Introduction

In addition to sperm, seminal fluid contains multiple factors secreted by male reproductive glands that activate sperm (Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2011) and can influence female physiology and behavior (Kershaw-Young et al., 2012). In Drosophila melanogaster, these signals are generated by a variety of seminal peptides, many produced by the accessory glands (AGs). One epithelial cell type in the adult male AGs, the secondary cell (SC), grows selectively in response to bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling. This signaling is involved in blocking the rapid remating of mated females, which contributes to the reproductive advantage of the first male to mate. In this paper, we show that SCs secrete exosomes, membrane-bound vesicles generated inside late endosomal multivesicular bodies (MVBs). After mating, exosomes fuse with sperm (as also seen in vitro for human prostate-derived exosomes and sperm) and interact with female reproductive tract epithelia. Exosome release was required to inhibit female remating behavior, suggesting that exosomes are downstream effectors of BMP signaling. Indeed, when BMP signaling was reduced in SCs, vesicles were still formed in MVBs but not secreted as exosomes. These results demonstrate a new function for the MVB–exosome pathway in the reproductive tract that appears to be conserved across evolution.

Male reproductive glands secrete signals into seminal fluid to facilitate reproductive success. In Drosophila melanogaster, these signals are generated by a variety of seminal peptides, many produced by the accessory glands (AGs). One epithelial cell type in the adult male AGs, the secondary cell (SC), grows selectively in response to bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling. This signaling is involved in blocking the rapid remating of mated females, which contributes to the reproductive advantage of the first male to mate. In this paper, we show that SCs secrete exosomes, membrane-bound vesicles generated inside late endosomal multivesicular bodies (MVBs). After mating, exosomes fuse with sperm (as also seen in vitro for human prostate-derived exosomes and sperm) and interact with female reproductive tract epithelia. Exosome release was required to inhibit female remating behavior, suggesting that exosomes are downstream effectors of BMP signaling. Indeed, when BMP signaling was reduced in SCs, vesicles were still formed in MVBs but not secreted as exosomes. These results demonstrate a new function for the MVB–exosome pathway in the reproductive tract that appears to be conserved across evolution.
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Exosomes can carry ligands, receptors, intracellular signaling molecules, lipids, and nucleic acids, allowing them to participate in a wide range of functions at the cell surface or when their contents are discharged into target cells through fusion or endocytosis (Raposo and Stoorvogel, 2013; Christianson et al., 2014). They are probably secreted into the extracellular milieu by all cells and enter body fluids, such as plasma and urine (Nilsson et al., 2009; Lance et al., 2011; Properzi et al., 2013; Record et al., 2014).

Prostate-derived exosomes (or prostasomes) have reported functions in healthy and tumorigenic prostate (Ronquist, 2012; Soekmadji et al., 2013). In vitro studies suggest roles for prostasomes and epididymis-derived exosomes (epididymosomes) in promoting motility during sperm capacitation (Palmerini et al., 1999; Park et al., 2011; Aalberts et al., 2013) and maturation (Sullivan and Saez, 2013), respectively. In these in vivo experiments, prostasomes fuse specifically to the head/neck region of sperm, potentially under pH control (Arienti et al., 1997; Park et al., 2011; Aalberts et al., 2013).

Here, we demonstrate that SCs of the AG secrete exosomes in vivo. The very large size of SC endolysosomal compartments allows aspects of exosome biogenesis to be visualized in living tissue for the first time, revealing remarkably dynamic membrane deformation and fusion events. Secreted exosomes fuse with sperm in vivo in females after mating, phenocopying reported properties of human prostasomes in vitro (Arienti et al., 1997; Park et al., 2011). Furthermore, SC-derived exosomes interact with epithelial cells in the female reproductive tract and are required to induce changes in female mating behavior controlled by BMP signaling. Secretion, but not formation, of SC-derived exosomes requires BMP signaling, highlighting endolysosomal trafficking as a key regulator of SC function. Thus, regulated secretion of exosomes in the AG provides an essential part of the armory promoting male-specific interests during Drosophila reproduction.

### Results

#### SCs secrete CD63-GFP-marked puncta into the AG lumen

Each AG secretes into a large lumen, which opens at its proximal end into the ejaculatory duct (Fig. 1, A–C). We expressed a GFP-tagged tetraspanin, CD63, a mammalian transmembrane exosome marker used previously to mark fly exosomes (Panáková et al., 2005; Gross, et al., 2012), independently in MCs and SCs (Figs. 1, A–C; and S1, A and B) using the GAL4/upstream activating sequence (UAS) system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). In SCs of 3-d-old males, CD63-GFP localized to the limiting membrane of all large (>2-µm diameter) vacuoles and, in some cells, to the apical plasma membrane (Fig. 1 F). Not all vacuoles were identical, however; the majority appeared to be compartments previously described as secretory vacuoles (SVs; Rylett et al., 2007) because they had a dense core containing the secreted protease angiotensin-converting enzyme I (ANCE; Fig. 1, E and F). CD63-GFP–positive puncta, presumably representing intraluminal vesicles (ILVs), were also observed inside many vacuoles, especially in live specimens. These puncta were typically most prominent in at least one acidic compartment, stained with the vital acid-sensitive dye LysoTracker red (Fig. 1, G and H).

The AG lumen contained numerous GFPMARKED puncta (Figs. 1, C and D; and S1, A and F), which were not observed when CD63-GFP was expressed in the large number of MCs in the AG (Fig. S1 B), suggesting that only SCs secrete this tagged membrane protein. Overexpressed cytoplasmic GFP was sometimes incorporated into puncta inside SC vacuoles (Fig. S1 C), indicating that the puncta are not induced by CD63-GFP expression. Furthermore, a tagged, nonexosomal membrane protein, CD8-RFP, which labels all vacuolar compartments and is internalized in some of them, was not secreted (Fig. S1, D and E), suggesting that CD63-GFP is packaged into intraluminal structures and selectively secreted. In support of this, luminal vesicles ~40 nm in diameter, as well as other particulate material, were observed by transmission EM in the AG lumen of wild-type males (Fig. S2 G).

GD63-GFP–positive puncta are located inside late endosomes and lysosomes

We analyzed the large SC compartments in more detail using LysoTracker red–stained living glands. Counting vacuoles by scanning through multiple focal planes revealed remarkably consistent numbers of large (>2 µm) and small (<2 µm) CD63-positive acidic compartments and large nonacidic CD63-positive compartments in each SC of 6-d-old adults (Fig. 1 I). Both classes of acidic compartments were also visible in non–CD63-GFP–expressing SCs (Figs. S1, G versus H; and 2, A–C) and therefore were not induced by CD63-GFP expression. We hypothesized that the smaller apical acidic compartments were immature late endosomes (iLEs; CD63-GFPâ/LysoTrackerâ and <2 µm; Fig. 1 I), and the large acidic compartments, at least one of which contained small CD63-GFP–positive puncta, were unusually large (~10 µm in 6-d-old SCs) maturing MVBs or lysosomes (mMVBLs; CD63-GFPâ/LysoTrackerâ and >2 µm; Fig. 1 I). In addition, some, but not all, nonacidic SV compartments (CD63-GFPâ/LysoTrackerâ and >2 µm; Fig. 1 I) contained larger CD63-GFP–positive intraluminal structures.

In each SC, an anti-CD63 antibody, which cross-reacted with fluorescent GFP puncta in the AG lumen (Fig. S2, B and C), also stained most of the lumen of either one or two compartments, which contained only sporadic fluorescent GFP puncta (Fig. S2 A). These compartments were ANCE negative and therefore not SVs. We reasoned that they were acidic compartments in which the microenvironment must partially inhibit fluorescence of internalized GFP. To confirm that SCs have giant vesicle-containing compartments, we analyzed SC ultrastructure by transmission EM (Fig. S2, E–I). In addition to the SV compartments previously reported by Rylett et al. (2007), SCs possessed one or two less electron-dense compartments containing vesicles ~40 nm in diameter, an appropriate size to be precursors of secreted exosomes (Fig. S2 I). The ultrastructure of these large compartments was difficult to preserve in fixed, sectioned material, but because they are not filament- and ANCE core-containing SVs, we conclude that they must represent the acidic vesicle-containing compartments we observe in live specimens.
containing undegraded GFP. In support of this, these large acidic compartments were also lined by a GFP fusion with type II phosphatidylinositol kinase (PI4KII-GFP; Fig. S2 D), which marks late endosomes and lysosomes in other Drosophila secretory cells (Burgess et al., 2012).

Rab11-YFP, a marker for exocytic and recycling endocytic membranes, which regulates exosome secretion in flies (Chen et al., 1998; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), localized to the limiting membrane of nonacidic SVs (Fig. 2 B). However, expression of this marker induced loss of large mMVBLs in most SCs (10/13 cells); overexpression of wild-type Rab11 therefore

We performed more detailed live-imaging analysis of these large acidic and nonacidic SC compartments to confirm their identity, using selected ubiquitously expressed YFP-tagged Rab GTPases (Marois et al., 2006) that regulate endocytic trafficking and exosome secretion (Savina et al., 2002; Fader et al., 2005; Stenmark, 2009; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013). SCs typically contained two or three large vacuoles lined with Rab7-YFP, most of which were acidic (Fig. 2 A). Importantly, because all large acidic compartments were Rab7 positive (n > 50 cells), this confirms that the acidic compartments with CD63-GFP–positive puncta are either MVBs or lysosomes containing undegraded GFP. In support of this, these large acidic compartments were also lined by a GFP fusion with type II phosphatidylinositol kinase (PI4KII-GFP; Fig. S2 D), which marks late endosomes and lysosomes in other Drosophila secretory cells (Burgess et al., 2012).

Rab11-YFP, a marker for exocytic and recycling endocytic membranes, which regulates exosome secretion in flies (Chen et al., 1998; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), localized to the limiting membrane of nonacidic SVs (Fig. 2 B). However, expression of this marker induced loss of large mMVBLs in most SCs (10/13 cells); overexpression of wild-type Rab11 therefore

- **Compartment**
- **No. per SC**
- **Rabs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>No. per SC</th>
<th>Rabs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mMVBL</td>
<td>2.8 +/- 0.2</td>
<td>Rab7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iLEs</td>
<td>2.5 +/- 0.2</td>
<td>Rab7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVs</td>
<td>12.6 +/- 1.1</td>
<td>Rab11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with iLEs. In support of this, we found Rab7 colocalizes with Rab11-YFP at the limiting membrane of a subpopulation of Rab11-YFP–lined SVs (Fig. 2 D). In conclusion, SCs contain unusually large secretory and acidic vacuoles, permitting the in vivo analysis of these compartments at high spatial resolution. At least one large acidic compartment in each SC contains CD63-positive puncta (Fig. 1, G and H), and equivalent large vesicle–containing structures are also observed by EM (Fig. S2).

Figure 2. Rab GTPase signatures define different SC subcellular compartments. (A–D) SCs from 3-d-old males expressing different Rab-YFP constructs and stained with LysoTracker red. SCs have 5–10 small acidic Rab7-YFP–positive iLEs (A, arrowheads; most of these are usually located more apically than this confocal section; see Fig. 3) and 2.4 ± 0.9 (n = 11) Rab7-YFP–positive large vacuoles, 85% of which are acidic mMVBLs (A, asterisks; similar numbers [2.9 ± 0.5, n = 9] of large acidic compartments are seen in CD63-GFP–expressing SCs at this stage). Other Rab-YFP lines reveal Rab11-YFP–positive, non-acidic SVs (B, +; and D), many Rab5-YFP–positive small nonacidic compartments (C, arrowheads), and more rarely (4/16 SCs), a Rab5-YFP–positive small acidic compartment (C, arrows). In some fixed tub> Rab11-YFP SCs stained for Rab7, Rab7 colocalizes with Rab11-YFP in parts of the limiting membrane (D, arrow) of a single SV (D, #). DAPI stains nuclei (blue). Approximate outline of SC is marked in all images. Bars, 5 µm.
Strikingly, real-time confocal imaging of SCs revealed nonacidic SVs fusing with mMVBLs and rapid exchange of limiting membrane components (Fig. 4 A and Video 1). Although the internal contents of these compartments did not mix freely, these findings suggest a surprising level of exchange between SVs and mMVBLs and might explain how CD63-GFP–positive structures accumulate in SVs (Fig. 1, E–H).

As discussed previously, anti-CD63 staining indicates that GFP in many CD63-positive ILVs does not fluoresce (Fig. S2 A). However, inward invaginations of the CD63-GFP–labeled limiting membrane into the mMVBL lumen were observed in time-lapse videos, and occasionally, it appeared that new fluorescent ILVs might be formed from these (Fig. 4 B and Video 2). Therefore, some steps in ILV formation can be visualized by confocal microscopy in the large mMVBLs of living SCs, allowing us to screen for genetic manipulations that block these processes.
upon mating (Fig. 5 K), consistent with the reduced secretion of this exosome marker. An alternative explanation for these results is that ESCRT knockdown affects all secretion by SCs. However, the SC-specific production (Rylett et al., 2007) of the secreted, nonexosomal protease ANCE (Figs. 5 J and S4, B–D), its secretion into the AG lumen (Fig. S4, E–G), and its transfer into females (Fig. 5 K) were not affected by ALiX or Hrs knockdown, showing that these treatments selectively affect the exosome biogenesis pathway in SCs.

To provide further evidence that SCs produce CD63-positive exosomes, we modulated the activities of three Rabs previously implicated in exosome secretion: Rab11 (Savina et al., 2002; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), Rab35 (Hsu et al., 2010), and Rab27 (Ostrowski et al., 2010). Expression of dominant-negative Rab11-YFP (Rab11DN; Zhang et al., 2007), Rab11-RNAi, Rab35-RNAi, and one of two Rab27-RNAi's significantly reduced the number of secreted GFP-positive puncta (Figs. 5 A and S5, A and B). Previous studies have indicated that Rab35 and Rab27 do not regulate secretion of exosomes carrying the cargo receptor Evenness Interrupted (Evi) in Drosophila S2 cells (Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), suggesting multiple exosome secretion routes in flies as well as mammals. Indeed, expression of two different RNAi's targeting Evi, which is required to produce Wingless-containing exosomes (Beckett et al., 2013) but is not required for CD63-GFP-positive exosome secretion in flies (Gross et al., 2012), did not affect the number of secreted puncta in the gland lumen (Fig. 5 A). Finally, the number of secreted puncta was also significantly reduced when Rab7-RNAi or dominant-negative Rab7-YFP (Rab7DN; Zhang et al., 2007) was expressed in SCs (Fig. 5 A), implicating late endolysosomal trafficking events in secretion. Based on these extensive genetic data, the Endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT)-dependent secretion of exosomes in SCs To assess whether CD63-GFP-positive puncta in the AG lumen are exosomes derived from mMVBLs of SCs, we specifically blocked expression or function of a broad range of molecules in SCs, which in other systems are implicated in exosome biogenesis. The ESCRT complex has well-characterized roles in ILV formation, although ESCRT-independent mechanisms have also been reported (Trajkovic et al., 2008). Hrs is a component of the ESCRT-0 complex directly involved in protein sorting into ILVs and ILV formation through subsequent recruitment of downstream ESCRTs (Lloyd et al., 2002; Katzmann et al., 2003). Several recent studies demonstrate a role for Hrs in ESCRT-dependent exosome secretion in mammals and flies (Tamai et al., 2010; Gross et al., 2012; Colombo et al., 2013). ALIX (ALG-2–interacting protein X) interacts with several ESCRT complex proteins (Matsuo et al., 2004; Odorizzi, 2006). Recent knockdown studies in mammalian cells indicate that it is required in exosome biogenesis (Matsuo et al., 2004; Baietti et al., 2012), but this has not been investigated in flies. Fluorescent ILVs inside mMVBLs were almost completely absent in SCs expressing either Hrs-RNAi or ALIX-RNAi constructs, unlike controls (Fig. 5, B–D). The number of CD63-GFP–positive puncta secreted into the AG lumen was also drastically reduced (P < 0.001, n > 9; Fig. 5 A). mMVBLs in ALIX-RNAi– and Hrs-RNAi–expressing SCs were smaller and larger than controls, respectively (Fig. 5 I). These genotypes had normal numbers of iLEs (Fig. 5 H), but Hrs-RNAi–expressing SCs had significantly fewer mMVBLs (Fig. 5 G). Importantly, these ESCRT knockdown SCs still synthesized equivalent levels of the SC-specific CD63-GFP fusion protein by Western blot analysis of whole glands (Fig. 5 J) but transferred much less protein to females upon mating (Fig. 5 K), consistent with the reduced secretion of this exosome marker.

An alternative explanation for these results is that ESCRT knockdown affects all secretion by SCs. However, the SC-specific production (Rylett et al., 2007) of the secreted, nonexosomal protease ANCE (Figs. 5 J and S4, B–D), its secretion into the AG lumen (Fig. S4, E–G), and its transfer into females (Fig. 5 K) were not affected by ALiX or Hrs knockdown, showing that these treatments selectively affect the exosome biogenesis pathway in SCs.

To provide further evidence that SCs produce CD63-positive exosomes, we modulated the activities of three Rabs previously implicated in exosome secretion: Rab11 (Savina et al., 2002; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), Rab35 (Hsu et al., 2010), and Rab27 (Ostrowski et al., 2010). Expression of dominant-negative Rab11-YFP (Rab11DN; Zhang et al., 2007), Rab11-RNAi, Rab35-RNAi, and one of two Rab27-RNAi’s significantly reduced the number of secreted GFP-positive puncta (Figs. 5 A and S5, A and B). Previous studies have indicated that Rab35 and Rab27 do not regulate secretion of exosomes carrying the cargo receptor Evenness Interrupted (Evi) in Drosophila S2 cells (Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013), suggesting multiple exosome secretion routes in flies as well as mammals. Indeed, expression of two different RNAi’s targeting Evi, which is required to produce Wingless-containing exosomes (Beckett et al., 2013) but is not required for CD63-GFP-positive exosome secretion in flies (Gross et al., 2012), did not affect the number of secreted puncta in the gland lumen (Fig. 5 A). Finally, the number of secreted puncta was also significantly reduced when Rab7-RNAi or dominant-negative Rab7-YFP (Rab7DN; Zhang et al., 2007) was expressed in SCs (Fig. 5 A), implicating late endolysosomal trafficking events in secretion. Based on these extensive genetic data,
Figure 5. ESCRTs, Rab GTPases, and BMP signaling regulate the exosome biogenesis pathway. (A) The numbers of CD63-GFP-positive puncta secreted into the AG lumen were significantly reduced in SC>Hrs-RNAi, SC>ALiX-RNAi, SC>Rab11DN, SC>Rab11-RNAi, SC>Dad, SC>Rab7-DN, SC>Rab7-RNAi, SC>Rab27-RNAi, and SC>Rab35-RNAi males compared with control (number of glands above or in the bars) but not SC>Rab27-RNAi2 and...
we conclude that SCs secrete CD63-GFP–positive exosomes whose biogenesis and secretion are regulated by evolutionarily
conserved molecular mechanisms.

Interestingly, despite the large number of CD63-GFP–positive exosomes in the AG lumen, they did not seem to fuse with MCs in most of the gland (Fig. S5 C). However, we did observe occasional GFP puncta inside MC neighbors of SCs at the distal tip of each AG (Fig. S5 D). The regionalized nature of this uptake indicates that it may be mediated by contact-dependent transfer between SCs and MCs, rather than transfer via the AG lumen.

**SC exosomes fuse with sperm and interact with epithelial cells in the female reproductive tract**

We investigated whether the interaction between SC exosomes and target cells primarily takes place after mating. *SC>CD63-GFP* males transferred fluorescent puncta into the lumen of the female reproductive tract during mating (Fig. 6, A–D). Multiply mated males of 11 d and older can sporadically transfer SCs to females (Leiblich et al., 2012). Importantly, all mating experiments performed in our current study were with 4-d-old males; we never observed transfer of fluorescent SCs in >50 mated females that we imaged, indicating that SC transfer is not involved in the phenotypes observed.

Several seminal peptides target specific regions of the female reproductive tract and/or sperm after mating (Peng et al., 2005; Ravi Ram et al., 2005). Isolated human prostatosomes fuse with the sperm midpiece in vitro, delivering Ca2+ signaling molecules implicated in sperm motility (Fabiani et al., 1994; Park et al., 2011). We also detected colocalization of CD63-GFP and sperm heads in the female reproductive tract after mating (Fig. 6, D and E). Analysis of confocal z series images revealed narrow rings of GFP surrounding the sperm head (Fig. 6 F), as might be expected after exosome–sperm fusion. These events were most commonly observed at 20 min after the start of mating (88% [14/16] mated females; Fig. 6 H) in the anterior portion of the female reproductive tract where sperm and exosomes accumulated, either in the anterior uterus (9/16) or the oviduct (5/16). This fusion frequency is almost certainly underestimated: first, because CD63-GFP is likely to rapidly diffuse and dissipate over the sperm surface after fusion, so the GFP fluorescence signal will rapidly become undetectable; and second, because there may be additional fusion to sperm tails. Although tools were not available to fluorescently colabel exosomes and sperm tails, pairs of short parallel fluorescent lines or extended irregular lines of GFP fluorescence were always seen in the female reproductive tract lumen (100%, n = 8; Fig. 6, F and G; and Video 3), which might represent such events. We did not observe CD63-GFP in sperm storage organs, though as discussed previously in this paper, GFP may dissipate after fusion to sperm before entering these structures.

Interestingly, we noted accumulation of CD63-GFP exosomes at the surface of the reproductive tract either in the uterus or oviduct in 40% of females (Fig. 7; n = 34), suggesting that SC exosomes also interact with female epithelial cells. In some cases, fluorescent aggregates made contact with the epithelium (Fig. 7 C and Video 4), whereas in others, dispersed puncta aligned along the epithelial surface (Fig. 7 D). These interactions may reflect docking to female cell targets, either before internalization via endocytosis (Morelli et al., 2004) or possibly as part of a cell surface signaling mechanism. In summary, SC-derived exosomes do not seem to fuse to the AG epithelium in virgin males but do fuse to sperm and interact with female epithelial cells after mating.

**SC exosomes modulate female receptivity to remating**

In contrast to virgin females, recently mated *Drosophila* females will reject a courting male (Wolfner, 1997). We have previously shown that BMP signaling in SCs is required for full induction of this female postmating behavior (Leiblich et al., 2012). We hypothesized that SC-derived exosomes might be involved in this function. Remarkably, blocking exosome production, using SC-specific expression of either *ALiX-RNAi* or dominant-negative Rab11, reduced the ability of males to prevent female remating (Fig. 8 A). Importantly, and similar to our observations when BMP signaling is blocked in SCs (Leiblich et al., 2012), we did not see any significant effect on egg laying, fecundity, or mating behavior for *SC>ALiX-RNAi* males (mating times, but not egg laying or fecundity, were reduced for *Rab11DN*; Fig. 8, B–F). Therefore, exosome secretion from SCs appears to be required to fully induce long-term postmating reprogramming of female behavior.

**BMP signaling regulates endolysosomal trafficking and is required for exosome secretion**

Blocking SC function and development affects multiple aspects of fecundity (Minami et al., 2012; Gligorov et al., 2013), but reduced BMP signaling and loss of exosome secretion in
Figure 6. SC exosomes interact with sperm in females. (A) Schematic of female reproductive tract in D showing mating plug (mp), uterus (ut), oviduct (ovi), and sperm storage organs (spermathecae [spt] and seminal receptacle [sr]) as well as the autofluorescent hindgut (HG). (B and C) w1118 female reproductive tract dissected 20 min after mating to either a control w1118 (B) or SC>CD63-GFP (C) male, imaged under identical confocal settings. Images show lumen of the female uterus (asterisks) containing sperm whose heads are visible with DAPI staining (arrowheads). (D and E) Female reproductive tracts 20 min after mating to a protamineB-RFP; SC>CD63-GFP male. (D) ProtamineB-RFP (prot-RFP), which marks sperm heads, accumulates in the anterior uterus (red arrowhead) and oviduct (red arrow). Most CD63-GFP–positive exosomes localize to the posterior uterus (green asterisk), but they can also be seen in the anterior uterus (green arrowhead) and oviduct (green arrow). The autofluorescent mating plug (closed asterisk) is shown. (E) CD63-GFP–positive exosomes colocalize with sperm heads marked by protamineB-RFP (open arrows). (F) Orthogonal view of a z stack through near-complete ring of CD63-GFP fused to ProtamineB-RFP sperm head (arrows). The confocal image below the blue line shows part of the female reproductive tract lumen. The two images above the blue line, separated by a white line, are green (top) and red/green (bottom) z stacks captured in the z plane marked in the bottom image. Note multiple pairs of parallel GFP-positive lines (arrowheads), potentially produced by sperm tail fusion. (G) These (arrowheads) and irregular extended lines of fluorescence (arrow) are also seen in G, which shows a maximum 3D projection image of a z stack from a female anterior uterus and oviduct where the epithelium is marked by actin>CD8-RFP (also shown in Video 3). (H) Female reproductive tracts were dissected and fixed at specific times after the start of mating to SC>CD63-GFP males. The frequency of exosome–sperm interaction events within the female reproductive tract was analyzed (n = 8 for each time point after the start of mating [ASM]). Only fusions to the sperm heads are included. Bars: (B and C) 50 µm; (D) 200 µm; (E and F) 5 µm; (G) 20 µm.
these cells specifically modulate female remating behavior. One possible explanation is that BMP signaling controls exosome production. Remarkably, antagonizing BMP signaling by expression of the negative regulator Dad almost completely blocked CD63-GFP–labeled exosome secretion (Fig. 5 A), strongly supporting this idea. Unfortunately, it was not possible to test the effect of elevated BMP signaling on exosome secretion by expression of a constitutively activated form of the BMP type I receptor Thickveins (Tkv ACT, containing a Q199D substitution; Hoodless et al., 1996). The hypertrophic SCs induced by expression of this construct protrude into the AG lumen and shed large amounts of GFP-positive cellular debris (Leiblich et al., 2012), interfering with exosome counting.

We tested whether BMP signaling might modulate exosome secretion by altering endolysosomal trafficking in SCs, using live imaging of CD63-GFP–expressing cells. In contrast to the effect of ALiX and Hrs knockdown (Fig. 5, C and D), mMVBLs in Dad-expressing SCs contained unusually high levels of internalized CD63-GFP (Fig. 5 D), indicating that BMP signaling is not required for ILV formation but must control a key trafficking and/or maturation event from the mMVBL to the plasma membrane and lysosomes, where GFP fluorescence would be lost. Indeed, SCs overexpressing Tkv\textsuperscript{ACT} had significantly fewer, but much larger, mMVBLs (P = 0.04; Fig. 5, G and I) with no intraluminal fluorescence, supporting this model. Numbers of iLEs were elevated (Fig. 5 H), and more of these cells than control cells (17/19 versus 16/31; P = 0.003, Fisher’s exact test) contained multiple SVs clustered around the enlarged mMVBL, consistent with the idea that there is increased bulk trafficking into the large acidic compartment. We therefore conclude that BMP signaling controls a key endolysosomal trafficking step in SCs required for exosome secretion, and this potentially explains the role of SC-specific BMP signaling in modulating female postmating behavior.

Because BMP signaling drives SC growth, as measured by relative size of SC versus neighboring MC nuclei (Leiblich et al., 2012), we tested whether exosome formation or secretion might be involved in this. Interestingly, although nuclei of SCs expressing either ALiX-RNAi or Rab11DN were reduced in size, nuclear size was increased in Hrs-RNAi–expressing SCs (Fig. 9). This suggests that ESCRT-dependent ILV formation is not required for SC growth.

**Figure 7.** SC exosomes interact with female epithelial cells. (A) Schematic of female reproductive tract shown in B. mp, posterior mating plug (autofluorescent); ut, uterus; sr, seminal receptacle; spt, spermathecae; ovi, oviduct. (B–D) act>CD8-RFP–expressing [B and C] or wild-type [D] females mated to SC>CD63-GFP males. (B) CD63-GFP exosomes are found in the uterus [green arrow] and oviduct [green asterisk]. (C and D) These exosomes accumulate at the apical surface of female reproductive tract epithelial cells [arrows], which are either marked with CD8-RFP [C; Video 4] or unmarked [D]. DAPI stains nuclei. In D, the reproductive tract is stained with an antibody against human CD63 to confirm that GFP and CD63 colocalize. Specific spermathecal cells exhibit weak autofluorescence. Bars: (B) 200 μm; (C) 20 μm; (D) 5 μm.
Discussion

Seminal fluid synthesized by male reproductive glands has a powerful influence on fertility, affecting multiple sperm activities and altering female behavior, in some cases directly conflicting with female reproductive interests. Several previous studies have revealed an important function for seminal peptides in Drosophila (Chen et al., 1988; Wolfner, 1997) and mammals (Park et al., 2011; Kershaw-Young et al., 2012) in these processes. However, in this study, we present the first in vivo evidence that exosomes also play a key role and identify a completely novel role for BMP signaling in regulating this process.

SCs secrete exosomes: A new in vivo model to study exosome biogenesis

Exosome biogenesis, secretion, and uptake have been previously studied in Drosophila (Gross et al., 2012; Koles et al., 2012; Beckett et al., 2013). However, the small size of exosomes, MVBs, and fly tissues makes these processes difficult to analyze in vivo. The AG contains only nanoliter volumes of secretions, making it impractical to use standard exosome analysis techniques, such as ultracentrifugation and Nanosight Tracking Analysis (Vlassov et al., 2012). Like other studies in flies, we used genetic and imaging approaches to test the identity of SC-specific CD63-positive puncta. In addition, we used Western blot analysis of transferred seminal fluid and live imaging of giant MVBs in SCs to test our hypothesis that SCs produce exosomes.

We primarily used the human CD63-GFP tetraspanin marker in our analysis. However, GFP-positive puncta were also observed in large secretory compartments of SCs expressing cytosolic GFP, and exosome-sized vesicles in MVBs and the AG lumen were observed in EM analysis of wild-type glands (Fig. S2), confirming their presence in nontransgenic flies. Because exosomes can be loaded with many cellular components, our findings provide a potential explanation for the observation that AGs of several insects, including Drosophila, secrete intracellular proteins (Walker et al., 2006; Sirot et al., 2011).

Other evidence strongly supports the idea that CD63-positive puncta secreted from SCs are exosomes and not vesicles shed from the plasma membrane. This includes the observation that CD63-positive puncta are found inside both acidic Rab7-positive MVB-like compartments as well as nonacidic Rab11-positive vacuoles and require the ESCRT and ESCRT-associated proteins Hrs and ALiX, as well as several Rabs linked to mammalian exosome secretion, to be formed and secreted. Secreted puncta counts have been used previously in flies to study genetic control of exosome secretion (Gross et al., 2012). A criticism of this approach is that reduced puncta numbers may merely reflect aggregation. However, the transfer of CD63-GFP to females was drastically reduced in mutant backgrounds, arguing that exosomes are critical components for regulating female behavior.
et al., 2012 versus Colombo et al., 2013), Hrs (Edgar et al., 2014), and Evi (Beckett et al., 2013). If different exosome subtypes are made in SCs, these cells should offer an ideal system to study their differential regulation.

The remarkably large size of endosomal compartments in SCs provides new opportunities to study exosome biogenesis in vivo. To date, many studies of the intracellular exosome against a simple aggregation model. Furthermore, because genetic manipulation of ESCRT function does not alter other secretory processes in SCs (Figs. 5 K and S4), this strongly implicates the endocytic pathway in secretion of tagged CD63.

Studies of exosomes in Drosophila as well as mammals already suggest that multiple exosome subtypes exist and may be regulated differently, e.g., different roles for ALiX (Baietti et al., 2012 versus Colombo et al., 2013), Hrs (Edgar et al., 2014), and Evi (Beckett et al., 2013). If different exosome subtypes are made in SCs, these cells should offer an ideal system to study their differential regulation.

The remarkably large size of endosomal compartments in SCs provides new opportunities to study exosome biogenesis in vivo. To date, many studies of the intracellular exosome

Figure 9. **ALiX and Hrs have different effects on SC growth.** (A) Growth of SCs relative to MCs in 6-d-old males expressing different transgenes in SCs, as measured by the ratio of SC to MC nuclear size and normalized to controls. Values inside bars show number of males tested (n); DN, dominant negative. (B–D) SCs in 6-d-old males expressing either GFP linked to a nuclear localization sequence (GFP<sup>NLS</sup>) alone [B] or in combination with either Hrs-RNAi [C] or ALiX-RNAi [D], under the control of the esg<sup>F</sup>/Ots<sup>F</sup> driver. Control SC nuclei are smaller than MC nuclei at eclosion but grow to be larger by 6 d (B). Data for A were analyzed using the Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney U test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, n = 10) after a Shapiro–Wilk test for normality. Error bars indicate ± SE. Bars, 10 μm.
biogenesis machinery and endolysosomal trafficking in higher eukaryotes have relied on expressing an activated form of Rab5 (Stenmark et al., 1994; Hirota et al., 2007; Wegrner et al., 2010; Dores et al., 2012; Schroeder et al., 2012) or addition of the ionophore monensin (Savina et al., 2002) in cell culture to artificially enlarge the endolysosomal compartments, disrupting normal trafficking events. Hence, our new SC in vivo model should allow us to reinvestigate previously reported regulators of exosome biogenesis and identify functional differences in trafficking phenotypes, as we have seen for Hrs and ALIX.

Our study has already revealed a surprisingly dynamic interaction between the secretory and endolysosomal systems in SCs. Communication between these compartments using vesicular transport and tubulation processes has been reported in other cell types in flies and mammals (Savina et al., 2005; Minogue et al., 2006; Burgess et al., 2012), but our study suggests that direct fusion can also be involved. Indeed, our data are also consistent with mMVBLs forming after fusion between SVs and iLs (Fig. 2 D), suggesting that fusion events may play a critical role in establishing distinct compartments within SCs. In light of this dynamic flux between compartments, it remains unclear whether CD63-GFP–labeled exosomes might be released by the classical route involving mMVBL fusion to the plasma membrane or via an intermediate secretory compartment.

**SC exosomes have complex physiological functions**

Although most analysis of the fly AG has highlighted roles for MC products, such as SP (Chen et al., 1988; Chapman et al., 2003), in reprogramming female post mating responses, several recent studies have also suggested a central but poorly defined function for SCs (Leiblich et al., 2012; Minami et al., 2012; Gligorov et al., 2013). A transcriptional program regulated by the Hox gene Abd-B controls vacuole formation in SCs (Gligorov et al., 2013). Our findings now indicate that at least one of the effects mediated by SCs, altered receptivity to remating, requires exosome secretion.

It is difficult to accurately estimate the frequency of SC exosome–sperm fusion events in each female fly because they can probably only be visualized transiently, and many may involve fusion to the very long sperm tail. Sperm play an essential role as mediators of SP-dependent post mating effects in females (Liu and Kubli, 2003; Peng et al., 2005), so it is plausible that exosome fusion to sperm may modulate specific SP functions. Another appealing hypothesis is that SC exosomes also interact with the female reproductive tract to influence female behavior. However, whatever the target tissues, our data clearly demonstrate a role for SC exosomes in female reprogramming. Furthermore, like human prostasomes (Arienti et al., 1997; Park et al., 2011), SC exosomes fuse with sperm, highlighting possible conserved roles for exosomes in male reproductive biology. In prostate cancer, prostasomes are inappropriately secreted into the bloodstream (Sahlén et al., 2004; Tavoosidana et al., 2011), so that other cells in the body may be subjected to these powerful reprogramming functions, potentially supporting tumor–stroma interactions and metastasis (Ronquist et al., 2010; Ge et al., 2012).

**BMP-dependent regulation of endolysosomal trafficking and exosome secretion**

Reducing BMP signaling in SCs inhibits exosome secretion and leads to the formation of a novel mMVBL compartment that is filled with fluorescent CD63-GFP. A simple interpretation of this result is that MVBL compartments in these cells do not mature properly, blocking exosome secretion. Consistent with this, increasing BMP signaling in these cells produces a highly enlarged acidic compartment.

Previous studies have shown that blocking endosomal maturation by knockdown of the early ESCRT component Hrs increases the size of immature endosomal class E compartments lacking ILVs and also results in increased BMP signaling (Piper et al., 1995; Jékely and Rørth, 2003; Chanut-Delalande et al., 2010). Our data demonstrate that elevated BMP signaling increases mMVBL size, suggesting that there is a complex bidirectional interaction between mMVBL maturation and size and the level of BMP signaling in SCs.

Our findings are consistent with a model in which BMP signaling also controls SC growth by driving endolysosomal trafficking and maturation events. Late endosomes and lysosomes have previously been shown to house major nutrient sensors and cell growth machinery, including the mTORC1 complex (Goberdhan, 2010; Ögumundsdóttir et al., 2012), which is activated by intraluminal amino acids. Interestingly, the growth rate of knockdown cells with reduced ESCRT function appears to correlate with mMVBL size (Fig. 5 I) rather than exosome secretion rate. We now need to test whether growth in these cells is mTORC1 dependent.

Whatever the explanation for the growth defects in SCs, our data very clearly implicate BMP signaling in the regulation of endolysosomal trafficking and exosome secretion. It will now be important to test whether BMP signaling plays a similar role in mammalian glands that secrete exosomes, such as prostate and breast, and determine whether this role is affected in diseases such as cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Fly stocks**

The following were used: e5gf/0 (esg-GAL4 tub-GAL80* UAS-FLP/CyO); UAS-GFP.nls actin>FRT>CD2>FRT>GAL4/TM6 (Jiang et al., 2009); a gift from B. Edgar, Center for Molecular Biology, University of Heidelberg, Germany; esg-GAL4 <7bw, tub-PGAL80*, P[UASFLP1.D]; P[UAS-GFP.nls], and actin>FRT>CD2>FRT>GAL4/TM6 are described in FlyBase, UAS-CD63-GFP (Panáková et al., 2005); a C-terminal fusion of full-length human CD63 with pEGFP-C1, which was then inserted into the pUAST vector [Brand and Perrimon, 1993]; see FlyBase; a gift from S. Eaton, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany; tubrab11-YFP, tubrab7-YFP and tubrab5-YFP (gifts from J.-P. Vincent, Medical Research Council National Institute for Medical Research, London, England, UK), Acp26Aa-GAL4 (Chapman et al., 2003; ~1.4kb fragment upstream of the Acp26Aa coding region fused to GAL4); a gift from M. Wollner, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY); dxs-GAL4 (Rideout et al., 2010); a GAL4 gene trap inserted into the first coding exon of the dxf gene; a gift from S. Godwin, University of Oxford, England, UK); sp-FLP3 [Hayashi et al., 2002; sp[PO26], see FlyBase], UAS-ALIX/ RNAi RNAi1, <32047 [Vienna Drosophila Resource Center]; RNAi2, HMS00298 [Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center] containing nonoverlapping target sequences; Dietz et al., 2007; Ni et al., 2008), UAS-Hrs/RNAi [RNAi1, HMS00841 [Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center]; RNAi2, HMS00842 [Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center]; Ni et al., 2008]), UASp-YFP.Rab11.S25N (Zhang et al., 2007; see FlyBase).
SCs, it was not practical to use standard isolation approaches (Vlassov et al., 2007). Analysis of exosome secretion and regulation

This was followed by a chase period at 18°C of 0–60 h. Note that the temperature-sensitive GAL4 transcriptional repressor GAL80ts at 28.5°C.

A short (8 h) pulse of CD63-GFP expression was induced by inhibiting the activity of ESCRT-dependent endocytosis and fusion of endosomes in SCs. We expressed several UAS-regulated tagged markers for large and non-saccharide compartments in these cells, so we could not use them as an additional tool to confirm our conclusion that large acidic compartments in SCs are late endosomes or lysosomes. CD63-GFP puncta that could be seen in the large acidic compartments of SCs were strongly fluorescent. This is consistent with observations in mammalian cells, in which CD63 is typically concentrated into ILVs within acidic MVBs before secretion

The observation that blocking ESCRT-dependent events in SCs drastically reduces the levels of CD63-GFP in the AG lumen in young males for a further day before being dissected and fixed at 4°C after a 4-h incubation. This procedure allowed stored contents of the AG lumen to be partially expelled and replenished at least 2–3 x during the period of transient expression. Each test experiment was performed simultaneously with a control for direct comparison. The observation that blocking ESCRT-dependent events in SCs drastically reduces the levels of CD63-GFP in the AG lumen in young males after multiple matings without affecting expression in the gland (Fig. 5) strongly argues that shedding of membrane debris does not take place in these experiments. We used two RNAi’s against Evi, a trafficking molecule (Santel et al., 1997). However, although we constructed a UAS–CD63-mCherry transgenic line to study exosome fusion to sperm tails, we were unable to use this fly line successfully because the latter fusion protein aggregates in SCs and disrupts membrane trafficking.

Multiple-mating experiments

For analysis of the physiological role of exosomes in reproduction (Fig. 8), multiple-mating experiments were performed as previously reported (Leiblich et al., 2012); this protocol is described in the paragraphs. Control and test experiments were always performed simultaneously to account for variations in food and other conditions. Newly eclosed virgin males were then added after 3 d to five 2–10-d-old virgin females (for a specific group of control and test flies, females were of identical age) overnight at 28°C. The next morning, these females were then replaced with three 2–5-d-old and virgin females for 3 h and watched to score timing of mating events, after which the females were separated and incubated at 25°C. For crosses in which at least two females had mated, these females were transferred to separate, fresh vials on each of the next 3 d. Egg counts and progeny counts were recorded for each vial. Each female was then introduced to a dominantly marked CyO RoI white-eyed male and left for 3 h. Emerging events were confirmed by the presence of CyO RoI progeny. The absence of effects on egg laying and fertility in males in which exosome secretion is blocked strongly supports the conclusion that the secretion of most seminal proteins is unaffected by this treatment.

LysoTracker staining

Glands were dissected in ice-cold PBS and left in ice-cold 5 µM LysoTracker red DND-99 (Invitrogen) in PBS for 5 min. They were then washed in ice-cold PBS for 5 min and mounted in PBS using a coverslip bridge for imaging. These specimens were imaged within 1 h but showed no signs of cell death or deterioration over several hours.

Preparation of AGs for antibody staining

The age of dissected flies is given as days after eclosion at 28.5°C. Immuno-staining of the AG was performed as previously reported (Leiblich et al., 2012). Dissections were performed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS with a minimum total fixation period of 15 min followed by a 20-min wash in PBST-0.3% and then a 30-min wash in PBS containing 0.3% goat serum. All washes were performed at room temperature (22°C).

Preparation of female reproductive tract for staining

Females were dissected at a fixed time after the start of mating in ice-cold PBS and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 22°C. Tracts were washed for 6 x 5 min in PBST-0.3% before incubation in 100 µl chitinase solution (Streptomyces griseus; Sigma-Aldrich; 6 U/ml in PBST-0.3%) for 2 h at 22°C (Lung and Wolfner, 1999). Tracts were washed in PBST-0.3% for 6 x 5 min and then rinsed for 20 min at 22°C. They were washed again in PBST-0.3% (3 x 5 min) and then blocked for 2 h with gentle shaking at room temperature in 100 µl PBST-Female (PBS, 0.5% Triton X-100, 3% goat serum, and 0.1% BSA). All washes were performed at room temperature (22°C).

Immunostaining

Samples were incubated with primary antibodies (diluted in the appropriate PBS) overnight at 4°C before washing in appropriate PBS (6 x 10 min). They were then incubated for 2 h at 22°C with fluorescently conjugated donkey secondary antibodies (1:100 dilution; Alexa Fluor 649 and Cy3 labeled; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) before six further 10-min washes in PBS. Stained glands were mounted in Vectashield with DAPI. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti–discs large1:10 dilution of supernatant; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, mouse anti-CD63 (1:10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1,000; catalog no. 6556; Abcam), mouse anti-Fas3

Classification of different vacuolar compartments in SCs

In these experiments, structures and biological function of vacuoles are much better preserved than in fixed tissue (compare Fig. 1, E and G), so wherever possible, live staining was used for classifying and counting compartments. We expressed several UAS-regulated tagged markers for endolysosomal compartments in SCs, e.g., HRP-Lamp (Juhasz et al., 2008) and spin-GFP (Sweeney and Davis, 2002), but they all altered the ratios of acidic and nonacidic compartments in these cells, so we could not use them as an additional tool to confirm our conclusion that large acidic compartments in SCs are late endosomes or lysosomes. CD63-GFP puncta that could be seen in the large acidic compartments of SCs were strongly fluorescent. This is consistent with observations in mammalian cells, in which CD63 is typically concentrated into ILVs within acidic MVBs before secretion (Escola et al., 1998).

Pulse–chase experiments

A short (8 h) pulse of CD63-GFP expression was induced by inhibiting the temperature-sensitive GAL4 transcriptional repressor GAL80ts at 28.5°C. This was followed by a chase period at 18°C of 0–60 h. Note that the CD63-GFP transcript is likely to persist once the pulse has ended, and so, new fusion protein expression will continue during the chase period.

Analysis of exosome secretion and regulation

Because the AG lumen contains only nanoliter volumes of secreted fluid of complex composition (Fig. S2 G), including the exosomes made from just 4 SCs, it was not practical to use standard isolation approaches (Yassouv et al., 2012) for SC exosomes. For in vivo exosome quantification and analysis of ANCE staining in the AG lumen (Figs. 5 and S4, respectively), newly eclosed males were incubated at 28.5°C to induce transient expression. After 2 d, each male was mated to three virgin females overnight, after which the females were removed, and males were left for a further day before being dissected and fixed at 4°C after a 4-h incubation. This procedure allowed stored contents of the AG lumen to be partly expelled and replenished at least 2–3 x during the period of transient expression. Each test experiment was performed simultaneously with a control for direct comparison. The observation that blocking ESCRT-dependent events in SCs drastically reduces the levels of CD63-GFP in the AG lumen in young males after multiple matings without affecting expression in the gland (Fig. 5) strongly argues that shedding of membrane debris does not take place in these experiments. We used two RNAi’s against Evi, a trafficking molecule required for secretion of Wingless on exosomes (Beckett et al., 2013), but not for CD63-positive exosome secretion (Grass et al., 2012), to test for nonspecific effects of modulating trafficking and RNAi overexpression. Although Evi is transcribed in many tissues in adults (Chintapalli et al., 2007), we have not confirmed that it is transcribed in SCs because an in situ hybridization method has not been developed for AG.

Mating experiments to analyze exosome transfer

For single-mating experiments to analyze exosome targets in the female reproductive tract (Figs. 6 and 7), w1118 or w1118; actin-GAL4, UAS–Rab7-RNAi, UAS–CD63-GFP virgin females (2–10 d old) were placed with individual 3-d-old spI-GAL4; UAS–CD63-GFP ß-proteasome8-RPP virgin males, and matings were observed. Dissections of reproductive organs from females in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS were performed at a known time after the start of mating. Dissected reproductive tracts were fixed for 30 min and then washed in PBST-0.3% (PBS and 0.3% Triton X-100) for 20 min before mounting in Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). When dissected at 7 min after the start of mating, 2/8 female reproductive tracts did not contain CD63-GFP exosomes, suggesting that, despite sperm transfer from the testes, the contents of the AG had not yet been transferred to these individuals.

Sperm tails can also be marked in living flies with GFP-tagged proteins (Santel et al., 1997). However, although we constructed a UAS–CD63-mCherry transgenic line to study exosome fusion to sperm tails, we were unable to use this fly line successfully because the latter fusion protein aggregates in SCs and disrupts membrane trafficking.

Preparation of female reproductive tract for staining

Females were dissected at a fixed time after the start of mating in ice-cold PBS and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 22°C. Tracts were washed for 6 x 5 min in PBST-0.3% before incubation in 100 µl chitinase solution (Streptomyces griseus; Sigma-Aldrich; 6 U/ml in PBST-0.3%) for 2 h at 22°C (Lung and Wolfner, 1999). Tracts were washed in PBST-0.3% for 6 x 5 min and then rinsed for 20 min at 22°C. They were washed again in PBST-0.3% (3 x 5 min) and then blocked for 2 h with gentle shaking at room temperature in 100 µl PBST-Female (PBS, 0.5% Triton X-100, 3% goat serum, and 0.1% BSA). All washes were performed at room temperature (22°C).

Immunostaining

Samples were incubated with primary antibodies (diluted in the appropriate PBS) overnight at 4°C before washing in appropriate PBS (6 x 10 min). They were then incubated for 2 h at 22°C with fluorescently conjugated donkey secondary antibodies (1:40 dilution; Alexa Fluor 649 and Cy3 labeled; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) before six further 10-min washes in PBS. Stained glands were mounted in Vectashield with DAPI. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti–discs large 1:10 dilution of supernatant; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, mouse anti-CD63 (1:10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1,000; catalog no. 6556; Abcam), mouse anti-Fas3
(1:10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; Narasimha et al., 2008), polyclonal rabbit anti-Rab7 (1:3,000; Tanaka and Nakamura, 2008), a gift from A. Nakamura, RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Kobe, Japan), and rat anti-ANCE (1:2,000; Rylett et al., 2007; a gift from E. Isaac, University of Leeds, Leeds, England, UK). All washes were performed at room temperature (22°C). Fluorescent GFP puncta were more readily observed in the female reproductive tract, perhaps because the luminal microenvironment (e.g., pH) affects fluorescence levels.

**Western blot analysis**

**Protein extraction.** For male AG samples, 3-old virgin ddx-GAL4; tubGAL80*, UAS–CD63-GFP males (incubated at 29°C from eclosion) expressing UAS–ALiX-RNAi; UAS–Hrs-RNAi; or UAS–Rab1–CD63-GFP constructs were dissected in ice-cold PBS. 

For female samples, these males were introduced to single w1118 virgin females, and matings were observed. The whole female reproductive tracts with the ovaries removed were dissected in ice-cold PBS immediately after the end of mating. w1118 virgin females and w1118 females mated to w1118 males were used as a negative control.

AG proteins were extracted by homogenizing the glands using a polycarbonate pestle on ice in 100 µl lysis buffer (radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer; Sigma–Aldrich) supplemented with antiportase and anti-
thiophosphatase cocktails (Sigma–Aldrich). The crude lysates were centrifuged briefly (300 g; 5 min), and the protein-containing supernatant was extracted. Protein concentrations were quantified using a bicinchoninic acid assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Blotting.** Blotting of AG proteins was performed as previously described (Rylett et al., 2007), with minor alterations; the protocol is described in this paragraph. Samples containing 2.5 µg homogenized protein (made up to 15 µl) were added to 5 µl of 4X sampling buffer (0.2 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 12% SDS, 40% glycerol, 20% β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.008% bromophenol blue) and heated to 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were separated using 10% mini-Protein TGX precast gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 30 min along with a prestained ladder (Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standards). Separated proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Immobilon-P; EMD Millipore) at 100 V for 1 h. The polyvinylidene difluoride membrane was then blocked for 1 h in 5% skimmed milk in TBS with Tween 20 (TBST) and then incubated with the anti-ANCE antibody (Rylett et al. 2007) at a 1:1,000 dilution, anti-GFP at 1:500 (rabbit; Abcam), or anti-actin 1:10,000 (rabbit; 04-1040; EMD Millipore) in 5% milk in TBST overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed in TBST for 3 × 5 min, incubated at room temperature for 1 h with a HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (W4018; Promega) at 1:20,000 in 5% milk in TBST, and then washed in TBST for 3 × 5 min. Bound anti-ANCE, anti-GFP, or anti-actin antibody was detected using a SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described in the manufacturer’s instructions. Photographic films were exposed to the membrane for 30 s to 1 min before film development. Band intensities were calculated using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

**Imaging**

Live and fixed samples were imaged on a scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta [Axioplan 2]; Carl Zeiss), using LSM 510 Meta software. Low magnification images (Figs. 1, A and B; 6, D; and 7, B) were obtained using the 10× dry objective (0.45 NA Plan Achromat); all other confocal images were obtained using the 63× objective (1.4 NA oil differential interference contrast Plan Apochromat) with immersion oil (Carl Zeiss). All live imaging was performed at 16°C. Fixed imaging was performed at 21°C. Details of fluochromes used in individual experiments are described in the immunostaining procedure and figure legends.

Largest mMVBL diameter was measured on ImageJ by selecting the widest diameter of the largest compartment in a confocal z series. Quantification of UAS–CD63-GFP puncta (Fig. 5, A and S4 A) were performed using ImageJ particle analysis software. Fluorescence intensity analysis of luminal content (Fig. S4 G) was performed using Photoshop (Adobe) software (gray intensity mean). Time series Videos 1 and 2 were recorded using LSM Image Browser after cropping video frames. Maximal projection image processing was performed on ImageJ, and rotating 3D projections shown in Videos 3 and 4 were recorded using the ImageJ 3D Viewer to process confocal series image files. All other confocal images were processed using LSM Image Browser.

For exosome lumens counts and ANCE staining intensity quantification, three stacked confocal images of the lumen were obtained at 5-µm intervals within the central third of each gland at a 1.7x zoom, using the 63x objective. Glands were imaged at gain settings to minimize background noise. For exosome staining, counts were repeated and gain setting (Fig. S4 A) to confirm that decreased numbers of puncta did not represent a reduced number of more intensely fluorescent structures. Rab1–CD63-GFP is not secreted into the AG lumen (Fig. S5, B versus A), so the limited number of fluorescent puncta in the lumen in this genetic background represents CD63-GFP–positive structures. The values represent the mean particles in a 7,225-µm2 luminal area, averaged over a minimum of nine images and calculated as a percentage of the control value from a parallel experiment.

For nuclear size data, 10 glands for each genotype were analyzed. For each gland, the areas of nuclei for three different SCs, and for each SC, nuclear areas for three surrounding MCs, were measured using ImageJ by outlining nuclei and measuring the enclosed area. For each SC, the mean nuclear size of neighboring MCs was calculated, and relative nuclear size values were obtained by dividing each SC value by the corresponding MC value. These values were averaged across 10 glands to give the mean nuclear size ratio. Individual experimental genotypes were always analyzed in parallel with a control that did not express the transgene.

**EM**

3-old w1118 male reproductive tracts were dissected out and stored overnight in fixative containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% formaldehyde in PHS, pH 7.2, washed with PBS, postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated, and embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin between two sheets of poly-film. Ultrathin sections were prepared with an ultrotome (Ultracut S; Reichert), mounted on formvar-coated slat grids, stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined with an electron microscope (1010; JEOL) operated at 80 kV. Although several methodologies for fixation were tested, membranes in AG preparations were often poorly preserved.

**Statistical analysis**

All data and exosome counts, as well as nuclear and mMVBL size measurements, involved analysis of SCs from multiple independent animals and were repeated in at least three separate experiments. Data were analyzed using Excel (Microsoft) or SPSS (IBM). Normality of the data was assessed using a Shapiro–Welch test. Based on this test, data were analyzed using a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney U test for single-pair comparisons. Remating data and mating times (Fig. 8) were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. Remating results shown are from a single representative experiment including pooled data collected from crosses set up over several days. These experiments were repeated twice.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows SC-specific secretion of CD63-GFP exosomes and characterization of SC vascular compartments. Fig. S2 shows colocalization of GFP with the anti-CD63 antibody signal, except in specific mMVBLs. Fig. S3 shows individual channels from a pulse–chase analysis in Fig. 3 (A–F). Fig. S4 shows the effects of knocking down membrane trafficking regulators on ANCE secretion. Fig. S5 shows transfer of SC-specific CD63-GFP to neighboring MCs and the absence of Rab1–YFP on exosomes. Video 1 depicts a live-imaging sequence showing an acidic mMVBL fusing to a nonacidic SV in SCs (Fig. 4 A). Video 2 depicts a live-imaging sequence showing invagination of a CD63-GFP–positive membrane from the mMVBL limiting membrane (Fig. 4 B). Video 3 shows a rotating 3D projection of the image shown in Fig. 6 G. Video 4 shows a rotating 3D projection of the image in Fig. 7 C. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201401072/DC1. Additional data are available in the JCB DataViewer at http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201401072.dv.
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