Mammalian CNTD1 is critical for meiotic crossover maturation and deselection of excess precrossover sites
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Meiotic crossovers (COs) are crucial for ensuring accurate homologous chromosome segregation during meiosis I. Because the double-strand breaks (DSBs) that initiate meiotic recombination greatly outnumber eventual COs, this process requires exquisite regulation to narrow down the pool of DSB intermediates that may form COs. In this paper, we identify a cyclin-related protein, CNTD1, as a critical mediator of this process. Disruption of Cntd1 results in failure to localize CO-specific factors MutLγ and HEI10 at designated CO sites and also leads to prolonged high levels of pre-CO intermediates marked by MutSγ and RNF212. These data show that maturation of COs is intimately coupled to deselection of excess pre-CO sites to yield a limited number of COs and that CNTD1 coordinates these processes by regulating the association between the RING finger proteins HEI10 and RNF212 and components of the CO machinery.

Introduction

A small subset of the 200–300 DSBs formed during early prophase of meiosis I in mouse spermocytes is used to generate a highly regulated number of meiotic COs (20–30), with the excess DSBs being repaired as non-COs. The progressive differentiation process during prophase I that leads to CO formation can be observed cytologically by immunolocalization of conserved CO-promoting factors (Baker et al., 1996; Kneitz et al., 2000; Kolas et al., 2005; Holloway et al., 2008; Cole et al., 2012). During zygonema, localization of the meiosis-specific MutSγ heterodimer (MSH4/MSH5) to a subset of the initial DSBs reduces the pool of potential CO intermediates by half (Kneitz et al., 2000). MutSγ focus numbers subsequently decline as spermatocytes progress through pachynema, during which time MutLγ heterodimer (MLH1/MLH3) is recruited to a subset of these sites at a frequency and distribution corresponding to that of the final CO sites (Santucci-Darmanin et al., 2000). Another conserved pre-CO factor, RING finger protein RNF212 (orthologue of Caenorhabditis elegans ZHP-3), has been implicated in determining which MutSγ sites will mature into COs, likely by selective stabilization of pre-CO intermediates at sites where MutSγ and RNF212 colocalize (Reynolds et al., 2013). However, the initial number of MutSγ/RNF212 colocalization sites in early pachynema still significantly exceeds the final CO tally, implying that this proposed RNF212-driven mechanism is insufficient to account for the final number of COs. Thus, an additional level of regulation is required to eliminate the excess MutSγ/RNF212-marked sites not designated for a final CO fate. More recently, the putative ubiquitin E3 ligase, HEI10 (human enhancer of invasion-10; also known as CCNBPI [cyclin B1-interacting protein 1]) has been demonstrated to play a significant role in this process in plants and mice, with loss of Hei10 resulting in persistent accumulation of MutSγ foci, and a failure to recruit MutLγ, leading to a failure to establish COs (Singh et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2007; Chelysheva et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Qiao et al., 2014).

To investigate how a limited and tightly regulated number of COs are reliably generated from a substantial excess of initial recombination intermediates, we examined the role of CNTD1 (cyclin N-terminal domain–containing-1) during mouse meiosis. CNTD1 is the mammalian orthologue of C. elegans COSA-1 (CO site–associated 1), a cyclin-related protein that was recently
MutS and RNF212 focus frequency remains elevated well into late pachynema, and MLH1/MLH3 fail to load at any of these sites, suggesting that CNTD1 is essential for the final selection of MutS sites and the subsequent loading of MutL, two processes that are inextricably linked via their CNTD1 codependence.

Results and discussion

To examine the function of mouse Cntd1 in meiosis, we generated a mouse line with a modified Cntd1 gene trap allele that severely reduces or eliminates Cntd1 gene function (Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup>; Fig. S1). Homozygous mutant mice (Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup>) are grossly shown to function in conjunction with MSH-4/MSH-5 and ZHP-3 in promoting meiotic COs (Yokoo et al., 2012). COSA-1 colocalizes with MSH-5 and ZHP-3 at presumptive CO sites in <i>C. elegans</i> and is proposed to function in a self-reinforcing mechanism to sequester CO-promoting factors at designated CO sites. Cntd1 transcripts are highly enriched in mouse and human testis (Skinner et al., 2008; Yokoo et al., 2012), and we show here that mouse CNTD1 is a critical regulator of this CO maturation and stabilization from meiotic CO precursors to mature COs. Loss of CNTD1 in mice results in severe meiotic disruption in late prophase I spermatocytes, resulting in drastically reduced CO numbers and subsequent infertility. Importantly, MutSγ and RNF212 focus frequency remains elevated well into late pachynema, and MLH1/MLH3 fail to load at any of these sites, suggesting that CNTD1 is essential for the final selection of MutSγ sites and the subsequent loading of MutLγ, two processes that are inextricably linked via their CNTD1 codependence.
similar to wild-type (WT) littermates, surviving into adulthood and exhibiting appropriate mating behavior. However, Cntd1

GT/GT

mutant males are sterile, showing significantly decreased testis size compared with WT and heterozygote males, and no epididymal spermatooza (n = 5 WT, 7 heterozygote, and 18 mutant; Fig. 1, a and b; and not depicted), consistent with Cntd1 transcripts being highly enriched in mouse and human testis (Dezso et al., 2008; Thorrez et al., 2008). Cntd1 GT/GT females are also sterile and exhibit meiotic phenotypes similar to those described herein (Fig. S2, n–s). Analysis of testsis morphology revealed a loss of spermatooza in the seminiferous tubules of Cntd1 GT/GT males (Fig. 1, c, d, f, and g), whereas GCNA1–associated spermatogonia and early spermatocytes were unaffected (not depicted). Accordingly, testes from Cntd1 GT/GT males exhibit increased apoptosis of spermatocytes and no postmeiotic spermatids (TUNEL-positive cells in WT = mean of 4.14 per 20× view, n = 7; in mutant = mean of 48.83 per 20× view, n = 6; P = 0.0007; Fig. 1, e and h).

The presence of metaphase-stage spermatocytes (Fig. 1 f, arrows) in Cntd1 GT/GT males distinguishes them from mutants lacking proteins critical for meiotic recombination initiation, synapsis, and/or early steps in DSB repair (e.g., Spo11+/−, Sycp3+/−, and Dmc1−/−), in which spermatocytes arrest before pachynema (Pittman et al., 1998; Yoshida et al., 1998; Baudat et al., 2000; Yuan et al., 2000; Kolas et al., 2004). Instead, the Cntd1 GT/GT mutant phenotype is reminiscent of meiotic CO-defective mutants, such as Mlh1−/−, Mlh3−/−, Hei10mutant, and Rnf212−/−, whose spermatocytes display normal homologue pairing and initial DSB processing and are able to develop beyond pachynema but fail to form appropriate numbers of COs (Edelmann et al., 1996; Eaker et al., 2002; Lipkin et al., 2002; Ward et al., 2007; Strong and Schimenti, 2010; Reynolds et al., 2013).

To assess prophase I progression in Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes, chromosome spreads were stained with various antibodies to visualize the substages of prophase I. DSB induction and repair were assessed by staining for phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX) and strand exchange protein RAD51, and these did not differ between WT and Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes (Fig. 2, a–i; Moens et al., 1997; Hunter and Kleckner, 2001; Mahadevaiah et al., 2001). Furthermore, no obvious differences were observed in the percentages of meiocytes from different substages, as assessed by the status of the synaptonemal complex components SYCP3 and SYCP1 (n = 3 mice from each genotype; Fig. 2, m–r; Schmekel et al., 1996; Schimenti, 2010; Reynolds et al., 2013). Analysis of prophase I stages observed under the microscope revealed no difference in the proportion of cells at each stage between Cntd1+/− and Cntd1 GT/GT males (P = 0.94, χ² analysis; n = 204 and 202 cells, respectively). Collectively, these data indicate that early recombination and synapsis events are normal in Cntd1 GT/GT males.

Despite the success of synapsis and formation of early recombination intermediates, Cntd1 GT/GT mutants are severely defective in meiotic CO formation. During late pachynema in WT
The presence of residual chiasmata suggests that some COs may be produced in the Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} mutant even when MutL\textsuperscript{γ} does not accumulate at repair sites, consistent with previous observations of residual MutL\textsuperscript{γ}-independent COs and chiasmata in Mlh3\textsuperscript{−/−} males (Kolas et al., 2005; Svetlanov et al., 2008).

Given the absence of late CO markers in Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} spermatocytes, we assessed the status of intermediate steps in the progressive differentiation of meiotic recombination sites. Specifically, we examined localization of MSH4 (a component of the pre-CO complex MutS\textsuperscript{γ}; Kneitz et al., 2000) and the pre-predicted small ubiquitin-like modifier E3 ligase RNF212 (Reynolds et al., 2013) on chromosome spreads from early and late pachytene spermatocytes. In WT controls, MSH4 foci were abundant at early pachynema (89.2 ± 5.9 foci per nucleus, \(n = 5\)) and had declined precipitously by late pachynema (2 ± 0.9, \(n = 9\); Fig. 4i). Similarly, RNF212 foci in WT controls were abundant during early pachynema (157.3 ± 3.5, \(n = 9\)) and had declined substantially by late pachynema (29.7 ± 1.9, \(n = 9\); Fig. 4j). Similar dynamics of MSH4 and RNF212 localization were spermatocytes, maturing COs are visualized as sites of accumulation of MLH1 and MLH3, together comprising the MutL\textsuperscript{γ} heterodimer, and CDK2 (which also localizes at telomeres; Fig. 3, a–i; Ashley et al., 2001; Marcon and Moens, 2003; Kolas et al., 2005; Cohen et al., 2006). In Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} males, no MLH1 or MLH3 foci were observed on pachytene chromosomes compared with the mean of 23.0 ± 3.8 (\(n = 25\) WT, 41 heterozygote, and 25 mutant, from two individuals of each genotype) MLH1 foci and 23.6 ± 2.7 (\(n = 25\) WT, 13 heterozygote, and 25 mutant, from two individuals of each genotype) MLH3 foci found in WT spermatocyte spreads (Fig. 3, a–f). CO-associated CDK2 foci were also absent in the Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} mutant, but CDK2 localization at telomeres persisted (\(n = 10\), from two individuals of each genotype; Fig. 3, g–i). The failure to load MLH1, MLH3, and CDK2 indicates that crossing over through the canonical meiotic CO pathway is severely disrupted in Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} males. Accordingly, the number of chiasmata resulting from COs was also substantially reduced in diakinesis-stage spermatocytes in Cntd1\textsuperscript{GT/GT} males (Fig. 3, m–o), to only 17\% of the WT chiasma count (\(n = 26\) WT and 52 mutant, from two individuals of each genotype).
observed in Mlh3−/− males, indicating that progressive reduction in numbers of foci harboring these components does not require loading of the MutLγ complex (Fig. S2, a–d). In contrast, Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes had abundant MSH4 and RNF212 foci during early pachynema, but the numbers of MSH4 and RNF212 foci did not decline in late pachynema, remaining elevated above 100 foci per cell as seen in early pachynema (MSH4 early pachynema = 103.1 ± 3.3, n = 8; late pachynema = 102.3 ± 7.9, n = 10; RNF212 early pachynema = 157.5 ± 10.6, n = 6; and late pachynema = 186.5 ± 6.8, n = 14; Fig. 4, a–j). The frequencies of MSH4 and RNF212 foci in late pachytene spermatocytes from Cntd1 GT/GT mutants were therefore significantly higher than in WT late pachytene spermatocytes (both P < 0.0001). Intriguingly, the numbers of late pachytene RNF212 foci in the Cntd1 GT/GT mutant were also significantly higher than the numbers of early pachytene foci in either the mutant or the WT (P = 0.04 and P = 0.0013, respectively), indicating that RNF212 focus numbers continue to increase during pachytene progression in the absence of CNTD1.

In addition to quantitating MSH4 and RNF212 foci individually in spermatocytes spreads from adult testes (Fig. 4, i and j), we also quantitated colocalization of MSH4 and RNF212 on meiotic chromosome cores in early, mid-, and late pachytene spermatocytes (n = 7 for each substage; Fig. 5). As previously reported, only a subset of RNF212 foci colocalized with MSH4 foci in WT spermatocytes at early pachynema, and both total foci and MSH4/RNF212 cofoci declined in number during pachytene progression, albeit the proportion of cofoci increased between early and late pachynema (cofoci = 38% of total in early pachynema and 58% in late pachynema, n = 16; Fig. 5, a, c, and d; Reynolds et al., 2013). In contrast, although the proportion of MSH4/RNF212 cofoci during early pachynema was similar between Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes and WT spermatocytes, both the numbers of foci and the proportion of cofoci remained high throughout pachynema in the Cntd1 GT/GT mutants (total foci in WT, 284 in early pachynema vs. 28 in late pachynema; total foci in Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 218 in early pachynema vs. 158 in late pachynema; cofoci in WT, 107 in early pachynema vs. 17 in late pachynema; and cofoci in Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 114 in early pachynema vs. 103 in late pachynema; n = 10; Fig. 4, b and d; and Fig. 5, a–e), and the numbers of cofoci were significantly higher at midpachynema (P < 0.01) and late pachynema (P < 0.001) in Cntd1 GT/GT mutants compared with WT (Fig. 5 c). These data indicate that CNTD1 is not required either for loading of RNF212 or MutSγ or for the association of RNF212 with MutSγ-specified recombination intermediates but is required for progressive removal of these proteins from excess recombination sites through pachynema.

Collectively, our data indicate that mouse CNTD1, like its C. elegans orthologue COSA-1 (Yokoo et al., 2012), is an important factor for maturation of meiotic COs. Moreover, our data provide new insight regarding how CO maturation is ultimately restricted to a small subset of potential sites during mammalian meiosis. Persistence of high levels of RNF212/MutSγ cofoci in Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes indicates that colocalization of RNF212 and MutSγ, although undoubtedly required for CO maturation, is not sufficient to recruit CDK2 or MutSγ to prospective CO sites. Thus, these data demonstrate that selective stabilization of MutSγ by RNF212 cannot alone explain CO site selection. Instead, our data indicate requirements

Figure 4. CO-promoting proteins MSH4 and RNF212 fail to be removed from chromosome cores in Cntd1 GT/GT spermatocytes. (a–h) WT (a–d) and Cntd1 GT/GT mutant (e–h) spermatocytes at both early (a, b, e, and f) and late (c, d, g, and h) pachynema, stained with antibodies against SYCP3 and MSH4 [a, c, e, and g] or RNF212 [b, d, f, and h]. (i and j) MSH4 [i] and RNF212 [j] foci were quantified at each stage. Insets show H1t staining as a staging indicator (H1t is only evident in mid- to late pachynema). Counts are as follows: MSH4 early pachynema in WT and Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 89.2 ± 5.69 and 103.1 ± 3.35, respectively. MSH4 late pachynema in WT and Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 1.89 ± 0.93 and 102.3 ± 7.87, respectively. RNF212 in early pachynema in WT and Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 157.3 ± 3.5 and 157.5 ± 10.6, respectively. RNF212 in late pachynema in WT and Cntd1 GT/GT mutants, 29.7 ± 1.9 and 186.5 ± 6.8, respectively. **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.0001; Mann–Whitney U test. Bars: (g applies to a–h) 10 µm; (H1T insets) 20 µm.
Figure 5. Persistent colocalization of MSH4 and RNF212 in late pachynema in Cntd1^GT/GT^ spermatocytes. (a–e) Quantitation of foci containing only MSH4, only RNF212, or both MSH4 and RNF212 (a–c) associated with chromosome cores in spermatocytes from Cntd1^+/+^ (a and d) and Cntd1^GT/GT^ (b and e) males. Graph a shows progressive diminution of overall focus numbers in WT spermatocytes, accompanied by an increased proportion of cofoci in late pachynema (LP) relative to early pachynema (EP). MP, midpachynema. Graph b shows that both focus numbers and the proportion of cofoci are maintained at high levels throughout pachynema in Cntd1^GT/GT^ spermatocytes (a and b, n = 7 for each substage). The percentages of only cofoci are provided in graph c for both genotypes. The frequency of cofoci was significantly higher in Cntd1^GT/GT^ spermatocytes compared with WT at mid- and late pachynema (Mann–Whitney U test, P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively). For this quantitation, Cntd1^+/+^ and Cntd1^GT/GT^ spermatocyte spreads were stained with antibodies against SYCP2, RNF212, and MSH4 to quantitate the frequency of RNF212/MSH4 colocalization (yellow) specifically associated with the meiotic chromosome cores. The quantitation of foci in graphs a and b is provided for each individual protein (in their respective fluorochrome colors), along with the cofocus counts [in yellow to reflect the merge of the red and green cofocus counts]. d and e show example images of late pachytene spreads from Cntd1^+/+^ and Cntd1^GT/GT^ testes, respectively, with dashed boxes indicating the specific chromosomes shown in the associated magnifications. Bars: (d and e) 10 µm; (insets) 5 µm. (f) Model for designation of CO sites through pachynema of prophase I, through selection by MutSγ and RNF212, and ultimate CO promotion by MutLγ.
for both (a) an additional CO differentiation step that subsequently enables loading of MutLγ and (b) an active deselection process that destabilizes or removes excess pre-CO intermediates to achieve the final outcome. Moreover, our work supports a model in which recruitment of CDK2 and MutLγ and deselection of excess RNF212/MutSy-marked sites are intimately coupled events, with CNTD1 playing a key role in integrating these processes. The data presented are consistent with CNTD1 functioning predominantly either to promote installation of MutLγ, to induce the removal of MutSy/RNF212, or both. Interestingly, the 17% incidence of residual chiasma observed in Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> mice is higher than the 3% residual chiasma seen in Rnf212<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Reynolds et al., 2013) or the 10% residual chiasma observed in Mlh3<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Kolas et al., 2005; Svetlanov et al., 2008). Furthermore, residual chiasma levels correlate with the numbers of MutSy foci observed at mid-pachynema in these meiotic mutants (Rnf212<sup>−/−</sup>, reduced; Mlh3<sup>−/−</sup>, normal; Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup>, elevated). This correlation raises the possibility that MutSy may be responsible for promoting all meiotic COs, including those derived from recombination intermediates processed in the absence of MutLγ.

The identity of CNTD1 as a member of the cyclin superfamily suggests that it may accomplish these tasks by partnering with a CDK subunit to form a CNTD1–CDK protein kinase complex. Unfortunately, rigorous testing of two custom antibodies and seven commercially available antibodies raised against CNTD1 epitopes has failed to identify any reliable reagents for visualizing the CNTD1 protein, precluding any confident localization or colocalization of CNTD1 with key interactors, such as putative CDK partners. However, several additional lines of evidence implicate HEI10, a RING finger protein that functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase in vitro (Toby et al., 2003), as a likely functional partner and candidate substrate for CNTD1 in regulating CO site selection and CO maturation. First, HEI10 has both a predicted cyclin-interacting motif (RXL) and multiple consensus CDK phosphorylation motifs ([S/T]P; Fig. S3) and can be phosphorylated in vitro by purified cyclin B/CDC2 (Toby et al., 2003). Moreover, the meiotic defects observed in Hei10<sup>wildtype</sup> mutant mice, which contain an in-frame deletion that eliminates the RXL motif (Ward et al., 2007), are distinct from other known meiotic COs but closely parallel the meiotic defects observed in the Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> mutant (Ward et al., 2007; Qiao et al., 2014). Finally, the HEI10 protein localizes at designated CO sites in late pachytene spermatocytes (Qiao et al., 2014), and this localization is lost in the Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> mutant (Fig. 3, j–l). Whereas we detected 23.9 ± 0.5 synaptonemal complex–associated HEI10 foci per nucleus in WT late pachytene spermatocytes, synaptonemal complex–associated HEI10 foci were absent in Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> spermatocytes (n = 32 WT and 10 mutant). Together, these data indicate that CNTD1 and HEI10 collaborate to promote CO maturation and deselection of excess pre-CO sites and suggest that association with and/or phosphorylation by a putative CNTD1-dependent CDK complex may be required for HEI10 function.

A previous study has identified two potential CDK subunits that might partner with CNTD1 to comprise a dedicated meiotic CNTD1–CDK complex that promotes CO progression: CDK4, which is abundant on chromosomes early in pachynema (>150 foci) and then declines steadily during pachytene progression in spermatocytes from WT mice (Fig. S2, e–h and m), and CDK2, which localizes specifically at CO-designated sites during mid- to late pachynema (Fig. 3, g–i; Ashley et al., 2001). We found that CDK4 foci are not only present but persist at high levels throughout pachynema in the Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> mutant, similar to the persistent localization seen for RNF212 and MutSy (n = 37 WT and 47 mutant; Fig. S2, i–m). This contrasts with the absence of CO-associated CDK2 foci in mutant spermatocytes, collectively making CDK2 a more likely candidate CNTD1 partner. Together with our evidence that CNTD1 acts in conjunction with HEI10, these data support the conclusion that CNTD1 likely functions at CO-designated sites.

In principle, the coordinate regulation of CO maturation and deselection of excess CO-eligible sites could simply reflect dependence of these two processes on CNTD1 as a common regulator (Fig. 5 f). Consistent with the possibility that CNTD1 might function directly in the deselection process, both RNF212 and the MutSy heterodimer are plausible candidate CDK substrates (Fig. S3). Alternatively, CNTD1 may function specifically to promote designation/maturation of selected CO intermediates, with removal of RNF212 and MutSy from other sites occurring as a secondary downstream consequence. This type of functional coupling could reflect a feedback network in which potential intermediates are retained until the cell senses that one event per chromosome pair has been successfully designated for CO maturation, which in turn triggers a change in state that leads to removal of the excess intermediates. This is an attractive scenario, as it provides a means to constrain CO number while at the same time guaranteeing formation of the obligatory CO needed to ensure successful chromosome segregation.

Despite demonstrating a conserved role for CNTD1/COSA-1 orthologs in promoting CO maturation, our analysis of Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup> mice has also revealed substantial plasticity in the regulatory circuits governing meiotic CO progression across species. Whereas MutSy-marked pre-CO intermediates persist in Cntd1 mutant mice, implying that CNTD1 is required for their removal, MutSy foci are lost in C. elegans cosa-1 mutants (Yokoo et al., 2012), indicating an apparently opposite role for COSA-1 in promoting formation or stabilization of early pre-CO intermediates. Furthermore, whereas CNTD1 collaborates with HEI10 in the mouse and is required for installation of both HEI10 and MutLγ at CO sites, the nematode lacks both HEI10 and MutLγ (Chelysheva et al., 2012) and instead retains MutSy at CO sites during late pachynema and diplonema (Yokoo et al., 2012). We speculate that the presence of CNTD1/COSA-1 in the ancestral metaaxon lineage enabled evolution of two coordinated regulatory modules involving distinct RING finger proteins: an RNF212/ZHP-3–dependent module governing MutSy stability at potential CO sites and an HEI10-dependent module promoting installation of MutLγ at designated CO sites. We hypothesize that reconfiguration of the first module during nematode evolution rendered MutLγ expendable in worms, resulting in coordinate loss of the second module. Conversely, retention and coupling of the two modules (RNF212 and HEI10; Fig. 5 f) in the mouse may provide a means for “safe transfer” of CO intermediates from a protected, MutSy-bound state to a MutLγ-bound state that promotes their resolution.
Materials and methods

Animals

Cntd1 transgenic mice were generated from the embryonic stem cell line EPOD190.3_E03. Cntd1ER mouse line (obtained from the University of California, Davis Knockout Mouse Repository), which contains a gene trap cassette (FRTlacz-loxP-neo-FRTloxP) in the first intron of mouse Cntd1 gene. A Spa I-Cre mouse line [Yndanek et al., 2013] was crossed with these Cntd1 transgenic mice to remove the neo cassette. Genotyping of Cntd1 animals was performed using the following PCR primer pairs: CNTD1loxP_forward (5'-CGACTCTCGAGGGCGGCACTG-3') and CNTD1loxP_reverse (5'-GC-GCCGGCTTAAACATAACT-3'), which detect a 420-bp fragment from the mutant allele; CNTD1WT_forward (5'-CTGACATGCTCCTGCTTCC-3') and CNTD1WT_reverse (5'-CGGCTGCAAAAGAATTTGGA-3'), which produce a 520-bp band in the WT allele; and CNTD1neo_forward (5'-TTTCTTGAGCGGACCTCTG-3'). Fertility tests were performed by mating Cntd1 males aged between 8 and 10 wk with WT adult females. Matings was confirmed either by gentle abdominal palpation after gestation day 11 or the delivery of litters. Experimental animals were used under the strict guidance and approval of the Cornell University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Testes from 4- or 8-wk-old mice were fixed in Bouin’s fixative for 6 h at room temperature or 10% formalin overnight at 4°C and then washed in 70% ethanol. Fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned at 4 μm. Hematoxylin and eosin staining, TUNEL staining, and GCNA-1 staining were performed as described previously [Holloway et al., 2011], the former using ApopTag peroxidase kit (EMD Millipore) and the latter using Vecta stain reagents (Vector Laboratories).

Sperm counting

The cauda epididymides were removed from adult mice and placed in prewarmed DMEM containing 4% bovine serum albumin. Each epididymis was squeezed with tweezers to extrude the sperm and then incubated at 32°C/5% CO2 for 20 min. A 20 μl aliquot of the sperm suspension was resuspended in 480 μl of 10% formalin, and the sperm cells were counted.

Chromosome analysis and immunofluorescence

Prophase I chromosome preparations and immunofluorescence were performed using previously described techniques [Peters et al., 1997; Kalas et al., 2005]. In brief, testes were removed and decapsulated into hypotonic sucrose extraction buffer (containing 1% sucrose) and left on ice for 0.5–1 h. Tubules were chopped on glass depression slides in a bubble of 0.33% sucrose and added to slides coated in 1% paraformaldehyde. For analysis of female chromosomes, 0.04% pepsin was added to a bubble of paraformaldehyde on a well slide. Slides were slowly postpartum females, briefly soaked in HEB, minced in 0.03% sucrose, and added to slides coated in 1% paraformaldehyde. For analysis of female chromosome preparations, 0.04% pepsin was added to slides coated in 1% paraformaldehyde. For analysis of female chromosome preparations, 0.04% pepsin was added to slides coated in 1% paraformaldehyde. For analysis of female chromosome preparations, 0.04% pepsin was added to slides coated in 1% paraformaldehyde.

Immunohistochemistry
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Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 provides details regarding the genotrap (GT) allele for Cntd1 that was used in the current work. Fig. S2 shows chromosome spread images from WT, Cntd1<sup>GT/GT</sup>, and Mlh3<sup>−/−</sup> male and female mice stained with antibodies against various proteins involved in synopsis and recombination. Fig. S3 shows predicted cyclin-binding motifs and CDK phosphorylation sites in HE10, RNF212, and MusY. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201401122/DC1.
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