Aurora B suppresses microtubule dynamics and limits central spindle size by locally activating KIF4A
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Aurora B suppresses microtubule dynamics and limits central spindle size by locally activating KIF4A. We show that an MKlp2-dependent pool of Aurora B at the central spindle, rather than global Aurora B activity, regulates KIF4A accumulation at the central spindle. KIF4A phosphorylation by Aurora B stimulates the maximal microtubule-dependent ATPase activity of KIF4A and promotes its interaction with PRC1. In the presence of phosphorylated KIF4A, microtubules grew more slowly and showed long pauses in growth, resulting in the generation of shorter PRC1-stabilized microtubule overlaps in vitro. Cells expressing only mutant forms of KIF4A lacking the Aurora B phosphorylation site overextended the anaphase central spindle, demonstrating that this regulation is crucial for microtubule length control in vivo. Aurora B therefore ensures that suppression of microtubule dynamic instability by KIF4A is restricted to a specific subset of microtubules and thereby contributes to central spindle size control in anaphase.

Introduction

Microtubules are highly versatile filaments formed by polymerization of the protein tubulin in the presence of GTP. In living cells the nucleation, polymerization, and depolymerization of microtubules is tightly controlled by a large number of specific protein complexes (Desai and Mitchison, 1997; Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2008, 2010; Howard and Hyman, 2009). These factors are required to produce microtubule structures at the correct time, and with the correct mechanical properties and organization. This allows microtubules to carry out many diverse functions in dividing and nondividing cells. One of the most striking roles for microtubules is as part of the mitotic and anaphase spindle apparatus required for chromosome segregation and controlling the position of the cell division plane during cytokinesis (Wittmann et al., 2001). Formation of the anaphase central spindle requires the concerted action of a group of microtubule-associated proteins and kinesin motors (Egger et al., 2006; Glotzer, 2009). Anaphase central spindle formation is controlled by a simple mechanism involving an anti-parallel microtubule-bundling factor PRC1 and the kinesin KIF4A, which suppresses microtubule dynamic instability (Bringmann et al., 2004; Kurasawa et al., 2004; Lee and Kim, 2004; Zhu and Jiang, 2005; Zhu et al., 2005; Gruneberg et al., 2006; Bieling et al., 2010; Ozlü et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2011). Previous studies have suggested that PRC1 is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation, and this is a major factor delaying central spindle formation until the onset of anaphase (Jiang et al., 1998; Mollinari et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2006; Neef et al., 2007). The idea that Cdk1 is the key negative regulator of central spindle formation has been questioned by a study indicating that PRC1 may be negatively regulated by Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1; Hu et al., 2012). However, this would be inconsistent with a large body of literature showing that Plk1 activity is needed to promote initiation of cytokinesis in early anaphase (Niiya et al., 2006; Petronczki et al., 2007; Wolfe et al., 2009), and then plays a subsequent role in abscission timing (Fabbro et al., 2005; Bastos and Barr, 2010).

In addition to control by PRC1, the centralspindlin complex comprised of the MKlp1/KIF23 kinesin-like motor protein and the Cyk-4/MgcRacGAP Rho-family GTPase regulator is important for central spindle integrity (Mishima et al., 2002).
Again, centralspindlin action is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation (Mishima et al., 2004). MKlp2 is required for the bundling of anti-parallel microtubule overlaps, and this activity is promoted by Aurora B phosphorylation and binding of the 14-3-3 adaptor proteins (Mishima et al., 2002; Guse et al., 2005; Neef et al., 2006; Pavicic-Kaltenbrunner et al., 2007; Douglas et al., 2010). Aurora B localization to the central spindle is under the control of a second mitotic kinesin, MKlp2 (Gruneberg et al., 2004). This pathway creates a restricted pool of Aurora B activity on the central spindle specifically in anaphase cells (Fuller et al., 2008). In the absence of MKlp2 this Aurora B pool is lost and MKlp1 complexes fail to become phosphorylated, ultimately resulting in the failure of cytokinesis (Neef et al., 2006). In turn, the MAD2 spindle checkpoint pathway and Cdk1–cyclin B negatively regulate MKlp2 and the relocation of Aurora B to the central spindle, respectively (Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). Together these regulatory events prevent the activity of central spindle factors before the onset of anaphase, and ensure that this structure forms at the right time and place. However, despite the central importance of KIF4A in anaphase spindle function, it remains unclear how its activity is controlled. Here we have investigated how the KIF4A–PRC1 system is regulated, focusing on the relationship between the key mitotic kinase Aurora B and KIF4A function.

**Results**

**Association of KIF4A with the central spindle is Aurora B dependent**

To analyze how the activities of KIF4A and PRC1 are regulated on the central spindle, PRC1 complexes were isolated from cells at different times in anaphase. Western blotting of these complexes revealed that PRC1 was phosphorylated by Cdk1 in metaphase samples on threonine 481 (pT481) and was then rapidly dephosphorylated as cells progress through anaphase (Fig. 1 A). Concurrently, PRC1 became phosphorylated on threonine 602 (pT602) and Plk1 accumulated in the complexes, reaching a maximum at 30–60 min (Fig. 1 A). KIF4A, like Plk1, was absent from metaphase PRC1 and then progressively accumulated throughout anaphase (Fig. 1 A). Mass spectrometry confirmed that KIF4A and Plk1 complexes accumulated as cells progressed from early to late anaphase (Fig. 1 B). This suggested that Plk1 activity might regulate the assembly of the KIF4A–PRC1 complex at the central spindle. To test this idea, cells were treated with kinase inhibitors specific for Cdk1, Plk1, and Aurora B. Surprisingly, Plk1 inhibition using BI22536 did not prevent PRC1 and KIF4A localization to the central spindle, although in some cells later in anaphase the staining pattern was fragmented into discrete punctae rather than the highly ordered structure seen in control cells (Fig. 1 C). By contrast, inhibition of Aurora B with ZM447439 resulted in the loss of KIF4A staining at the central spindle (Fig. 1 C). Treatment with the Cdk1 inhibitor flavopiridol had little effect on PRC1 or KIF4A staining, but did cause some defects in chromosome segregation (Fig. 1 C). These findings suggested that Aurora B rather than Plk1 regulates KIF4A localization in anaphase.

**Local regulation of KIF4A by the central spindle pool of Aurora B**

It has previously been shown that formation of a localized pool of Aurora B activity at the central spindle in anaphase requires the kinesin motor protein MKlp2 (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). The formation of this pool of Aurora B is required for the phosphorylation of the Aurora B substrate MKlp1 at the central spindle (Neef et al., 2006). To test if this mechanism is also important for KIF4A targeting in anaphase, cell lines stably expressing eGFP-tagged KIF4A and mCherry-PRC1 were produced. Because it is difficult to study the events of early anaphase in fixed samples due to the short time of anaphase, 11 min in HeLa cells (Bastos and Barr, 2010), live-cell imaging was used to study the behavior of KIF4A and PRC1. First, cells entering anaphase were treated with the specific Aurora B inhibitor ZM447439 or a DMSO solvent control. In control cells KIF4A accumulated on the central spindle region 4–5 min after anaphase onset, ~2 min after PRC1, which is present from 1–2 min (Fig. S1 A and Fig. 2 A). By contrast, Aurora B inhibition prevented recruitment of KIF4A to the central spindle, but, importantly, did not prevent PRC1 from targeting to the central spindle region (Fig. 2 B). Cells were then depleted of MKlp2 to specifically interfere with the activity of the central spindle pool of Aurora B (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). Consistent with the effects of Aurora B inhibition using ZM447439, MKlp2 depletion resulted in retention of KIF4A on chromatin and the loss of the central spindle pool (Fig. 2 B and Fig. S1 B). After 12 min of anaphase a small amount of KIF4A was visible on the central spindle region (Fig. 2 B), possibly reflecting the activity of the delocalized pool of Aurora B in these cells. Depletion of PRC1 also resulted in a loss of KIF4A from the central spindle but not from the chromatin as cells progress into anaphase (Fig. 2 B and Fig. S1 B), consistent with the idea it is a major KIF4A binding partner in anaphase (Fig. 1, A and B; Kurasawa et al., 2004; Zhu and Jiang, 2005).

To further support the idea that Aurora B regulates KIF4A, the timing of Aurora B recruitment to the central spindle was investigated. Cell lines stably expressing eGFP-tagged Aurora B were imaged as they passed into anaphase. This showed that Aurora B is initially retained on the centromeres for the first 2 min of anaphase, and then transitions to the central spindle after 4 min (Fig. 2 C). As expected, depletion of MKlp2 prevented the central spindle recruitment of Aurora B (Fig. 2 C and Fig. S1 C). Therefore, Aurora B and KIF4A are recruited to the central spindle at a similar time in anaphase, and share the same dependency for MKlp2. Inhibition of Aurora B using ZM447439 did not prevent Aurora B localization in anaphase (Fig. 2 C), providing further support for the view that Aurora B kinase activity rather than some other property is required for KIF4A regulation.

Together, these findings suggest that Aurora B rather than Plk1 is the key regulator of KIF4A targeting in anaphase. They also reveal a specific requirement for MKlp2 in this process. Because MKlp2 depletion does not affect the localization of Aurora B to centromeres, this indicates that the central spindle pool of Aurora B rather than its global activity regulates the timing of KIF4A recruitment.
KIF4A pT799 staining was lost after inhibition of Aurora B, but not Plk1 or Cdk1 (Fig. S2 A). Depletion of MKlp2, which disregulates the central spindle pool of Aurora B, also resulted in a loss of pT799 staining (Fig. S2 B). Together, these results demonstrate that Aurora B phosphorylates the pool of KIF4A at the central spindle on threonine 799.

Aurora B phosphorylates KIF4A at a conserved site

Aurora kinases predominantly phosphorylate a consensus sequence defined by a cluster of arginine and lysine residues followed by a patch of serine or threonine residues (Alexander et al., 2011; Kettenbach et al., 2011). Visual inspection of the vertebrate KIF4A sequences revealed the presence of a single such conserved sequence, RRRTFS, centered on threonine 799 and serine 801 in human KIF4A (Fig. 3 A). The KIF4A T799A/S801A Aurora site mutant showed strongly reduced levels of phosphorylation in vitro (Fig. 3 A), and specific phosphopeptide antibodies (pT799) were therefore raised against this site. Western blotting showed that the pT799 epitope was present on KIF4A complexes isolated from mitotic but not interphase cell populations (Fig. 3 B). In vitro kinase assays using recombinant full-length KIF4A showed that Aurora B phosphorylated KIF4A and created the pT799 epitope (Fig. 3 C). Furthermore, affinity-purified pT799 antibodies stained the central spindle in anaphase, and spindle poles in metaphase cells (Fig. 3 D). However, only the central spindle staining was lost after the depletion of Aurora B or KIF4A (Fig. 3 D), suggesting the spindle pole staining is not due to KIF4A. To provide evidence this staining requires Aurora B activity, specific kinase inhibitors were used.

Phosphorylation-defective mutants of KIF4A fail to support normal central spindle length control

To investigate the role of threonine 799 phosphorylation in KIF4A targeting and function, cells stably expressing inducible eGFP-KIF4A or a KIF4A T799A/S801A Aurora site mutant showed strongly reduced levels of phosphorylation in vitro (Fig. 3 A), and specific phosphopeptide antibodies (pT799) were therefore raised against this site. Western blotting showed that the pT799 epitope was present on KIF4A complexes isolated from mitotic but not interphase cell populations (Fig. 3 B). In vitro kinase assays using recombinant full-length KIF4A showed that Aurora B phosphorylated KIF4A and created the pT799 epitope (Fig. 3 C). Furthermore, affinity-purified pT799 antibodies stained the central spindle in anaphase, and spindle poles in metaphase cells (Fig. 3 D). However, only the central spindle staining was lost after the depletion of Aurora B or KIF4A (Fig. 3 D), suggesting the spindle pole staining is not due to KIF4A. To provide evidence this staining requires Aurora B activity, specific kinase inhibitors were used.
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To investigate the role of threonine 799 phosphorylation in KIF4A targeting and function, cells stably expressing inducible eGFP-KIF4A or a KIF4A T799A/S801A Aurora site mutant showed strongly reduced levels of phosphorylation in vitro (Fig. 3 A), and specific phosphopeptide antibodies (pT799) were therefore raised against this site. Western blotting showed that the pT799 epitope was present on KIF4A complexes isolated from mitotic but not interphase cell populations (Fig. 3 B). In vitro kinase assays using recombinant full-length KIF4A showed that Aurora B phosphorylated KIF4A and created the pT799 epitope (Fig. 3 C). Furthermore, affinity-purified pT799 antibodies stained the central spindle in anaphase, and spindle poles in metaphase cells (Fig. 3 D). However, only the central spindle staining was lost after the depletion of Aurora B or KIF4A (Fig. 3 D), suggesting the spindle pole staining is not due to KIF4A. To provide evidence this staining requires Aurora B activity, specific kinase inhibitors were used.
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Figure 2. **The MKlp2–Aurora B pathway is required for KIF4A targeting to the central spindle in anaphase.** (A) HeLa cells stably expressing eGFP-KIF4A and mCherry-PRC1 were treated with DMSO (control) or 1 µM ZM447439, then imaged every 30 s with a spinning disk confocal microscope. Maximum intensity–projected images of the merged eGFP and mCherry channels and a single brightfield image collected at the center plane of the image stack are shown. All times are relative to the drug addition (t = 0). A kymograph of the central spindle area is depicted. (B) HeLa cells stably expressing eGFP-KIF4A were treated with control, MKlp2, or PRC1 siRNA duplexes for 48 h, and then imaged every minute with a spinning disk confocal microscope. Maximum intensity–projected images of eGFP fluorescence are shown at the time indicated. (C) HeLa cells stably expressing eGFP–Aurora B were treated with control or MKlp2 siRNA duplexes for 48 h, and then imaged every minute with a spinning disk confocal microscope. Control cells were treated with 1 µM ZM447439 as indicated in the figure. Maximum intensity–projected images of eGFP fluorescence are shown at the time indicated. Bars, 10 µm.
Regulation of the KIF4A-PRC1 interaction by Aurora B

To identify how Aurora B might regulate KIF4A, PRC1 complexes were isolated from synchronized cells treated with Aurora B or Plk1 inhibitors (Fig. 5 A). In control samples, KIF4A and Plk1 showed the expected pattern of behavior (Fig. 5, A–C), and bound to PRC1 only once cells had entered anaphase (Kurasawa et al., 2004; Neef et al., 2007; Santamaria et al., 2007). Western blotting revealed that the level of KIF4A in PRC1 complexes isolated from cells treated with the Aurora B inhibitor ZM447439 was significantly lower than in control samples. This compared with 9.1 ± 1.1 µm in cells treated with control siRNA that expressed endogenous KIF4A, or 15.33 ± 1.5 µm in cells depleted of KIF4A but not rescued by induction of the transgene (4 independent experiments, >30 cells per experiment). Quantitation also revealed that the overlap region defined by PRC1 staining was extended in cells expressing the KIF4A T799A/S801A Aurora site mutant (Fig. 4 C). These highly penetrant phenotypes therefore support the view that phosphorylation of KIF4A at threonine 799 by Aurora B is crucial for its localization and function in controlling anaphase central spindle length.
was reduced to 40% of the control value (Fig. 5, A and B), suggesting that Aurora B promotes the interaction between PRC1 and KIF4A. Plk1 inhibition with BI2536 had no effect on the amount of KIF4A present in PRC1 complexes (Fig. 5, A and B). By contrast, Plk1 interaction with PRC1 was lost and PRC1 phosphorylation at threonine 602 reduced in the samples treated with the Plk1 inhibitor BI2536 (Fig. 5, A and C), as published previously (Neef et al., 2007; Santamaria et al., 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Investigation of KIF4A turnover at the central spindle using FRAP revealed that it had a short half-life in the range of 4–5 s at this structure, similar to the half-life on metaphase chromatin (Fig. 5 D). Inhibition of Aurora B immediately before bleaching reduced the recovery of KIF4A at the central spindle (Fig. 5 D). Aurora B is therefore required to maintain a highly dynamic pool of KIF4A at the central spindle. In part, this is by promoting the interaction with PRC1; however, this does not exclude further regulation of microtubule-binding and kinesin ATPase activities, and these possibilities were investigated further.

**KIF4A ATPase activity is increased by Aurora B phosphorylation**

Previous studies have demonstrated that the Xenopus KIF4A orthologue XKlp1 has potent microtubule-stabilizing activity, and that this activity is directed toward anti-parallel microtubule overlaps created by PRC1 (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010). The potent microtubule-stabilizing action of KIF4A implies the need for regulation to restrict its function to the anaphase central spindle. However, these previous studies do not prove that PRC1 is the sole determinant limiting KIF4A activity to the central spindle. The data presented here suggest that Aurora B also helps restrict KIF4A activity to the central spindle. To investigate the roles of PRC1 and Aurora B in regulating the microtubule binding of KIF4A, purified recombinant PRC1 and KIF4A were therefore tested in microtubule-binding assays. Earlier work has shown that PRC1 is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation (Mollinari et al., 2002; Neef et al., 2007), and this was therefore used as a control. As expected, PRC1 pelleted with microtubules and this was reduced to 40% of the control value (Fig. 5, A and B), suggesting that Aurora B promotes the interaction between PRC1 and KIF4A. Plk1 inhibition with BI2536 had no effect on the amount of KIF4A present in PRC1 complexes (Fig. 5, A and B). By contrast, Plk1 interaction with PRC1 was lost and PRC1 phosphorylation at threonine 602 reduced in the samples treated with the Plk1 inhibitor BI2536 (Fig. 5, A and C), as published previously (Neef et al., 2007; Santamaria et al., 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Investigation of KIF4A turnover at the central spindle using FRAP revealed that it had a short half-life in the range of 4–5 s at this structure, similar to the half-life on metaphase chromatin (Fig. 5 D). Inhibition of Aurora B immediately before bleaching reduced the recovery of KIF4A at the central spindle (Fig. 5 D). Aurora B is therefore required to maintain a highly dynamic pool of KIF4A at the central spindle. In part, this is by promoting the interaction with PRC1; however, this does not exclude further regulation of microtubule-binding and kinesin ATPase activities, and these possibilities were investigated further.

**KIF4A ATPase activity is increased by Aurora B phosphorylation**

Previous studies have demonstrated that the Xenopus KIF4A orthologue XKlp1 has potent microtubule-stabilizing activity, and that this activity is directed toward anti-parallel microtubule overlaps created by PRC1 (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010). The potent microtubule-stabilizing action of KIF4A implies the need for regulation to restrict its function to the anaphase central spindle. However, these previous studies do not prove that PRC1 is the sole determinant limiting KIF4A activity to the central spindle. The data presented here suggest that Aurora B also helps restrict KIF4A activity to the central spindle. To investigate the roles of PRC1 and Aurora B in regulating the microtubule binding of KIF4A, purified recombinant PRC1 and KIF4A were therefore tested in microtubule-binding assays. Earlier work has shown that PRC1 is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation (Mollinari et al., 2002; Neef et al., 2007), and this was therefore used as a control. As expected, PRC1 pelleted with microtubules and this was reduced to 40% of the control value (Fig. 5, A and B), suggesting that Aurora B promotes the interaction between PRC1 and KIF4A. Plk1 inhibition with BI2536 had no effect on the amount of KIF4A present in PRC1 complexes (Fig. 5, A and B). By contrast, Plk1 interaction with PRC1 was lost and PRC1 phosphorylation at threonine 602 reduced in the samples treated with the Plk1 inhibitor BI2536 (Fig. 5, A and C), as published previously (Neef et al., 2007; Santamaria et al., 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Investigation of KIF4A turnover at the central spindle using FRAP revealed that it had a short half-life in the range of 4–5 s at this structure, similar to the half-life on metaphase chromatin (Fig. 5 D). Inhibition of Aurora B immediately before bleaching reduced the recovery of KIF4A at the central spindle (Fig. 5 D). Aurora B is therefore required to maintain a highly dynamic pool of KIF4A at the central spindle. In part, this is by promoting the interaction with PRC1; however, this does not exclude further regulation of microtubule-binding and kinesin ATPase activities, and these possibilities were investigated further.

**KIF4A ATPase activity is increased by Aurora B phosphorylation**

Previous studies have demonstrated that the Xenopus KIF4A orthologue XKlp1 has potent microtubule-stabilizing activity, and that this activity is directed toward anti-parallel microtubule overlaps created by PRC1 (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010). The potent microtubule-stabilizing action of KIF4A implies the need for regulation to restrict its function to the anaphase central spindle. However, these previous studies do not prove that PRC1 is the sole determinant limiting KIF4A activity to the central spindle. The data presented here suggest that Aurora B also helps restrict KIF4A activity to the central spindle. To investigate the roles of PRC1 and Aurora B in regulating the microtubule binding of KIF4A, purified recombinant PRC1 and KIF4A were therefore tested in microtubule-binding assays. Earlier work has shown that PRC1 is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation (Mollinari et al., 2002; Neef et al., 2007), and this was therefore used as a control. As expected, PRC1 pelleted with microtubules and this was reduced to 40% of the control value (Fig. 5, A and B), suggesting that Aurora B promotes the interaction between PRC1 and KIF4A. Plk1 inhibition with BI2536 had no effect on the amount of KIF4A present in PRC1 complexes (Fig. 5, A and B). By contrast, Plk1 interaction with PRC1 was lost and PRC1 phosphorylation at threonine 602 reduced in the samples treated with the Plk1 inhibitor BI2536 (Fig. 5, A and C), as published previously (Neef et al., 2007; Santamaria et al., 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Investigation of KIF4A turnover at the central spindle using FRAP revealed that it had a short half-life in the range of 4–5 s at this structure, similar to the half-life on metaphase chromatin (Fig. 5 D). Inhibition of Aurora B immediately before bleaching reduced the recovery of KIF4A at the central spindle (Fig. 5 D). Aurora B is therefore required to maintain a highly dynamic pool of KIF4A at the central spindle. In part, this is by promoting the interaction with PRC1; however, this does not exclude further regulation of microtubule-binding and kinesin ATPase activities, and these possibilities were investigated further.

**KIF4A ATPase activity is increased by Aurora B phosphorylation**

Previous studies have demonstrated that the Xenopus KIF4A orthologue XKlp1 has potent microtubule-stabilizing activity, and that this activity is directed toward anti-parallel microtubule overlaps created by PRC1 (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010). The potent microtubule-stabilizing action of KIF4A implies the need for regulation to restrict its function to the anaphase central spindle. However, these previous studies do not prove that PRC1 is the sole determinant limiting KIF4A activity to the central spindle. The data presented here suggest that Aurora B also helps restrict KIF4A activity to the central spindle. To investigate the roles of PRC1 and Aurora B in regulating the microtubule binding of KIF4A, purified recombinant PRC1 and KIF4A were therefore tested in microtubule-binding assays. Earlier work has shown that PRC1 is inhibited until the onset of anaphase by Cdk1–cyclin B phosphorylation (Mollinari et al., 2002; Neef et al., 2007), and this was therefore used as a control. As expected, PRC1 pelleted with microtubules and this was reduced for the Cdk1-phosphorylated form (Fig. 6 A). However, little difference was seen in the pelleting behavior of nonphosphorylated and threonine 799 Aurora-phosphorylated forms of full-length KIF4A in either the absence or presence of PRC1 (Fig. 6 A). Similarly, the KIF4A motor domain lacking the Aurora phosphorylation site bound directly to microtubules in a PRC1- and Aurora-independent fashion (Fig. 6 B). PRC1, KIF4A, and KIF4A motor domain pelleting was dependent on...
the presence of microtubules, indicating they were not precipitating under these conditions (Fig. S3 A). Titration of phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated KIF4A over a wide range of concentrations also failed to reveal any alteration in microtubule binding (Fig. S3 B). The purified proteins used for these assays are shown in Fig. 6 C.

The possibility that Aurora B phosphorylation promotes KIF4A motor activity was therefore tested using microtubule-stimulated ATPase assays. In the absence of microtubules, the ATPase activity of KIF4A was the same as ATP incubated in buffer alone. In the presence of microtubules, full-length KIF4A incubated with buffer or inactive kinase showed similar ATPase activities, whereas threonine 799 phosphorylated KIF4A had an ATPase activity nearly fourfold higher (Fig. 6 D). This effect was specific for the full-length motor because the motor domain alone was not sensitive to phosphorylation and showed high activity under all conditions tested (Fig. 6 E). Furthermore, the addition of PRC1 to assays performed using full-length phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated forms of KIF4A made no difference to the ATPase activity (Fig. 6 F).

To confirm the effect of Aurora B was mediated by phosphorylation at the conserved T799/S801 site, this was mutated to alanine and recombinant protein tested in kinesin ATPase assays. As expected, wild-type KIF4A showed Aurora B stimulation of ATPase activity prevented by inhibition of Aurora B using the specific inhibitor ZM447439 (Fig. 6 G). In contrast, the KIF4A T799S801A mutant did not show Aurora B stimulation of ATPase activity (Fig. 6 H). Importantly, both wild-type KIF4A and the KIF4A T799S801A mutant showed the same basal level of microtubule-stimulated ATPase activity before Aurora phosphorylation (Fig. 6 G and H).
controlling both the interaction of KIF4A with PRC1 and its kinesin ATPase activity. Furthermore, this stimulation of ATPase activity is a direct consequence of Aurora B phosphorylation at T799/S801, and does not require the interaction with PRC1.

Phosphorylated KIF4A reduces growth of PRC1-microtubule overlaps

Anti-parallel microtubule overlaps were created in vitro using PRC1 and purified tubulin as described previously (Bieling et al., 2010). Imaging revealed that these PRC1–microtubule overlaps extended linearly to reach a mean length of 16 µm, and addition of KIF4A reduced this overlap length to 8–9 µm during the 5-min incubation period (Fig. 7, A and B). When ATPase assays were then performed under a range of microtubule concentrations to enable calculation of the apparent $K_{\text{app}}$ for microtubules and the maximal ATPase activity of KIF4A (Fig. 6 I). This revealed that phosphorylation did not alter $K_{\text{app}}$ MT, which was $\sim0.2$ µM in both cases, consistent with measurements of microtubule-binding activity. Microtubule-stimulated ATPase activity was increased from a $k_{\text{cat}}$ of 28.2 s$^{-1}$ to 117.3 s$^{-1}$ when KIF4A was prephosphorylated with Aurora B. In the absence of microtubules, ATPase activity was 5,000-fold lower. These values fall within the range reported for kinesins with high processivity (Friel and Howard, 2012).

Together, these results suggest that phosphorylation by Aurora B at the central spindle is a major regulatory event controlling both the interaction of KIF4A with PRC1 and its kinesin ATPase activity. Furthermore, this stimulation of ATPase activity is a direct consequence of Aurora B phosphorylation at T799/S801, and does not require the interaction with PRC1.

Phosphorylated KIF4A reduces growth of PRC1-microtubule overlaps

Anti-parallel microtubule overlaps were created in vitro using PRC1 and purified tubulin as described previously (Bieling et al., 2010). Imaging revealed that these PRC1–microtubule overlaps extended linearly to reach a mean length of 16 µm, and addition of KIF4A reduced this overlap length to 8–9 µm during the 5-min incubation period (Fig. 7, A and B). When
Aurora B–phosphorylated KIF4A was used, the PRC1 overlap region extended for a short period at the start of the incubation and then stalled at a mean length of just under 5 µm. Recombinant KIF4A motor domain and full-length KIF4A were then tested for their effects on microtubule polymerization from purified tubulin in solution. Under standard conditions where microtubule polymerization was limiting, 100 nM PRC1 promoted microtubule polymerization (Fig. 7 C). Addition of 50 nM phosphorylated full-length KIF4A reduced both the rate and extent of microtubule polymerization to a level that was intermediate to a buffer control or KIF4A alone (Fig. 7 C). Human KIF4A can therefore limit microtubule polymerization in the presence of PRC1. The effects of Aurora phosphorylation of KIF4A were then investigated. For this purpose the concentration of full-length KIF4A was reduced to 50 nM, a concentration at which it showed only a moderate effect on microtubule polymerization in the presence of 100 nM mCherry-PRC1 (14 nM mCherry-PRC1 and 28.5 nM KIF4A, or 14 nM mCherry-PRC1 and 28.5 nM KIF4P over 5 min. For the kymographs, t = 0 is when PRC1 localization is first observed, indicating establishment of an anti-parallel overlap. The growing overlap length is indicated by dotted lines. Bar, 10 µm. (B) Average length of anti-parallel microtubule overlaps in the presence of mCherry-PRC1 (left, n = 9), mCherry-PRC1 and KIF4A (middle, n = 15), or mCherry-PRC1 and KIF4P (middle, n = 20) as a function of time. Errors are shown by the dotted lines. Average overlap length after 5 min is plotted with standard error in the bar graph. (C) Microtubule polymerization assays were performed using 100 nM of PRC1 and 100 nM of full-length recombinant KIF4A (KIF4A) for n = 3 independent experiments. (D) Full-length recombinant KIF4A was phosphorylated using wild-type active Aurora kinase [Aur(WT)] or an inactive “kinase-dead” mutant [Aur(KD)]. Microtubule polymerization assays were then performed in the presence of 100 nM PRC1 and 50 nM of each form of KIF4A for n = 4 independent experiments. (E) Recombinant KIF4A motor domain was phosphorylated using wild-type active Aurora kinase [Aur(WT)] or an inactive “kinase-dead” mutant [Aur(KD)]. Microtubule polymerization assays were then performed using 500 nM recombinant KIF4A motor domain (KIF4A) in the presence of 100 nM PRC1 for n = 3 independent experiments.
These effects were further increased when 44 nM KIF4A was used (Fig. 8, A–C). When taken together, these results support the view that KIF4A suppresses microtubule growth (Bieling et al., 2010), and demonstrate that this activity is enhanced by Aurora B phosphorylation. This provides a mechanism to restrict KIF4A activity to anaphase spindle microtubules.

Re-wiring the Aurora B central spindle length control system

To further test the idea that phosphorylation at the anaphase central spindle is crucial for KIF4A function, the endogenous Aurora B targeting system was replaced by a synthetic construct. This used a kinase module comprising the activation region of INCENP joined to a truncated form of Aurora B (Sessa et al., 2005), coupled to the microtubule-targeting region of PRC1. This Baronase module (B-type Aurora kinase) exhibits high kinase activity toward both a model substrate and KIF4A and is sensitive to the Aurora B inhibitor ZM447439 (Fig. S5, A and B). A similar module, Aaronase (A-type Aurora kinase) was also created and tested and found to be sensitive to an Aurora A inhibitor but not used further here (Fig. S5 A). Stable cell lines expressing a doxycycline-inducible PRC1-Baronase were then created. When depleted of MKlp2 these cells retain Aurora B and KIF4A on the chromatin in anaphase, and Aurora B marker phosphorylation at MKlp1 pS911 is lost (Fig. 9, A–D). Induction polymerization was not altered by treatment with either wild-type or inactive kinase (Fig. 7 E). KIF4A therefore reduces microtubule polymerization in vitro, and this activity is enhanced by Aurora B phosphorylation.

One explanation for these effects was that KIF4A has an ATP-dependent microtubule-destabilizing activity similar to MCAK (Walczak et al., 1996). This was tested using taxol-stabilized microtubules as a substrate (Fig. S4). As shown previously (Walczak et al., 1996, 2002), MCAK was able to depolymerize microtubules in an ATP-dependent fashion, and this activity was inhibited by Aurora B phosphorylation (Fig. S4, A and B) as expected (Andrews et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2004). Under the same conditions KIF4A had no effect on microtubule stability (Fig. S4, C and D), suggesting it is not an active microtubule-destabilizing kinesin. To further define how KIF4A reduces microtubule polymerization, single polymerizing microtubules were imaged over a period of 10 min (Fig. 8). In the absence of KIF4A, microtubules showed long periods of stable growth with only a few short pauses (Fig. 8, A and B). Under these conditions the mean growth rate was 1.3 µm/min (Fig. 8 C). Addition of 22 nM KIF4A altered this behavior such that the pause time increased to 50 s and the mean growth rate was reduced to 0.75 µm/min (Fig. 8, A–C). Aurora B–phosphorylated 22 nM KIF4A resulted in a doubling in the pause time to 100 s and an additional reduction in growth rate to 0.6 µm/min (Fig. 8, A–C).

These effects were further increased when 44 nM KIF4A was used (Fig. 8, A–C). When taken together, these results support the view that KIF4A suppresses microtubule growth (Bieling et al., 2010), and demonstrate that this activity is enhanced by Aurora B phosphorylation. This provides a mechanism to restrict KIF4A activity to anaphase spindle microtubules.

### Re-wiring the Aurora B central spindle length control system

To further test the idea that phosphorylation at the anaphase central spindle is crucial for KIF4A function, the endogenous Aurora B targeting system was replaced by a synthetic construct. This used a kinase module comprising the activation region of INCENP joined to a truncated form of Aurora B (Sessa et al., 2005), coupled to the microtubule-targeting region of PRC1. This Baronase module (B-type Aurora kinase) exhibits high kinase activity toward both a model substrate and KIF4A and is sensitive to the Aurora B inhibitor ZM447439 (Fig. S5, A and B). A similar module, Aaronase (A-type Aurora kinase) was also created and tested and found to be sensitive to an Aurora A inhibitor but not used further here (Fig. S5 A). Stable cell lines expressing a doxycycline-inducible PRC1-Baronase were then created. When depleted of MKlp2 these cells retain Aurora B and KIF4A on the chromatin in anaphase, and Aurora B marker phosphorylation at MKlp1 pS911 is lost (Fig. 9, A–D).
of PRC1-Baronase rescues MKlp1 pS911 phosphorylation, and supports localization of KIF4A to the central spindle and KIF4A phosphorylation at threonine 799 (Fig. 9, A–D). Because Baronase was engineered to remove the epitope for the AIM1 monoclonal antibody, endogenous Aurora B could be detected independently of the transgene. This showed that although endogenous Aurora B was retained on the chromatin (Fig. 9, A and B), this did not interfere with KIF4A function.

This strategy also permits the reconstitution of Aurora B–decorated microtubule overlap structures in vitro. Anti-parallel microtubule overlaps were created in vitro using recombinant PRC1-Baronase and purified tubulin. Imaging revealed that these PRC1-Baronase microtubule overlaps extended linearly, similarly to those created using PRC1 (Fig. 9 E). Addition of KIF4A resulted in slow overlap length to 3 µm during the 5-min incubation period (Fig. 9, E and F). When Aurora B phosphorylation of KIF4A was prevented with ZM447439, the PRC1 overlap region extended more rapidly and achieved a mean length of 6 µm (Fig. 9, E and F). This system therefore recapitulates the regulation of KIF4A by a locally targeted pool of Aurora B at the microtubule overlap region of the central spindle.

Discussion

Suppression of microtubule dynamic instability by KIF4A and Aurora B

Here we have studied how the kinesin KIF4A and the anti-parallel microtubule-bundling factor PRC1 are regulated in anaphase (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2011). We find that Aurora B directly phosphorylates KIF4A in the central coiled-coil stalk region and that this has two consequences. First, it enhances the binding of KIF4A to PRC1, and second, it increases the ATPase activity of KIF4A, thereby suppressing microtubule growth. Together, these two changes restrict KIF4A to the central spindle in anaphase. In the absence of Aurora B activity, KIF4A fails to localize to the central spindle, most likely due to a reduction in its affinity for PRC1. This results in an altered balance of microtubule polymerization events in this region, and over-extension of the anaphase central spindle and increased chromosome segregation. Interestingly, the results appear to eliminate a model whereby centromeric/chromatin Aurora B would promote KIF4A leaving the chromatin because cells depleted of MKlp2 retain both Aurora B and KIF4A on the chromatin. This chromatin-bound pool of KIF4A has a discrete function in lateral condensation of mitotic chromosomes (Samejima et al., 2012), and may therefore be functionally and spatially separated from the pool of KIF4A involved in central spindle formation.

The data presented here also show that PRC1 binding is necessary but not sufficient for full targeting and movement of KIF4A to the central spindle. This relocation also requires activation of the kinesin motor activity by Aurora B phosphorylation. A motor domain fragment lacking the stalk and coiled-coil region shows high constitutive ATPase activity unregulated by Aurora B. This suggests that full-length KIF4A is in a partially inhibited form perhaps with the head groups bound back on to a coiled-coil sequence in the stalk region similar to other motors (Hackney, 2007), or by the dual lockdown mechanism recently reported for kinesin-1 (Kaan et al., 2011). In both cases, phosphorylation of KIF4A in the stalk region might release auto-inhibition of the kinesin head domains and therefore increase activity. This may also be the structural basis for increased affinity to PRC1. However, more detailed analysis of the KIF4A ATPase cycle and single molecule measurements of movement and processivity will be needed to understand the molecular basis of this form of regulation. Although these parameters have not been measured here, the kcat of 28.2 s−1 for nonphosphorylated KIF4A assuming tight coupling of stepping to ATP turnover suggests a speed of 0.4 µm/s, consistent with axonemal gliding assays showing in vitro movement of 0.2 µm/s (Sekine et al., 1994). The increased ATPase activity seen after phosphorylation may therefore reflect increased processivity. This would increase the chance that after encountering a microtubule, KIF4A will reach the microtubule plus-end and suppress further microtubule growth or shrinkage.

To our knowledge, this is the first description of an activating role for Aurora B in the spatial and temporal regulation of a kinesin-like protein. Previous studies have implicated Aurora B in the inhibition of the microtubule-destabilizing kinesin-like protein MCAK important for destabilizing incorrect microtubule attachments to kinetochores during mitotic and meiotic spindle formation (Walczak et al., 1996, 2002; Andrews et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2004; Ohi et al., 2004; Tanenbaum et al., 2011). Interestingly, a recent report indicates that KIF4A may work together with MCAK to promote chromosome congression (Wandke et al., 2012), and our work hints that Aurora B may be a factor coordinating the relative activities of these two motors. Influential studies on the regulation of the KIF11/Eg5 motor protein required for centrosome separation during spindle formation have shown that Cdk1 phosphorylation at a conserved threonine residue is required for its spindle targeting and function (Blangy et al., 1995; Sawin and Mitchison, 1995), and thereby acts as a temporal control mechanism. Activating phosphorylations may therefore be a general mechanism controlling the temporal and spatial activity of kinesin-like motor proteins. However, neither in the case of KIF11/Eg5 nor KIF4A is this completely understood, and further structural studies will be necessary to validate these ideas.

Regulation of central spindle formation and size

These results, taken together with previous findings, add to an emerging picture of how microtubule function at the central spindle is regulated in anaphase (Fig. 10). High Cdk1 and spindle checkpoint activity in metaphase cells is important for inhibiting the activity of PRC1 and other components of the central spindle (Mollinari et al., 2002; Mishima et al., 2004; Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). Once the spindle checkpoint is satisfied, Cdk1 activity falls and PRC1 can start to organize anti-parallel microtubule bundles (Subramanian et al., 2010; Elad et al., 2011). PRC1 will therefore fail to promote microtubule organization in metaphase cells with high levels of Cdk1–cyclin B activity (Jiang et al., 1998; Mollinari et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2006; Neef et al., 2007).
Figure 9. Reconstitution of Aurora B phosphorylation on PRC1-stabilized microtubule overlaps in vivo and in vitro. (A) HeLa cells stably expressing doxycycline-inducible eGFP-tagged PRC1-Baronase were treated with control or MKlp2-3'UTR siRNA duplexes for 24 h followed by thymidine synchronization for 20 h. Cells were washed with media and 1 µg/ml doxycycline (dox.) was either added or omitted. Cells were fixed 9 h after release, and then stained for DNA with DAPI, mouse anti–Aurora B, and either rabbit MKLp1 pS911 or (B) sheep KIF4A. Bars, 10 µm. (C) Western blotting confirmed MKlp2 depletion and induction of eGFP-PRC1–Baronase construct. In vitro kinase assays were performed using full-length wild-type KIF4A in the absence or presence of Baronase kinase with and without ZM447439 treatment, as well as with the mCherry-PRC1–Baronase protein. Samples were analyzed by Western blotting for KIF4A and KIF4A pT799. (D) The presence of Aurora B, phosphorylated MKlp1 pS911, and KIF4A on the central spindle was scored. The
Other factors not shown in the model, including the centralspindle complex, also contribute to the formation of this anti-parallel overlapped structure and the formation of the midbody (Sellitto and Kuriyama, 1988; Nislow et al., 1992; Mishima et al., 2002; Douglas et al., 2010). KIF4A is then crucial for ensuring that these microtubule-stabilizing and -organizing factors are opposed, and a microtubule structure of the required size is created (Bringmann et al., 2004; Bieling et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2011).

Here we explain how the activity of KIF4A in suppressing microtubule dynamics is restricted to the central spindle by a conserved activating phosphorylation at threonine 799 performed by the Aurora B kinase. Aurora B is delivered to the central spindle due to the activity of another kinesin-like motor protein, MKlp2, a process inhibited before the start of anaphase by Cdk1 and the spindle checkpoint machinery (Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). Our data highlight the importance of the Aurora B chromosomal passenger complex for the correct ordering of events in anaphase after Cdk1 inactivation. It has been proposed that relocation of Aurora B away from the centromeres primarily ensures the spindle checkpoint remains silent upon sister chromatid separation once tension is lost, a condition that might reactivate the checkpoint if Aurora B remained active at the centromeres (Michenkov and Uhlmann, 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). However, as shown here, human cell lines with both chromatin and central spindle-targeted Aurora B enter anaphase and carry out anaphase-specific phosphorylations (Fig. 2 C and Fig. 9, A–D). This suggests that the primary reason Aurora B relocates from the centromeres at the onset of anaphase is to ensure proper regulation of anaphase spindle dynamics by KIF4A and MKlp1 (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Guse et al., 2005; Fuller et al., 2008; Douglas et al., 2010). Together, these findings help explain how mitotic kinesins and kinesin motor proteins work together in the temporal and spatial control of mitosis and cytokinesis.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies

General laboratory chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Thermo Fisher Scientific. Sheep antibodies were raised to MKlp1 (aa 1–444), KIF4A (full-length protein expressed in insect cells), and MKlp2 (aa 63–193) (Neef et al., 2003, 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Rabbit antibodies were raised to full-length recombinant PRC1, PRC1 p7602 peptide CILNS[pT]NIGS, KIF4A (aa 738–1323), KIF4A (aa 738–1323), KIF4A p7979 peptide LRRR[pT]FSLT, and MKlp1 p5911 peptide CRKRR[pS]STVA (Neel et al., 2003, 2006, 2007; Gruneberg et al., 2006). Specific antibodies were purified using the antigens conjugated to Affigel-15, eluted with 0.2 M glycine-HCl, pH 2.8, then diazoylated against PBS before storage at −80°C. Commercially available antibodies were used to α-tubulin (mouse DM1A; Sigma-Aldrich), Pkl1 (mouse sc-177783; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), PRC1 pT481 (sc-11768; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.), the RGS-His tag epitope (Qiagen), Ain1 (mouse 611083; BD), and cyclin B1 (mouse GNS3; Biotechnology, Inc.; and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.), the RGS-His tag epitope (Qiagen). Specific antibodies were purified using the antigens conjugated to Affigel-15, eluted with 0.2 M glycine-HCl, pH 2.8, then diazoylated against PBS before storage at −80°C. Commercially available antibodies were used to α-tubulin (mouse DM1A; Sigma-Aldrich), Pkl1 (mouse sc-177783; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), PRC1 pT481 (sc-11768; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.), the RGS-His tag epitope (Qiagen), Ain1 (mouse 611083; BD), and cyclin B1 (mouse GNS3; Biotechnology, Inc.; and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.).

Other factors not shown in the model, including the centralspindle complex, also contribute to the formation of this anti-parallel overlapped structure and the formation of the midbody (Sellitto and Kuriyama, 1988; Nislow et al., 1992; Mishima et al., 2002; Douglas et al., 2010). KIF4A is then crucial for ensuring that these microtubule-stabilizing and -organizing factors are opposed, and a microtubule structure of the required size is created (Bringmann et al., 2004; Bieling et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2011). Here we explain how the activity of KIF4A in suppressing microtubule dynamics is restricted to the central spindle by a conserved activating phosphorylation at threonine 799 performed by the Aurora B kinase. Aurora B is delivered to the central spindle due to the activity of another kinesin-like motor protein, MKlp2, a process inhibited before the start of anaphase by Cdk1 and the spindle checkpoint machinery (Hümmer and Mayer, 2009; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). Our data highlight the importance of the Aurora B chromosomal passenger complex for the correct ordering of events in anaphase after Cdk1 inactivation. It has been proposed that relocation of Aurora B away from the centromeres primarily ensures the spindle checkpoint remains silent upon sister chromatid separation once tension is lost, a condition that might reactivate the checkpoint if Aurora B remained active at the centromeres (Michenkov and Uhlmann, 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). However, as shown here, human cell lines with both chromatin and central spindle-targeted Aurora B enter anaphase and carry out anaphase-specific phosphorylations (Fig. 2 C and Fig. 9, A–D). This suggests that the primary reason Aurora B relocates from the centromeres at the onset of anaphase is to ensure proper regulation of anaphase spindle dynamics by KIF4A and MKlp1 (Gruneberg et al., 2004; Guse et al., 2005; Fuller et al., 2008; Douglas et al., 2010). Together, these findings help explain how mitotic kinesins and kinesin motor proteins work together in the temporal and spatial control of mitosis and cytokinesis.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies

General laboratory chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Thermo Fisher Scientific. Sheep antibodies were raised to MKlp1 (aa 1–444), KIF4A (full-length protein expressed in insect cells), and MKlp2 (aa 63–193) (Neef et al., 2003, 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Rabbit antibodies were raised to full-length recombinant PRC1, PRC1 p7602 peptide CILNS[pT]NIGS, KIF4A (aa 738–1323), KIF4A (aa 738–1323), KIF4A p7979 peptide LRRR[pT]FSLT, and MKlp1 p5911 peptide CRKRR[pS]STVA (Neel et al., 2003, 2006, 2007; Gruneberg et al., 2006). Specific antibodies were purified using the antigens conjugated to Affigel-15, eluted with 0.2 M glycine-HCl, pH 2.8, then diazoylated against PBS before storage at −80°C. Commercially available antibodies were used to α-tubulin (mouse DM1A; Sigma-Aldrich), Pkl1 (mouse sc-177783; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), PRC1 pT481 (sc-11768; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.), the RGS-His tag epitope (Qiagen), Ain1 (mouse 611083; BD), and cyclin B1 (mouse GNS3; Biotechnology, Inc.; and EP1514Y, Epitomics, Inc.).

Values are plotted on the bar graphs for 3 independent experiments measuring >80 cells per experiment. Error bars show the standard deviation from the mean. (E) Kymographs show anti-parallel overlaps formed over 5 min by microtubules growing from GMP-CP-stabilized seeds (green) in the presence of 14 nM mCherry-PRC1-Baronase, 14 nM mCherry-PRC1-Baronase and 28.5 nM KIF4, or 14 nM mCherry-PRC1-Baronase and 28.5 nM KIF4 with 10 µM ZM447439 to inhibit Aurora B kinase activity. For the kymographs, t = 0 is when mCherry-PRC1–Baronase is first observed, indicating establishment of an anti-parallel overlap. The growing overlap length is indicated by the dotted line. Bar, 10 µm. (F) Anti-parallel microtubule overlap length of in the presence of mCherry-PRC1 and KIF4A (n = 6), and mCherry-PRC1 and KIF4P (n = 8), is plotted as a function of time. Errors are shown by the dotted lines. Average overlap length at 5 min is plotted in the bar graph. Error bars show the standard error (n = 8 and n = 9).
to fuse human INCENP aa 834–897 plus an additional glycine residue to the N terminus of Aurora B aa 44–344, or TPX2 aa 1–43 plus an additional glycine residue to Aurora A 100–403, creating BamHI–XhoI fragments. These were then ligated into pQE32 for bacterial expression, and in the case of Baranose fused to the C terminus of PRC1 for mammalian or insect cell expression. Recombinant baculoviruses carrying hexahistidine-tagged full-length PRC1, KIF4A, KIF4A·Baranose, MCAK, mCherry-PRC1, or mCherry-PRC1·Baranose were cloned into the PaSG2 vector were produced using the Baci Goloid system (Invitrogen). Hexahistidine-tagged bacterial expression constructs for the motor domains of KIF4A (aa 1–512) and MCAK (aa 1–592) were made in pQE32 (QIAGEN). Mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange method (Agilent Technologies). Primers were obtained from Metabion GmbH. The siRNA duplexes used were obtained from Dharmacon. The resulting tripin was obtained in a previously (Gruneberg et al., 2006; Neef et al., 2007; Bastos and Barr, 2010). Target sequences were control 5’-CGTACGGCACTTCACAATTGA-3’; MKlp2 5’-CCATCTAGTA-ATCTCAATG-3’; Aurora B 5’-CGGGGACCTCACAAATG-3’; and 5’-CAG-GTCCGAGACTACTCT-3’ for the KIF4A 3’-UTR.

Human and insect cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% (vol/vol) bovine calf serum [Invitrogen] at 37°C and 5% CO₂. For synchronization, cells were treated for 18 h with 2 mM thymidine, washed three times in PBS, and twice with growth medium. For plasmid transfection and siRNA transfection, Mirus IT (Mirus Bio LLC) and Oligofectamine (Invitrogen), respectively, were used. Stable HeLa cell lines with single copies of the desired transgene were created using the TetR-express-inducible FpHin system (Invitrogen). SiF insect cells were grown in insect cell growth medium (TC100 containing 10% [vol/vol] bovine calf serum and Glutamax [Invitrogen]) at 27°C and atmospheric CO₂.

Protein expression and purification

Recombinant baculoviruses encoding hexahistidine-tagged KIF4A, KIF4A·Baranose, MCAK, mCherry-PRC1, or mCherry-PRC1·Baranose were used to infect 4x 10⁵ insect cells in 20x 15-cm dishes with a multiplicity of infection of 10. To infect cells, the medium was removed, and the virus added in 3 ml of insect growth medium per dish. Dishes were gently rocked for 1 h; every 10 min the dishes were rotated by 90 degrees. After 1 h, 17 ml insect cell growth medium was added and the cells left for 60 h before harvesting by centrifugation at 200 g. To obtain Mphase Cdk Phosphorylated PRC1, the cells were treated for 3 h with 100 mM okadaic acid before harvesting. Cell pellets were washed once in ice-cold PBS, and then lysed in 10 ml of IMAC20 (20 mTris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, and 0.2% [vol/vol] Triton X-100), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min on ice. A cell lysate was prepared by centrifugation at 100,000 g in an IEC100.8 rotor (Beckman Coulter), then loaded onto an 1-ml HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare) at 0.5 ml/min. The column was then washed with 30 ml of IMAC20, and eluted with a 20-ml linear gradient from 20 to 200 mM imidazole in IMAC20 collecting 0.5 ml fractions. Peak fractions judged by SDS-PAGE and absorbance at 280 nm were concentrated using Ultrafree-MC centrifugal filters (EMD Millipore). The resulting tryptic peptide mixtures in 0.05% trifluoracetic acid were desalted by a Sepharose by incubation for 2 h at 4°C. Isolated complexes were released for 3 h at 37°C, 100 ng/ml nocodazole added, and incubated further for 14 h. Mitotic cells were split-off and nocodazole was removed by washing three times with PBS and twice with growth medium warmed to 37°C. At each time point, specified in the figures and figure legends, cells were collected and washed three times with ice-cold PBS. Cell pellets were suspended in mitotic lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% [vol/vol] IGEPAL, 0.1% [wt/vol] sodium deoxycholate, 40 mM glycyglycylglycin phosphate, 10 mM NaF, 0.3 mM DTT). Protein samples were snap-frozen in 15-µl aliquots and stored at −80°C for further use. Aurora-phosphorylated proteins were prepared by incubating 4 µg purified KIF4A or MCAK with 0.4 µg of Aurora kinase and 1 mM Mg-ATP for 20 min at 37°C, then kept on ice until required. The Aurora B minikinase fusion, and motor domains of KIF4A and MCAK were expressed in Escherichia coli strain JM109 and purified in the same way, except that cell lysis was performed using an EmulsiFlex C5 cell breaker system (Avestin Europe GmbH).

Protein complex isolation from anaphase cells

Three 15-cm dishes of thymidine-synchronized HeLa S3 cells per condition were released for 3 h at 37°C, 100 ng/ml nocodazole added, and incubated further for 14 h. Mitotic cells were split-off and nocodazole was removed by washing three times with PBS and twice with growth medium warmed to 37°C. At each time point, specified in the figures and figure legends, cells were collected and washed three times with ice-cold PBS. Cell pellets were suspended in mitotic lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% [vol/vol] IGEPAL, 0.1% [wt/vol] sodium deoxycholate, 40 mM glycyglycylglycin phosphate, 10 mM NaF, 0.3 mM DTT). Protein samples were snap-frozen in 15-µl aliquots and stored at −80°C for further use. Aurora-phosphorylated proteins were prepared by incubating 4 µg purified KIF4A or MCAK with 0.4 µg of Aurora kinase and 1 mM Mg-ATP for 20 min at 37°C, then kept on ice until required. The Aurora B minikinase fusion, and motor domains of KIF4A and MCAK were expressed in Escherichia coli strain JM109 and purified in the same way, except that cell lysis was performed using an EmulsiFlex C5 cell breaker system (Avestin Europe GmbH).
were acquired for 60 time points every second and then a further 60 time points every 2 s. Quantification and analysis of the FRAP data were performed using the Velocity FRAP plug-in. Images in 2-bit RGB TIFF format were then placed into Adobe Illustrator CS3 to produce the figures.

**Microtubule polymerization assays**

Standard microtubule polymerization assays were performed using porcine tubulin and a commercial fluorescence-based assay system (Cytoskeleton, Inc.). Porcine tubulin stock solution was in GTB (80 mM Pipes, pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 mM EGTA). A tubulin master mix was created on ice from 243 µl of BP01 (80 mM Pipes-KOH, pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 10 µM 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole), 112 µl of TGB (80 mM Pipes-KOH, pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 60% [vol/vol] glycerol), 85 µl of 10 mg/ml porcine tubulin, 4.44 µl of 100 mM GTP, and 4.44 µl of 100 mM ATP. Reactions were set up in 96-well plates by mixing the protein in a total volume of 7.5 µl TND on ice. Proteins were tested in the range of 50–500 nM final assay concentration. To start the assay, 50 µl of tubulin master mix was added to each well while on ice, to give a total assay volume of 57.5 µl. Final assay composition was: 80 mM Pipes-KOH, pH 6.9, 39 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.87 mM GTP, 0.87 mM ATP, 13% [vol/vol] glycerol, and 2.2 µM 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. This was then rapidly transferred to the TIRF reader (TIRF-M1; Berthold Technologies) set to 340 nm excitation and 420 nm emission, and 37°C. Reactions were acquired for 60 time points every 3 s for 10 min with a 50-ms exposure time. Because reactions were pipetted on ice, condensation on the plates formed as they were transferred into the plate reader and interfered with the measurements. After an initial period of equilibration after which the plate comes to the set temperature and this condensation clears (defined as t = 0 min), values were plotted as a function of time.

**Microtubule pelleting assays**

Taxol-stabilized microtubules were produced by incubating 45 µl 7.4 mg/ml porcine tubulin, 4.5 µl TGB, and 0.55 µl 100 mM GTP at 37°C for 20 min. To stabilize the microtubules, 8 µl of 2 mM protacaxil in 750 µl GTB was added to the microtubule polymerization reaction. This tubulin stock mix (3.75 µM) was stored at room temperature until further use. Proteins to be tested were diluted in GTB to give the final concentrations defined in the figure legends. For experiments involving prephosphorylation, the kinase-dead (KD) mutant was prephosphorylated up to 3 h with 10 mM ATP. The reaction was then stopped by the addition of 10-fold concentrated microtubule reaction mixture in the absence or presence of 1 mM ATP added from a 100-mM ATP stock for 20 min at 30°C. Microtubules were pelleted by centrifugation after which the plate comes to the set temperature and this condensation clears (defined as t = 0 min), values were plotted as a function of time.

**Dynamic microtubule overlap assays**

Porcine brain tubulin was purified and used to produce double-cycled GMPCPP-stabilized microtubule seeds using published methods (Castoldi and Popov, 2003; Gell et al., 2010). In brief, 10 µl of 2 mM biotin-labeled tubulin (Cytoskeleton, Inc.), 2 µM HiLyte-488 tubulin (Cytoskeleton, Inc.), 16 µM unlabeled tubulin in BRB80 (80 mM Pipes-KOH, pH 6.9, 1 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM EGTA), and 1 mM Mg-GMPCPP (Jena Bioscience) were incubated for 30 min at 37°C and pelleted for 10 min at 80,000 rpm in a TLA-100 rotor. The microtubule seeds were resuspended in 7.2 µl of ice-cold BRB80 and incubated on ice for 20 min to promote disassembly. The once-cycled tubulin was then supplemented with 1 mM GMCP, incubated for 30 min at 30°C, and pelleted. The double-cycled microtubule seeds were re-used in 100 µl BRB80 and stored in the dark at 37°C for 30 min. Imaging chambers were prepared using silanized cover slips separated by double-sided tape (Gell et al., 2010). To immobilize biotinylated microtubule seeds, imaging chambers were first incubated with 50 µg/ml Neutravidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at room temperature for 5 min followed by 1% [vol/vol] Pluronic F127 (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature for 5 min. Biotinylated, GMPCPP-stabilized microtubule seeds were then bound to the imaging chambers by flowing the microtubule seeds through the chambers and incubating for 15 min at 37°C. KIF4A was phosphorylated in vitro by incubating 445 nM KIF4A with 55 nM Barona se and 1 mM ATP for 30 min at 30°C. For experiments where the effect of KIF4A was studied to compare with phosphorylated KIF4A, 445 nM of KIF4A was incubated with 1 mM ATP for 30 min at 30°C in the absence of Barona se. Microtubule growth was initiated by flowing in tubulin reaction mixture containing 17.5 µM unlabeled tubulin, 1 mM GTP, 1 mM ATP, and 14 nM mCherry-PRC1 to fill the chamber. KIF4A or in vitro–phosphorylated KIF4A was added to the tubulin reaction mixture to study its effect on microtubule overlaps as defined in the figure legends. The imaging chambers were sealed with nail polish and immediately placed on the spinning disc confocal microscope for imaging. Imaging was performed using an inverted microscope containing a TIRF module [IX81; Olympus] with a 60×/1.42 NA oil immersion objective coupled to a spinning disc confocal system [Ultraview Vox; PerkinElmer] fitted with an EM-CCD camera [C9100-13; Hamamatsu Photonics]. The temperature of the objective was maintained at 37°C throughout the experiment. Exposure times were 30 ms for HiLyte-488 tubulin and mCherry-PRC1 using a 455-nm laser. The images were acquired every 30 s for 10 min and the ability of PRC1-Baronase to form anti-parallel microtubule overlaps was studied by replacing mCherry-PRC1 with mCherry-PRC1-Baronase in the tubulin reaction mixture in the absence and presence of 10 µM ZM447439.

**Single-microtubule polymerization assay**

Flow chambers were prepared as for the dynamic microtubule overlap assay. The tubulin reaction mixture was prepared using 15.75 µM tubulin, 1.75 µM HIltyte-488 tubulin, 1 mM GTP, 1 mM ATP, anti-blinking cocktail (500 nM glucose oxidase, 64 nM catalase, 40 mM glucose, 1% [vol/vol] β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.1% methyl cellulose [4000 cp, Sigma-Aldrich]). KIF4A or in vitro–phosphorylated KIF4A at the concentrations defined in the figure legends were added to the tubulin reaction mixture to study their effects on microtubule dynamics. Imaging chambers were filled with tubulin reaction mixture and then sealed with nail polish. TIRF imaging was performed at 30°C using a super resolution microscope [OMX V3; Applied Precision] using the 60×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective and an sCMOS camera (PCO AG). The images were taken using a 488-nm laser and the 10% neutral density filter every 3 s for 10 min with a 50-ms exposure time.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows additional characterization of the eGFP-PRC1 and KIF4A stable cell lines. Fig. S2 confirms the specificity of the KIF4A pT799 antibody. Figs. S3 and S4 show the effects of KIF4A and MCAK with and without Aurora B phosphorylation on microtubule binding and microtubule destabilization. Fig. S5 describes the characterization of the Barona se module. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201301094/DC1. Additional data are available in the JCB Data Viewer at http://jcbdataviewer.com/doi/10.1083/jcb.201301094.
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