/threonine kinase receptors (Donaldson et al., 1992; Mathews et al., 1992; Rebbapragada et al., 2003; Sako et al., 2010) to promote formation of so-called mixed R-Smad complexes (e.g., Smad1/3/5) that can activate and perturb the transduction of respective TGF-β and BMP target genes (Grönroos et al., 2012). Thus, the canonical TGF-β and BMP signaling axes have the potential to operate in parallel and reciprocally.

Based on the pivotal role that the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 axis plays in regulating skeletal muscle mass and the hypothesized parallel operation of TGF-β and BMP signaling, we sought to determine the role of the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling pathway in the regulation of skeletal muscle growth and wasting. In contrast to the established negative influence of the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 axis on muscle mass, herein we identify the BMP–Smad1/5/8 axis as a positive regulator of skeletal muscle mass in vivo, promoting muscle growth and preventing muscle wasting. Interventions that stimulate the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling axis may offer therapeutic benefits in preventing or ameliorating pathology associated with muscle wasting.

**Results**

**BMP ligands and BMP receptor activation promotes skeletal muscle hypertrophy**

To determine whether the BMP axis can regulate postnatal skeletal muscle growth, we designed rAAV6 vectors encoding BMP7 (rAAV6:BMP7) or a constitutively active type I BMP receptor (rAAV6:ALK3). rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3 significantly increased the activity of a BMP luciferase reporter construct transfected into C2C12 cells (Fig. 1a). When delivered to mouse tibialis anterior (TA) hindlimb muscles, rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3 increased BMP7 and ALK3 protein and mRNA expression, respectively (Fig. 1b), and increased Smad1/5/3/4 phosphorylation (Fig. 1c). Significantly, administration of rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3 to the TA muscles of 8-wk-old mice considerably increased skeletal muscle mass within 28 d of injection (Fig. 1d). Histological examination revealed that the increase in muscle mass after rAAV6:BMP7 or rAAV6:ALK3 administration was a product of muscle fiber hypertrophy (Fig. 1e), as demonstrated by increases in myofiber diameter and area (Fig. 1f). We also determined that muscles injected with rAAV6:BMP7 or rAAV6:ALK3 demonstrated increased transcription of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) isoforms, which can promote striated muscle growth (Musaro et al., 1999; Kalista et al., 2012; Fig. 1g and Fig. S1a). As IGF proteins can potentiate PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling (Rommel et al., 2001), we also determined that rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3 increased the phosphorylation of pAktS473, mTORSer2448, and the downstream targets S6RpS235/236 and 4EBPT37/46 (Fig. 1h). We did not observe altered abundance or phosphorylation of Foxo1, or expression of the muscle-specific E3 ligases MuRF1 and Atrogin1 in response to rAAV6:BMP7 (Fig. S1, b and c), demonstrating that hypertrophy driven by enhancing BMP signaling in healthy muscles is not a product of altering this key mechanism of muscle protein degradation. As the activity of mTOR is a key regulator of protein synthesis in muscle, we sought to determine whether muscle growth induced by BMP signaling was dependent on activation of mTOR. We established that rAAV6:BMP7-induced S6RpS235/236 phosphorylation was completely ablated by coadministration of rapamycin (Fig. 1i), a specific inhibitor of mTORC1 signaling (Heitman et al., 1991; Chung et al., 1992). Rapamycin completely prevented rAAV6:BMP7-induced increases in muscle mass and myofiber size (Fig. 1, j and k; and Fig. S1d), despite continued overexpression of BMP7 (Fig. S1, e and f) and increased phosphorylation of Smad1/5 (Fig. S1g). These data constitute the first demonstration that the BMP signaling axis is a bona fide regulator of skeletal muscle growth and that the mTORC1 pathway is indispensable in this process.

**BMP7-induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy is mediated by Smad1/5/8**

To directly test the role of the BMP-regulated Smads (Smad1/5/8) in the regulation of muscle hypertrophy, we designed an rAAV6 vector encoding Smad6 (rAAV6:Smad6), which inhibits phosphorylation of BMP-regulated Smads (Imamura et al., 1997; Ishida et al., 2000). In cultures of C2C12 cells, Smad6 potently blocked BMP4-induced activation of a BMP activity-sensing luciferase reporter (Fig. 2a). Administration of rAAV6:Smad6 in vivo potently suppressed the phosphorylation of Smad1/5/3/4/6/5/8 in TA muscles, but did not alter the mass of muscles examined 28 d after administration (Fig. 2b and Fig. S2, a and b). To test the importance of the BMP-regulated Smads in controlling BMP7-induced hypertrophy, rAAV6:Smad6 was codelivered with rAAV6:BMP7 to the TA muscles of mice. At 28 d after administration, Smad6 had completely prevented muscle hypertrophy (Fig. 2, b and c) and increases in myofiber size (Fig. 2d) normally induced by rAAV6:BMP7. As predicted, Smad6 potently suppressed BMP7-induced phosphorylation of Smad1/5/3/4/6/5/8 in TA muscles (Fig. 2e). Importantly, we found that rAAV6:Smad6 also suppressed BMP7-induced phosphorylation of AktS473, mTORSer2448, S6RpS235/236, and 4EBPT37/46 (Fig. 2f). Administration of rAAV6:Smad6 alone did not affect mTOR signaling (Fig. S2c). These data demonstrate that the BMP signaling axis regulates skeletal muscle mass via the activation of Smad1/5/8 and that the suppression of BMP-regulated Smads by Smad6 can inhibit mTOR activation downstream of Smad1/5, which is required for muscle hypertrophy.

**Endogenous BMP signaling is altered in models of muscle growth**

To further explore the significance of the BMP axis as a regulator of skeletal muscle growth, we analyzed Smad1/5/3/4/6/5/8 phosphorylation in skeletal muscles undergoing hypertrophy.
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that increased expression of Smad6 significantly suppressed the phosphorylation of Smad1/5 in response to follistatin overexpression (Fig. S3 f). Previously, we established that follistatin-mediated muscle hypertrophy promotes activation of the Akt/mTOR/S6RP/4EBP cascade to stimulate protein synthesis (Winbanks et al., 2012). Here, the suppression of follistatin-mediated muscle hypertrophy by rAAV6:Smad6 suppressed the phosphorylation of AktS473, mTOR S2448, S6RP S235/236, and 4EBP1T37/46 (Fig. S3 g). These results demonstrate that hypertrophy of skeletal muscle can involve recruitment of the BMP signaling pathway.

We found that in skeletal muscles expressing follistatin (via rAAV6:Fst-288 injection), a protein that promotes hypertrophy by preventing myostatin and activin from engaging activin receptors (Lee, 2007; Lee et al., 2010; Winbanks et al., 2012), Smad1/5S463/465 phosphorylation was increased (Fig. S3 a). The increases in Smad1/5S463/465 phosphorylation were associated with decreased Smad6 expression in muscles undergoing follistatin-induced hypertrophy (Fig. S3 b). When we coadministered rAAV6:Smad6 with rAAV6:Fst-288 to the TA muscles of mice, follistatin-induced hypertrophy of muscle fibers was profoundly blunted (Fig. S3, c–e). We confirmed that increased expression of Smad6 significantly suppressed the phosphorylation of Smad1/5 in response to follistatin overexpression (Fig. S3 f). Previously, we established that follistatin-mediated muscle hypertrophy promotes activation of the Akt/mTOR/S6RP/4EBP cascade to stimulate protein synthesis (Winbanks et al., 2012). Here, the suppression of follistatin-mediated muscle hypertrophy by rAAV6:Smad6 suppressed the phosphorylation of AktS473, mTOR S2448, S6RP S235/236, and 4EBP1T37/46 (Fig. S3 g). These results demonstrate that hypertrophy of skeletal muscle can involve recruitment of the BMP signaling pathway.
mice modeling amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (via a mutation in the superoxide dismutase gene, designated SOD1 G93A) at ages preceding marked loss of muscle mass (60 d) or coinciding with observable muscle atrophy (90 d; Gurney et al., 1994; Fig. 3 c). Confirming that Smad1/5 phosphorylation increases in muscles when motor nerve activity is compromised, we also detected striking increases in Smad1/5S463/465 phosphorylation in TA muscles from mice subjected to motor nerve resection (Fig. 3 d). Smad1/5 phosphorylation was increased in muscles as early as 3 d after denervation and remained increased for at least 14 d after denervation (Fig. 3 d). To explore why Smad1/5S463/465 phosphorylation was increased in response to loss of nerve supply to skeletal muscle, we profiled the expression of the BMP pathway components in denervated skeletal muscles. We identified profoundly increased transcription of the BMP receptor ligands GDF5 (BMP14) and GDF6 (BMP13) and the type-IB BMP receptor (ALK6; Fig. 3, e and f), but not other BMP ligands (Fig. S4 a) or the type-IA BMP receptor (ALK3; Fig. 3 f). We also found that transcription of Smad6 and Noggin, the latter

Differential BMP–Smad1/5 signaling in muscle development and disease

As BMP signaling promotes hypertrophy of mature skeletal muscle fibers, we considered whether the activity of this pathway contributes to muscle growth during postnatal maturation and becomes affected in muscle wasting conditions. Skeletal muscle protein synthesis in rodents is highest up to 1 wk after birth, before progressively declining to stable adult levels (Suryawan et al., 2006). We found that the phosphorylation of Smad1/5S463/465 normally induced 28 d after injection of rAAV6:BMP7 alone, as determined by Western blot analysis (*, P < 0.001 vs. control; #, P = 0.02 vs. control; n = 3 per treatment). Myofiber diameter is presented as box and whisker plots comprising minimum, lower quartile, median, upper quartile, and maximum values for myofiber diameter. Muscles coexpressing BMP7 and Smad6 were not different from control muscles 28 d after injection (ND, not different). Bar, 100 µm. (e) Smad6 coadministration reduced the phosphorylation of Smad1/5S463/465 normally induced 28 d after injection of rAAV6:BMP7 alone, as determined by Western blot analysis (*, P < 0.001 vs. control; #, P = 0.03 vs. BMP7 treatment; n = 5–10 per treatment). (f) Smad6 coadministration reduced the phosphorylation of AktS473 (*, P < 0.001 vs. control; #, P = 0.005 vs. BMP7 treatment), mTORS2448 (*, P = 0.006 vs. control; #, P = 0.005 vs. BMP7 treatment), S6RPS235/236 (*, P = 0.04 vs. control; #, P = 0.004 vs. BMP7 treatment), and 4EBPT37/46 (*, P = 0.009 vs. control; #, P = 0.004 vs. BMP7 treatment) when compared with the effect of BMP7 alone. n = 4–8 per treatment. Data are presented as means ± SEM.
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atrophy of denervated muscles, compared with denervation alone (Fig. 4, a–c). Importantly, increased Smad6 expression potently suppressed Smad1/5 phosphorylation in denervated muscles (Fig. 4 d), confirming that inhibition of Smad1/5 phosphorylation is associated with the exacerbation of denervation-induced muscle atrophy. Supporting this concept, we showed that pretreatment of TA muscles with rAAV6:BMP7 28 d before denervation reduced muscle loss (Fig. 4 e) and decreases in myofiber size (Fig. 4, f and g). Administration of rAAV6:ALK3 also reduced denervation-induced muscle atrophy and preserved myofiber size (Fig. 4, h–j). These data demonstrate that augmented BMP–Smad1/5 signaling performs a critical role in limiting muscle wasting associated with denervation.

BMP signaling protects skeletal muscle from denervation-induced atrophy

Having identified that phosphorylation of Smad1/5 is increased in muscles undergoing wasting associated with nerve transaction, degeneration, or inactivity, we next sought to determine the functional role of increased Smad1/5S463/465 phosphorylation in the muscles’ response to denervation. Because Smad6 is an endogenous inhibitor of Smad1/5 phosphorylation and we found that its expression decreased in denervation, we administered rAAV6:Smad6 to muscles at the time of motor nerve resection to prevent BMP–Smad1/5 signaling. Strikingly, we found that the administration of rAAV6:Smad6 considerably exacerbated atrophy of denervated muscles, compared with denervation alone (Fig. 4, a–c). Importantly, increased Smad6 expression potently suppressed Smad1/5 phosphorylation in denervated muscles (Fig. 4 d), confirming that inhibition of Smad1/5 phosphorylation is associated with the exacerbation of denervation-induced muscle atrophy. Supporting this concept, we showed that pretreatment of TA muscles with rAAV6:BMP7 28 d before denervation reduced muscle loss (Fig. 4 e) and decreases in myofiber size (Fig. 4, f and g). Administration of rAAV6:ALK3 also reduced denervation-induced muscle atrophy and preserved myofiber size (Fig. 4, h–j). These data demonstrate that augmented BMP–Smad1/5 signaling performs a critical role in limiting muscle wasting associated with denervation.

The BMP signaling axis regulates the expression of E3 ligases via the HDAC4–myogenin axis associated with neurogenic atrophy

To gain insight into how the BMP signaling pathway may prevent neurogenic muscle wasting, we examined denervated skeletal muscles treated with rAAV6:Smad6 for changes in the expression of E3 ubiquitin ligases. The ubiquitination of protein
targets (such as contractile proteins) by specific E3 ligases including MuRF1 and atrogin1 plays a key role in proteasome-mediated protein degradation associated with muscle wasting (Bodine et al., 2001; Cohen et al., 2009). We found that the transcription of MuRF1 and atrogin1 was increased in denervated muscles transfected with rAAV6:Smad6, compared with denervated muscles receiving a sham vector (Fig. 5 a). Profiling of gene expression associated with sarcopenic wasting in old rats (where progressive motor nerve loss occurs) recently documented up-regulation of another E3 ubiquitin ligase, Fbxo30, in correlation with markers of motor nerve degeneration (Ibebunjo et al., 2013). Emerging evidence points to Fbxo30 (also referred to as Musa1) as a novel atrophy-associated E3 ligase in skeletal muscle (Sartori et al., 2013). We found transcription of Fbxo30/Musa1 was increased in denervated muscles at all time points examined after nerve resection (Fig. 5 a). Even more significantly, the expression of Fbxo30/Musa1 was markedly potentiated in denervated muscles in association with exacerbated atrophy when
BMP–Smad1/5 signaling was inhibited by administration of rAAV6:Smad6 (Fig. 5 a).

We sought to determine how changes in BMP–Smad1/5 signaling regulate transcription of the aforementioned E3 ligases that contribute to proteolysis in muscles. Expression of MuRF1 and atrogin1 is promoted by nuclear translocation of the FoxO proteins (Sandri et al., 2004), which, in turn, is repressed by Akt kinase activity (Sandri et al., 2004; Stitt et al., 2004; Latres et al., 2005). However, we found that rAAV6:Smad6 did not alter phosphorylation of FoxO1T24/FoxO3aT32 or affect AktS473 phosphorylation in denervated muscles (Fig. S4 c). As an alternate mechanism, we assessed the HDAC4–myogenin axis, as deletion of either HDAC4 or myogenin renders skeletal muscles resistant to neurogenic atrophy and prevents the up-regulation of MuRF1 and atrogin1 (Tang et al., 2009; Moresi et al., 2010). Strikingly, we found that inhibiting BMP–Smad1/5 signaling in...
denervated muscles via the administration of rAAV6:Smad6 robustly increased the transcription of myogenin when compared with the effect of denervation alone (Fig. 5 b). Further supporting a role for the HDAC4–myogenin axis as a target for repression by phosphorylated Smad1/5 in denervated muscles, we observed that HDAC4 protein and gene expression were significantly increased when BMP signaling was inhibited by rAAV6:Smad6 administration after nerve resection (Fig. 5 e and Fig. S5 a). We generated an rAAV6 vector designed to express a HDAC4 mutant (HDAC4D840N) in which a single base pair mutation abrogates physical interaction with HDAC3, thereby abolishing the activity of HDAC4 (Fischle et al., 2002). Administration of rAAV6:HDAC4D840N to denervated muscles, which was readily detected in denervated skeletal muscle, reduced the ensuing muscle atrophy (Fig. S5, b–d). As HDAC4 is a key regulator of myogenin expression in denervated muscles and myogenin plays an indispensable role promoting neurogenic atrophy, we determined that HDAC4D840N also inhibited the expression of myogenin (Fig. S5 e). Significantly, when codelivered with rAAV6:Smad6, HDAC4D840N prevented the exacerbation of muscle atrophy by rAAV6:Smad6, despite sustained Smad6 protein expression and inhibition of Smad1/5 phosphorylation (Fig. 5, d and e). Importantly, whereas rAAV6:Smad6 up-regulated the expression of myogenin in denervated muscles, HDAC4D840N repressed myogenin induction and the subsequent transcription of the E3 ligases MuRF1, atrogin1, and Musa1/Fbxo30 (Fig. 5, f and g). These data demonstrate that in denervated muscles the BMP–Smad1/5 signaling axis is engaged to preserve muscle mass by suppressing the activity of the atrophy-inducing HDAC4–myogenin–E3 ubiquitin ligase axis.

**Discussion**

Our findings identify a new, essential role of the BMP–Smad1/5/8 axis in the regulation of skeletal muscle mass during growth and wasting. Increasing signaling via the BMP pathway can promote myofiber hypertrophy by stimulating mTOR-dependent anabolic mechanisms. Importantly, the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling pathway is vital for conservation of muscle mass after loss of motor nerve activity. As augmentation of BMP signaling can protect muscles from denervation-induced atrophy, the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling pathway may represent a new target for the development of therapeutics to ameliorate muscle wasting.

In mature skeletal muscle, activation of the canonical TGF-β signaling pathway—chiefly via myostatin- and activin-promoting phosphorylation of Smad2/3—suppresses protein synthesis and promotes protein catabolism. Our data reveal BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling as operating counter to the myostatin–activin–Smad2/3 axis, as an important promoter of anabolism and inhibitor of muscle wasting. The stimulation of muscle hypertrophy via increased expression of BMP7 or a constitutively active type-I BMP receptor uses phosphorylation of Smad1/5/8 to activate mTOR-mediated protein synthesis. Inhibition of Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation or mTOR activity prevented BMP-induced muscle hypertrophy and mTOR-mediated anabolic signaling (and in the case of direct mTOR inhibition, despite continued phosphorylation of Smad1/5), thus confirming the mechanism by which BMP receptor stimulation promotes muscle hypertrophy in innervated muscles. These findings contrast with the documented inhibitory effects of the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 axis upon mTOR-mediated signaling (Trendelenburg et al., 2009; Winbanks et al., 2012). Our findings are consistent with studies of BMP-mediated mTOR activation in other cell types (Langenfeld et al., 2005; Townsend et al., 2012). Interestingly, increased expression of Smad6 had no effect on basal muscle mass and mTOR signaling in the muscles of adult mice. These findings suggest that endogenous levels of Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation do not regulate basal muscle mass, distinct from the effects of the canonical TGF-β signaling pathway. Specifically, Smad2/3 has been shown to actively repress muscle anabolism, such that activation or inhibition of Smad2/3 promotes atrophy or hypertrophy of skeletal muscle, respectively (Sartori et al., 2009; Winbanks et al., 2012). Collectively, the data indicate the canonical TGF-β signaling pathway uses Smad2/3 as a dominant regulator of basal skeletal muscle mass, whereas the BMP signaling pathway is recruited to promote muscle hypertrophy.

We observed that Smad1/5 phosphorylation is altered in states of muscle growth. During postnatal maturation of muscles in rodents, protein synthesis has been shown to decline markedly from 1 wk of age (Suryawan et al., 2006). In support of a role for the BMP signaling axis associated with the regulation of neonatal muscle development, we demonstrate that Smad1/5 phosphorylation markedly declines in correlation with reported reductions in protein synthesis. In adult musculature, we demonstrated that follistatin-mediated muscle hypertrophy (previously considered a function of Smad2/3 inhibition via antagonism of myostatin and activin) is associated with, and requires, phosphorylation of BMP-regulated Smad proteins to achieve maximal effect. Follistatin may promote Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation by binding and presenting endogenous BMP ligands to their cognate receptor (Amthor et al., 2002). Alternatively, the sequestration of myostatin/activin by follistatin could enable endogenous BMP ligands to engage common receptors for which myostatin and activin have a higher affinity. This hypothesis is consistent with evidence that myostatin can inhibit the phosphorylation of Smad1/5 by preventing receptor engagement by BMP ligands in adipogenic cells (Rebbapragada et al., 2003).

Our studies suggest the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling pathway is repressed in muscle by mechanisms dependent on motor nerve activity, as we observed increased Smad1/5/8 phosphorylation in muscles after motor nerve inactivity, degeneration, and resection. The models in which we observed elevated Smad1/5 phosphorylation mimic muscle wasting associated with intensive care unit–related acute myopathy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and nerve trauma, respectively, which suggests increased BMP signaling is a factor in clinically relevant states of muscle wasting. Seeking to determine why Smad1/5 phosphorylation was increased in denervated muscle, we found that the expression of two BMP ligands, GDF5 and GDF6, and the type-IB BMP receptor, ALK6, were robustly increased after denervation. Interestingly, in other tissues, GDF-5 signaling is highly dependent on ALK6 expression (Nickel et al., 2005). Other BMP ligands such as BMP4 may also potentiate BMP signaling at later time points after denervation. We also observed that
transcription of the endogenous inhibitory proteins Noggin and Smad6 was repressed in denervated muscles. The coordinated regulation of so many elements within the BMP–Smad1/5/8 axis would account for the increased Smad1/5 phosphorylation observed and indicate that engagement of BMP-mediated signaling plays a significant role in the response of skeletal muscles to perturbation/loss of motor nerve activity (Fig. 6).

We sought to define the functional role of increased BMP signaling in denervated muscles by inhibiting BMP-mediated Smad1/5 phosphorylation, via increased expression of the endogenous inhibitory protein Smad6. Strikingly, we found that increased expression of Smad6 in denervated skeletal muscles exacerbated neurogenic muscle atrophy. These data suggest that BMP signaling is activated in denervated skeletal muscle to protect against neurogenic muscle atrophy. In support of these findings, potentiation of BMP signaling via administration of rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3 attenuated denervation-induced muscle wasting. We determined that the transcription of specific E3 ubiquitin ligases previously associated with muscle atrophy (MuRF1, atrogin1, and the more recently identified Fbxo30/Musa1) is substantially increased in denervated muscles when BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling is inhibited (Fig. 6). The transcription of MuRF1 and atrogin1 can be promoted by increased activity of the HDAC–myogenin signaling cascade in muscle, which itself has been implicated as a key driver of neurogenic muscle atrophy (Moresi et al., 2010). Accordingly, we observed that the inhibition of BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling in denervated muscles markedly potentiated the atrophy-inducing HDAC–myogenin signaling cascade. Critically, as coadministering a dominant-negative HDAC4 with rAAV6:Smad6 to denervated muscles significantly prevented muscle wasting and the induction of myogenin, MuRF1, atrogin1, and Fbxo30/Musa1, our data supports a model whereby BMP signaling suppresses HDAC4–myogenin signaling in denervated muscles to limit neurogenic muscle atrophy (Fig. 6). Although HDAC4D840N did not completely prevent denervation-induced myogenin and E3 ligase induction in the presence of blunted BMP signaling, we hypothesize that this may be a result of functional redundancy between class II HDAC proteins (Potthoff et al., 2007; Moresi et al., 2010). Although it is unclear how the BMP signaling pathway interacts with HDAC proteins, it has been established that BMP-regulated Smad1/5 can repress transcription of myogenin (Katagiri et al., 1997; Yamamoto et al., 1997). In the case of denervated muscles demonstrating increased BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling, it is therefore possible that phosphorylated Smad1/5 proteins repress both HDACs and myogenin, thereby inhibiting their ability to drive expression of the aforementioned E3 ligases (Fig. 6). As the genetic ablation of the HDACs and myogenin renders muscles resistant to denervation-induced wasting, and the activity of Smad1/5 appears critical for limiting the induction of HDAC–myogenin signaling, our studies suggest there may be considerable potential to ameliorate neurogenic muscle atrophy in clinical conditions using interventions that enhance the BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling axis in skeletal musculature.

Although our studies have focused on the effect of BMP–Smad1/5 signaling in skeletal muscle, it is intriguing to note that local release of BMP ligands from musculature has been described as critical for supporting nerve terminal development and establishment of the neuromuscular junction (McCabe et al., 2003; Ball et al., 2010). Furthermore, some neuromuscular disorders may be associated with perturbed BMP activity (Pescatori et al., 2007; Bayat et al., 2011). Accordingly, although our data demonstrate that increased expression of specific BMPs in muscle contributes to autocrine BMP-mediated signaling that is vital to limit catabolism in denervated muscles, it is possible that increased BMP expression by denervated muscles may also be stimulated to promote remodeling and/or regeneration of motor neurons.
nerve terminals and the neuromuscular junction. Our ongoing research seeks to determine the significance of muscle-derived BMP ligands in developing and maintaining the integral relationship between the motor nerve and muscle fibers in the context of neuromuscular disorders and neurogenic muscle wasting.

In conclusion, we demonstrate for the first time that the BMP signaling axis is a bona fide positive regulator of skeletal muscle mass. This role of BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling sits in marked contrast to the established negative role of the canonical TGF-β signaling cascade in muscle, which is chiefly considered as the myostatin/activin–Smad2/3 signaling axis. Our studies indicate BMP–Smad1/5/8 signaling is potentiated upon perturbation/loss of motor nerve activity to conserve muscle mass. As our data demonstrate that enhancing BMP signaling is protective in models of neurogenic atrophy, we propose that interventions targeting the BMP–Smad1/5 axis may hold exciting potential as therapeutics capable of ameliorating muscle wasting and should be investigated accordingly.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

All antibodies used were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology, except for antibodies against pSmad3 (Epitomics) and GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Production of rAAV6 vectors

cDNA constructs encoding follistatin-288, Smad6, BMP7, constitutively active AKT3 (GenScript), and HDAC2183 (Fischle et al., 2002) were cloned into an rAAV2 expression plasmid consisting of a CMV promoter/enhancer (with the exception of HDAC4286 containing a muscle-specific CK6 promoter) and SV40 poly-A region flanked by rAAV2 terminal repeats using standard cloning techniques. Transfection of these plasmids with the pDGM6 packaging plasmid into HEK293 cells generated type-6 pseudotyped viral vector, except for rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3, which were injected 4 wk post-transfection. 72 h after transfection, the media and cells were clarified by centrifugation and the clarified lysate by affinity chromatography over a HiTrap heparin column. The clarified lysate was then dialyzed and sterilized with a 0.45-μm filter. The purified vector was concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation.

Luciferase experiments

C2C12 cells were either transfected in 24-well plates with 0.35 μg Smad6, AK3, or BMP7 plasmid and 0.25 μg BRE-Luc plasmid or 0.25 μg BRE-Luc plasmid plus 0.75 μg of luciferase expression plasmid per well using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). Where appropriate, media was changed 16 h later and supplemental with either vehicle or 3 nM BMP4 for 24 h. Cells were then lysed with cell lysis buffer (Promega) and luciferase activity was measured using a Berthold luminometer. Luciferase activity was presented as the ratio of BRE-luciferase activity to β-galactosidase reporter activity. β-Galactosidase was detected using a β-galactosidase detection assay (Promega). In brief, lysate was incubated with 2x β-galactosidase buffer for 30 min to 1 h at 37°C and expression was then measured at 420 nm.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

All antibodies used were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology, except for antibodies against pSmad3 (Epitomics) and GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Production of rAAV6 vectors

cDNA constructs encoding follistatin-288, Smad6, BMP7, constitutively active AKT3 (GenScript), and HDAC2183 (Fischle et al., 2002) were cloned into an rAAV2 expression plasmid consisting of a CMV promoter/enhancer (with the exception of HDAC4286 containing a muscle-specific CK6 promoter) and SV40 poly-A region flanked by rAAV2 terminal repeats using standard cloning techniques. Transfection of these plasmids with the pDGM6 packaging plasmid into HEK293 cells generated type-6 pseudotyped viral vector, except for rAAV6:BMP7 and rAAV6:ALK3, which were injected 4 wk post-transfection. 72 h after transfection, the media and cells were clarified by centrifugation and the clarified lysate by affinity chromatography over a HiTrap heparin column. The clarified lysate was then dialyzed and sterilized with a 0.45-μm filter. The purified vector was concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation.

Luciferase experiments

C2C12 cells were either transfected in 24-well plates with 0.35 μg Smad6, AK3, or BMP7 plasmid and 0.25 μg BRE-Luc plasmid or 0.25 μg BRE-Luc plasmid plus 0.75 μg of luciferase expression plasmid per well using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). Where appropriate, media was changed 16 h later and supplemental with either vehicle or 3 nM BMP4 for 24 h. Cells were then lysed with cell lysis buffer (Promega) and luciferase activity was measured using a Berthold luminometer. Luciferase activity was presented as the ratio of BRE-luciferase activity to β-galactosidase reporter activity. β-Galactosidase was detected using a β-galactosidase detection assay (Promega). In brief, lysate was incubated with 2x β-galactosidase buffer for 30 min to 1 h at 37°C and expression was then measured at 420 nm.
Histology
Harvested muscles were placed in Optimal Cutting Temperature cryoprotectant (Sakura) and frozen in liquid nitrogen–cooled isopentane. The frozen samples were subsequently cryosectioned at 10-µm thickness and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to examine morphology as described previously (Rafael et al., 1996). Sections were mounted using DePeX mounting medium (BDH) and images of stained sections were captured at room temperature using a U-TV20X2 camera mounted to an IX71 microscope (Olympus) and a PlanIC 10x/0.25 objective lens (Olympus). DP2-BSW acquisition software (Olympus) was used to acquire images. Myofiber size and area was determined by placing TA muscles in Optimal Cutting Temperature and freezing in liquid nitrogen–cooled isopentane. The sarcolemma of muscle fibers was then identified using an antibody raised against laminin. In brief, 10-µm sections were fixed in methanol, and then washed in PBS containing 0.2% Tween-20 and subsequently blocked in 5% normal goat serum. Sections were then incubated with rat anti-laminin antibody (EMD Millipore) and a secondary goat anti–rat antibody Alexa 594 (Molecular Probes) was used as the fluorescent label, followed by mounting in Vectashield HardSet (Vector Laboratories). Fluorescent images were taken on a microscope (BX61; Olympus). The minimum Feret’s diameter and myofiber area were determined using ImageJ software by measuring at least 600 myofibers per mouse TA muscle and at least three mice were used per group to quantify these variables.

Western blotting
TA muscles were homogenized in RIPA-based lysis buffer (EMD Millipore) with Complete EDTA-free protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche). Lysis was followed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and samples were denatured for 5 min at 95°C. Protein concentration was determined using a Pierce protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein fractions were subsequently separated by SDSA-PAGE using precast 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen), blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and incubated with the appropriate antibody overnight and detected as described previously (Winbanks et al., 2011). Quantification of labelled Western blots was performed using ImageJ pixel analysis (National Institutes of Health software), and data are normalized to a control value of 1. Densitometric analyses of Western blots are presented as band density normalized to the loading control. In cases of phosphorylated proteins, the loading control is the total protein level of that phosphorylated protein. Total protein levels have been quantified by using GAPDH as the loading control.

Statistical analysis
One- or two-way analyses of variance were used to assess statistical differences across multiple conditions, with the Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test used for comparisons between the specific group means. Comparisons between two conditions only used the Student’s t test. Statistics on myofiber diameter were performed on all raw data within a treatment, not on quartile values. Data are reported as statistically significant when P < 0.05 for comparisons. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that rAAV6:ALK3 can regulate IGF transcription and provides supportive evidence of the ability of rAAV6:BMMP7-induced growth to be regulated by mTOR signaling. Fig. S2 demonstrates that Smad6 does not alter basal skeletal muscle mass. Fig. S3 demonstrates the dependency of follistatin-induced muscle growth on BMP signaling in vivo. Fig. S4 illustrates a transcriptional profile of BMP ligands and BMP inhibitors in denervated muscles and also demonstrates that rAAV6: Smad6 does not regulate Foxo and Akt signaling in denervation. Fig. S5 confirms that the administration of rAAV6: HDAC4Δ483DN is protective in denervation-induced muscle wasting. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.icb.org/cgi/content/full/icb.201211134/DC1.
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