Global reorganization of budding yeast chromosome conformation in different physiological conditions
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The organization of the genome is nonrandom and important for correct function. Specifically, the nuclear envelope plays a critical role in gene regulation. It generally constitutes a repressive environment, but several genes, including the GAL locus in budding yeast, are recruited to the nuclear periphery on activation. Here, we combine imaging and computational modeling to ask how the association of a single gene locus with the nuclear envelope influences the surrounding chromosome architecture. Systematic analysis of an entire yeast chromosome establishes that peripheral recruitment of the GAL locus is part of a large-scale rearrangement that shifts many chromosomal regions closer to the nuclear envelope. This process is likely caused by the presence of several independent anchoring points. To identify novel factors required for peripheral anchoring, we performed a genome-wide screen and demonstrated that the histone acetyltransferase SAGA and the activity of histone deacetylases are needed for this extensive gene recruitment to the nuclear periphery.

Introduction

The genome is highly organized within the eukaryotic cell nucleus, and different functional domains and territories can be identified (Bickmore and van Steensel, 2013; Pombo and Dillon, 2015). Nevertheless, recent modeling results indicate that the global conformation of the yeast genome is largely governed by simple physical rules (Tjong et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012). Using a small number of physical restraints, e.g., the tethering of centromeres to the spindle pole body and telomeres to the periphery, these models reproduce experimental results with very good accuracy. This suggests that specific interactions with chromatin binding factors are not required to maintain the global chromatin conformation observed in exponentially growing budding yeast cells. Similarly, experimental data gained by analyzing nuclear positioning and dynamics of several loci along chromosome XII can be interpreted within physical models considering constraints of a tethered polymer and volume exclusion (Albert et al., 2013).

However, the specific localization of genes within the nucleus can change dramatically in response to stimuli. For example, certain budding yeast genes associate with the nuclear periphery specifically when they are actively transcribed (Brickner and Walter, 2004; Casolari et al., 2004; Taddei et al., 2006), suggesting that local changes in genome organization occur in a regulated manner.

The budding yeast GAL locus on chromosome II (chr II) is a well-studied model locus in this context. It consists of three genes, GAL7, GAL10, and GAL1, which encode enzymes involved in galactose metabolism. The locus is strongly repressed in the presence of glucose by the glucose repression pathway via the transcriptional repressor Mig1 (Johnston, 1999) and is directly activated by the hexose galactose (Bash and Lohr, 2001). The GAL locus was first shown by fluorescence in situ hybridization to relocalize from a random position within the nucleus to the nuclear periphery on activation, and this was accompanied by the association of the locus with nuclear pore components by chromatin immunoprecipitation (Casolari et al., 2004). Nuclear envelope recruitment has been reported to depend on the presence of promoter sequences for the GAL2 gene (Dieppois et al., 2006) and to be influenced by the 3′ UTR for a reporter gene construct based on GAL1 (Abruzzi et al., 2006). Furthermore, factors of the transcriptional activation machinery, the mRNA processing and export machinery, and the nuclear pore complex (NPC) are required to establish peripheral localization (Cabal et al., 2006; Dieppois et al., 2006; Vodala et al., 2006), suggesting that local changes in genome organization occur in a regulated manner. The budding yeast GAL locus on chromosome II (chr II) is a well-studied model locus in this context. It consists of three genes, GAL7, GAL10, and GAL1, which encode enzymes involved in galactose metabolism. The locus is strongly repressed in the presence of glucose by the glucose repression pathway via the transcriptional repressor Mig1 (Johnston, 1999) and is directly activated by the hexose galactose (Bash and Lohr, 2001). The GAL locus was first shown by fluorescence in situ hybridization to relocalize from a random position within the nucleus to the nuclear periphery on activation, and this was accompanied by the association of the locus with nuclear pore components by chromatin immunoprecipitation (Casolari et al., 2004). Nuclear envelope recruitment has been reported to depend on the presence of promoter sequences for the GAL2 gene (Dieppois et al., 2006) and to be influenced by the 3′ UTR for a reporter gene construct based on GAL1 (Abruzzi et al., 2006). Furthermore, factors of the transcriptional activation machinery, the mRNA processing and export machinery, and the nuclear pore complex (NPC) are required to establish peripheral localization (Cabal et al., 2006; Dieppois et al., 2006; Vodala et al., 2006), suggesting that local changes in genome organization occur in a regulated manner.
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particularly very similar to previously published manual analysis (Fig. 1 D). Our automated analysis method performed quantitatively very similar to previously published manual analysis methods (Fig. S1 A; Dieppois et al., 2006). Furthermore, this approach can be easily adapted for the analysis of 3D information if higher accuracy is desired (Fig. S1 B). Therefore, our automated imaging pipeline provided us with a robust platform to rapidly acquire and analyze gene localization in a large number of cells in different growth conditions.

### Results

#### Construction of the chr II strain library

Several individual gene loci in yeast have been observed to relocate toward the nuclear periphery on transcriptional activation (Brickner and Walter, 2004; Casolari et al., 2004; Taddei et al., 2006). To study how such changes in the localization of individual loci impact surrounding chromosome regions or entire chromosomes, we designed a library of strains which carry LacO repeats (that can be visualized with LacI tagged with GFP) at equally spaced positions along the entire yeast chr II (Fig. 1 A), which harbors the GAL gene cluster. To facilitate generation of this strain library, we used a LacO repeat containing plasmid designed to replace the KanMX cassette in strains of the yeast genome deletion collection (Fig. 1 B; Winzeler et al., 1999; Rohner et al., 2008). With this strategy we generated integrations spaced approximately every 50 kb along chr II. In addition, we introduced fluorescent markers for the nucleoplasmin (NLS of Rpl25 tagged with CFP) and the nuclear envelope (nucleoporin Ndc1 tagged with tdTomato).

For unbiased analysis of large numbers of cells in different stains and conditions, we developed an automated imaging and image analysis pipeline based on wide-field epifluorescence microscopy and dedicated MATLAB code. The nucleoplastic NLS marker facilitated segmentation, whereas the nucleoporin allowed tracing of the nuclear periphery (Fig. 1 C). After initially fitting an ellipse to the nuclear pore signal, the tracing of the nuclear envelope was refined using free-form and spline fitting (see Materials and methods for details). Importantly, our method does not enforce circular or ellipsoid shapes. The minimal distance between the gene dot and the nuclear envelope was analyzed for each cell and normalized to the nuclear radius. Gene dots in a peripheral zone encompassing one third of the cross-sectional area of the nucleus were classified as peripheral (Fig. 1 D). As expected, in a strain marked with LacO repeats next to the GAL locus, the distribution of distances between the gene and nuclear envelope shifted from a broad distribution over the entire width of the nuclear radius to a strongly skewed distribution peaking close to the nuclear envelope on growth in galactose (Fig. 1 D).
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Chromosome localization relative to the nuclear envelope in glucose and galactose

First, we analyzed the localization of chr II loci relative to the nuclear envelope in exponentially growing cells using glucose as their carbon source. The telomeres and centromere localized to the nuclear periphery at the highest percentage, as expected (Fig. 2A, blue). Loci in between were located further away, with a gradual shift from the telomeres toward the center of the chromosome arm and a rather abrupt drop of peripheral localization at positions surrounding the centromere. The latter effect is likely caused by crowding in the area around the spindle pole body (Tjong et al., 2012). These observations are also reflected in histograms of the distribution of distances, displaying narrow peaks close to the nuclear periphery for the tethered sites at the telomeres and centromere and broader more symmetric distributions for sites in the center of the chromosome arms (Figs. 2B and S2A).

We recently developed a computational model for the conformation of the yeast genome using few biophysical constraints and a priori assumptions (Tjong et al., 2012). Our model showed very high correlation with experimental data obtained by live-cell imaging and chromatin conformation capture techniques (Tjong et al., 2012). Consistent with this, the overall features that we observed here for chr II were recapitulated in our computational model (Fig. 2A, right). Two discrepancies became apparent. First, in the model, the centromere was not closer to the nuclear periphery than neighboring loci. The centromere localization in the model was based on previous experimental observations (Jin et al., 2000; Berger et al., 2008; Therizols et al., 2010). The difference might be explained if the yeast strain used in our current study had shorter microtubules. Alternatively, the labeling of Ndc1, which also is a component of the spindle pole body, may have improved detection of the nuclear envelope in the area of the spindle pole body and therefore more precisely localized the centromere close to it. A second difference concerns the localization of telomeres. In the computational model, 100% of telomeres were kept in a narrow zone at the nuclear envelope. However, in previous experiments, only 50–70% of different telomeres were scored as peripheral in different cell cycle phases (Hediger et al., 2002b), and we found 41.4 ± 1.4% (95% confidence interval, position 1) for the left telomere and 45.1 ± 2.3% (position 19) for the right telomere within the peripheral zone of the nuclear envelope.

Next, we analyzed how the positioning of chr II changed on induction of the GAL genes. As expected (Green et al., 2012), a gene tag in the vicinity of the GAL locus (5 kb telomeric of the GAL locus, position 8) localizes to the peripheral zone in a higher percentage of cells in galactose when compared with glucose-grown cells (30.7 ± 1.7% vs. 18.9 ± 1.7%). The loci surrounding the GAL locus (positions 7–10) also showed increased peripheral localization in galactose-growing cells, but the centromere (position 6) was not affected. To our surprise,
this peripheralization effect was not restricted to the neighborhood of the GAL locus: also gene loci positioned far away on chr II showed increased localization to the nuclear periphery. A notable exception was position 11, which did not show a strong change in localization between glucose and galactose (Fig. 2 A; compare also Fig. 5 B). These results suggest that the association of the GAL locus with the nuclear periphery is not a singular event but is part of a large-scale rearrangement affecting the entire chr II.

To assess whether this phenomenon was restricted to chr II, we analyzed the localization of additional genes on other chromosomes. In agreement with previous results (Taddei et al., 2006), we found that the telomere proximal and glucose repressed HXK1 gene on chromosome VI also shows slightly increased localization at the nuclear periphery in galactose; however, this was not true for PES4 (also on chromosome VI; Fig. 2 C). However, several other genes, which are not implicated in carbon metabolism, including RPL25 (chromosome XV), RPL9A (chromosome VII), and INO1 (chromosome X), also associated with the nuclear envelope in a larger percentage of cells in galactose- compared with glucose-grown cells (Figs. 2 C and S2 B). Therefore, the shift toward the nuclear envelope is not unique to chr II. Rather, it seems to be a large-scale chromosome rearrangement caused by changes in the gene expression program or physiological state of the cell when grown in galactose compared with glucose.

Although it appeared unlikely that the GAL locus alone would be responsible for the observed large-scale chromosome rearrangement in galactose-growing cells, we used our computational model to examine the effects of a single tethering site on chromosomal organization. To this end, we forced the GAL locus to be localized 50 nm from the nuclear periphery (tethered) in 40% of our model population. In this model, localization of a region extending ~100 kb in each direction from the GAL locus shifts toward the nuclear periphery (Fig. 3 A and see Fig. S3 A for a model with 100% GAL loci tethered). However, regions that were further away in linear chromosome distance were only moderately affected in the model (e.g., on the right arm from position 12 on).

We next tested this model experimentally by introducing a single artificial tether on chr II. Expression of a TetR-tagged nucleoporin (Mlp1) in a strain that also contained TetO repeats proximal to the GAL locus led to the peripheral recruitment of this locus in glucose-grown cells (Fig. 3 B). Similar to our computational model, peripheral regions of up to 100 kb were affected by this artificial tether, but no effect could be observed beyond this distance. Therefore, tethering of a single gene locus can significantly influence the nuclear positions of surrounding genes up to ~100 kb. However, additional factors must contribute to the large-scale changes observed in galactose-grown cells.

Can global compaction explain peripheralization of chr II in galactose? What mechanism could trigger this chromatin rearrangement? We considered two—not mutually exclusive—hypothetises. First, because yeast cells grow slower in galactose, we hypothesized that global down-regulation of gene expression might lead to condensation of chromatin. Because yeast chromosomes are anchored at the nuclear envelope, compaction of chromatin between anchoring points could then potentially bring the chromosome closer to the nuclear envelope (Fig. 3 C, left). Alternatively, multiple tethering points on chr II and other chromosomes could be activated in galactose-growing cells, which would lead to the recruitment of multiple segments of the genome to the nuclear envelope (Fig. 3 C, right).

In our computational model, at least a 1.8-fold compaction was needed to cause a detectable increase of peripherally localized loci (Fig. S3 B). Such a compaction would lead to an estimated reduction of the mean 3D distance between two loci on the same chromosome arm by 16–19% (Fig. S3 C). We therefore tested the global compaction model experimentally by
introducing two fluorescent marks into chr II at different linear distances to each other (~10–305 kb; Fig. 4 A) and analyzed the 3D distance between these marks in different growth conditions. Only much smaller differences in the 3D distances for any of the pairs of markers could be detected in galactose-verus glucose-grown cells (Fig. 4 B). Therefore, global compaction alone cannot explain the observed large-scale chromatin rearrangements in galactose. Nevertheless, it cannot be excluded that slight compaction in conjunction with other mechanisms might contribute to peripheralization of chromatin in galactose-grown cells.

**How does the GAL locus contribute to chr II rearrangement?**

Our alternative hypothesis proposes the presence of multiple tethers in galactose-growing cells. If this was true, removal of the GAL locus (the only identified tethering site on chr II) would be expected to have local effects on its genomic neighborhood but would not abolish localization changes further away. We examined the localization of several loci on chr II after deletion of GAL7, GAL10, and GAL1, including upstream and downstream sequences (Δgal). To allow for growth in galactose medium, these experiments were performed in diploid cells containing a second unmarked copy of chr II (Fig. 4 C). Like in haploid strains, peripheral recruitment of loci along the entire chr II was observed in galactose growing diploid cells (Fig. 4 C). As expected, the percentage of cells in which the chromosome region surrounding the GAL locus was localized at the nuclear periphery was significantly reduced in Δgal strains. However, there still was an increase in peripheral localization in galactose compared with glucose, suggesting that even in the immediate vicinity of the GAL locus additional anchor points might exist. In line with a multiple tether model, a locus on the left arm of chr II and 140 kb away from the GAL locus (position 3) was not affected. Surprisingly, the gene locus at position 14, 300 kb away on the right arm of chr II, still showed a significant reduction in the fraction of cells with peripheral localization in galactose. Therefore, although individual anchoring points might influence each other over large distances, overall our results are consistent with a multiple tether model.

**How many tethering sites exist?**

To approach the question of how many tethering sites are needed to generate the experimentally observed localization pattern, we again explored our computational model. The introduction of one additional tethering site at position 15 on the right arm of chr II led to an increase in peripheral localization of a chromosome region of ~100 kb in each direction (Fig. 3 A, gray), indicating that the presence of very few distinct tethering sites on chr II could generate the observed large-scale changes in localization. We therefore hypothesize that in addition to the GAL locus, there is at least one additional tethering site on the right arm of chr II. Alternatively, multiple weaker tethering sites could generate a similar effect.

Apart from the three genes at the GAL locus, no other genes on chr II are strongly induced specifically in galactose (Gasch and Werner-Washburne, 2002). However, growth in galactose also leads to increased expression of a large number of glucose-repressed genes, and we therefore wanted to test whether the withdrawal of glucose rather than the addition of galactose was the driving force behind the observed changes in localization. We analyzed the localization of chr II in cells growing in raffinose, a condition in which glucose repression is relieved, but the GAL genes are not activated. As previously reported, the GAL locus localized at the nuclear periphery also in raffinose (Fig. 5 A; Green et al., 2012). In addition, the peripheral
localization of chr II was overall very similar in exponentially growing cells in raffinose and galactose (Fig. 5 A). A similar effect with even stronger pronounced peripheral localization was observed in cells that were acutely washed from glucose to either sugar-free or galactose-containing medium (Fig. 5 B). Note that the peripheral levels in cells washed back into glucose are still increased 1 h after the wash compared with exponentially growing cells (compare blue lines in Fig. 5, A and B). Collectively, these observations support the hypothesis that the absence of glucose is sufficient for formation of the postulated tethering sites and the observed global chromatin reorganization.

Genome-wide screen reveals role of histone deacetylases in chromatin peripheralization

Because our results pointed to the existence of additional peripheral anchor points, we next wanted to explore the mechanism underlying the formation of these tethers. The mechanism tethering the GAL locus to the nuclear periphery has been postulated to be a link between the transcriptional activator complex SAGA, the nuclear export machinery, and the NPC (Rodríguez-Navarro et al., 2004; Cabal et al., 2006; Luthra et al., 2007; Jani et al., 2014). However, several pieces of conflicting data in the literature prompted us to reevaluate the requirements of GAL locus relocalization to the nuclear periphery in an unbiased manner. We took advantage of our automated microscopy and image analysis pipeline to perform a genome-wide screen to identify novel factors involved in gene tethering at the nuclear periphery. We analyzed the localization of the GAL locus in galactose-grown strains derived from the yeast deletion collection each carrying 1 of 4,792 unique, nonessential ORF deletions. Among the 114 top hits showing nonessential ORF deletions. Among the 114 top hits showing
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Figure 5. Glucose deprivation leads to peripheralization. (A) Fraction of cells with peripheral gene dots (black). The fraction was calculated for three sets of carbon sources. Shaded areas indicate 95% confidence intervals. Galactose data are shown as dashed line for comparison (as in Fig. 2). (B) Fraction of cells with peripheral gene dots in cells acutely washed from exponential growth in glucose to media containing either no sugar, 2% galactose, or 2% glucose (after two washed with sugar-free medium). Measurements were taken 30–60 min after carbon source shift. (A and B) Data are pooled from three to four biological replicates (>50 cells each). Shaded areas show 95% confidence intervals. *P < 0.01; ns, not significant (P > 0.01); nd, not determined for two-proportions z test (for B: glucose and galactose in red or glucose and no sugar in black).

Glucose, suggesting that any residual tethering in glucose is also affected. We also deleted RCO1 and SDS3, which are components of the two flavors of the Rpd3 complex, namely Rpd3L and Rpd3S (Yang and Seto, 2008). Although the localization pattern in the rco1Δ strain closely resembled localization in the rpd3Δ mutant, deletion of SDS3 affected only localization in galactose, but it did not show a reduction in peripheral localization of the GAL locus in cells growing in glucose, suggesting that the two complexes may play distinct roles. Importantly, treatment with the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA), which targets both Rpd3 and Hda1, recapitulated the reduction of peripheral localization of the GAL locus observed in the HDAC mutants (Fig. 6 C).

It was previously shown that chromatin association with the nuclear periphery is regulated throughout the cell cycle (Hediger et al., 2002b; Brickner and Brickner, 2010). Although we did not find an enrichment of cell cycle regulators among our hits, we investigated whether changes in cell cycle distribution on TSA treatment or in HDAC-mutant cells could indirectly contribute to reduced peripheral association. However, neither the treatment with TSA nor the loss of HDA1 or SDS3 affected cell cycle distribution in the cell population (Fig. S4, C and E). Of note, rpd3Δ and rco1Δ cells displayed defects in cell cycle distributions, and the results from these mutants should therefore be interpreted with caution. Importantly, the HDAC mutants or TSA treatment did not abolish the transcriptional induction of the GAL or glucose-repressed genes (Fig. S4, A, B, and D). However, we observed a moderate increase in expression of both glucose-repressed and galactose-induced genes in all TSA-treated samples, consistent with a generally repressive role of histone deacetylation.

Having established that the effects of HDAC inhibition cannot be explained by changes in cell cycle distribution or inhibition of transcription, we next tested whether HDAC activity is needed for the large-scale chromatin rearrangement that we had observed. To this end, we examined our chr II strain library on treatment with TSA. The presence of TSA completely prevented peripheralization of the chromosome during growth in galactose (Fig. 7). Interestingly, we also observed that the locus next to the centromere (position 6) showed reduced localization at the nuclear periphery in the presence of TSA both in glucose and galactose. This suggests that the stable attachment of the centromere to the spindle pole body or the length of the connecting microtubules may be regulated by HDAC activity. The change in centromere localization might have a longer range...
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effect on chromosome organization and could therefore be partially responsible for the reduced peripheral localization we observe in TSA-treated cells grown in galactose. However, we have shown that individual tethering sites affect no more than 100 kb in each direction (Fig. 3 B), rendering such a global effect unlikely. In addition, the localization in glucose is changed only locally at the centromere.

SAGA and NPCs play global roles in chromatin peripheralization

The transcriptional activator SAGA is required for targeting of the GAL locus to the nuclear periphery (Cabal et al., 2006). Although SAGA's histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity had previously been suggested to be dispensable for this (Cabal et al., 2009), its HAT activity is required for anchoring DNA circles to the NPC (Denoth-Lippuner et al., 2014). Therefore, we reinvestigated the role of SAGA's enzymatic activities as HAT (via Gcn5) or deubiquitinylase (via Ubp8) in the localization of chr II. Although a shift toward the periphery could still be observed locally around the GAL locus in the gcn5Δ strain, overall both ada2Δ and gcn5Δ cells showed a very similar reduction in the association with the periphery in galactose along the length of chr II (Fig. 8, A–C), suggesting that acetylation plays a critical role in global chromosome relocalization.

In contrast, the deletion of components of SAGA's deubiquitination module, Sgf73 and Ubp8, had only moderate effects on global chromosome localization in galactose-growing cells (Fig. 8, D and E). However, sgf73Δ cells showed a local reduction in peripheral localization around the GAL locus, consistent with the fact that Sgf73 was among the top scoring genes in our genome-wide screen (Fig. 6 A and Table S4) and previous findings (Köhler et al., 2008).

Components of the NPC, including Nup60, Nup1, and Mlp1/2, have also been implicated in tethering active genes to the nuclear periphery (Cabal et al., 2006; Dieppois et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010). NUP60, which is thought to anchor the nuclear basket proteins Mlp1 and Mlp2 to the NPC (Feuerbach et al., 2002), was found among the top genes in our screen (Fig. 6 A and Table S4). To examine the role of the NPC in general chr II localization, we deleted NUP60 in our library strains. Although nup60Δ cells displayed reduced levels of peripheralization along the entire right arm of chr II, an increase in the localization at the periphery in galactose compared with glucose could still be detected (Fig. 8 F).

Interestingly, in SAGA mutants and in nup60Δ mutants, the peripheral localization of the telomeres, especially the left telomere, was also reduced. In some cases, loss of the telomere from the periphery was partially rescued in galactose.

In summary, our results confirm previously identified functions of the SAGA complex and NPC components in recruitment of the GAL locus to the nuclear periphery. However, we extended this finding and demonstrate that these complexes play a more global role in chromosome relocalization.

Figure 6. Genome-wide screen identifies role of HDACs in GAL tethering. (A) Classification of top hits in screen for GAL locus tethering mutants. Genes were manually assigned to groups according to gene ontology terms and description in the Saccharomyces Genome Database. Hits assigned as “dubious” were omitted (n = 4). (B) Localization of the GAL locus was determined in mutants for HDAC components in glucose and galactose. his3Δ mutant served as control. (C) Localization of the GAL locus was determined in the his3Δ mutants in presence or absence of TSA. (B and C) Data shown are pooled from three independent experiments (>50 cells each), error bars show 95% confidence intervals. ***, P < 0.001 for two-proportions z test; ns, not significant (P > 0.01).

HDAC activity is required for chromosomal reorganization. Chr II library yeast strains were grown overnight in medium with glucose or galactose as a carbon source in the presence of 50 μM TSA or solvent only (1% DMSO). Fraction at the nuclear periphery is plotted relative to the position on the chromosome, with shaded areas corresponding to 95% confidence intervals. *, P < 0.01; ns, not significant (P > 0.01); nd, not determined for two-proportions z test. Data are pooled from three or more independent experiments (>50 cells each).
in telomere tethering to the periphery. We find that the HAT activity of SAGA, but not its deubiquitination activity, is required for nuclear envelope association of chr II. Finally, our genome-wide screen uncovered a role of HDACs in chromatin organization, and our results revealed the existence of a common mechanism for peripheralization along the entire chr II requiring both HAT and HDAC activity.

Discussion

High-throughput methods for chromatin analysis

To thoroughly and systematically analyze the function and organization of chromatin, high-throughput methods are required. Here, we used chromatin tagging, imaging, and automated analysis techniques to develop a platform for high-throughput strain generation and robust data analysis. This enabled us to perform a systematic analysis of the spatial organization of a yeast chromosome within living cells. Our analysis uncovered a global chromatin reorganization process that is linked to changes in cellular physiology and has a major impact on the 3D organization of the nucleus. The process is regulated by the opposing activities of HAT and HDAC complexes. Our results provide new insights into the mechanism of GAL locus localization and furthermore suggest that peripheral anchoring may be a more prevalent phenomenon than previously thought.

For our analyses, we made use of the yeast deletion collection to integrate an identical LacO-cassette in multiple loci within the genome, allowing us to systematically label different positions in a single-step procedure. Although this enabled the rapid generation of many strains in parallel, this approach has the drawback that every strain harbors the deletion of a distinct ORF. Here, we used strains that had no obvious growth phenotype and that were not annotated with strong phenotypes on www.yeastgenome.org. Furthermore, by examining many strains in parallel, we could disregard effects that were seen in individual strains, but not in neighboring control strains. Nevertheless, the mutant background remains a caveat and might be avoided in future applications, e.g., by using different types of collections with identical inserts in different genome positions or by the use of heterozygous diploid strains.

Our analysis was greatly aided by automated imaging and the analysis pipeline that we present here. These tools were
essential for us to perform our large-scale analysis experiments, but they should also be of more general use for the community to analyze gene position and movement in an unbiased and highly automated manner.

**Physiological states globally affect chromatin architecture**

In our study, we uncovered wide-ranging alterations in chromatin organization on changes in carbon source. We find that the recruitment of the GAL locus to the nuclear periphery is not a singular event. Based on our results with computational modeling, an artificial tether, and the GAL locus deletion strains, we propose that additional anchoring sites must exist on the same chromosome even in close neighborhood to the GAL locus, and most likely on other chromosomes. In agreement with this, previous chromatin precipitation experiments identified genes that show increased interactions with NPC proteins in galactose compared with glucose (Casolari et al., 2004). However, the absolute number of genes that showed this behavior was small, supporting the idea that only a few distinct stable tethering sites might exist.

What defines those tethering sites? What is their function? In glucose, >4,000 genes are actively expressed, and if tethering sites are linked to transcriptional activation, this would argue that only very few selected loci associate with NPCs when active. This notion could be supported by the observation that specific DNA zip codes can target genes to the nuclear envelope (Ahmed et al., 2010). Alternatively, the fact that no specific tethering sites are observed in glucose could be associated with the high overall transcriptional output of these cells. In these conditions, transcribing loci may compete for the association with the nuclear periphery. In addition, these events may be widely distributed over the entire genome and differ from cell to cell so that no net enrichment can be detected in a population. Only on reduction of the overall transcriptional output, specific genes that are highly transcribed might stand out and can be detected in the population. This idea would be consistent with chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments with NPC components in which the transcriptional activity of a gene correlated with its association with nucleoporins (Casolari et al., 2004). Alternatively, attachment sites at the nuclear envelope might not be available in fast-growing fermenting cells and might only become activated on a shift to respiration, e.g., by posttranslational modification of nucleoporins. Potentially interesting in this context is the requirement of HAT and HDAC activities for chromatin peripheralization because the global acetylation state can be a signal for the metabolic state of the cell (Cai et al., 2011), potentially providing a regulatory mechanism for chromatin association with the nuclear periphery.

Alternatively, association with the nuclear periphery might not be primarily related to gene activation but to gene repression. *S. cerevisiae* divides optimally on glucose using fermentation to generate all ATP for cellular growth and function. In contrast, growth on galactose or raffinose is considerably slower, and cells use both fermentation and respiration (Fendt and Sauer, 2010). Apart from the large number of gene expression changes occurring on shift from glucose to another carbon source (Gasch and Werner-Washburne, 2002), the slower growth rate would also be expected to require overall lower metabolic rates and therefore lower levels of gene activity. In this context, peripheralization could contribute to repression because the periphery does not only harbor the repressive environment for telomere silencing, but the association of the GAL locus with the nuclear periphery has also been shown to dampen expression (Green et al., 2012).

Importantly, not all of the interaction points with the nuclear periphery may be of the same nature as indicated by the local effects of, e.g., the *sgf73Δ* and *nup60Δ* mutants. Therefore, a combination of activating and repressing mechanisms for chromatin association with the nuclear periphery is possible. Additional experiments will be needed to differentiate between these models and to better understand the functional role of the gene attachment at the nuclear periphery and the NPC.

**Role of SAGA in chromatin organization**

Our analysis uncovered a global role for the histone acetylation complex SAGA in chromatin organization in galactose-growth cells. Deletion of SAGA components significantly affects the expression of up to 10% of the yeast genome, but SAGA is involved in the regulation of many more genes (Lee et al., 2000). The complex combines an acetylation module and a deubiquitinatation module and has been implicated in various transcription-associated processes, including activation, elongation, and spliceosome assembly (Koutelou et al., 2010). In addition, Sgf73 links SAGA and the mRNA export complex TREX-2 (Köhler et al., 2008). The fact that we observe a reduced peripheral association of different chromosome regions with the nuclear periphery in *gcn5Δ* and *ada2Δ* mutants suggests that peripheralization of chr II in galactose depends on the HAT function of the SAGA complex, which is involved both in transcriptional activation and elongation (Balasubramanian et al., 2002; Govind et al., 2007). In contrast, the deubiquitinatase function is not required because deletion of *SGF73* or the deubiquitinatase *UBP8* did not have an effect on global peripheralization in galactose. Nevertheless, deletion of *SGF73* did affect peripheral localization of the GAL locus, supporting previous results that implicate a physical interaction between SAGA and mRNA export in GAL localization at the nuclear periphery (Köhler et al., 2008). In addition, *gcn5Δ* cells still showed an increased localization at the nuclear periphery in galactose compared with glucose, again pointing to the presence of tethers with different mechanisms.

**Anchoring of telomeres**

The interaction of telomeres with the nuclear periphery has been the subject of several studies and involves multiple pathways, including the interaction of Sir proteins with the inner nuclear membrane protein Esc1 (Andrulis et al., 2002; Taddei et al., 2004) and the interaction of yKu70 with components of the nuclear basket of the NPC Mlp1, Mlp2, and Nup60 (Galy et al., 2000; Feuerbach et al., 2002). However, the involvement of Mlp1/2 in telomere anchoring has been debated (Hediger et al., 2002a,b). We find here that the deletion of Nup60 indeed affects localization of at least a subset of telomeres to the nuclear periphery because the left telomere of chr II lost its preferential peripheral localization in *nup60Δ* cells. However, no significant effect on the right telomere was observed. Such telomere-specific effects could explain the discrepancies described in previous studies. In addition, we observed strong effects on anchoring of the left telomere in the SAGA complex mutants. A role of SAGA in telomere anchoring is in line with the observation that SAGA is required for the silencing of telomeres (Jacobson and Pillus, 2009).
**HDAC activity in gene tethering at the nuclear periphery**

In our screen, we uncovered a novel role of HDACs in tethering the GAL locus at the nuclear periphery and further showed that HDAC activity is globally involved in the rearrangement of chromatin that occurs in cells that are grown in the absence of glucose. Interestingly, HDAC inhibition by TSA also had a specific effect on centromere localization in glucose. Globally, both acetylation and deacetylation are required for the peripherality process. We can only speculate on the targets that are modified by these activities. Although histones are obvious candidates, the modification of other proteins could also be involved in establishing a tether between chromatin and the nuclear envelope. In higher eukaryotes, HATs and HDACs can bind directly to nuclear pore or nuclear envelope proteins (Somech et al., 2005; Kehat et al., 2011; Demmerle et al., 2012). Furthermore, treatment of human cells with TSA leads to a characteristic increase of acetylation in a zone underlying the nuclear envelope (Taddei et al., 2001) and changes in the interaction pattern of genomic sequences with the nucleoporin Nup93 (Brown et al., 2008). Although specific localization of HATs and HDACs has not been reported in yeast, our findings clearly indicate that these enzymatic activities have important functions in linking chromatin to the nuclear envelope. In this context, it is intriguing that NPCs have also been shown to act as boundary elements (Ishii et al., 2002), which are thought to be associated with both HAT and HDAC activities to prevent the spreading of repressive and activating marks along the chromatin.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmid construction**

Plasmids were constructed using standard molecular biology techniques. The plasmid for replacing the KanMX cassette in deletion strains (pKW2832) was constructed by ligating PCR products containing the 3’- and 5’-MX cassette modules with a BglII site in between into pKW1689 (placOp-YBR022W-LacI; Green et al., 2012) replacing the YBR022W homology region (Fig. 1 B). The cassette was amplified from pKW2668 using primers UC4896 and UC4897 and cloned into pKW1689 using XhoI and SacI. pKW2668 was constructed by cloning the PCR product of UC4236/UC4237 encompassing the 3’ MX cassette of pF6a-KanMX (Bähler et al., 1998) into pKW2572 (pRS306-HphMX; gift from J. Thorner, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA), which contains the hygromycin resistance marker with a 5’ MX cassette. pKW2623 was constructed by cloning of GFP-LacI amplified from pKW760 (Green et al., 2012) using primers UC4080/UC4081 into pKW2572 using NsiI and Clal. pKW2627 was generated by stitching the PCR product of primers UC3286/UC3915 on pKW1456 (pNatMX-switch[p4339]; Tong et al., 2007). This yielded strain KWY3611; and pKW2654 linearized with PacI yielding KWY2875; at HXK1 with pKW2650 linearized with NsiI yielding KWY2873; at RPL25 with pKW2653 linearized with PacI yielding KWY2875; at ADH4 with pKW2654 linearized with PacI yielding KWY2884; at PES4 with pKW2664 linearized with BglII yielding KWY2960; and at FIG2 with pKW2665 linearized with SpeI yielding KWY2961.

Mutants in KWY2823 carrying the 256 LacO repeats were generated by transformation of pKW1689 plasmid into ORF with a KanMX cassette. In some cases, tetrad dissection was performed to select haploid clones. Correct integration of the LacO-plasmid was checked for visible LacO–LacI signal under the microscope and clones that had acquired autotrophy and lost geneticin resistance were used in further experiments.

**Yeast strain construction**

Strains were constructed using standard yeast genetic techniques either by transformation of a linearized plasmid or of a PCR amplification product with homology to the target site (Baudin et al., 1993). The fluorescent marker strain containing Ndc1-tdTomato, RPL25NLS-CFP, and GFP-LacI was constructed by sequential integration of all markers at the NPC1 locus to reduce the usage of selection markers. First, in the synthetic genetic array (SGA) query strain Y7092, NPC1 was tagged with tdTomato at the endogenous locus by transformation with a PCR product generated with primers UC3741 and UC3742 on plasmid pKW1812 (tdTomato ligated into pKT127 using Ascl and PacI; gift from J. Thorner) yielding KWY2782. Next, pKW2623 cut NsiI– HindIII and containing LacI-GFP, and a hygromycin resistance cassette was integrated to replace the KanMX cassette from the tdTomato tagging yielding KWY2816. Finally, the hygromycin resistance cassette was replaced by integration of pKW2627 cut with SacII and NotI containing RPL25NLS-CFP and a resistance cassette for nourseothricin (ClonNat). This yielded strain KWY2825, which was the base strain containing all fluorescent markers.

Plasmids for integration of TetO repeats at POA1 (5 kb telomeric of the GALI gene) to yield KWY2823. This strain was used to cross into the deletion collection for screening (see Genome-wide screen).

Additional insertions of LacO repeats on other chromosomes were performed by transformation of linearized plasmids into KWY2825: at INO1 with pKW1698 (pINoOlac128; Brickner and Walter, 2004) linearized BglII yielding KWY2824; at RPL9A with pKW2649 linearized with AgeI yielding KWY2782; at NDC1 with pKW2650 linearized with NsiI yielding KWY2873; at RPL25 with pKW2653 linearized with PacI yielding KWY2875; at ADH4 with pKW2654 linearized with PacI yielding KWY2884; at PES4 with pKW2664 linearized with BglII yielding KWY2960; and at FIG2 with pKW2665 linearized with SpeI yielding KWY2961.

The LacO integration plasmids for different genomic loci were cloned by integration of a PCR product on genomic DNA into pKW1689 cut with XhoI and SacI: pKW2669 with primers UC4244/UC4245, pKW2650 with primers UC4246/UC4247, pKW2653 with primers UC4234/UC4235, pKW2654 with UC4265/UC4266, pKW2664 with UC4372/UC4373, and pKW2665 with UC4376/UC4377.

Plasmids for integration of TetO repeats at POA1 (pKW2837) or SEC66 (pKW3147) were derived from pKW2526 (p306et0112; Michaelis et al., 1997) by insertion of a PCR product of UC4155/UC4176 (POA1) or UC6032/UC6033 (SEC66) into the SacI site.

Plasmids are listed in Table S1. Primers are listed in Table S2.
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Yeast culture conditions

For all microscopy experiments, cells were pregrown in synthetic complete (SC) medium with 2% raffinose for 3 d at RT, inoculated into SC with the appropriate carbon source (2% glucose, galactose, or raffinose), and grown for 16–19 h at RT to ODs of 0.2–0.8. For experiments with many samples, cells were grown on a shaker in 96 well plates with one 4-mm glass bead per well. Imaging was performed in glass-bottom 384-well plates (Matrical) coated with concanavalin A to immobilize the cells.

Microscopy

Microscopy for localization of genomic loci relative to the nuclear periphery was performed on an inverted epifluorescence Ti microscope (Nikon) equipped with an Intensilight mercury light source and a Clara 100× Plan-Apo objective NA 1.4. The microscope was controlled by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). All microscopy was performed at RT.

Automated image analysis of gene localization

Automated image analysis of gene localization relative to the nuclear envelope was performed using custom-written MATLAB (MathWorks) code. In brief, cells were detected by thresholding NLS-CPF, Ndc1-ttdTomato, and GFP-LacI channels, and only cells with all three components were analyzed. Gene dots were localized by a 2D Gaussian fit. The nuclear periphery was defined by first fitting the Ndc1-ttdTomato signal with an ellipse and then refining the nuclear envelope trace. Importantly, the refined computation was performed in polar coordinates around the preliminary ellipse center. This avoided a bias toward smaller nuclear size. In particular, linear interpolation of the edge in dim segments and the final smoothing of the boundary line would both have created a systematic underestimated of the true nuclear size, but in polar coordinates this error source is naturally absent.

Points on the nuclear envelope were first defined at the global signal maximum along each ray from the ellipse center. In dim segments, where the maximum was below a threshold, positions were interpolated between neighbors with a strong signal. In a second step, all local signal maxima along the initial nuclear envelope trace were fixed as reliable anchor points. Between these anchor points, a second curve was formed by cubic spline interpolation, with tangent directions coming from the tangents at the first curve. A combined curve was defined in each radial direction by the candidate with greater distance from the center. The spline interpolation in the second step was designed to avoid another source of systematic underestimation of nuclear size: where the signal is not continuous along the nuclear envelope, but consists of interrupted segments, the blurred segments might fuse in a way that the radial signal maxima lie considerably inside the actual shape. Finally, the combined curve was smoothed by a moving average filter.

On the final nuclear outline, the point closest to the gene dot was determined, and the distance of this point to the gene dot was normalized by its distance to the center of the initially fit ellipse to correct for differences in nuclear size and cross-sectional plane. Negative distances occasionally occurred because the center of the gene dot was localized just outside of the nuclear envelope trace. Only experiments with at least 50 cells but typically 150–300 cells analyzed were taken into consideration. Each experiment was independently repeated at least three times. Generalized linear models with a binomial distribution (dot localized within or outside of a peripheral zone of 0.1835 times the radius) and logit link function were used to analyze the contribution of day-to-day variability and nuclear size on the data. Both parameters showed significant contributions to the localization only in very few strains and were therefore not further considered (compare Fig. S1 D for analysis of the restricted nuclear size bin). P values given in figures are derived from a two-proportions z test. In the adaptation for z-stack analysis (Fig. S1 B), the position of gene loci was initially identified on z projections, and each nucleus was then analyzed on the plane with the highest gene locus signal.

Gene localization for compaction analysis (Fig. 4 B) was performed using Imaris (Bitplane). Images were first smoothed by convolution with a Gaussian filter. Gene dots were then detected using the spot detection mode of Imaris, and the thresholds were manually adjusted for each individual set of images. Dot positions were then exported and further processed in MATLAB to yield the distance between the dots in the two channels for each cell. Any additional calculations and statistical analyses were performed in MATLAB or Excel (Microsoft).

Genome-wide screen

Generation of the screening library was achieved using SGA techniques (Tong et al., 2001; Young and Loewen, 2013). Strain KYW32823 was mated to the haploid deletion collection of nonessential genes using a RoToR HDA robot (Singer Instruments) at a density of 1,536 spots per
plate. After selection of diploids, strains were sporulated, and haploid progeny were generated containing the LacO array, fluorescent marker proteins, and corresponding genomic deletion by selection on SD-His/Arg/Lys/Leu media containing 200 µg/ml G418 sulfate and 100 µg/ml nourseothricin (“clonNAT”; Werner Biotech), 50 µg/ml kanavamine, and 50 µg/ml thialysine.

For microscopy, this reporter library was grown in 96 plates as described in Yeast Culture Conditions in galactose medium. Cells were transferred to 384-well glass bottom plates coated with concanavalin A and with 4% formaldehyde solution for 20 min, washed three times with PBS, and sealed. Imaging was performed in PBS on the same day of fixation using a Nikon 60x oil-immersion total internal reflection fluorescence objective, NA 1.49, on a TI-E epifluorescent microscope equipped with an Andor Clara CCD camera. Images were analyzed automatically as described in Automated image analysis of gene localization. All wells with >50 cells counted were included in the analysis. This yielded results for 4,219 and 4,480 unique gene deletions in two biological replicates of the screen, of which 4,122 were valid in both replicates (Table S4). Z scores for a fraction of cells with GAL localization at the nuclear periphery (closer than 2 px corresponding to 215 nm) were calculated per 96-well plate. All genes that had a Z score less than −2 in each of the two biological repeats were included in the hit list shown in Fig. 6 A (114 genes).

Computational modeling
The haploid yeast genome models were generated as described previously (Tjong et al., 2012). The 16 chromosomes are represented as chains of connecting beads with a diameter of 30 nm. Each bead accommodates ~3.2 kb of genome sequence. The ~12-Mb yeast genome is represented by a total of 3,779 beads. The nuclear radius is set to 1 μm. The SPB and nucleolus are located at opposite ends of the nucleus, whereas a central axis connects the centers of the SPB, nucleus, and nucleolus. We optimize the location of chromosomes, whereas locations of the SPB and nucleolus remain fixed. Centromeres are restricted to be near SPB, whereas telomeres are within 50 nm from the nuclear envelope.

The scoring function is defined as a sum of spatial restraints, which quantifies the degree of consistency between the structure and the imposed restraints derived from experimental information (Tjong et al., 2012). The optimization is performed as described in Tjong et al. (2012), by using a combination of simulated annealing dynamics and the conjugate gradient methods implemented in the Integrated Modeling Platform (Russel et al., 2012). An individual optimization starts with an entirely random bead configuration, followed by an initial optimization of the structure and subsequent simulated annealing protocols to entirely equilibrate the genome configuration. Finally, conjugate gradient optimization ensures that all constraints are satisfied, leading to a structure with a score of zero. Many independent optimizations each starting from random bead configurations are performed to generate a population of 1,000 genome structures with a total score of zero and hence are consistent with all input data.

Nuclear envelope tethering of specific loci (GAL-pro and ybr203w). In addition to the wild-type (control) genome models, we also generated models in which loci were specifically tethered to the nuclear envelope. In addition to the restraints in the wild-type model, these models also included locus nuclear envelope (NE) tethering restraints. Similar to telomeres, the bead representing the tethered locus is positioned in the vicinity of the nuclear envelope by applying a lower bound harmonic function:

\[ U_{NE-loc} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{||r_{loc}||}{950 \text{ nm}} - 1 \right)^2, & \text{for } ||r_{loc}|| < 950 \text{ nm} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

where \( ||r_{loc}|| \) is the distance of the locus from the center of the nucleus.

Chromosome models with increased compaction. To simulate a higher chromatin compaction, a chromatin bead was represented with a slightly larger diameter (40 nm), which accommodated 7,600 bp per bead. This model resulted in chromatin chains with a total of 1,595 beads for all 16 chromosomes. The chromosomes are constrained in a manner identical to the wild-type population.

Cell cycle analysis
Cell cycle analysis was performed by flow cytometry according to Haase (2004). In brief, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol, treated with RNase and trypsin, and stained with SYTOX Green (Molecular Probes) and analyzed on a BD FACSCalibur flow cytometer.

Gene expression analysis
Cells were harvested by centrifugation and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted using the RNase kit (QIAGEN) via mechanical disruption. 300 ng of total extracted RNA was used for reverse transcription. The RNA was first treated with DNase I using the DNA-free kit (Ambion) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Reverse transcription was performed according to the protocol of the manufacturer using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a StepOnePlus Instrument (Invitrogen) using Absolute Blue QPCR Mix with SYBR Green and ROX (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All experiments were performed in three technical replicates and three biological replicates. Data were analyzed by the comparative cycle threshold method using ACT1 as endogenous control.

Online supplemental material
Plasmids, primers, and yeast strains used are provided in Tables S1, S2, and S3, respectively. Table S4 presents a summary of the results from the genome-wide imaging screen of GAL locus position relative to the nuclear envelope. Fig. S1 presents the comparison of manual and automated image analysis and an analysis illustrating that nuclear size does not strongly contribute to the observed shift toward the nuclear periphery. Fig. S2 presents histograms of distance distributions for all loci analyzed on chr II and for additional loci on other chromosomes. Fig. S3 presents modeling results for tethered chr II without (A) and with (B) additional compaction and linear distances along the chromosomes expected from these models (C). Fig. S4 shows results from gene expression and cell cycle analysis for HDAC mutants and TSA treatment. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201507069/DC1.
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