Lrrk regulates the dynamic profile of dendritic Golgi outposts through the golgin Lava lamp
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Introduction

Neurons are equipped with characteristic patterns of dendritic arbors, which set the framework for neuronal connectivity. Dendrite arborization is regulated by combinatorial codes of transcriptional factors and endomembrane trafficking like endocytosis and exocytosis (Scott and Luo, 2001; Jan and Jan, 2003, 2010; Cotty et al., 2009). Local addition and recycling of membrane proteins and lipids are also important for terminal dendrite dynamics such as extension and retraction (Horton et al., 2004; Hanus and Ehlers, 2008; Yang et al., 2011; Ulanir et al., 2012).

Dendritic Golgi stacks, named Golgi outposts (GOPs), are present as separate entities in shafts, branch points, and terminal branches (Pierce et al., 2001; Horton and Ehlers, 2003; Horton et al., 2005). As the prominent component of the secretory pathway in dendrites, GOPs are required for dendrite growth and maintenance. In pyramidal cells, GOPs primarily localize in apical dendrites that extend most distally, suggesting a correlation between GOPs and dendrite growth. Stationary GOPs locate at branching sites for budding dynamic post-Golgi vesicles (Horton et al., 2005). In Drosophila melanogaster class IV dendritic arborization (da) neurons with complex dendritic arbors, growth of dendrites was severely disrupted in mutants of the secretory pathway. Indeed, the local presence of GOPs regulates terminal dendrite dynamics (Ye et al., 2007). Also important are stationary GOPs in terminal branches that serve as sites for acen-trosomal microtubule nucleation, promoting microtubule-based dendrite extension and stability (Ori-McKenney et al., 2012).

Unlike axons, in which polarized microtubules are oriented plus end–out uniformly, dendritic microtubules are mixed with both plus and minus end–out microtubules, and the proportions vary in different types of neurons and dendritic segments (Baas and Lin, 2011). In class IV da neurons, microtubules in proximal dendrites are almost minus end–out, whereas both orientations are equally mixed in distal dendrites (Rolls et al., 2007; Stone et al., 2008). The orientation of microtubule polarity regulates distribution and transportation of organelles. GOPs are transported by the dynein complex toward the minus end of microtubules in dendrites. In mutants for dynein components, dendritic GOPs are immobile and localized near cell bodies. Consequentially, lower-order dendrites are substituted by higher-order dendrites, resulting in bush-like arbor (Horton et al., 2005; Sabo et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2008). The Golgi complexes are recruited to the dynein–dynactin complex through the golgin Lava lamp (Lva; Papoulas et al., 2005). Expression of the central coiled-coil domain of Lva causes dominant-negative effects, arresting GOP movements and dendrite growth (Ye et al., 2007). It is still unclear how transportation of GOPs in dendrites is regulated.
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Leucine-rich repeat kinase (Lrrk) proteins are members of the ROCO family with a Ser/Thr kinase domain (Lewis, 2009). Lrrk proteins are cytosolic, enriched in the endomembrane system, and implicated in organelle integrity and vesicular trafficking (West et al., 2005; Biskup et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2011). In Caenorhabditis elegans, Lrk-1 is required for synaptic vesicle sorting from the somatic Golgi apparatus into axons (Sakaguchi-Nakashima et al., 2007). Drosophila Lrrk regulates Rab7-dependent perinuclear localization of lysosomes (Dodson et al., 2012). Human Lrrk2 interacts with ArfGAP1 at Golgi membranes to maintain Golgi integrity (Stafa et al., 2012). Dominant mutations in human LRRK2 are prevalent in both familial and sporadic Parkinson’s disease (PD; Healy et al., 2008; Cookson, 2010). PD mutations of Lrrk2 misroute retromer trafficking to the lysosome instead of the Golgi apparatus (MacLeod et al., 2013). The aforementioned studies support the versatile function of Lrrk proteins in vesicular trafficking. Furthermore, the neuronal levels and activities of Lrrk2 regulate neuronal morphology (MacLeod et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2010). Overexpression of wild-type Lrrk2 induces neurite degeneration, and G2019S mutation with hyperactivated kinase activity (West et al., 2005; Jaleel et al., 2007) further aggravates the defects.

To understand whether Lrrk proteins play a role in regulating vesicular trafficking in neurite morphogenesis, we studied Lrrk in dendrite arborization. By generating the Lrrk mutant and YFP-Lrrk transgene, we show that Lrrk localizes at stationary GOPs and regulates GOP dynamics. Through genetic and protein–protein interaction assays, we further suggest that Lrrk antagonizes the interaction between the golgin Lva and dynein heavy chain (Dhc), thus disrupting the minus end–directed transport along microtubules by dynein. Although the kinase activity of Lrrk is required for its function, the gain-of-function LRRK2 mutation G2019S promotes retrograde transport of GOPs toward cell bodies, which correlates with its severe suppressive effect on dendrite arborization.

Results

Lrrk localizes at the Golgi complexes in cell bodies and dendrites

The Drosophila genome encodes a single Lrrk orthologue with all conserved functional domains to human Lrrk2 (Fig. 1 A). The Lrrk polypeptide includes 2,351 amino acids and was recognized as an ~240-kD protein in Western blot analysis (Fig. 1 B, lanes 1 and 2). The signal was enhanced in larvae overexpressing Lrrk via the UAS-Flag-Lrrk transgene (Fig. 1 B, lane 3). The experiment was repeated three times. (C) Enriched Lrrk signal (magenta) localizes to ManII-GFP (green) expressed by ppk-GAL4. Enriched signal is absent in e03680/del6 (bottom). HRP is in blue in merged images. (D) Transgenic YFP-Lrk (green) expressed by ppk-GAL4 shows puncta in mCD8-RFP-labeled neurons (red). Cell bodies show colocalized YFP-Lrk– (magenta) and ManII-GFP (green)–labeled puncta. (E) Dendrites labeled by mCD8-RFP (blue) show colocalization of YFP-Lrk (magenta) and ManII-GFP (green). Arrow, arrowhead, and asterisk denote puncta at dendritic shaft, branch point, and tip, respectively. Bars, 10 µm.

Figure 1. Lrk localizes at GOPs in dendrites. (A) Lrk mutant alleles (top); e03680 insertion and del6 allele shown by dashed line. Lrk domains (bottom): ANK, ankyrin repeats; LRR, leucine-rich repeats; ROC, Ras of complex; COR, C-terminal of ROC; Kin, S/T kinase; and WD, WD40 repeats. (B) Lrk expressions in different genotypes were detected at 240 kD by Lrk antibodies and control by α-Tubulin (α-Tub). The experiment was repeated three times. (C) Enriched Lrrk signal (magenta) localizes to ManII-GFP (green) expressed by ppk-GAL4. Enriched signal is absent in e03680/del6 (bottom). HRP is in blue in merged images. (D) Transgenic YFP-Lrk (green) expressed by ppk-GAL4 shows puncta in mCD8-RFP-labeled neurons (red). Cell bodies show colocalized YFP-Lrk– (magenta) and ManII-GFP (green)–labeled puncta. (E) Dendrites labeled by mCD8-RFP (blue) show colocalization of YFP-Lrk (magenta) and ManII-GFP (green). Arrow, arrowhead, and asterisk denote puncta at dendritic shaft, branch point, and tip, respectively. Bars, 10 µm.
Lrrk regulates the pool size of stationary GOPs

The majority of GOPs, detected as ManII-GFP puncta, were stationary in da dendrites during the imaging period (Fig. 2, A and B). Dynamic GOPs moved in either anterograde or retrograde direction, and either for a long distance or back and forth within short segments (Fig. 2 C). When examining YFP-Lrrk localization in dendrites, we found that some ManII-GFP–positive puncta were absent of YFP-Lrrk localization. To examine whether YFP-Lrrk–positive puncta were preferentially localized in distinct populations of GOPs, coexpressed ManII-GFP and YFP-Lrrk were imaged simultaneously in living larvae, and puncta were classified into three classes: ManII-GFP and YFP-Lrrk double-positive, ManII-GFP single-positive, and YFP-Lrrk single-positive puncta. We found that double-positive puncta accounted for 57.8 ± 9.0% of total puncta, and 80% of the double-positive puncta were stationary (Fig. 2, A, B, and C [left panels]; and Video 1). In contrast, single ManII-GFP–positive puncta accounted for 37.3 ± 7.3%, and 81% of them were dynamic (Fig. 2 C, right, green arrow). Finally, single YFP-Lrrk–positive puncta accounted for a very small fraction (4.9 ± 5.8%) and almost all of them were stationary (96%). Thus, Lrrk preferentially localizes to stationary GOPs.

The result that YFP-Lrrk–positive puncta were mostly stationary suggests that Lrrk may regulate the pool size of stationary GOPs. We examined the dynamics of GOPs in mutants with reduced Lrrk activity. In pppk-GAL4 control, 62.9 ± 2.8% of ManII-GFP puncta were stationary (Fig. 2 B). The percentage of stationary puncta showed a significant reduction in +del6 (56.7 ± 1.5%), and was further reduced in e03680/del6 (52.5 ± 3.0%). The reduction of stationary puncta in e03680/del6 could be restored by the YFP-Lrrk transgene (59.1 ± 1.6%).

Figure 2. Lrrk-localized GOPs are stationary. (A) Bar graph shows percentages of three types of puncta in dendrites, YFP-Lrrk positive, ManII-GFP positive, and double positive driven pppk-GAL4, either dynamic [red] or stationary [blue]. Mean percentages are from a total 625 puncta of 10 neurons. (B) Bar graph shows percentages of stationary ManII-GFP puncta in genotypes below graph, and statistic significance was compared of pppk-GAL4 control with total sample numbers from more than five neurons indicated in bars. (C) ManII-GFP puncta (green) with colocalized YFP-Lrrk (magenta arrows) are stationary (left and right column) or move within a short range (middle). ManII-GFP puncta without YFP-Lrrk (green arrow) travel for long distances (right). The first row shows single YFP-Lrrk signal (magenta) and the rest show merged YFP-Lrrk and ManII-GFP (green) signals. Dendrites were labeled by pppk-GAL4-driven mCD8-RFP (not depicted) in third-instar larval stage and straightened for display. Images were taken in 20-s intervals for 5 min. Anterograde (antero) and retrograde (retro) movements are indicated. (D) Tracks of dynamic ManII-GFP puncta without or with YFP-Lrrk colocalization, denoted as YFP-Lrrk(−) and YFP-Lrrk(+), respectively. Punctum numbers and percentages in both directions are indicated within boxes. The x axes represent recording times (seconds) and y axes the displacements of puncta relative to starting points (time 0 and displacement 0). Each track is shown for 5-min recording in 20-s intervals.
which showed no significant difference compared with *ppk-GAL4*. These results suggest that Lrrk regulates the pool size of stationary GOPs in dendrites.

We further analyzed the dynamic behavior of nonstationary ManII-GFP puncta that were positive or negative for YFP-Lrrk, constituting 11.7% or 30.1% of total puncta, respectively (Fig. 2 A). ManII-GFP–positive puncta free of YFP-Lrrk displayed a symmetrical pattern of anterograde and retrograde movements in both percentage and net displacement (Fig. 2, D and E, anterograde [51.8 ± 7.4% and 7.7 ± 0.8 μm] and retrograde [48.2 ± 7.4% and 8.1 ± 1.2 μm]; and Videos 2 and 3). In contrast, double-positive puncta showed asymmetric patterns of movements; more puncta moved in the retrograde direction and they had larger displacements than in the anterograde direction (Fig. 2, D and E, anterograde [32.4 ± 8.9% and 2.5 ± 0.4 μm] and retrograde [67.6 ± 12.1% and 4.6 ± 0.6 μm]; and Videos 4 and 5). Also, both anterograde and retrograde displacements of double-positive puncta were smaller than single ManII-GFP–positive puncta (Fig. 2 E).

The difference in the displacements of YFP-Lrrk–free and –localized ManII-GFP puncta could be a result of the difference in the velocities of moving GOPs or the frequency of reversal in anterograde and retrograde directions that nullify the final displacement. We found that YFP-Lrrk–free puncta moved faster in both directions than YFP-Lrrk–localized puncta (Fig. 2 F, YFP-Lrrk–free, anterograde [0.12 ± 0.01] and retrograde [0.13 ± 0.01], and YFP-Lrrk–positive, anterograde [0.09 ± 0.004] and retrograde [0.08 ± 0.003]; unit: μm/s). However, the frequency in reversing the moving direction of GOPs was not affected by Lrrk localization (Fig. S2 A). Thus, GOPs without Lrrk seem to move more freely in both directions. Although most Lrrk–localized GOPs are stationary, the dynamic ones are also less mobile and more preferably in the retrograde direction.

**Lrrk suppresses anterograde movement of GOPs**

To examine whether Lrrk restricts GOP movement in dendrites, the directionality and the displacement of dynamic ManII-GFP puncta were further analyzed in Lrrk mutants. In +/+del6, most puncta moved in the retrograde direction (Fig. 3, A [left] and B [anterograde, 32.2 ± 4.6%; and retrograde, 67.8 ± 4.6%]). The displacements in these two directions were also asymmetric, with the retrograde displacement 2.4-fold of the anterograde one (Fig. 3 C, anterograde [5.8 ± 0.8 μm] and retrograde [14.1 ± 1.5 μm in 480 s]), whereas mean velocities of these two directions showed no significant difference (Fig. 3 D, anterograde [0.12 ± 0.006] and retrograde [0.13 ± 0.004 μm/s]). The mean path that averages the displacements of all puncta at each time point indicates that GOPs collectively move in the retrograde direction in +/+del6 (Fig. 3 E, red).

Surprisingly, ManII-GFP puncta in the strong Lrrk mutant e03680/del6 displayed a reverse asymmetry in directionality and displacement. The movement of dynamic ManII-GFP puncta was redirected to the anterograde direction (Fig. 3, A [right] and B [middle; anterograde, 66.3 ± 4.5%; and retrograde, 33.7 ± 4.5%]). Also, compared with +/+del6, the anterograde displacement had a threefold increase (Fig. 3 C, 17.2 ± 1.9 μm), whereas the retrograde displacement was slightly but not significantly decreased (11.3 ± 2.3 μm). In addition, mean velocities in both anterograde and retrograde directions were increased (Fig. 3 D, anterograde [0.23 ± 0.007] and retrograde [0.20 ± 0.007 μm/s]). The mean path shows that GOPs move collectively in the anterograde direction in e03680/del6 (Fig. 3 E, black). The reverse in overall directionality and the increased anterograde displacement in the Lrrk mutant indicate that Lrrk mainly suppresses GOP movements toward the distal end of dendrites. Indeed, the distribution of GOPs was shifted distally in e03680/del6 compared with +/+del6, whereas the number of GOPs remained not significantly different (Fig. S2, B and C). Thus, the distribution of GOPs correlates with the change of GOP dynamics in the Lrrk mutant.

We then examined whether the enhanced anterograde movement of GOPs in e03680/del6 is caused by the lack of Lrrk activity. In e03680/del6 carrying the YFP-Lrrk transgene, the preferred directionality of GOPs was restored to retrograde (Fig. 3 B, right, 74.8 ± 5.7%) and the anterograde displacement was suppressed, showing no significant difference to +/+del6 (Fig. 3 C, 4.7 ± 0.9 μm). The mean velocities in anterograde and retrograde directions were also suppressed to close to controls (Fig. 3 D, anterograde [0.14 ± 0.004] and retrograde [0.16 ± 0.004 μm/s]). The mean path shows that ManII-GFP puncta move collectively in the retrograde direction (Fig. 3 E, blue). Thus, Lrrk functions in class IV da neurons to inhibit anterograde movement of GOPs in dendrites.

**Lrrk suppresses dendrite arborization**

Dynamic movements and distributions of GOPs correlate with terminal dendrite behaviors such as extension and retraction, resulting in modulation of overall dendrite morphology (Ye et al., 2007). With the regulation of GOP dynamics by Lrrk, we addressed whether YFP-Lrrk localization regulates dendrite growth. Terminal dendrites with or without YFP-Lrrk puncta at branching sites, denoted as YFP-Lrrk(−) and YFP-Lrrk(+), respectively, were assayed for their dynamic behaviors (Fig. 4 A). We found that YFP-Lrrk(−) dendrites extended with a larger displacement and more frequently than YFP-Lrrk(+) dendrites (Fig. 4 C). In addition, YFP-Lrrk(+) dendrites were stalled more often. These differences result in positive displacement of YFP-Lrrk(−) terminal dendrites. We also assayed how terminal dendrite dynamics are affected by the lack of Lrrk through recording their extension and retraction (Fig. 4 B). Terminal dendrites of e03680/del6, as compared with +/+del6, showed no significant difference in the displacement of extension or retraction (Fig. 4 D), but were more frequent in extension and less frequent in retraction, contributing to an increase in net displacement.

We then assayed the impact of Lrrk in dendrite arborization patterns. In e03680/del6 neurons lacking Lrrk activity, the dendritic pattern was more complex, with increased terminal branches (Fig. 4, E and G). The increase in dendrites was also found in complex class IV da neurons of e03680/del6 (Fig. 4, F and H). However, the dendrites of simple class I da neurons were normal in Lrrk mutants (Fig. S3, A and B), suggesting that Lrrk activity regulates higher-order dendrite

---

Anterograde displacement is shown as plus and retrograde as minus. Red dotted lines are aligned along displacement 0. [E and F] Bar graphs show mean anterograde and retrograde displacements [E] and velocities [F] for YFP-Lrrk(−) and YFP-Lrrk(+), with statistical significance compared between two groups. Error bars represent SEM. Statistical comparisons are to controls (unless specifically indicated) by Student’s t test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001; n.s., no significance.
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...arborization. Sholl analysis of dendrite distribution suggests the increases of dendrites in medial and distal ranges (Fig. S3 C). Finally, we addressed whether the increase in dendrites is caused by the lack of Lrrk activity. Overelongation of dendrites in e03680/del6 was suppressed by neuronal expression of YFP-Lrrk (Fig. 4 G). These analyses indicate that Lrrk is required cell autonomously in neurons to suppress dendrite arborization.

Lrrk antagonizes the interaction between Lva and Dhc

The golgin Lva recruits the Golgi apparatus to the dynein–dyneinactin complex for minus end–directed transportation along microtubules (Papoulas et al., 2005). We tested whether Lrrk interacts with Lva to regulate dendrite arborization. S2 cells were transfected with Flag-Lrrk or Flag-GFP, and the Flag immunoprecipitates were examined for the presence of Lva by...
Western blot analysis (Fig. 5 A). Consistent with an interaction between Lrrk and Lva, Lva signal was detected in Flag-Lrrk but not Flag-GFP immunoprecipitates. The interaction between Lrrk and Lva was further confirmed by coimmunoprecipitation in brain extracts of transgenic flies expressing Flag-Lrrk by pan-neuronal elav-GAL4 (Fig. 5 B).

Lva links Golgi membranes to the dynein–dynactin–based microtubule transport system. The central coiled-coil domain Lva3 interacts with p150 Glued of the dynactin complex, and the C-terminal globular domain Lva5 interacts with both p150Glued and Dhc (Papoulas et al., 2005). To map the Lva-interacting domains with Lrrk, Myc-tagged Lva domains (1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3, 4, and 5) were tested individually in S2 cells for coimmunoprecipitation by Flag-Lrrk. Lva3 and Lva5 were detected in Western blots analyzed by Myc antibodies, suggesting that both Lva3 and Lva5 interact with Lrrk (Fig. 5 C).

The Lrrk interactions with Lva3 and Lva5 might modulate the interactions of Lva to dynein and dynactin components. To test this, GST-fused Lva3 and Lva5 were used to pull down Lrrk from adult head lysates expressing different gene dosages of Lrrk. In lysates prepared from wild type or elav-GAL4, GST-Lva3 but not GST-Lva1 control pulled-down p150 Glued, confirming the interaction between Lva3 and the dynactin component. The interaction between Lva3 and p150Glued in controls was compared with +/del6, e03680/e03680, and e03680/del6 that express reduced Lrrk levels and to UAS-Flag-Lrrk overexpression that increases Lrrk levels. However, the interaction remained constant regardless of different Lrrk levels (Fig. 5 D).

We then tested the interaction between Lva5 and Dhc in wild type and Lrrk mutants and overexpression. Again, we confirmed that GST-Lva5 was able to interact with Dhc in controls (Fig. 5 E). Interestingly, the pulled-down Dhc level was dramatically reduced when Lrrk was overexpressed and was
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Enhanced in the Lrrk mutant e03680/del6 (Fig. 5 E). Collectively, these results strongly suggest that Lrrk competes with Dhc for interaction with Lva5.

Expression of the dominant-negative form of Lva, LvaDN, in da neurons caused dendrite reduction and field shrinkage (Fig. 5, F and G; Ye et al., 2007). Sholl analysis indicates that dendrites of LvaDN neurons decrease in medial and distal regions and slightly increase in proximal regions (Fig. S3 D). To test whether suppression of dendrite arborization by LvaDN is mediated through Lrrk, Lrrk mutant alleles were introduced into LvaDN-expressing neurons. The single e03680 allele increased the number of LvaDN dendrites (Fig. 5, F and G), in particular in proximal and medial ranges (Fig. S3 D). Further reduction of Lrrk activity in the e03680/del6 mutant increased even more dendrites in LvaDN (Fig. 5, F and G), mainly in medial and long ranges (Fig. S3 D). The restoration of dendrite arborization defects by reducing Lrrk gene dosages suggests that Lrrk is required for LvaDN to inhibit dendrite arborization.

Lrrk kinase activity is required in regulating GOP dynamics and dendrite arborization

We next examined whether Lrrk overexpression has an impact on the dynamic profile of GOPs in dendrites. In da neurons with Flag-Lrrk overexpression by ppk-GAL4, the percentage of stationary ManII-GFP puncta was increased significantly (Fig. 6 A, ppk-GAL4 [62.9 ± 2.8%] and Flag-Lrrk [76.9 ± 2.5%]). The percentage of GOPs moving toward the retrograde direction was also enhanced, from 58.7 ± 7.7% in ppk-
GAL4 to 75.0 ± 5.7% in Flag-Lrrk (Fig. 6 B). Overexpression of Lrrk also suppressed the retrograde displacement (Fig. 6 C, ppk-GAL4 [17.0 ± 1.8 µm] and Flag-Lrrk [11.4 ± 1.2 µm]) without affecting the basal anterograde displacement (ppk-GAL4 [5.2 ± 0.6 µm] and Flag-Lrrk [5.4 ± 1.0 µm]). Mean velocities in anterograde and retrograde movements remained almost identical to control (Fig. 6 D, anterograde and retrograde for ppk-GAL4 [0.15 ± 0.006 µm/s] and Flag-Lrrk [0.15 ± 0.006 µm/s]). The mean path of ManII-GFP puncta indicates that Lrrk overexpression leads to enhancement of retrograde movement (Fig. 6 E). The distribution of GOPs in dendrites was also shifted toward the proximal region (Fig. S2 C). Thus, overexpression of Lrrk increases the pool size of stationary GOPs and enhances retrograde movement of mobile GOPs.

To test the involvement of Lrrk kinase activity in regulating GOP dynamics, Lrrk-3KD with ablated kinase activity (Imai et al., 2008) was overexpressed by ppk-GAL4. In contrast to overexpression of Flag-Lrrk, Lrrk-3KD significantly reduced the percentage of stationary puncta (Fig. 6 A, 50.0 ± 2.2%). Thus, Lrrk-3KD functions dominant-negatively in regulating the pool size of stationary GOPs. Although overexpression of Flag-Lrrk promoted retrograde movements, Lrrk-3KD had no such effect (Fig. 6 B, right, retrograde: ppk-GAL4 [58.7 ± 7.7%] and Flag-Lrrk [57.2 ± 5.0%]). Instead, anterograde puncta in Lrrk-3KD overexpression moved further (Fig. 6 C, 9.9 ± 1.3 µm), with a twofold increase of the ppk-GAL4 control (5.2 ± 0.6 µm) and Flag-Lrrk overexpression (5.4 ± 1.0 µm). The retrograde displacement showed no significant difference to ppk-GAL4 and Flag-Lrrk (Fig. 6 C, Lrrk-3KD [13.5 ± 1.9 µm]). The increase in anterograde displacement was contributed from the enhanced anterograde velocity (Fig. 6 D, 0.18 ± 0.006 µm/s), whereas there was no difference in retrograde velocity (0.15 ± 0.004 µm/s). The mean path of puncta in Lrrk-3KD overexpression suggests that GOPs move in the retrograde direction at much reduced rates (Fig. 6 E). Also in contrast to the Flag-Lrrk overexpression, Lrrk-3KD overexpression promotes more distal distribution of GOPs in dendrites (Fig. S2 C).

We then examined whether the Lrrk kinase activity plays a role in dendrite development. Overexpression of Lrrk in da neurons suppressed dendrite arborization, causing significant reduction in terminal dendrites and dendritic fields (Fig. 4, E and G). However, overexpression of Lrrk-3KD abolished the suppression and slightly induced dendrite overgrowth. The effect of overexpression of Flag-Lrrk was mainly on higher order dendrites, as concluded from examining dendrite phenotypes of class IV and I da neurons (Fig. 4, F and H; and Fig. S3, A and B). Also, Lrrk-3KD overexpression induced overgrowth of class IV dendrites. Collectively, these results suggest that inactivation of the kinase activity either abolishes the effects of Lrrk overexpression or causes dominant-negative effects on GOP movements and dendrite development.

The kinase activity of Lrrk is required to antagonize the Lva–Dhc interaction

With the requirement of Lrrk kinase activity on GOP dynamics and dendrite arborization, we tested whether inactivation of the Lrrk kinase affects the interaction between Lva5 and Dhc. In the GST pull-down assay, the interaction between Lva5 and Dhc was consistently suppressed by Flag-Lrrk overexpression (Fig. 7 A, second and third lanes). Interestingly, higher levels of Dhc were detected in Lrrk-3KD overexpression than in Flag-Lrrk overexpression (Fig. 7 A, fourth lane). Although the level of Lva5-associated Dhc was increased in Lrrk-3KD overexpression, the level of Lrrk that was bound to Lva5 was comparable to that in Flag-Lrrk overexpression (Fig. 7 B, third and fourth lanes). Thus, kinase-inactivated Lrrk was still capable of binding to Lva5 and...
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Dhc, suggesting that the kinase activity of Lrrk is the primary factor to antagonize the interaction between Lva5 and Dhc.

To examine the effect of Lrrk on Lva phosphorylation, Lva was immunoprecipitated by anti-Lva antibodies, and serine and threonine phosphorylation (pSer/Thr) was examined in Western blots probed by the MPM2 antibody (Logarinho and Sunkel, 1998). In +/del6 and e03680/del6, phosphorylation of Lva was not prominent. However, immunoprecipitated Lva was phosphorylated when Flag-Lrrk was overexpressed, and the phosphorylation was greatly reduced by Lrrk-3KD overexpression (Fig. 7 C). Therefore, Lrrk is involved in the phosphorylation of Lva in vivo.

To test for a direct phosphorylation of Lva by Lrrk, we used the purified human Lrrk2 protein. With increasing amounts of Lrrk2 incubated with equal amounts of GST-Lva5, increasing levels of pSer/Thr were detected for the pulled-down Lva5 (Fig. 7 D). Almost no phosphorylation was detected in the absence of ATP (Fig. 7 D, first lane). As Lrrk2 was coprecipitated with the pulldown GST-Lva5 (Fig. 7 E), these results strongly suggest that Lrrk2 can directly bind and phosphorylate Lva5.

To test the competition between Lrrk2 and human DHC to bind to Lva5, the purified dynein complex was incubated with Lrrk2 and GST-Lva5, and GST-Lva5 was pulled down. In the absence of Lrrk2, DHC was detected in the pulldown complex. However, with more input of Lrrk2, the levels of Lrrk2 in GST pull-down also increased, and the levels of precipitated DHC decreased (Fig. 7 E). Indeed, increases in Ser/Thr phosphorylation of GST-Lva5 correlate with decreases in the amount of GST-Lva5-bound DHC (Fig. 7 F). Collectively, these results strongly support the idea that Lrrk2 binds to and phosphorylates Lva5, and phosphorylated Lva5 failed to interact with the dynein component Dhc.

Lrrk2 G2019S mutation enhances retrograde transport of GOPs

Overexpression of human Lrrk2 proteins, either wild type or disease mutants, induce dendrite degeneration, with the hyperactive mutant G2019S causing the most severe phenotype (Lin et al., 2010). G2019S-K1906M with inactivation of the hyperactivity also causes dendrite degeneration, although to a lesser extent. The effects of Lrrk on GOP dynamics in dendrites prompted us to examine how human Lrrk2 proteins affect GOP dynamics in dendrites. We first showed that human Lrrk2 could functionally substitute for Drosophila Lrrk, as Lrrk2 suppressed dendrite overgrowth in the Lrrk mutant e03680/del6 (Fig. 4, E–H). Also, Lrrk2 interacted with Lva5 and antagonized the interaction between Lva5 and DHC (Fig. 7, D–F). Overexpression of Lrrk2 in class IV da neurons displayed the same preference of retrograde movement as in ppk-GAL4 control (Fig. 8 A, 61.7 ± 6.24%, compared with 58.7 ± 7.7% in ppk-GAL4 in Fig. 6 B). Whereas anterograde displacement was slightly increased (ppk-GAL4, 5.2 ± 0.6 µm, and LRRK2, 6.1 ± 0.8 µm; P < 0.05 by Students’ t test), the retrograde displacement was suppressed (ppk-GAL4, 17.0 ± 1.8 µm, and LRRK2, 10.56 ± 1.0 µm; P < 0.01). Thus, similar to Drosophila Lrrk, Lrrk2 functions in suppressing retrograde movement. In comparison to Lrrk2, both G2019S and G2019S-K1906M reversed the suppression, showing larger retrograde displacements (Fig. 8 B, G2019S [17.9 ± 1.56 µm] and G2019S-K1906M [17.1 ± 2.2 µm]) and higher percentages of puncta in retrograde direction (Fig. 7 A, G2019S [75.9 ± 4.5%])
and G2019S-K1906M [66.0 ± 6.3%]). Both mutants also induced significantly anterograde displacements (G2019S [9.7 ± 1.2 µm] and G2019S-K1906M [8.8 ± 1.2 µm]). Mean velocities of GOP movements were also increased, which was more prominent in G2019S in both anterograde and retrograde, with larger velocity in retrograde than anterograde (Fig. 8 C, anterograde and retrograde in µm/s: LRRK2, 0.14 ± 0.005 and 0.14 ± 0.003; G2019S, 0.19 ± 0.009 and 0.23 ± 0.006; and G2019S-K1906M, 0.16 ± 0.008 and 0.17 ± 0.005). Therefore, the specific G2019S mutation promotes GOP retrograde movement by enhancing frequency, velocity, and displacement of retrograde movement, and inactivation of kinase activity suppresses the changes in directionality and velocities of GOPs to different extents. Finally, we assayed the mean paths of puncta and showed that both G2019S and G2019S-K1906M mutants induced further retrograde movements of GOPs toward the cell bodies (Fig. 8 D). Collectively, the PD mutation G2019S induces profoundly retrograde movements of GOPs, consistent with its prominent role in causing dendrite reduction and shrinkage.

**Discussion**

Here we show that Lrrk regulates the dynamic profile of dendritic GOPs, and the results could justify the role of Lrrk on dendrite arborization. In dendrites, Lrrk localizes to GOPs and inhibits GOP movements, thus increasing the pool of stationary GOPs (see the model in Fig. 9). Further analysis of mobile GOPs indicates that Lrrk preferentially suppresses anterograde movement of GOPs, a process likely dependent on dynein-based transport on minus end–out microtubules. The genetic and biochemical analyses suggest that Lrrk interacts with the golgin Lva that tethers GOPs to the minus end–directed dynein complex. Lrrk binding to the Lva5 domain suppresses the association of Lva to Dhc, thus unloading GOPs from the dynein-based transport system. The kinase activity of Lrrk is required to antagonize the Lva-Dhc interaction and to regulate GOP movement and dendrite arborization. Interestingly, the dominant mutation G2019S of LRRK2 promotes GOP retrograde movement. This regulation of GOP dynamics is consistent with the role of G2019S in suppressing dendrite arborization.

GOPs are widely distributed and highly mobile in neurons with complex dendritic patterns (Horton and Ehlers, 2003; Ye et al., 2007). GOPs regulate local dendrite growth and stability, which could be mediated via its conventional role in membrane addition and protein transportation (Hanus and Ehlers, 2008). By regulating GOP dynamics, Lrrk could play a prominent role in shaping the dendrite arborization pattern. Lrrk inhibits GOP dynamics, in particular in anterograde movement. In Lrrk loss-of-function mutants, more GOPs display motility toward distal dendritic ends. Indeed, many GOPs moved processively in the...
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Materials and methods

Drosophila strains, transgenic lines, and plasmid construction

GAL4 lines used in this study were elav-GAL4 for expression in all neurons, toothpick for expression in all classes of da neurons, and ppk-GAL4 for expression in class IV da neurons. Stocks bearing transgenes with the UAS regulatory sequence were UAS-Flag-Lrrk (described below for plasmid construction); UAS-YFP-Lrrk (described below); UAS-Lrrk3KD with triple mutations K1781M, D1882A, and D1912A in the kinase domain (Imai et al., 2008); UAS-LRRK2; UAS-LRRK2-G2019S; UAS-LRRK2-G2019S-K1906M for expression of human Lrk2 wild-type and mutant proteins (Lin et al., 2010); UAS-mCherry-Rab7 for membrane-tethered GFP; UAS-ManII-GFP for the Golgi-resident enzyme ManII-GFP (Ye et al., 2007; a gift from Y.N. Jan, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA); UAS-LxdvR8 for expressing the central coiled-coil LvdR3 region (amino acids Glu112 to Ala1800; Fig. 5 C, bottom; Ye et al., 2007; a gift from Y.N. Jan); UAS-CFP-Golgi for expressing CFP tagged with a Golgi targeting signal (Satoh et al., 2008); UAS-mCherry-Rab7 for marking late endosomes (a gift from J.C. Hsu, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan); UAS-Rab4-mRFP for marking recycling endosomes (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center [BDSC]); UAS-GFP-Rab5 for marking early endosomes (BDSC); UAS-mito-GFP for marking mitochondria (BDSC); and UAS-GFP-LAMP for marking lysosomes (Pulipparacharuvil et al., 2005; a gift from C.K. Yao, Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan). RNAi transgenes of UAS-Dhc64CRNAi (28054; Vienna Drosophila Resource Center) and UAS-Gluedm668 (3785; Vienna Drosophila Resource Center) were for knockdown of Dhc of dynein and the p150Glued subunit of dynein, respectively. Fly strains carrying mutant alleles are P Bac[Rb]Lrrke03680 and del6 that is derived from the FRT-mediated intra-chromosomal recombination between two piggy-BAC insertion lines (e03680 and d05753). The full-length Lrk coding region was amplified by RT-PCR from an adult cDNA library and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) to generate the entry clone, which was recombined with the destination vector pPFMW carrying N-terminal 3xFLAG (Drosophila Gateway Vector Collection). UAS-YFP-Lrrk was generated by subcloning the full-length Lrrk cDNA into the N terminus tagged pUAST-Venus vector (Drosophila Genomics Resource Center).

Live imaging of GOPs and image acquisition and processing

Live imaging was conducted with modification of a previously described procedure (Yang et al., 2011). Early third-instar larvae were mounted with double-sided tape between two coverslips to be incorporated into an imaging chamber for anesthetization with a short pulse of desflurane (Suprane; Boxter) that sedates larvae for 10 min (Füger et al., 2007). Immersol W (Carl Zeiss) fills between coverslip and lens of a 40× objective lens (NA 1.2, C-Apochromat 40x/1.2 W Corr M27; Carl Zeiss) through the software Zen 2010 (Carl Zeiss). Series of images for ManII-GFP in
distal dendritic regions of class IV da neurons were taken with 20-s intervals within 8–10 min. Dynamic ManII-GFP puncta in consecutive images were tracked down by the segmented line tool, and punctum displacement was quantified by ImageJ (version 1.48; National Institutes of Health). In a given field of successive images, ManII-GFP puncta that moved <0.3 µm were considered as stationary ones. Videos of ManII-GFP and YFP-Lrrk were generated by the straighten tool in ImageJ for presentation, YFP-Lrrk and other subcellular compartments such as Rab4-mRFP, GFP-Rab5b, mCherry-Rab7, GFP-LAMP, or mito-GFP puncta in da neurons of anesthetized third-instar larvae were imaged with the same mounting procedure and imaging setup. Live imaging of mCD8-GFP–marked dendrites and YFP-Lrrk puncta in awakening third-instar larvae was performed by confocal microscopy (LSM710) with an image captured every 3 to 5 min. Data analysis and statistics were performed via Excel (Microsoft), SigmaPlot (ver. 11.0; Systat Software Inc.), and Matlab (MathWorks) software.

Immunostaining and image acquisition and processing

Primary antibodies used in the study were for Flag (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), GFP (mouse; Invitrogen), Myc (rabbit; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), α-Tubulin (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), HRP-conjugated Cy5 (rabbit; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.), phospho-Ser/Thr-Pro (MPM-2, mouse; EMD Millipore), GST (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), and Lva (rabbit; Papoulas et al., 2005; a gift from J.C. Sisson, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX). The antibody for Drosophila Lrrk was generated in rabbits against the peptide sequence of amino acids 172–191 (LVQTY-RDEEG-QWEWR-LPF-DAC; Biosource) and titrated 1:300 for immunostaining and 1:1,000 for immunoblotting. Secondary antibodies used were anti–rabbit Cy3 (donkey) and anti–mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (goat) from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.

Dissected third-instar larvae were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (and 0.5% Triton X-100 for membrane permeation in Fig. 1 C), and were then blocked in 5% normal donkey serum in PBT. After immunostaining, filleted larvae were mounted in 87% glycerol solution for imaging under 20× objective (NA 0.75, Fluar 20×/0.75; Carl Zeiss) with a confocal microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss). Images were acquired via LSM 510 META and processed via LSM 510 software.

Immunoprecipitation, GST pull-down assay, and Western blotting

Drosophila S2 cells were maintained in serum-free medium at 25°C. 2 × 10^5 S2 cells in 24-well dishes were transfected with 200 ng DNA of Drosophila S2 cells were maintained in serum-free medium at 25°C. Immunoprecipitation, GST pull-down assay, and Western blotting analyses were acquired via LSM 510 META and processed via LSM 510 software. Immunostaining and image acquisition and processing

Primary antibodies used in the study were for Flag (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), GFP (mouse; Invitrogen), Myc (rabbit; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), α-Tubulin (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), HRP-conjugated Cy5 (rabbit; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.), phospho-Ser/Thr-Pro (MPM-2, mouse; EMD Millipore), GST (mouse; Sigma-Aldrich), and Lva (rabbit; Papoulas et al., 2005; a gift from J.C. Sisson, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX). The antibody for Drosophila Lrrk was generated in rabbits against the peptide sequence of amino acids 172–191 (LVQTY-RDEEG-QWEWR-LPF-DAC; Biosource) and titrated 1:300 for immunostaining and 1:1,000 for immunoblotting. Secondary antibodies used were anti–rabbit Cy3 (donkey) and anti–mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (goat) from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.

Dissected third-instar larvae were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (and 0.5% Triton X-100 for membrane permeation in Fig. 1 C), and were then blocked in 5% normal donkey serum in PBT. After immunostaining, filleted larvae were mounted in 87% glycerol solution for imaging under 20× objective (NA 0.75, Fluar 20×/0.75; Carl Zeiss) with a confocal microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss). Images were acquired via LSM 510 META and processed via LSM 510 software.

Immunoprecipitation, GST pull-down assay, and Western blotting

Drosophila S2 cells were maintained in serum-free medium at 25°C. 2 × 10^5 S2 cells in 24-well dishes were transfected with 200 ng DNA of individual constructs using Effectene (QIAGEN). Transfection of S2 cell and immunopurification of FLAG protein from the transfected cell lysate and Western blot analysis were performed as described previously (Lin et al., 2010). For preparation of fly head extracts, 50–60 fly heads were collected and homogenized in 500 µl of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, with 150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% Igepal CA-630 [NP-40], 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) with proteinase inhibitors (Roche). The extracts were further subjected to centrifugation at 15,000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min, and the supernatants were used for immunoprecipitation or Western blot analysis. Protein concentrations were determined by protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

For immunoprecipitations, antibodies were prebound to Affi-Gel protein A beads (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and the bound antibody–bead complexes were washed three times and equilibrated in extraction buffer. The prebound antibody–beads were then incubated with S2 cell lysates or fly head extracts (2 mg of total protein) for 2 h before further analysis by Western blots. In the GST pull-down assay, GST fusion proteins (10–20 µg) bound to GST beads were incubated with the lysates at 4°C overnight. Immunoprecipitated or GST pulled-down pellets were subjected to electrophoresis in 3–8% Tris-acetate gradient SDS–NuPAGE (Invitrogen) and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane for immunoblotting.

For protein–protein interaction in Fig. 7 (D–F), LRRK2 protein (+, 0.15 µg; ++, 0.5 µg; and ++++, 1.5 µg; Life Technologies), GST-purified GST-Lvα1 or GST-Lvα5 (10–20 µg), and cytoplasmic dynein motor protein (2 µg; Cytoskeleton, Inc.) with or without 10 mM ATP were used.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 describes Lrv expression and colocalization with Golgi or other organelle markers in da neurons. Fig. S2 shows the reversal of movement, number, and distribution of GOPs in dendrites in genotypes with different Lrrk gene dosages. Fig. S3 shows no effects on class I dendrites by different Lrrk gene dosages and Sholl analysis of dendrites in all classes of da neurons affected by Lrrk gene dosages and Lsd2β2. Fig. S4 shows the effects of expressing Dhcββ2 and Glu3β2 in class IV da neurons on GOP dynamics. Videos 1–5 display the movement of GOPs with or without YFP-Lrrk colocalization in dendrites. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201411033/DC1.
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